Ś

Orbital order and spontaneous orthorhombicity in iron pnictides

C.-C. Chen,^{1,2} J. Maciejko,^{1,2} A. P. Sorini,¹ B. Moritz,^{1,3} R. R. P. Singh,⁴ and T. P. Devereaux¹

¹Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Science, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park,

California 94025, USA

²Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA

³Department of Physics and Astrophysics, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202, USA

⁴Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, California 95616, USA

(Received 26 April 2010; published 15 September 2010)

A growing list of experiments show orthorhombic electronic anisotropy in the iron pnictides, in some cases at temperatures well above the spin-density-wave transition. These experiments include neutron scattering, resistivity and magnetoresistance measurements, and a variety of spectroscopies. We explore the idea that these anisotropies stem from a common underlying cause: orbital order manifest in an unequal occupation of d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals, arising from the coupled spin-orbital degrees of freedom. We emphasize the distinction between the total-orbital occupation (the integrated density of states), where the order parameter may be small and the orbital polarization near the Fermi level which can be more pronounced. We also discuss light-polarization studies of angle-resolved photoemission and demonstrate how x-ray absorption linear dichroism may be used as a method to detect an orbital-order parameter.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.100504

PACS number(s): 74.70.Dd, 72.10.Fk, 75.25.Dk, 78.70.Dm

The iron pnictides¹ possess a phase diagram similar to the cuprates with superconductivity in close proximity to magnetic order. Upon doping the magnetism is suppressed and superconductivity emerges.² In contrast, the pnictide parent compounds are metals, rather than insulators with a Fermi surface consisting of bands mostly from the Fe 3*d* t_{2g} orbitals. These orbitals form two hole pockets with mostly d_{xz} and d_{yz} characters around the Brillouin-zone (BZ) center and two electron pockets with additional d_{xy} weight at the zone corners.³

Whether a weak-coupling itinerant electron or a strongcoupling localized moment approach better describes the pnictides remains a topic of debate. It is generally accepted that the Fe on-site, intraorbital interaction $U^{4,5}$ is smaller than the overall Fe 3d bandwidth ~ 4 eV. In particular, x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) indicates $U \sim 2$ eV.⁵ In this regard, the pnictides are weakly, or at most moderately, correlated materials. On the other hand, both itinerant and local pictures seem to well describe the neutron-scattering measurements.^{6,7} The above observations therefore suggest a coexistence of localized moments and itinerant electrons in the iron-pnictide materials.⁸ They have aspects such as metallicity, where correlations play a minor role while antiferromagnetism and local properties derive directly from a strong Hund's coupling.⁵ This dual character is also implied by other experiments: Raman scattering finds that the d_{xz}/d_{yz} orbitals are more incoherent than d_{xy} ,⁹ de Haas-van Alphen measurements of superconducting LaFePO (Ref. 10) and the closely related nonsuperconducting compound SrFe₂P₂ (Ref. 11) reveal that the electron pockets have a larger mean-free path, possibly tied to the d_{xy} band; higher electron mobility is further supported by the Hall effect,¹² as well as nuclear magnetic relaxation.¹³

In addition to this dichotomy, a series of experiments highlight the importance of orthorhombic anisotropy in these materials.^{6,14–18} In particular, neutron scattering indicates extremely anisotropic couplings between the Fe moments;⁶ scanning tunneling microscopy reveals unidirectional elec-

tronic nanostructures;¹⁴ and nuclear quadrupole resonance shows a local electronic order in the Fe layers.¹⁵ These observations of broken C_4 tetragonal symmetry could stem from an unequal occupation of the d_{xz}/d_{yz} orbitals, arising from the coupled spin-orbital physics.^{19–25} A nematic state is formed once the d_{xz}/d_{yz} orbitals are ordered in a translationally invariant way.²⁶ On the other hand, pure spin physics can lead to nematicity²⁷ resulting from order by disorder.²⁸ The latter scenario can cause an orbital polarization upon breaking C_4 symmetry. On symmetry grounds the two scenarios are not distinct since both lead to an Ising-order parameter. However, they can be distinguished by the spin-spin correlations and spin dynamics above the spin-density-wave (SDW) transition.²¹

In this study, we assume that orbital occupation is a key driving variable and investigate the experimental consequences of a net orbital polarization. In particular, we show that recent resistivity anisotropy measurements^{16,17} could result from an unequal d_{xz}/d_{yz} orbital population. Moreover, the Fermi-surface reconstruction in angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES) (Refs. 29 and 30) can be better understood with a (partial) orbital ordering. We also calculate XAS linear dichroism which could be used to probe the orbital-order parameter.

In the strong coupling limit, orbital order is easier to understand if the d_{xz} and d_{yz} bands are $\frac{1}{4}$ filled, either with electrons or holes. In this case, the local occupation of the orbitals can be modeled by an Ising variable.²⁰ Such a variable carries a full $R \ln 2$ entropy,²¹ and the orbital order can be robust and easily detectable. In this case, metallic behavior must be due to other orbitals such as d_{xy} . The order can survive at intermediate couplings but is reduced due to *d*-electron itinerancy.

A more subtle orbital order can arise at intermediate couplings in a system where the occupancy of d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals is closer to half filling. In that case, the two orbitals have occupancies of $1 + \epsilon$ and $1 - \epsilon$. For small ϵ , this can no longer be an atomic scale fluctuating variable but rather one involving a cluster of at least $1/\epsilon$ atoms. Orbital order can arise from an instability that promotes an electron in a local region from the d_{xz} to the d_{yz} orbital (or vice versa). The deviation from unit occupancy is distributed throughout the system. Near half filling, such an electron transfer would raise the system energy due to intra-atomic (Hund's) exchange. A competition therefore results between Hund's energy which favors single occupancy of both orbitals with no orbital order and interatomic exchange which can support orbital order.

If the system is initially frustrated, e.g., a J_1 - J_2 model with J_2 comparable to J_1 , this instability to interorbital electron transfer can be the system's way of reducing frustration and lowering the interatomic exchange energy. This phenomenon also happens in triangular lattice systems which can orbitally order to avoid frustration.³¹ In the pnictides, electron transfers can locally break the tetragonal symmetry and couple to lattice distortions. This could make the nearestneighbor exchange antiferromagnetic (AF) in one direction and ferromagnetic (FM) in the other. Thus, collinear AF order will simultaneously minimize all the interatomic exchanges. Lowering of this energy would involve every atom in the cluster. Therefore, even if Hund's energy is an order of magnitude larger than the interatomic exchange energy, the latter can dominate in substantially large clusters. Apart from anisotropic exchange couplings, an orbital polarization may in turn cause other orthorhombic phenomena, which we discuss below.

In Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)₂As₂, a striking in-plane (*ab*) resistivity anisotropy $\Delta \rho / \rho \equiv (\rho_b - \rho_a) / [\frac{1}{2}(\rho_b + \rho_a)]$, with $\rho_b > \rho_a$, onsets at temperatures well above the structural T_s and magnetic T_N ordering temperatures.^{16,17} Below T_N , the magnetic order is AF along the longer *a* axis and FM along the shorter *b* axis. One thus expects a higher resistivity along *a* axis due to reduced hopping. Moreover, if one considers scattering on spin fluctuations,^{22,32,33} stronger scattering along the AF *a* axis with large **Q** momentum transfer is expected; the FM order only gives rise to forward scattering. Both considerations should lead to $\rho_b < \rho_a$, in contradiction to experiment.

It is also puzzling that $\Delta \rho$ increases with decreasing *T*. For scattering on magnetic or lattice fluctuations, $\Delta \rho$ should weaken at lower *T* because these bosonic degrees of freedom freeze out. The scattering rate Γ due to spin fluctuations is related to the imaginary part of the spin susceptibility, $\Gamma \sim g^2 \Sigma_{\mathbf{q}} \chi''(\mathbf{q}, T)$, where *g* is the coupling between itinerant electrons and local spins. The divergence of χ'' at the AF wave vector $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{Q}$ may account for the increased scattering at $T \sim T_N$ (Ref. 22) but not for *T* well above or below T_N .

We use a five-band model³⁴ and investigate whether simple impurity scattering, combined with weak orbital polarization, can account for the observed resistivity anisotropy onset at $T > T_S$, T_N . In particular, we show that both the sign and T dependence of $\Delta \rho$ can be qualitatively explained in that way. We study the effect of a small orbital polarization $\langle \delta n \rangle \equiv (n_{xz} - n_{yz}) / [\frac{1}{2}(n_{xz} + n_{yz})]$, where $n_{xz} (n_{yz})$ is the $d_{xz} (d_{yz})$ orbital occupation. $\langle \delta n \rangle \neq 0$ is mimicked by an effective onsite energy splitting: $\epsilon_{xz} \equiv \epsilon_0 + \Delta_{\text{eff}}/2$, and $\epsilon_{yz} \equiv \epsilon_0 - \Delta_{\text{eff}}/2$. A nonzero $\langle \delta n \rangle$ could result from a spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism that onsets at $T > T_S$, T_N (Ref. 35) or simply from a small external field X such as applied stress¹⁷ in the presence of a finite orbital susceptibility χ_{orb}

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 82, 100504(R) (2010)



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Resistivity anisotropy $\Delta\rho/\rho$ and (b) orbital polarization $\langle \delta n \rangle$ as a function of the effective energy splitting $\Delta_{\rm eff}$ between the d_{xz}/d_{yz} orbitals calculated from the five-band model. (c) Anisotropy in the density of states with $\Delta_{\rm eff}$ =0.1 eV; the arrow indicates the Fermi level at zero doping x=0. (d) $\Delta\rho/\rho$ and $\langle \delta n \rangle$ versus the chemical potential μ . Depending on the value of μ , a substantial $\Delta\rho$ could result from a modest $\langle \delta n \rangle$.

 $\propto \partial \langle \delta n \rangle / \partial X$. As $T \rightarrow T_N$, $\langle \delta n \rangle$, and its effect can be enhanced by the coupled spin-orbital physics.²¹

We consider scattering on δ -function nonmagnetic impurities of strength W and calculate the resistivity along the a and b axes using the Kubo formula in the first Born approximation,³⁶ where $\Delta \rho$ is weakly dependent on W. The potential allows electrons to scatter between different bands but only when the initial and final states have the same orbital character.³⁷ Although thermal fluctuations are not introduced explicitly, their main effect is expected to reduce $\langle \delta n \rangle$ with increasing T, i.e., $\Delta_{\text{eff}}(T)$ should increase as T decreases.²¹

In Fig. 1(a), a positive splitting $\Delta_{\text{eff}} > 0$ gives rise to the experimentally observed $\rho_b > \rho_a$. Figure 1(b) displays the corresponding value of $\langle \delta n \rangle$. $\Delta_{\text{eff}} > 0$ corresponds to $\langle \delta n \rangle < 0$ (or $n_{xz} < n_{yz}$). On the other hand, at the chemical potential (μ) the d_{xz} density of states is larger than the d_{yz} one: $D_{xz}(\mu) > D_{yz}(\mu)$ [Fig. 1(c)], which is the reason for $\Delta \rho > 0$. In the calculation shifting μ to lower energies would cause sizeable changes in $\delta D(\mu) \equiv D_{xz}(\mu) - D_{yz}(\mu)$ due to the sharp features in the density of states [Fig. 1(c)] while only changing the overall $\langle \delta n \rangle$ by a small amount. This suggests that a substantial $\Delta \rho$ could result from a modest orbital polarization depending on μ [Fig. 1(d)]. Fermi-surface sensitive probes such as transport and ARPES depend strongly on the value of $\delta D(\epsilon)$ near the Fermi level (E_F), which can be appreciable without a large $\langle \delta n \rangle$.

Mean-field calculations of down-folded Hamiltonians³⁸ find that the collinear AF order is accompanied by a nonzero $\langle \delta n \rangle$. A robust orbital order usually occurs when the d_{xz} (d_{yz}) occupation is away from half filling. Moreover, $\langle \delta n \rangle$ depends strongly on interactions. Static Coulomb interaction studies suggest that the electron wave functions are ordered along the longer, AF *a* axis.^{19,22} On the other hand, local-densityapproximation calculations based on Wannier orbitals predict an opposite trend.²³ Recent double exchange calculations find that the wave function lobes point in the short bond, FM direction due to kinetic energy stabilization.²⁴ These results indicate that the sign and the magnitude of $\langle \delta n \rangle$ could de-



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega = E_F)$ in the paramagnetic phase plotted in the one Fe unit-cell BZ; the green box indicates the true two Fe unit-cell BZ. (b) $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega = E_F)$ in the SDW phase; the blue box indicates the true four Fe unit-cell BZ. The shadow bands give rise to only weak spectral weight. (c) $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega = E_F)$ in the SDW phase with orbital ordering ($\Delta_{\text{eff}}=0.1 \text{ eV}$). [(d)–(f)] The d_{xz} projected spectral functions with SDW and various strength of orbital ordering. [(g)–(i)] Similar plots for the d_{yz} orbital. When the SDW field is accompanied by a partial orbital ordering, the outer (inner) pocket is dominated by d_{xz} (d_{yz}) orbitals. All the plots are broadened with a 10 meV Lorentzian.

pend subtly on the interplay between the band structure and interactions.

In our approach, a nonzero $\Delta \rho$ originates from an orbitalorder induced effective mass anisotropy, which if it exists could be detectable by optical conductivity measurements. We note that at low temperatures the resistivity anisotropy can be enhanced due to an anisotropy in carrier density. Recent ab plane optical measurements have reported a depletion of spectral weight upon entering the magnetically ordered state for light polarization only along the b axis with an enhancement of the metallic nature of the charge dynamics related to the a axis response.³⁹ These observations are indeed consistent with the dc transport measurements. If one also considers scattering on spin fluctuations at $T \sim T_N^{22}$ orbital order can further affect $\Delta \rho$. If d_{xy} electrons are scattered by local moments from the d_{xz}/d_{yz} orbitals, an anisotropy in the spin-fermion coupling $g_{yy} > g_{xx}$ could occur, resulting in $\rho_b > \rho_a$.

Recent low *T* ARPES measurements suggest a dominant d_{xz} weight on the hole pockets at the Γ point.^{29,30} Experimentally, the orbital characters can be selected by dipole matrix elements with different light polarizations. Below we use the five-band model to qualitatively illustrate that a weak SDW field consistent with experiments⁴⁰ will not result in a significant difference in the d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbital spectral weight. However, a substantial orbital weight reconstruction can be obtained when the SDW field is accompanied by orbital ordering. The calculations are performed at the mean-field level.

Figure 2(a) shows the spectral function $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega = E_F)$ in the paramagnetic phase; the real space unit cell contains two Fe due to the staggered pnictogen height. Figure 2(b) shows $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega = E_F)$ calculated with a symmetry breaking SDW field



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) The Fe L_3 -edge XAS for x and y polarization from FEFF calculation for BaFe₂As₂ in the low temperature T=20 K orthorhombic phase. The LD signal (I_x-I_y) is multiplied by a factor of ten. (b) Atomic multiplet calculation with solely orbital ordering $\Delta_{\text{eff}}=0.1$ eV for L_3 -edge XAS. In both cases, a $\sim 3\%$ LD signal results. The structure distortion would saturate at low T but the orbital polarization is expected to increase with decreasing T.

(=50 meV).⁴⁰ The real space unit cell now contains four Fe but the shadow bands give rise to only weak spectral intensity. Similarly, $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega = E_F)$ in the presence of SDW and a partial orbital order introduced by an effective splitting Δ_{eff} =0.1 eV is shown in Fig. 2(c). Figures 2(d)–2(f) are the d_{xz} projected spectral functions with the SDW field and various strengths of orbital ordering. Figures 2(g)–2(i) are similar plots for d_{yz} .

A weak SDW field alone does not result in a significant difference in the spectral weight of the d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals. We note that a simple SDW folding cannot account for the ARPES experiments either.⁴⁰ However, when the SDW field is accompanied by orbital ordering, the outer (inner) pocket is dominated by d_{xz} (d_{yz}) character. These results imply an orbital weight reconstruction across the Fermi surface, emphasizing the potential role of the orbital degrees of freedom.

Conclusive evidence for orbital ordering in the iron pnictides could be obtained by performing x-ray dichroism measurements. When the system is structurally distorted or orbitally ordered, the absorption spectrum for incident light polarized along the x axis of the crystal frame would be different from that along the y axis. The resultant difference in the two XAS spectra $I_x(\omega) - I_y(\omega)$ is referred to as the linear dichroism (LD). A temperature evolution of the LD signals would be highly suggestive of the orbital-ordering hypothesis.

We focus on Fe *L*-edge XAS where the Fe 2p core electrons are excited to unoccupied 3d levels. A recent study featured the FEFF software⁴¹ to simulate the polarization averaged Fe *L*-edge XAS in a variety of iron-pnictide materials. Results have been obtained in good agreement with experiments.⁵ Similar calculations including the XAS polarization dependence are shown in Fig. 3(a). The LD signal is $\sim 3\%$ based solely on the orthorhombicity of the low temperature T=20 K structure.⁴²

As the FEFF code has no direct control over orbital occupation, we perform an atomic-multiplet calculation to simulate the effect of solely orbital ordering. Figure 3(b) shows the XAS and a ~3% LD signal obtained from this method with Δ_{eff} =0.1 eV. The LD magnitude would increase with a stronger Δ_{eff} . We note that the sign and the magnitude of the LD signal and $\langle \delta n \rangle$ are closely related, which may either cancel or enhance the LD signal from the structural distortion.

CHEN et al.

The LD measurements are complicated by the requirement of single crystals with detwinned domains, which could be achieved by magnetic¹⁶ or mechanical^{17,18} means. Moreover, below T_N the AF phase also contributes to the LD spectra, so it is important to distinguish these different contributions. A careful temperature dependence should be taken near and across different transition lines. An evolution of the dichroic signal could be observed at high temperatures $T \ge T_S, T_N$ if orbital ordering exists. At low T the structure distortion saturates⁴³ but the orbital polarization is expected to increase with decreasing T.²¹ Therefore, these different effects can potentially be distinguished by the LD magnitude and line shape.

In conclusion, we have addressed the in-plane orthorhombic anisotropies in the iron pnictides arising from orbital order. We demonstrated that an unequal d_{xz}/d_{yz} orbital population can lead to a resistivity anisotropy similar to experiments. We also showed that the Fermi-surface recon-

- ¹Y. Kamihara *et al.*, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 3296 (2008); X. H. Chen *et al.*, Nature (London) **453**, 761 (2008); M. Rotter *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 107006 (2008).
- ²J. Zhao *et al.*, Nature Mater. **7**, 953 (2008); J.-H. Chu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 014506 (2009).
- ³D. H. Lu *et al.*, Nature (London) **455**, 81 (2008)
- ⁴E. Z. Kurmaev et al., Phys. Rev. B 78, 220503(R) (2008); L. Craco et al., ibid. 78, 134511 (2008); V. Vildosola et al., ibid. 78, 064518 (2008); K. Haule et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 226402 (2008); V. I. Anisimov et al., Physica C 469, 442 (2009); S. L. Skornyakov et al., Phys. Rev. B 80, 092501 (2009); M. M. Qazilbash et al., Nat. Phys. 5, 647 (2009); H. Ishida and A. Liebsch, Phys. Rev. B 81, 054513 (2010); C. Parks Cheney et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 104518 (2010); P. Hansmann et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 197002 (2010); F. Cricchio et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 140403(R) (2010).
- ⁵W. L. Yang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 014508 (2009).
- ⁶C. de la Cruz et al., Nature (London) 453, 899 (2008).
- ⁷S. O. Diallo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 187206 (2009).
- ⁸M. D. Johannes and I. I. Mazin, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 220510(R) (2009); S.-P. Kou *et al.*, EPL **88**, 17010 (2009).
- ⁹B. Muschler et al., Phys. Rev. B 80, 180510(R) (2009).
- ¹⁰A. I. Coldea *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 216402 (2008).
- ¹¹J. G. Analytis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 076401 (2009).
- ¹²F. Rullier-Albenque et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 057001 (2009).
- ¹³L. Fang et al., Phys. Rev. B 80, 140508(R) (2009).
- ¹⁴T.-M. Chuang *et al.*, Science **327**, 181 (2010).
- ¹⁵G. Lang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 097001 (2010).
- ¹⁶J.-H. Chu et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 214502 (2010).
- ¹⁷J.-H. Chu *et al.*, Science **329**, 824 (2010).
- ¹⁸M. Tanatar et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 184508 (2010).
- ¹⁹F. Krüger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 054504 (2009).
- ²⁰R. Singh, arXiv:0903.4408 (unpublished).
- ²¹C.-C. Chen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 180418(R) (2009).
- ²²W. Lv et al., Phys. Rev. B 80, 224506 (2009).
- ²³C.-C. Lee *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 267001 (2009).
- ²⁴W. Lv et al., Phys. Rev. B 82, 045125 (2010).
- ²⁵B. Schmidt et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 165101 (2010).

struction in ARPES is better understood when the SDW field is accompanied by an orbital polarization. We made predictions for XAS linear dichroism which potentially can probe the orbital-order parameter. In our view, orbital ordering in these materials arises from coupled spin-orbital fluctuations, where the orbital variable plays a crucial role. Experiments above the SDW transition and theories that address the interplay of spin and orbital fluctuations⁴⁴ can further clarify the relative importance of the orbital degrees of freedom.

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 82, 100504(R) (2010)

The authors acknowledge discussions with J.-H. Chu, I. R. Fisher, W. Lv, F. Krüger, H.-H. Lai, E. Berg, M. Yi, D. H. Lu, S. Zhou, Z. Wang, L. Degiorgi, and P. Thalmeier. This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. This research used resources of NERSC, supported by DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. J.M. is supported by the Stanford Graduate Program.

- ²⁶E. Fradkin *et al.*, Annual Reviews of Condensed Matter Physics **1**, 153 (2010).
- ²⁷C. Fang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 224509 (2008); C. Xu *et al.*, *ibid.* **78**, 020501(R) (2008); T. Yildirim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 057010 (2008); Q. Si and E. Abrahams, *ibid.* **101**, 076401 (2008).
- ²⁸ P. Chandra *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 88 (1990).
- ²⁹T. Shimojima *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 057002 (2010).
- ³⁰S.-H. Lee *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 220502(R) (2010).
- ³¹H. F. Pen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1323 (1997).
- ³²P. Prelovšek and I. Sega, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 115121 (2010).
- ³³A. M. Turner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 224504 (2009).
- ³⁴S. Graser *et al.*, New J. Phys. **11**, 025016 (2009).
- ³⁵M. Calamiotou et al., arXiv:1004.1340 (unpublished).
- ³⁶G. D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics* (Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 2000).
- ³⁷As the symmetry group of the effective Hamiltonian is C_4 , for a simple O(3)-invariant potential $V(\mathbf{r})$, all the off-diagonal components between the t_{2g} orbitals such as $\langle d_{xz}|V(\mathbf{r})|d_{yz}\rangle$ vanish. With the rotation invariance of $V(\mathbf{r})$, all the diagonal components in the t_{2g} bases are equal. As the relevant orbitals near E_F are the t_{2g} ones, a diagonal impurity potential is a good approximation.
- ³⁸ K. Kubo and P. Thalmeier, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 083704 (2009);
 S. Zhou and Z. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 096401 (2010); M. Daghofer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 014511 (2010); E. Bascones *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 227201 (2010).
- ³⁹A. Dusza et al., arXiv:1007.2543 (unpublished).
- ⁴⁰M. Yi et al., Phys. Rev. B 80, 174510 (2009).
- ⁴¹A. L. Ankudinov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 7565 (1998); A. L. Ankudinov *et al.*, *ibid.* **65**, 104107 (2002).
- ⁴²M. Rotter *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 020503(R) (2008).
- ⁴³S. D. Wilson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 014501 (2010).
- ⁴⁴T. D. Stanescu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195114 (2008); J. Zhang *et al.*, *ibid.* **79**, 220502 (2009); Y. Yanagi *et al.*, *ibid.* **81**, 054518 (2010); H. Kontani and S. Onari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 157001 (2010).