Topological insulators on the Lieb and perovskite lattices

C. Weeks and M. Franz

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1 (Received 29 April 2010; revised manuscript received 23 July 2010; published 11 August 2010)

Electrons hopping on the sites of a two-dimensional Lieb lattice and three-dimensional edge-centered cubic (perovskite) lattice are shown to form topologically nontrivial insulating phases when spin-orbit coupling is introduced. These simple models on lattices with cubic symmetry show a Dirac-type structure in the excitation spectrum but with the unusual feature that there is a dispersionless band through the center of the spectrum and only a single Dirac cone per Brillouin zone.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.82.085310](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.085310)

PACS number(s): $73.43.-f$, $73.20.-r$, $85.75.-d$

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of topological insulators^{1,[2](#page-4-1)} has taken off in the last few years, proving to be an exciting area of research in condensed-matter physics while also having ties to fundamental physics due to the possibility of providing a test bed for exotic particles such as Majorana fermions, 3 fractionally charged vortices,⁴ axions,^{5[,6](#page-4-5)} and magnetic monopoles.^{7,[8](#page-4-7)}

In general, topological insulators are bulk insulators having an energy gap between the valence and conduction bands, but with gapless edge states [two-dimensional (2D)] or surface states [three-dimensional (3D)], caused by spinorbit (SO) coupling, which are immune to nonmagnetic impurities and geometric perturbations. Similar in spirit to the quantum Hall effect, the novelty of a topological insulator (TI) lies in the fact that it can be characterized by a topological invariant, and is not the result of a spontaneously broken symmetry. However, the invariant cannot take on any integer value, as in the in the quantum Hall case, but is instead a so-called Z_2 topological quantum number that we label ν , which can be either 0 or 1. In 2D, a single Z_2 invariant is sufficient for the job whereas in 3D, one needs four Z_2 invariants (ν_0 ; $\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3$), which distinguish 16 possible topological phases and fall into the two general classes: weak TI (WTI) and strong TI $(STI).⁹$ $(STI).⁹$ $(STI).⁹$ In 3D if there are an odd number of surface states then we have $\nu_0 = 1$ and the system is in the STI phase. If there is an even number of surface states (possibly zero) then $\nu_0 = 0$ and we have a trivial insulator or WTI if any of the ν_i are nonzero.

The 2D topological insulator, also known as the quantum spin Hall phase, was independently proposed by two groups, $10-12$ $10-12$ and to date a variety of models have been established that can support the TI phase. The original model on the honeycomb lattice was realized by superposing two copies of the Haldane model, 13 one for spin up and other for spin down electrons, with the spin-up and spin-down electrons moving in opposite directions along the edge.¹⁰ It was then predicted theoretically, 14 and later confirmed experimentally, 15 that this effect is present in the HgTe/CdTe quantum wells. Fu and Kane extended the concept to 3D with a toy model on the diamond lattice, 9 and also predicted that the alloy $Bi_{1-x}Sb_x$, which has large spin-orbit coupling, would be a three-dimensional TI.¹⁶ This was later verified experimentally by ARPES (angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy) measurements which directly observed the topological surface states. 17 Other models with a low-energy Dirac structure, namely, the 2D kagome, decorated honeycomb, checkerboard, and 3D pyrochlore systems, have been recently shown to support topological states.^{18[–22](#page-4-17)} Experimentally the most promising system is currently $Bi₂Se₃$, which is known to have a large band gap and a single surface Dirac cone[.23](#page-4-18) Although not experimentally verified, there is also some theoretical work on topological insulators in cold at-oms, which looks promising.^{24,[25](#page-4-20)}

On a practical level, these gapless surface states are robust against disorder since time-reversal symmetry disallows backscattering from nonmagnetic impurities. When gapped by time-reversal symmetry breaking perturbations, superconducting, or excitonic pairing, these surface states give rise to interesting insulating phases with exotic quasiparticle excitations already mentioned above. Electric manipulation of the spin degrees of freedom in topological insulators should also be possible and they can therefore be of value in spintronics applications. Some of the existing topological insulators are also known to be among the best thermoelectric materials, such as the material Bi_2Te_3 discussed by Zhang *et al.*,^{[26](#page-4-21)} and thus discovery of new materials or further understanding of existing ones would be of value.

In this paper, we present another possible host for a topological insulator, namely, the Lieb lattice in 2D and its 3D counterpart the perovskite or edge-centered cubic lattice. We show that a simple tight-binding model for electrons on these lattices leads to TI behavior in the presence of spin-orbit coupling. These toy models are unlike most of the existing models in that they have a simple cubic symmetry and exhibit only a single Dirac node in the spectrum intersecting a degenerate flat band precisely at the Dirac point. We also briefly discuss other perturbations that lead to topologically trivial gapped phases.

II. LIEB LATTICE

We begin with the tight-binding Hamiltonian,

$$
H_0 = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \alpha} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\alpha} + \text{H.c.}, \tag{1}
$$

where $c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger}$ creates an electron of spin α on site *i* of the socalled Lieb lattice, seen in Fig. $1(a)$ $1(a)$, and *t* is the hopping amplitude for nearest-neighbor sites.

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Lieb lattice showing three-site basis in unit cell, NNN hopping (dotted lines) and basis vectors \mathbf{a}_1 and \mathbf{a}_2 . (b) Tight-binding dispersion for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^0$. (c) Band structure for strip of $N_v = 10$ unit cells with open boundary conditions along the *y* direction and infinite along x with $\alpha = 0.2t$. (d) As in (c) but with $\lambda = 0.2t$ instead.

In momentum space, Eq. H_0 becomes H_0 $= \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ with $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} = (c_{1\mathbf{k}\sigma}, c_{2\mathbf{k}\sigma}, c_{3\mathbf{k}\sigma})^{T}$ and

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^0 = -2t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos(k_x) & \cos(k_y) \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

where the lower triangle of the matrix is understood to be filled so that the matrix is Hermitian. The spectrum of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}$ consists of one degenerate flat band $E_{\mathbf{k}}^{(3)} = 0$ and two dispersive bands,

$$
E_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1,2)} = \pm 2t\sqrt{\cos^2 k_x + \cos^2 k_y},\tag{2}
$$

where the Brillouin zone spans $-\frac{\pi}{2} \le k_x \le \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} \le k_y \le \frac{\pi}{2}$. Plotting these bands yields a low-energy Dirac-type dispersion [seen in Fig. $1(b)$ $1(b)$), with a single Dirac cone in the Brillouin zone. At one third filling then, band 1 will be completely filled, with the degenerate flat band and the upper band being empty, and this state will behave as a gapless band insulator.

There are several perturbations to the basic Hamiltonian (1) (1) (1) that open up a gap while respecting the translational symmetry of the lattice. The simplest option is to add an on-site energy ϵ to all the sites that have only two nearest neighbors in Fig. $1(a)$ $1(a)$ above, or conversely the inequivalent sites with four nearest neighbors. We note that this setup has been discussed recently in the context of ultracold fermionic atoms.²⁷ Here, one of the dispersing bands remains touching the flat band while the other dispersing band becomes isolated. Another option is to add a dimerization term, which staggers the hopping amplitude along both the \hat{x} and \hat{y} directions so that $t \rightarrow t \pm \alpha$. In this case, the flat band becomes isolated from the dispersing bands, which are gapped symmetrically [shown for strip geometry in Fig. $1(c)$ $1(c)$). Lastly, including a Rashba spin-orbit term will again isolate the flat band while also splitting the spin degeneracy among the valence and conduction bands. In all of the above cases the resulting insulating phases are characterized by conventional broken symmetries and are topologically trivial.

The recent paper by Green *et al.*[28](#page-4-23) discusses a similar set of energy bands having a flat band directly through the middle of a single Dirac cone, which have been created via staggered flux phases on the kagome lattice. Although their interests lie with the flat band itself, they discuss possible insulating phases that can be introduced in order to isolate the flat band and determine that, for spinless fermions, timereversal symmetry must be broken in order to achieve this. Seeing as the Lieb lattice requires no magnetic field to create the same energy band structure, perhaps it is of some interest then that we can isolate the flat band without breaking timereversal symmetry by way of the dimerization term mentioned above.

Now, to see if this model can support a TI phase we include the intrinsic SO interaction term,

$$
H_{\text{SO}} = i\lambda \sum_{\langle\langle ij\rangle\rangle\alpha\beta} (\mathbf{d}_{ij}^1 \times \mathbf{d}_{ij}^2) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{j\beta},
$$
 (3)

where λ is the amplitude for the next-nearest-neighbor (NNN) spin-orbit-induced interaction [shown in Fig. $1(a)$ $1(a)$], the term $v_{ij} = (\mathbf{d}_{ij}^1 \times \mathbf{d}_{ij}^2)_z = \pm 1$, where \mathbf{d}_{ij}^1 and \mathbf{d}_{ij}^2 are the two unit vectors along the nearest-neighbor bonds connecting site *i* to its next-nearest neighbor *j* and σ is the vector of Pauli spin matrices. This will also lead to the formation of a gap at the Dirac point while preserving $\mathcal T$ and the translational symmetry of H_0 . Fourier transforming, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{SO}} = \pm 4\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sin(k_x)\sin(k_y) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}
$$

where the $+(-)$ sign refers to spin up (down) electrons, and the spectrum for the full Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{H}_k^0 + \mathcal{H}_k^{SO}$, then consists of the same degenerate flat band $E_{\mathbf{k}}^{(3)} = 0$, and the modified doubly degenerate dispersive bands,

$$
E_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1,2)} = \pm 2\sqrt{t^2(\cos^2 k_x + \cos^2 k_y) + 4\lambda^2 \sin^2 k_x \sin^2 k_y}
$$
(5)

with a gap $\Delta_{\text{SO}}=4|\lambda|$ at the Dirac point.

We note that the flat band eliminates the possibility of writing down a low-energy Dirac expansion for the system in terms of the Pauli matrices, as discussed e.g., in Ref. [18](#page-4-16) and other topological insulator models, but, following Refs. [28](#page-4-23) and [29,](#page-4-24) it is possible to express the full Hamiltonian above in terms of a set of 3×3 matrices that form a spin-1 representation of SU(2). Although not a focus here, this makes it possible, for instance, to calculate the Chern numbers of the bands analytically.

To prove that our system is indeed a topological insulator, we now show by an explicit calculation that it possesses a nontrivial Z_2 invariant. There are several ways to do this in practice, although they are found to be equivalent in the end, so we use the method that is most convenient.

According to Fu and Kane¹⁶ when a crystal possesses inversion symmetry, the Z_2 topological invariant ν is related to the parity eigenvalues $\xi_{2m}(\Gamma_i)$ of the 2*mth* occupied energy band at the four \mathcal{T} -invariant momenta Γ_i . Our system is inversion symmetric and so we can use this method to find ν . If we select site 1 of the unit cell as the center of inversion then the parity operator acts as $\mathcal{P}[\psi_1(\mathbf{r}), \psi_2(\mathbf{r}), \psi_3(\mathbf{r})]$ $=[\psi_1(-\mathbf{r}), \psi_2(-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}_1), \psi_3(-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}_2)]$ on the triad of the electron wave functions in the unit cell labeled by vector **r**. In momentum space, the parity operator becomes a diagonal 3×3 matrix $\mathcal{P}_k = \text{diag}(1, e^{-i\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}}, e^{-i\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}})$ and the four T-invariant momenta can be expressed as $\Gamma_i = \pi(\hat{x}n_i + \hat{y}m_i)/2$ with $n_i, m_i = 0, 1$. The eigenstates of \mathcal{H}_{Γ_i} can be found analytically in this case and it is then easy to determine the parity eigenvalues of the occupied bands. At $\frac{1}{3}$ filling, we find that three ξ 's are positive and one is negative. Which of the four ξ 's is negative depends on the choice of the inversion center but the product $\Pi_i \xi(\Gamma_i) = (-1)^{\nu}$ is independent of this choice and determines the nontrivial Z_2 in-

FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Perovskite lattice showing four sites in unit cell along with basis vectors. (b) High-symmetry points in the Brillouin zone. (c) Band structure inside bulk along path of high symmetry for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}$. (d) As in (c) but with $\alpha = 0.2t$.

variant $\nu = 1$, confirming that the system is indeed a topological insulator. Similar considerations for $\frac{2}{3}$ filling also yield $\nu = 1$.

Next, we solve the model in a strip geometry numerically using exact diagonalization, in order to incorporate edge effects and demonstrate the bulk-boundary correspondence, which states that whenever $\nu = 1$ there will be an odd number of pairs of topologically protected gapless modes along each edge in the system. For the trivial insulating state with α =0.[1](#page-1-0)*t*, we find no edge states [Fig. 1(c)] but for λ =0.1*t*, we indeed find a pair of spin-filtered gapless states associated with each edge, as seen in Fig. $1(d)$ $1(d)$. As there are three atoms in the unit cell, the two edges of the slab are not equivalent, and therefore the edge states are not degenerate.

III. PEROVSKITE LATTICE

The perovskite lattice, depicted in Fig. $2(a)$ $2(a)$, is a straightforward generalization of the Lieb lattice into 3D and can be viewed as a simple cubic lattice with additional sites positioned at the centers of all edges.

As with the Lieb lattice, our starting point is the tightbinding model given in Eq. (1) (1) (1) , which in momentum space gives

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0} = -2t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos k_{x} & \cos k_{y} & \cos k_{z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The spectrum then consists of two degenerate flat bands $E_{\mathbf{k}}^{(3,4)}$ = 0 and the two dispersive bands,

$$
E_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1,2)} = \pm 2t\sqrt{\cos^2 k_x + \cos^2 k_y + \cos^2 k_z}.
$$
 (6)

Again, we have a single Dirac point in the Brillouin zone and at $\frac{1}{4}$ filling the system behaves as a gapless band insulator. The spectrum is shown in Fig. $2(c)$ $2(c)$. As above, we can create

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Bulk band structure shown along path connecting high-symmetry points for $\lambda = 0.2t$. (b) Band structure for slab geometry along lines connecting four surface TRIM for $\lambda = 0.2t$.

a topologically trivial insulating phases by including an anisotropic on site term or a dimerization [Fig. $2(d)$ $2(d)$].

To open up a topologically nontrivial gap, we consider spin-orbit coupling Eq. ([3](#page-1-1)). Since the $\mathbf{d}_{ij}^{1,2}$ now lie in threedimensional space, the Hamiltonian does not decouple for the two spin projections as above, and instead becomes an 8×8 matrix in *k* space. The spectrum can be found numerically and is shown in Fig. $3(a)$ $3(a)$. Unlike the model on the Lieb lattice, the addition of the spin-orbit term creates a dispersion in the degenerate flat bands and a gap that depends on the sign of λ , which is no longer symmetric around zero energy. Switching the sign of λ inverts the band structure.

We now study the topological classes of these insulating phases. As above, the Z_2 topological invariants $(\nu_0; \nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3)$ are easy to evaluate when a crystal possesses inversion symmetry and can be determined from knowledge of the parity eigenvalues $\xi_{2m}(\Gamma_i)$ of the 2*m*th occupied energy band at the eight τ -invariant momenta (TRIM) Γ_i that satisfy $\Gamma_i = \Gamma_i + G$. The eight TRIM in our system can be expressed in terms of primitive reciprocal lattice vectors as $\Gamma_{i=(n_1n_2n_3)} = (n_1b_1+n_2b_2+n_3b_3)/2$, with $n_j=0,1$. Then ν_α is determined by the product $(-1)^{v_0} = \prod_{n=0,1} \delta_{n_1 n_2 n_3}$ and $(-1)^{\nu_{i=1,2,3}} = \prod_{n_{i \neq i}=0,1; n_{i}=1} \delta_{n_{1}n_{2}n_{3}}$, where $\delta_{i} = \prod_{m=1}^{N'} \xi_{2m}(\Gamma_{i}).$

If we select site 1 of the unit cell, Fig. [2,](#page-2-0) as the center of inversion then the parity operator acts as $\mathcal{P}[\psi_1(\mathbf{r})]$ $,\psi_2(\mathbf{r}),\psi_3(\mathbf{r}),\psi_4(\mathbf{r})$ $= [\psi_1(-\mathbf{r}), \psi_2(-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}_1), \psi_3(-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}_2), \psi_4(-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}_3)]$ on the four-component electron wave function in the unit cell labeled by vector **r**. In momentum space and including spin the parity operator becomes a diagonal 8×8 matrix $\mathcal{P}_k = \text{diag}(1, e^{-i\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}}, e^{-i\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}}, e^{-i\mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}}) \otimes \text{diag}(1, 1)$. It is straightforward to obtain the eigenstates of \mathcal{H}_{Γ_i} and the parity eigenvalues of the occupied bands numerically, then determine the Z_2 invariants. At quarter filling, we find that δ =−1 at the *H* point and $\delta = 1$ at the other TRIM so the spin-orbit phase is a $(1;111)$ strong topological insulator.

Incorporating edge effects into the system with a slab geometry and plotting the band energies along lines connecting the four surface TRIM [Fig. $3(b)$ $3(b)$], we can also see the bulk energy bands and an odd number of surface states which traverse the gap, a behavior characteristic of STI.

As in Ref. [20,](#page-4-25) we note that it is also possible to obtain a WTI with this model. In the limiting case where the system is tuned so that electrons can only move in decoupled parallel planes, each forming a 2D Lieb lattice, we know from our work above that we have a collection of 2D topological insulators. Such a collection results in a WTI, which will survive even if the interplane coupling is restored. Using the Fu-Kane method¹⁶ we have confirmed that if hopping along the *z* direction is eliminated, the system at quarter filling becomes a $(0;001)$ WTI. For nonzero interplane coupling parametrized as $t' = \alpha t$ for the NN hopping and $\lambda' = \alpha \lambda$ for the spin-orbit interaction terms, where $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, we find that the WTI survives as long as $\alpha < 2\lambda/t$. At $\alpha = 2\lambda/t$, the two lowest energy bands touch at the point M in the Brillouin zone and there is a sharp transition to the $(1;111)$ STI phase. We note that the system remains insulating at quarter filling for all other values of α .

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have established a model for a topological insulator on lattices with simple cubic symmetry. In 2D, we have shown that a tight-binding model with spin-orbit coupling on the Lieb lattice supports gapless topologically protected edge modes, and possesses a nontrivial Z_2 invariant. In 3D, we have demonstrated that a similar model on the perovskite lattice supports $2D$ gapless surface states when in the $(1;111)$ STI phase, brought on by spin-orbit coupling. We have also identified other gapped phases in these models that are topologically trivial.

In addition to the topologically nontrivial band structures, the models considered here exhibit flat bands, which could give rise to interesting strongly correlated electron states even for relatively weak interaction strength. 30 A necessary condition for exotic correlated phases is that the singleelectron states forming the flat band are delocalized in space localized states typically lead to formation of more conventional Wigner crystal phases). Delocalized single-electron states are guaranteed to exist if the flat band possesses a nonzero topological invariant, as happens, e.g., in quantum Hall liquids. Unfortunately, this is not the case in models considered in this study. In the Lieb lattice, spin-orbit coupling preserves the flat band and separates it from other bands. The resulting flat band however turns out to be topologically trivial, i.e., it has $\nu=0$ while the TI behavior derives from the two dispersive bands which have $\nu = 1$. In the perovskite lattice, spin-orbit coupling produces a significant dispersion in the original flat band and this will limit the importance of correlations in the system. Although we see no general reason that would prevent a flat band from having a nontrivial Z_2 invariant, this situation does not seem to occur in commonly used simple models.

Can our model be realized in a physical system? There exist many perovskites in nature as well as many layered perovskites composed of weakly coupled 2D planes with Lieb lattice structure. The most prominent example of the latter are the $CuO₂$ planes in high- T_c cuprate superconductors such as $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ or $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$. In 3D, our model system is an idealization of the naturally occurring perovskites, most of which also have a heavy central atom in the middle of each cubic cell, such as $SrTiO₃$ or the double perovskite structure $Ba_2NaOsO₆$. In these real materials, the electron behavior near the Fermi level derives from the e_a and $t₂$ orbitals of the transition-metal element occupying the cubic site while the edge sites are normally oxygens whose *p* orbitals are far away from the Fermi level. The resulting band structure for the active orbitals is then significantly more complex than that captured in our simple tight-binding model. Nevertheless, our model calculations demonstrate that this class of tight-binding Hamiltonians on lattices with cubic symmetry can support topological phases, both in 2D

- ¹ J. E. Moore, Nature ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08916)) **464**, 194 (2010).
- ²M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, $arXiv:1002.3895$ (unpublished).
- ³L. Fu and C. L. Kane, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.096407)* **100**, 096407 (2008).
- 4B. Seradjeh, J. E. Moore, and M. Franz, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.066402) **103**, [066402](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.066402) (2009).
- 5X. L. Qi, R. Li, J. Zang, and S.-C. Zhang, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1167747) **323**, 1184 $(2009).$ $(2009).$ $(2009).$
- 6A. M. Essin, J. E. Moore, and D. Vanderbilt, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.146805) **102**[, 146805](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.146805) (2009).
- 7X. L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, and S. C. Zhang, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.195424) **78**, [195424](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.195424) (2008).
- ⁸G. Rosenberg and M. Franz, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.035105)* **82**, 035105 (2010).
- 9L. Fu, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.106803) **98**, 106803 $(2007).$ $(2007).$ $(2007).$
- 10 C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.226801)* **95**, 226801 (2005).
- 11 C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.146802)* **95**, 146802 (2005).
- 12B. A. Bernevig and S.-C. Zhang, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.106802) **96**, 106802 $(2006).$ $(2006).$ $(2006).$
- ¹³ F. D. M. Haldane, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.2015)* **61**, 2015 (1988).
- 14B. A. Bernevig, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1133734) **314**, [1757](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1133734) (2006).
- 15M. König, S. Wiedmann, C. Brüne, A. Roth, H. Buhmann, L. W. Molenkamp, X.-L. Qi, and S.-C. Zhang, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1148047) **318**, 766 $(2007).$ $(2007).$ $(2007).$
- ¹⁶L. Fu and C. L. Kane, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.045302)* **76**, 045302 (2007).
- 17D. Hsieh, D. Qian, L. Wray, Y. Xia, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, Nature ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06843)) 452, 970 (2008).

and 3D. We hope that our work will stimulate detailed bandstructure calculations of perovskites with heavy transitionmetal elements in search for new families of topological insulators.

In the more exotic realm, it might be possible to artificially engineer the 2D system by modulating a twodimensional electron gas with a periodic potential having Lieb symmetry, as achieved recently in constructing the "artificial graphene.["31](#page-4-27) Another possibility lies with cold Fermionic atoms in optical lattices as discussed in Refs. [24](#page-4-19) and [25.](#page-4-20)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors have benefited from discussions with H.-M. Guo and C. Felser. This work was supported by NSERC and CIfAR.

- ¹⁸ H. M. Guo and M. Franz, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.113102)* **80**, 113102 (2009).
- 19A. Rüegg, J. Wen, and G. A. Fiete, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.205115) **81**, 205115 $(2010).$ $(2010).$ $(2010).$
- ²⁰ H. M. Guo and M. Franz, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.206805)* **103**, 206805 (2009).
- 21 K. Sun, H. Yao, E. Fradkin, and S. A. Kivelson, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.046811)* 103[, 046811](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.046811) (2009).
- ²² D. A. Pesin and L. Balents, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1606) **6**, 376 (2010).
- 23Y. Xia, D. Qian, D. Hsieh, L. Wray, A. Pal, H. Lin, A. Bansil, D. Grauer, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1274) **5**, 398 ([2009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1274)).
- 24T. D. Stanescu, V. Galitski, J. Y. Vaishnav, C. W. Clark, and S. Das Sarma, *[Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053639)* **79**, 053639 (2009).
- 25 S. L. Zhu, H. Fu, C.-J. Wu, S.-C. Zhang, and L.-M. Duan, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.240401)* [Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.240401) **97**, 240401 (2006).
- 26H. Zhang, C.-X. Liu, X.-L. Qi, X. Dai, Z. Fang, and S.-C. Zhang, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1270) 5, 438 (2009).
- 27R. Shen, L. B. Shao, B. Wang, and D. Y. Xing, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.041410) **81**, [041410](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.041410)(R) (2010).
- 28 D. Green, L. Santos, and C. Chamon, $arXiv:1004.0708$ (unpublished).
- 29D. Bercioux, D. F. Urban, H. Grabert, and W. Häusler, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.063603) Rev. A **80**[, 063603](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.063603) (2009).
- 30D. L. Bergman, C. Wu, and L. Balents, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.125104) **78**, 125104 $(2008).$ $(2008).$ $(2008).$
- 31M. Gibertini, A. Singha, V. Pellegrini, M. Polini, G. Vignale, A. Pinczuk, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.241406) **79**, [241406](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.241406)(R) (2009).