Oxygen-vacancy-related relaxation and scaling behaviors of $Bi_{0.9}La_{0.1}Fe_{0.98}Mg_{0.02}O_3$ **ferroelectric thin films**

Qingqing Ke,1 Xiaojie Lou,1 Yang Wang,2 and John Wang1[,*](#page-6-0) ¹*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117574, Singapore* 2 *School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang, Singapore 639798, Singapore* (Received 11 April 2010; revised manuscript received 11 June 2010; published 13 July 2010)

Oxygen-vacancies-related dielectric relaxation and scaling behaviors of $Bi_{0.9}La_{0.1}Fe_{0.98}Mg_{0.02}O_3$ (BLFM) thin film have been investigated by temperature-dependent impedance spectroscopy from 40 °C up to 200 °C. We found that hopping electrons and single-charged oxygen vacancies (V_0) coexist in the BLFM thin film and make contribution to dielectric response of grain and grain boundary, respectively. The activation energy for V_0 is shown to be 0.94 eV in the whole temperature range investigated whereas the distinct activation energies for electrons are 0.136 eV below 110 °C and 0.239 eV above 110 °C in association with hopping along the Fe^{2+} - $V_{\rm O}$ -Fe³⁺ chain and hopping between Fe²⁺-Fe³⁺, respectively, indicating different hopping processes for electrons. Moreover, it has been found that hopping electrons are in the form of long-range movement while localized and long-range movement of oxygen vacancies coexist in BLFM film. The Cole-Cole plots in modulus formalism show a polydispersive nature of relaxation for oxygen vacancies and a single relaxation time for hopping electrons. The scaling behavior of modulus spectra further suggests that the distribution of relaxation times for oxygen vacancies is temperature independent.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.82.024102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.024102)

PACS number(s): 77.22.Gm, 77.55.Nv

I. INTRODUCTION

The coexistence of (anti)ferroelectric and (anti)ferromagnetic orders in multiferroic thin films has attracted a considerable amount of interests due to the potential applications in the wide spectrum of spintronics, data storage, and micro-electromechanical devices.^{1–[3](#page-6-2)} Among the very limited number of known multiferroic materials at room temperature, $BiFeO₃$ (BFO) has drawn intensive attention due to its high ferroelectric transition $(T_C \sim 1103 \text{ K})$ and antiferromagnetic transition $(T_N \sim 643 \text{ K})$ temperatures.^{4[,5](#page-6-4)} In particular, a giant polarization P_r at the level of 100 μ C/cm² has been observed in BFO thin films and single crystals, $6,7$ $6,7$ demonstrating that they are ideal candidate materials for lead-free ferroelectric applications. Unfortunately, the leakage current in BFO-based thin films is normally very high, which has been one of the main drawbacks impeding their widely expected applications. Generally, the high leakage current has been ascribed to the existence of oxygen vacancies and $Fe²⁺$, both of which can form impurity energy levels in the band gap of BFO.^{[8](#page-6-7)} Recent researches have shown that oxygen vacancies, rather than $Fe²⁺$, make more contribution toward the high leakage current, $9-12$ although it has been argued that oxygen vacancies can decrease the leakage current by forming complex defect orders such as Zn'_{Fe} V_O^{\bullet} ^{[13](#page-6-10)} which could dramatically enhance the endurance voltage.

Oxygen vacancies in BFO-based thin films are also believed to strongly affect their fatigue behavior. According to the model proposed by Dawber and Scott, $14,15$ $14,15$ it is likely that oxygen vacancies migrate toward the electrode interface under ac electric field, where they aggregate and form twodimensional arrays. The structures formed by oxygen vacancies are supposed to pin the domain walls, thus dramatically decreasing the remnant polarization. Interestingly, as has been reported by Yang *et al.*, [16](#page-6-13) the migration of oxygen vacancies in a Ca-doped BFO thin film can form a *p*-*n* junction under an appropriate external electrical field. Therefore, the application of an electric field can be employed to modulate the insulator-conductor transition by creating, erasing, and inverting the *p*-*n* junction.

Since oxygen vacancies play a predominant role in determining the electrical behavior of BFO-based thin films, it would be of considerable interest to conduct an investigation on their relaxation behavior, which would provide insights into the migration kinetics of charge defects, and therefore into a better understanding of the interplay between defects and the external field. Although some dielectric studies have been carried out on BFO thin films^{12,[17](#page-6-14)[,18](#page-6-15)} in the past several years, few has been done with the understanding of exactly how oxygen vacancies and their interactions have contributed toward the dielectric behavior of BFO-based thin films, where hopping electrons are usually inevitably involved.

In the present work, we have conducted a systematic study of complex impedance spectroscopy (CIS) to investigate the oxygen-vacancy-related relaxation and scaling behaviors of La, Mg codoped BFO (BLFM) thin film, in which the Mg^{2+} ions are able to substitute the Fe^{3+} sites due to the comparable ionic radii of Mg^{2+} (0.72 Å) and Fe³⁺ (0.69 Å) .^{[19](#page-6-16)} Similarly, La³⁺ ions (1.032 Å) are well known to occupy the sites of Bi^{3+} (1.03 Å).^{[20](#page-6-17)} We found that single charged oxygen vacancies (V_o) and hopping electrons coexist in the BLFM thin film, where they occur in two different relaxation regimes. The physical nature of relaxation process corresponding to oxygen vacancies and hopping electrons is discussed.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The BLFM thin film was prepared by rf-magnetron sputtering. Before deposition of the BLFM film, a thin $SrRuO₃$ (SRO) buffer layer (\sim 80 nm in thickness) was deposited on Pt/TiO₂/SiO₂/Si at 600 °C by rf sputtering. The BLFM film

FIG. 1. (Color online) XRD pattern of the BLFM film and the substrate at room temperature. Inset of Fig. [1](#page-1-0) shows one of Raman results collected from different positions.

of 300 nm in thickness was then deposited on the top of the SRO layer at 620 °C, using the BLFM ceramic target with 10% excess $Bi₂O₃$. The ceramic target was prepared by calcination of an oxide mixture of Bi_2O_3 , La₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, and MgO at 750 °C, followed by sintering at 820 °C in air. The addition of 10% excess $Bi₂O₃$ was used to compensate the loss of Bi during the subsequent thermal treatment process. Phase analysis of the BLFM film was carried out using x-ray diffraction (XRD) and micro-Raman spectroscopy. Prior to electrical measurements, Au dots of 200 μ m in diameter were sputtered on the film using a shallow mask to form top electrodes. The temperature-dependent CIS studies were conducted using a Solartron impedance analyzer. The impedance measurements were carried out from 40 to 200 °C in the frequency range of $0.1-10^6$ Hz.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Defect structure

Figure [1](#page-1-0) shows the XRD pattern of the sample taken at room temperature. All the reflection peaks in XRD pattern of BLFM have been indexed with space group of the *R*3*c* (Ref. [21](#page-6-18)) and no secondary phase was detected. To further confirm the pure phase of our sample, we also carried out micro-Raman spectroscopic studies at 20 different positions on the film surface. The inset of Fig. [1](#page-1-0) shows the Raman results collected from one of them [the Raman data collected from other positions shows similar characteristics (not shown)]. All spectra shows similar features as the one shown in inset. Raman peaks and bands observed at 211, 256, 362, 505, and 621 cm−1 are in good agreement with those reported for Ladoped BFO, 20,22,23 20,22,23 20,22,23 20,22,23 Nd-doped BFO, 24 La/Nd-codoped BFO, 25 and $BFO²⁶$ of a single perovskite phase in the literature. Therefore, the Raman data along with XRD results strongly indicate that our BLFM film used in this work indeed shows a single-phased perovskite structure without secondary phase.

In stoichiometric BLFM thin films, oxygen vacancies can be introduced via doping of Mg. However, oxygen vacancies and other charge carriers (e.g., electrons and holes) can also be generated steadily at various stages of calcination and sintering at high temperatures during the target preparation, and in particular during the film deposition process at low partial pressure via rf sputtering. All the defects involved in the film will influence the dielectric relaxation behavior, therefore, various defects as well as their formation processes should be considered. These processes can be described by the Kröger-Vink notations as follows: in a BLFM film, oxygen vacancies are introduced to compensate for the charge balance upon the doping of acceptor Mg, according to

$$
2\text{MgO} \rightarrow 2\text{Mg}'_{\text{Fe}} + 2\text{O}^{\times} + V_{\text{O}}^{\bullet} \tag{1}
$$

where V_{o}^{\bullet} represents an oxygen vacancy with two positive charges. That is, when two Mg^{2+} replace two Fe^{3+} , a V_O^* is introduced to maintain the charge neutrality. Moreover, it is well documented that doping a small amount of lanthanum helps to stabilize the perovskite structure of BFO phase and suppress the volatilization of Bi and $O^{27,28}$ $O^{27,28}$ $O^{27,28}$ Therefore, the process described in Eq. (1) (1) (1) is the predominant way of creating oxygen vacancies.

During the sputtering process at high temperatures (e.g., 620 °C) and low oxygen partial pressure $({\sim}10^{-2}$ mbar), oxygen loss is further accelerated, leading to the formation of more oxygen vacancies, which can be expressed as

$$
O_0^{\times} \to V_0 + \frac{1}{2}O_2,
$$
 (2)

$$
V_0 \to V_0^{\bullet} + e', \tag{3}
$$

$$
V_{\bullet} \to V_{\bullet}^{\bullet} + e' \,. \tag{4}
$$

Conduction electrons can be released from neutralized oxygen vacancies by the first-ionized step and the secondionized step, respectively, as shown in Eqs. (3) (3) (3) and (4) (4) (4) . Then they may transport in an oxidation-reduction process between Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} in the form of

$$
\text{Fe}_{\text{Fe}}^{\prime} \to \text{Fe}_{\text{Fe}}^{\times} + e^{\prime}.
$$
 (5)

Besides their association with Fe, these electrons can be weekly bonded to oxygen vacancies which can form a shallow level to trap electrons.²⁹ Therefore, the localized electrons can transport along the chain between oxygen vacancies and neighboring transition metals, especially under a highly reducing condition, in form of Fe^{2+} - V_0 - Fe^{3+} .^{[30](#page-6-27)} These processes can coexist and make it difficulty to detect the exact locations of electrons, which are dependent on the local structure and temperature.³¹

During the cooling process from high deposition temperature (i.e., $620 \degree C$ in the present work) to room temperature in air, the following reoxidation can take place,

$$
2V_0^* + O_2 + 2e' \to 2O_0^\times.
$$
 (6)

This process will primarily occur at the grain boundaries, therefore, leading to the formation of highly conductive grains with high oxygen deficiency and insulating grain boundary. 32

FIG. 2. (Color online) Frequency dependence of (a) ε' and (b) ε'' (b) of BLFM film at various temperatures. Inset of Fig. [2](#page-2-0)(a) shows the frequency dependence of ε' and ε'' at 40 °C. Inset of Fig. $2(b)$ $2(b)$ shows the temperature dependence of hopping frequency obtained from the ε " spectra.

B. Relaxation behavior

The frequency dependence of the real (ε') and imaginary (ε'') parts of dielectric permittivity for the BLFM film at various temperatures are shown in Figs. $2(a)$ $2(a)$ and $2(b)$, respectively. For ε' , a plateau of around 400 appears below $10⁴$ Hz. Such steplike behavior is quite similar to those in the giant dielectric constant materials such as CaCu₃Ti₄O₁₂.^{[33](#page-6-30)} The magnitude of ε' decreases with increasing frequencies, demonstrating a typical characteristic of ferroelectric thin films.³⁴ In Fig. $2(b)$ $2(b)$, the loss peak is centered in the dispersion region of ε' and shifts toward higher frequencies with increasing temperature, showing a thermally activated process in the BLFM film.

In order to analyze the type of defects involved, one can calculate the activation energies by fitting the loss-peak frequencies using the Arrhenius law,

$$
\omega_m = \omega_o \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{K_B T}\right),\tag{7}
$$

where ω_m is the frequency corresponding to the loss peak, ω_o is the pre-exponential factor, E_a is the activation energy for relaxation, K_B is the Boltzmann constant, and *T* is absolute temperature. The plot of $\ln \omega_m$ (vs) $1000/T$ is presented in inset of Fig. $2(b)$ $2(b)$, which can be clearly divided into two sections with fitting activation energies of 0.136 eV at low temperatures (\leq 110 °C) and 0.239 eV at high temperatures $($ >110 °C), respectively.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Comparison of the measured ε'' of BLFM with that calculated from Eq. (8) (8) (8) for data obtained at the temperature of 40 °C.

While the fitting activation energy (0.239 eV) for the high temperature region is consistent with the previously reported value of 0.325 eV for the relaxation in BiFeO₃ ceramics, explained as a two-site electron hopping between $Fe²⁺$ and Fe³⁺,^{[35](#page-6-32)} the low temperature one (0.136 eV) is much smaller, suggesting a different process of electron movement from the directly hopping between Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+} . Considering the rather low first ionization energy of 0.1 eV for oxygen vacancy, 36 we believe that the electron hopping through the Fe^{2+} - V_O - Fe^{3+} with a relatively smaller activation energy dominates in the low-temperature region. The bridging effect of first-ionized oxygen vacancies (V_0) can dramatically lower the energy barrier between Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} for electron hopping. Therefore, we conclude that electron hopping in our film takes two pathways: direct hopping between Fe^{2+} to Fe³⁺ when $T > 110$ °C, and jumping through the bridging oxygen vacancy between Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} in the temperature range of $40-110$ °C.

A detailed analysis on the correlation between ε' and ε'' , as illustrated in inset of Fig. $2(a)$ $2(a)$, confirms that the peak frequency of ε " coincides with the frequency corresponding to the maximum slope of ε' . This correlation is described by the following relationship, in limit of $\tau_{\text{min}}<1/\omega<\tau_{\text{max}}$,^{[37](#page-6-34)}

$$
\varepsilon''(\omega,T) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\partial \varepsilon'(\omega,T)}{\partial(\ln \omega)}.
$$
 (8)

The comparison of the measured ε'' of the BLFM film with that calculated from Eq. (8) (8) (8) is shown in Fig. [3.](#page-2-2) A reasonable match of peak positions can be observed between the experimental and fitting curve. One also notices that the fitting one is of a higher magnitude and has a sharper peak in comparison with the experimental data. These discrepancies may result from the monodispersive nature of the relaxation process for hopping electrons in our film, which is different from the assumption for deriving Eq. (8) (8) (8) : that is, a range of relaxation times τ_{\min} < 1/ ω < τ_{\max} . To confirm this monodispersive nature, complex Cole-Cole plot between ε' and ε'' is employed, which can be described by the following empirical relation:³⁸

$$
\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon' - j\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon_\infty + (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty)/[1 + (j\omega\tau)^{1-\alpha}],\tag{9}
$$

where ε _s and ε_{∞} are the static and high-frequency dielectric permittivity, respectively, τ is the relaxation time, and α de-

FIG. 4. (Color online) Cole-Cole plots at temperatures of 40 and 120 °C for BLFM film. The arrow shows the direction of increasing frequency.

notes the distribution of relaxation time and the value of $\alpha \pi/2$ is the angle between the real axis and the line to the circle center from the high-frequency intercept.³⁹ For a monodispersive relaxation process, one expects the circle center is located exactly on the ε' axis whereas for a polydispersive process, the circle center will be located below the ε' axis.^{3[4](#page-3-0)} Figure 4 shows two representative Cole-Cole plots by fitting the data obtained at 40 °C and 120 °C, respectively, using Eq. (9) (9) (9) . We can see that the circle centers are located exactly on the ε' axis confirming the monodispersive nature of the relaxation processes for hopping electrons.

Since the relaxation peaks at low frequency ≤ 1 $\times 10^4$ Hz) can be obscured by conductivity loss, investigation into the electric modulus (M^*) was conducted. By definition, M^* is defined as

$$
M^* = M' + jM'' = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^*} = \frac{\varepsilon'}{|\varepsilon|^2} + j\frac{\varepsilon''}{|\varepsilon|^2}.
$$
 (10)

Note that modulus is reciprocal of complex permittivity. Therefore, the more the conductivity loss contributes to the dielectric permittivity, the less conductivity loss affects the modulus.

M^{\prime} and *M*^{\prime} as a function of frequency in the temperatures range of $40-200$ °C are plotted in Fig. [5.](#page-3-1) One can see that two plateaus appear in the *M'* curve and the value of *M'* increase with increasing frequency. Two M'' peaks could also be clearly observed with the one at the low-frequency region (below 10^4 Hz) labeled as peak I and the other at highfrequency region (above 10^4 Hz) labeled as peak II, respectively. The positions of both peaks shift toward higher frequencies with increasing temperature. Peak I disperses much stronger than peak II, indicating two different thermal activation processes exist in the BLFM film.

Since M^* shares the same mechanism as ε^* , the latter has been used to analyzed the relaxation behavior at high frequency ($> 10^4$ Hz). Here we only use the modulus formalism to study the relaxation mechanism for peak I, by using the Arrhenius law. According to the fitting results of peak I as shown in inset of Fig. [5,](#page-3-1) the activation energy for the relaxation process is 0.94 eV, comparable to the activation energy of 0.84 eV measured for the diffusion of oxygen ions in

FIG. 5. (Color online) Frequency dependence of (a) M' and (b) M'' of the BLFM film at various temperatures. Inset of Fig. $5(b)$ $5(b)$ shows the temperature dependence of hopping frequency obtained from M'' spectra for peak I.

titanate-based bulk materials such as $BaTiO₃$ and $Pb(Zr/Ti)O_3$ ^{[40,](#page-6-37)[41](#page-6-38)} Hence, the relaxation process at low frequencies can be ascribed to the diffusion of oxygen vacancies, either V_{O}^{\bullet} or V_{O}^{\bullet} . We ascribe the defects responsible for the low-frequency relaxation to V_{Ω} , although our film has a lower activation energy of 0.94 eV than that $(\sim 1.54 \text{ eV})$ previously reported for V_{O} by Deng *et al.*^{[42](#page-6-39)} The possible reason is that doping Mg into $Bi_{0.9}La_{0.1}FeO_3$ is supposed to dramatically increase the concentration of oxygen vacancies, therefore lowering the activation energy.⁴³

The complex impedance data obtained for various temperatures ranging from 40 to 180 °C are shown as Cole-Cole diagrams in Fig. [6.](#page-4-0) At low temperatures, the straight lines with big slope indicate that our film is highly insulating. However, the impedance decreases with increasing temperature as expected. From inset of Fig. [6,](#page-4-0) two poorly resolved semicircular arcs can be observed, which are attributed to the responses of grains and that of grain boundaries, 17 respectively. Obviously, the small arc at high frequencies tends to be obscured by the large arc at low frequencies, due to the large difference in the magnitudes of resistance between grain and grain boundary.

In order to obtain reliable values for the resistance of grain and grain boundary and establish a connection between microstructure and electrical properties, the equivalent circuit model shown in Fig. [7](#page-4-1) is employed, which is based on the brick layer model.⁴⁴ In Fig. [7,](#page-4-1) R_s is the resistance of lead used in the equipment, C_g denotes the capacitance related to domain and dipole reorientation in gain, R_g is the resistance associated with grain, C_{gb} is the capacitance related to grain boundary layer, R_{gb} denotes the resistance across the grain

FIG. 6. (Color online) Complex impedance plots, *Z*^{*r*} vs *Z*^{*r*} for the BLFM thin film, at different temperatures ranging from 40 to 180 °C. The inset one shows the enlarged impedance plot of data obtained at 40 °C.

boundary layer, and CPE is a constant phase element indicating the departure from ideal Debye-type model. The CPE admittance is $Y_{\text{CPE}} = A_o(j\omega)^n = A\omega^n + jB\omega^n$, with

$$
A = A_o \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right), \quad B = A_o \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right), \quad (11)
$$

where A_0 and n are parameters depending on temperature only, A_0 confines the magnitude of the dispersion and $0 \le n$ \leq 1. The parameter of *n* is equal to 1 for ideal capacitor and equal to 0 for ideal resistor.⁴⁴

Our data were then fitted using software ZSIMP WIN version 2 by assuming the equivalent circuit discussed above. R_{φ} and R_{gb} thus obtained are summarized in Table [I.](#page-4-2) The fitting results indeed show that R_{gb} is much higher than R_g , due to the lower concentration of oxygen vacancies and trapped

FIG. 7. The equivalents circuit model used here in associated with brick layer model.

FIG. 8. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the dc conductivities for grain and grain boundary obtained from the fitting results of equivalent circuit.

electrons in grain boundaries after reoxidation process shown in Eq. ([6](#page-1-4)). Figure [8](#page-4-3) shows the dc conductivity σ_g and σ_{gb} $(\sigma_g \propto 1/R_g$ and $\sigma_{gb} \propto 1/R_{gb})$, plotted against the reciprocal temperature in the Arrhenius format. One can see that both σ_{φ} and σ_{φ} obey the Arrhenius law with respective activation energy of 0.15 and 0.91 eV. The dc conductivity activation energies are close to the values obtained from the formalisms of M'' and ε'' . It further shows that the relaxation process in grain arises largely from hopping electrons and that in grain boundary from the movement of oxygen vacancies.

In the previous discussion, the dielectric relaxation has been analyzed in the formalisms of M^* and ε^* , where localized movement of carriers is dominant. In the case of long range movement, the resistive and conductive behaviors are often analyzed by Z^* and $Y^*(1/Z^*)$.^{[44](#page-6-41)} Therefore, the combined plot of M'' (or ε'') and Z'' (or Y'') versus frequency is able to distinguish whether the short-range or long-range movement of charge carries is dominant in a relaxation process. The separation of peak frequencies between *M*^{*n*} (or ε ^{*n*}) and Z'' (or Y'') indicates that the relaxation process is dominated by the short-range movement of charge carriers and departs from an ideal Debye-type behavior while the frequencies coincidence suggests that long rang movement of charge carriers is dominant.^{44,[45](#page-6-42)} The normalized functions of Z''/Z''_{max} and *M"*/*M*"_{max} for peak I and those of *Y"*/*Y*"_{max} and M''/M''_{max} for peak II at a typical temperature of 80 °C are shown in Figs. $9(a)$ $9(a)$ and $9(b)$, respectively. The slight mis-

TABLE I. Summary of the electrical parameters obtained from measured data at various temperatures for BLFM film using the equivalent circuit model.

Temperature $(^\circ C)$	R_{s} (Ω)	R_{ρ} (Ω)	C_g (F)	R_{gb} (Ω)	C_{gb} (F)	CPE_{gb} (F)	\boldsymbol{n}
40	327.8	31373	1.87×10^{-10}	3.86×10^{9}	1.95×10^{-10}	3.21×10^{-11}	0.66152
60	321.9	24996	1.89×10^{-10}	6.95×10^8	2.02×10^{-10}	1.60×10^{-10}	0.4761
100	305.5	13921	2.04×10^{-10}	2.49×10^{7}	2.12×10^{-10}	7.28×10^{-10}	0.4949
120	299.1	9660	2.09×10^{-10}	5.76×10^6	2.20×10^{-10}	2.61×10^{-9}	0.4949
140	279.6	6196	2.19×10^{-10}	1.46×10^{6}	2.26×10^{-10}	5.10×10^{-9}	0.42562
160	227.5	5204	2.27×10^{-10}	4.01×10^{5}	2.25×10^{-10}	3.82×10^{-9}	0.43578
180	205.1	4489	2.37×10^{-10}	1.27×10^5	2.38×10^{-10}	1.48×10^{-8}	0.52737

FIG. 9. (Color online) Normalized imaginary parts of electric modulus M''/M''_{max} , and impedance Z''/Z''_{max} corresponding to oxygen vacancies as functions of frequency at 80 °C (a). Normalized imaginary parts of modulus M''/M''_{max} and admittance Y''/Y''_{max} corresponding to hopping electrons as functions of frequency at 80 °C (b).

match in peak frequency for peak I associated with the movement of oxygen vacancies indicates the occurrence of localized movement of oxygen vacancies and justifies the presence of CPE phase in the equivalent circuit model.^{46[,47](#page-6-44)} For peak II, the overlapping of the peak frequencies between the normalized M'' and Y'' suggests that electron hopping is a long-range movement, confirming our previous argument that the relaxation of hopping electrons follows an ideal Debye-type behavior.

C. Scaling behavior

The Cole-Cole plot in formalism of impedance cannot clearly discern responses of grain and grain boundary, as shown in Fig. [6.](#page-4-0) Upon being plotted into modulus formalism as shown in Fig. [10](#page-5-1) at the representative temperatures of 40 and 120 °C, two distinct semicircles are identified, which are related to grain and grain-boundary responses as marked in the plot. For the grain response, the circular centers are located exactly on M' axis (i.e., $\alpha = 0$), even when temperature is increased by 80 °C, indicating an ideal Debye relaxation with a single relaxation time. This is consistent with previous analysis using the formalism of ε^* . However, for the grain-boundary response, the circle centers are located below the M' axis and α in the range of [0.086, 0.109] decreases with increasing temperature, demonstrating that re-

FIG. 10. (Color online) Complex modulus plots of the BLFM film at 40 °C and 120 °C. The arrow shows the direction of increasing frequency.

laxation time τ is not single-valued but distributed continuously or discretely around the mean time $\tau_m = 1/\omega_m$.

The Cole-Cole plot in the modulus formalism justifies a polydispersive nature for the dielectric relaxation at low frequencies. However, the small variation in α cannot be used to confirm whether the relaxation time is temperature dependent or not because of the uncertainties in fitting circles.³⁴ Therefore, we plotted the $M''(\omega, T)$ in scaled coordinates, i.e., $M''_{(\omega,T)}/M''_{\text{max}}$ versus log (ω/ω_m) , where ω_m the loss peak frequency. If all the modulus loss profiles are collapsed into one master curve, it suggests that the relaxation time is temperature independent[.34](#page-6-31) As shown in Fig. [11,](#page-5-2) all peaks related to the oxygen vacancies responses indeed collapse into one master curve and almost perfectly overlap at different temperatures ranging from 40 °C up to 200 °C. It suggests that the dynamic processes of oxygen vacancies occurring at different time scales exhibit the same activation energy and that the distribution of the relaxation times is temperature independent.⁴⁸

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A thorough investigation has been made into the relaxation and scaling behaviors of the polycrystalline BLFM ferroelectric thin film in the temperature range of

FIG. 11. (Color online) Scaling behavior of M'' at various temperatures for oxygen vacancies in BLFM thin film.

40– 200 °C. The temperature-dependent modulus and dielectric spectra show that single-charged oxygen vacancies (V_0) and hopping electrons coexist in the BLFM thin film. An activation energy of 0.94 eV is extracted and has been attributed to V_{o} in the temperatures range investigated. However, two different electron hopping processes with the activation energy of 0.136 eV below 110 °C and 0.239 eV

- *Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; msewangj@nus.edu.sg
- ¹ N. A. Hill, [J. Phys. Chem. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp000114x) **104**, 6694 (2000).
- ²M. Fiebig, [J. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/38/8/R01) **38**, R123 (2005).
- $3W$. Eerenstein, N. D. Mathur, and J. F. Scott, Nature ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05023)) **442**[, 759](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05023) (2006).
- ⁴Yu. N. Venevtsev, G. Zhdanov, and S. Solov'ev, Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. **4**, 538 (1960).
- 5F. Kubel and H. Schmid, [Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0108768190006887) **46**[, 698](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0108768190006887) (1990).
- 6D. Lebeugle, D. Colson, A. Forget, and M. Viret, [Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2753390) Lett. **91**[, 022907](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2753390) (2007).
- ⁷ J. Wu and J. Wang, [Acta Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2009.11.011) **58**, 1688 (2010).
- ⁸ J. Dho, X. Qi, H. Kim, J. L. MacManus-Driscoll, and M. G. Blamire, [Adv. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adma.200502622) **18**, 1445 (2006).
- ⁹X. Qi, J. Dho, R. Tomov, M. G. Blamire, and J. L. MacManus-Driscoll, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1862336) **86**, 062903 (2005).
- 10C. Wang, M. Takahashi, H. Fujino, X. Zhao, E. Kume, T. Horiu-chi, and S. Sakai, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2177430) 99, 054104 (2006).
- 11X. Xiao, J. Zhu, Y. Li, W. Luo, B. Xu, L. Fan, F. Ren, C. Liu, and C. Jiang, [J. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/40/18/039) 40, 5775 (2007).
- 12Y. Li, Z. Hu, F. Yue, P. Yang, Y. Qian, W. Cheng, X. Ma, and J. Chu, [J. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/41/21/215403) 41, 215403 (2008).
- 13G. Hu, S. Fan, C. Yang, and W. Wu, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2918130) **92**, [192905](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2918130) (2008).
- ¹⁴M. Dawber and J. F. Scott, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.125938) **76**, 1060 (2000).
- ¹⁵ J. F. Scott and M. Dawber, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.126786) **76**, 3801 (2000).
- 16C. H. Yang, J. Seidel, S. Y. Kim, P. B. Rossen, P. Yu, M. Gajek, Y. H. Chu, L. W. Martin, M. B. Holcomb, Q. He, P. Maksymovych, N. Balke, S. V. Kalinin, A. P. Baddorf, S. R. Basu, M. L. Scullin, and R. Ramesh, [Nature Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2432) 8, 485 (2009).
- 17A. Srivastava, A. Garg, and F. D. Morrison, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3078822) **105**, [054103](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3078822) (2009).
- 18R. Schmidt, W. Eerenstein, T. Winiecki, F. D. Morrison, and P. A. Midgley, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.245111)* **75**, 245111 (2007).
- 19R. D. Shannon, [Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0567739476001551) [Theor. Gen. Crystallogr.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0567739476001551) **32**, 751 (1976).
- ²⁰ J. Chen, R. Yu, L. Li, C. Sun, T. Zhang, H. Chen, and X. Xing, [Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200800263) 2008, 3655 (2008).
- ²¹ I. Sosnowska, W. Schafer, W. Kockelmann, K. H. Anderson, and I. O. Troyanchuk, [Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s003390201604) **A74**, [S1040](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s003390201604) (2002).
- 22Y. Yang, Y. Liu, K. Zhu, L. Zhang, S. Ma, J. Liu, and Y. Jiang, [Chin. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1056/19/3/037802) 19, 037802 (2010).

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 82, 024102 (2010)

- 23G. L. Yuan, S. W. Or, and H. L. W. Chan, [J. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/40/4/043) **40**, 1196 $(2007).$ $(2007).$ $(2007).$
- 24G. L. Yuan, S. W. Or, H. L. W. Chan, and Z. G. Liu, [J. Appl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2423228) Phys. **101**[, 024106](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2423228) (2007).
- 25P. Pandit, S. Satapathy, P. K. Gupta, and V. G. Sathe, [J. Appl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3264836) Phys. **106**[, 114105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3264836) (2009).
- 26R. Palai, H. Schmid, J. F. Scott, and R. S. Katiyar, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.064110) **81**[, 064110](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.064110) (2010).
- ²⁷ I. Sosnowska, T. P. Neumaier, and E. Steichile, [J. Phys. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/15/23/020) **15**, [4835](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/15/23/020) (1982).
- 28V. R. Palkar, D. C. Kundaliya, S. K. Malik, and S. Bhattacharya, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.212102) **69**, 212102 (2004).
- ²⁹ H. Ihrig and D. Hennings, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.17.4593)* **17**, 4593 (1978).
- 30M. H. R. Lankhorst, H. J. M. Bouwmeester, and H. Verweij, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **80**, 2175 (1997).
- 31 C. Ang, Z. Yu, and L. E. Cross, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.228)* 62, 228 (2000).
- 32F. D. Morrison, D. C. Sinclair, and A. R. West, [J. Am. Ceram.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.2001.tb00694.x) Soc. **84**[, 531](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.2001.tb00694.x) (2001).
- ³³ J. Zhang, P. Zheng, C. Wang, M. Zhao, J. Li, and J. Wang, [Appl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2077864) [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2077864) **87**, 142901 (2005).
- ³⁴ S. Saha and T. P. Sinha, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.134103)* **65**, 134103 (2002).
- 35S. Hunpratub, P. Thongbai, T. Yamwong, R. Yimnirun, and S. Maensiri1, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3078825) 94, 062904 (2009).
- ³⁶ J. Daniels and K. H. Hardtl, Philips Res. Rep. **31**, 489 (1976).
- 37L. Lundgren, P. Svedlindh, and O. Beckman, [J. Magn. Magn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(81)90144-X) [Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(81)90144-X) **25**, 33 (1981).
- ³⁸ K. S. Cole and R. H. Cole, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1750906) **9**, 341 (1941).
- 39E. Barsoukov and J. R. Macdonald, *Impedance Spectroscopy* Theory, Experiment, and Applications (Wiley, Canada, 2005).
- ⁴⁰C. H. Park, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **42**, S1420 (2003).
- ⁴¹ S. Saha and S. B. Krupanidhi, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.371952) **87**, 849 (2000).
- 42G. Deng, G. Li, A. Ding, and Q. Yin, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2125110) **87**, [192905](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2125110) (2005).
- 43S. Steinsvik, R. Bugge, J. Gjonnes, J. Tafto, and T. Norby, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3697(96)00200-4) [Phys. Chem. Solids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3697(96)00200-4) 58, 969 (1997).
- 44O. Raymond, R. Font, N. Suárez-Almodover, J. Portelles, and J. M. Siqueiros, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1870100) **97**, 084108 (2005).
- 45D. K. Pradhan, R. N. P. Choudhary, C. Rinaldi, and R. S. Kati-yar, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3158121) 106, 024102 (2009).
- 46A. R. James, S. Priya, K. Uchino, and K. Srinivas, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1401802) 90[, 3504](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1401802) (2001).
- ⁴⁷ M. A. L. Nobre and S. Lanfredi, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1566092) **93**, 5576 (2003).
- ⁴⁸ N. Baskaran, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1487456) **92**, 825 (2002).