# Anderson localization of phonons in dimension d=1,2,3: Finite-size properties of the inverse participation ratios of eigenstates

Cécile Monthus and Thomas Garel

Institut de Physique Théorique, CNRS and CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

(Received 1 April 2010; revised manuscript received 3 June 2010; published 18 June 2010)

We study by exact diagonalization the localization properties of phonons in mass-disordered harmonic crystals of dimension d=1,2,3. We focus on the behavior of the typical inverse participation ratio  $Y_2(\omega,L)$  as a function of the frequency  $\omega$  and of the linear length L of the disordered samples. In dimensions d=1 and d=2, we find that the low-frequency part  $\omega \to 0$  of the spectrum satisfies the following finite-size scaling  $LY_2(\omega,L)=F_{d=1}(L^{1/2}\omega)$  in dimension d=1 and  $L^2Y_2(\omega,L)=F_{d=2}[(\ln L)^{1/2}\omega]$  in dimension d=2, with the following conclusions: (i) an eigenstate of any fixed frequency  $\omega$  becomes localized in the limit  $L \to +\infty$ ; (ii) a given disordered sample of size  $L^d$  contains a number  $N_{deloc}(L)$  of delocalized states growing as  $N_{deloc}(L) \sim L^{1/2}$  in d=1 and as  $N_{deloc}(L) \sim L^2/(\ln L)$  in d=2. In dimension d=3, we find a localization-delocalization transition at some finite critical frequency  $\omega_c(W) > 0$  (that depends on the disorder strength W). Our data are compatible with the finite-size scaling  $L^{D(2)}Y_2(\omega,L)=F_{d=3}[L^{1/\nu}(\omega-\omega_c)]$  with the values  $D(2) \approx 1.3$  and  $\nu \approx 1.57$  corresponding to the universality class of the localization transition for the Anderson tight-binding electronic model in dimension d=3.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.81.224208

PACS number(s): 63.50.-x, 63.20.Pw, 72.15.Rn

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Since its discovery 50 years ago,<sup>1,2</sup> Anderson localization has remained a very active field of research (see, for instance, the reviews $^{3-9}$ ), and has been recently realized in experiments with atomic matter waves.<sup>10,11</sup> According to the scaling theory,<sup>12</sup> there is no delocalized phase in dimensions d=1,2, whereas there exists a localization/delocalization at finite disorder in dimension d > 2. However the notion of Anderson localization is not limited to quantum electrons models, but also applies to classical waves in disordered media<sup>4,13</sup> including acoustic waves (see, for instance, Refs. 14–17, and references therein), electromagnetic waves (see, for instance, Refs. 18 and 19, and references therein), and hydrodynamical waves.<sup>20</sup> Among the classical disordered models that are expected to display Anderson localization, the oldest problem is the phonon problem in the presence of random masses coupled by fixed spring constants, which has been introduced by Dyson<sup>21</sup> even before Anderson's paper.<sup>1</sup> After studies concerning the one-dimensional case (see the review<sup>22</sup> and references therein), an analysis of disordered elastic media via a nonlinear sigma model<sup>23</sup> has predicted results similar to the scaling theory of Anderson localization:<sup>12</sup> all finite-frequency phonons are localized in dimension  $d \leq 2$ , whereas there exists a finite critical frequency  $\omega_c > 0$  in dimension d > 2 that separates delocalized modes  $\omega < \omega_c$  from localized modes  $\omega > \omega_c$ . However, in contrast to electron models where many numerical studies have checked in detail these predictions and more refined properties such as multifractal properties at criticality (see the review<sup>9</sup>), the same effort to characterize the statistics of eigenstates has not been done for the phonon problem. In particular, in dimension d=3, the numerical studies we are aware of find that almost all states are delocalized, whereas localized states appear only near band edges.<sup>24-27</sup> In addition, the universality class of the transition does not seem completely clear: the reported numerical values of the critical exponents are sometimes the same as for the Anderson electron transition<sup>24</sup> but are sometimes different.<sup>25,26</sup> The aim of the present paper is thus to revisit the problem of phonon localization in dimension d=1,2,3 and to study the properties of the eigenstates inverse participation ratios (IPR) (see definition below in Sec. II), which have proven to be very appropriate order parameters of Anderson transitions for electronic models (see the review<sup>9</sup>)

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the phonon model and the notations for the useful observables. Our numerical exact diagonalization results are described in the remaining sections. In Sec. III, concerning the one-dimensional case, the finite-size scaling analysis in the low-frequency region is in agreement with the power-law divergence  $\xi(\omega) \propto 1/\omega^2$  of the correlation length near zero frequency.<sup>22,23</sup> In Sec. IV, concerning the two-dimensional case, the finite-size scaling analysis in the low-frequency region is in agreement with the essential-singularity divergence  $\ln \xi(\omega) \propto 1/\omega^2$  of the correlation length near zero frequency.<sup>23</sup> Finally in Sec. V, concerning the threedimensional case, the finite-size scaling analysis around the finite critical frequency is compatible with the universality class of the Anderson transition for the Anderson tightbinding electron model in dimension d=3. Our conclusions are summarized in Sec. VI.

#### **II. MODEL AND OBSERVABLES**

#### A. Scalar phonon problem in a crystal of random masses

In dimension d=1,2,3, we consider  $L^d$  random masses  $m_{\vec{r}}$ whose positions  $\vec{r} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$  at rest form an hypercubic lattice  $(n_i=1,2,\dots,L)$ . These masses are coupled by spring constants  $K_{\vec{r},\vec{r}'}=1$  if  $\vec{r}$  and  $\vec{r}'$  are neighbors on the hypercubic lattice so that each mass in the bulk has (2d) neighbors. We consider the following harmonic Hamiltonian for the scalar displacements  $u_{\vec{r}}(t)$ : CÉCILE MONTHUS AND THOMAS GAREL

$$H = \sum_{\vec{r}} \frac{m_{\vec{r}}}{2} \dot{u}_{\vec{r}}^2 + \sum_{\vec{r},\vec{r}'} \frac{K_{\vec{r},\vec{r}'}}{2} (u_{\vec{r}} - u_{\vec{r}'})^2.$$
(1)

The scalar assumption is very standard to simplify the analysis<sup>27</sup> and means physically that longitudinal and transverse vibrations are decoupled. Equivalently, the model can be defined by the equations of motion,

$$m_{\vec{r}}\ddot{u}_{\vec{r}} = -\sum_{\vec{r}'} K_{\vec{r},\vec{r}'}(u_{\vec{r}} - u_{\vec{r}'}).$$
(2)

To avoid the free motion of the center of mass of the system, we have chosen to consider the fixed boundary conditions u=0 on the lines  $n_i=0$  and  $n_i=L+1$  surrounding the hypercube.

Finally, to avoid the peculiarities introduced by a binary distribution of the disorder,<sup>27,28</sup> we have chosen to consider the continuous flat distribution for the random masses  $m_{\vec{r}}$ ,

$$P(m) = \frac{1}{W} \theta (1 \le m \le 1 + W) \tag{3}$$

so that W represents the disorder strength. The numerical results presented in this paper correspond to the two cases W=1 and W=20.

#### **B.** Eigenmodes analysis

Since the equations of motion of Eq. (2) are linear, the dynamics can be analyzed via the eigenmodes of oscillations in  $e^{i\omega t}$ : the eigenvalues  $\omega_p^2$  and the associated eigenmodes  $a_p(\vec{r})$  satisfy

$$m_{\vec{r}}\omega_p^2 a_p(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\vec{r}'} K_{\vec{r},\vec{r}'}[a_p(\vec{r}) - a_p(\vec{r}')].$$
(4)

It is more convenient to perform the similarity transformation,<sup>21</sup>

$$a_p(\vec{r}) = \frac{\psi_p(\vec{r})}{\sqrt{m_{\vec{r}}}} \tag{5}$$

to reduce the problem to the diagonalization of a symmetric operator,

$$\omega_p^2 \psi_p(\vec{r}) = \left[ \frac{\sum_{\vec{r}'} K_{\vec{r},\vec{r}'}}{m_{\vec{r}}} \right] \psi_p(\vec{r}) - \sum_{\vec{r}'} \frac{K_{\vec{r},\vec{r}'}}{\sqrt{m_{\vec{r}}m_{\vec{r}'}}} \psi_p(\vec{r}').$$
(6)

As stressed in Ref. 27, this form is analog to an Anderson tight-binding model with on-site energies  $\epsilon(\vec{r}) = \left[\sum_{\vec{r}'} \frac{K_{\vec{r},\vec{r}'}}{m_{\vec{r}}}\right]$  and hoppings  $\frac{K_{\vec{r},\vec{r}'}}{\sqrt{m_{\vec{r}}n_{\vec{r}'}}}$ , but as a consequence of correlations through the random masses, different physical properties can occur. In particular, the eigenvalues are positive  $E_p = \omega_p^2 \ge 0$  in the phonon problem, whereas E=0 is the center of the band in usual Anderson tight-binding models. It is convenient to work with the orthogonal basis  $\phi_p$  of eigenvectors of Eq. (6) normalized to

$$\langle \phi_p | \phi_p \rangle = \sum_{\vec{r}} \phi_p^2(\vec{r}) = 1.$$
 (7)

This means that the phonons eigenmodes of Eq. (5) are normalized according to

$$1 = \sum_{\vec{r}} m_{\vec{r}} a_p^2(\vec{r}).$$
 (8)

#### C. IPR

To characterize the localization properties of the phonon eigenmodes  $a_p(\vec{r})$  introduced above in Eq. (4), we consider the IPR,

$$Y_2(\omega_p, L) \equiv \frac{\sum_{\vec{r}} a_p^4(\vec{r})}{\left(\sum_{\vec{r}} a_p^2(\vec{r})\right)^2} \tag{9}$$

that represents an order parameter for Anderson localization transition:<sup>9</sup> at a given frequency  $\omega$ , localized eigenstates correspond to a finite value in the limit  $L \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$Y_2^{loc}(\omega, L) \underset{L \to +\infty}{\propto} Y_2(\omega, \infty) > 0, \qquad (10)$$

whereas delocalized states correspond to the following power-law decay:

$$Y_2^{deloc}(\omega,L) \underset{L \to +\infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{L^d}.$$
 (11)

Note that for phonons, the standard definition of the IPR  $Y_2$  is Eq. (9) in terms of the modes  $a_p(\vec{r})$  (Ref. 27) that are normalized with Eq. (8), whereas in electronic tight-binding models, the IPR are defined in terms of the orthogonal basis  $\phi_p$  (Ref. 9) normalized with Eq. (7). Since the random masses appearing in the normalization of Eq. (8) remain bounded [see the distribution of Eq. (3)], we believe that the choice of the  $a_p$  or of the  $\phi_p$  to compute the IPR should of course affect their precise numerical values, but should not change their scaling properties with the system size *L*. In particular, the localization and delocalization criterions of Eqs. (10) and (11) should give the same results for the two definitions. In the following, all numerical results correspond to the definition of Eq. (9).

#### D. Average over the disordered samples of a given size

In practice, for each size *L* in dimension *d*, we generate a certain number  $n_S(L)$  of disordered samples containing  $L^d$  random masses. The exact diagonalization of each sample  $\alpha$  [via the standard Numerical Algorithms Group (NAG) diagonalization routine F02FAF that computes all the eigenvalues and all the eigenvectors of a real symmetric matrix] yields the  $L^d$  eigenmodes  $a_p^{\alpha}$  that are ordered by their frequency  $\omega_p^{(\alpha)}$  in ascending order  $0 < \omega_1^{(\alpha)} < \omega_2^{(\alpha)} < \cdots < \omega_{L^d}^{(\alpha)}$ . For each index  $p=1,\ldots,L^d$ , we have computed the typical frequency

$$\omega_p^{typ}(L) \equiv e^{\overline{\ln \omega_p^{(\alpha)}}} \tag{12}$$

and the corresponding typical IPR,



FIG. 1. (Color online) Integrated density of states  $N(\omega)$  of Eq. (14) for phonons in d=1. (a)  $N(\omega)$  for various sizes  $100 \le L \le 5000$  and two disorder strengths W=1 and W=20. (b) Same data in log-log scales to display the low-frequency behavior of Eq. (16).

$$Y_p^{typ}(L) \equiv e^{\overline{\ln Y_p^{(\alpha)}(L)}},$$
(13)

where  $\overline{A}$  denotes the average of the observable A over the disordered samples ( $\alpha$ ). The integrated density of states is then obtained as

$$N(\omega) = \frac{1}{L^d} \sum_{p=1}^{L^d} \theta[\omega_p^{typ}(L) \le \omega]$$
(14)

with the boundaries values N(0)=0 and  $N(+\infty)=1$ . The parametric plot  $[\omega_p^{typ}(L), Y_p^{typ}(L)]$  with  $p=1, 2, ..., L^d$  allows to obtain the behavior of the IPR  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega, L)$  as a function of the frequency  $\omega$  and of the length *L*.

## III. LOCALIZATION PROPERTIES OF PHONONS IN DIMENSION *d*=1

In this section, we present our numerical results obtained in dimension d=1 for the following sizes L and the corresponding number  $n_s(L)$  of disordered samples

$$L = 10^{2}, 2 \times 10^{2}, 5 \times 10^{2}, 10^{3}, 2 \times 10^{3}, 3 \times 10^{3}, 4 \times 10^{3},$$
  

$$5 \times 10^{3},$$
  

$$n(L) = 2 \times 10^{7} \ 47 \times 10^{5} \ 43 \times 10^{4} \ 4 \times 10^{4}$$

$$3 \times 10^3, 10^3, 350, 150.$$
 (15)

#### A. Density of states

We show in Fig. 1(a) the integrated density of states  $N(\omega)$  of Eq. (14) for two disorder strengths W=1 and W=20. As shown in log-log scale in Fig. 1(b), we find the linear behavior already present in the pure case

$$N(\omega) \underset{\omega \to 0}{\simeq} C(W)\omega \tag{16}$$

and the disorder strength *W* is only present in the numerical prefactor C(W). We have also checked that the lowest frequency mode scales as  $\omega_1(L) \propto 1/L$ .

#### **B.** Typical inverse participation ratio $Y_2^{typ}(\omega, L)$

To analyze the localization properties of eigenstates, we show in Fig. 2 the typical inverse participation ratio  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  of Eq. (13) as a function of the frequency  $\omega$  for various sizes *L*. In the high-frequency domain where the data of all sizes collapse, the eigenstates are localized. In the low-frequency domain where all sizes give different results, as shown more clearly in log-log scale in Fig. 2(b), eigenstates are delocalized on the whole disordered sample. We find moreover that the data for the very different disorder strengths W=1 and W=20 merge in the low-frequency region for each size *L*: this means that the lowest frequencies eigenstates are delocalized in the same way independently of the disorder strength *W*.

#### C. Finite-size scaling analysis of the low-frequency modes

We show in Fig. 3 that our data are compatible with the following finite-size scaling for the low-energy modes,

$$Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L) \simeq \frac{1}{L} F_{d=1}(L^{1/\nu}\omega) \text{ with } \nu = 2.$$
 (17)

This means that to each frequency  $\omega$ , one can associate a correlation length  $\xi(\omega)$  diverging as the power law,

$$\xi(\omega) \underset{\omega \to 0}{\propto} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \tag{18}$$

in agreement with Refs. 22 and 23. An eigenmode of fixed frequency  $\omega$  will be delocalized on samples of small lengths  $L \leq \xi(\omega)$  with an IPR of order,



FIG. 2. (Color online) Typical inverse participation ratio  $Y_2(\omega,L)$  as a function of the frequency  $\omega$  in d=1 for various sizes  $100 \le L \le 5000$ . (a)  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  as a function of  $\omega$  for the disorder strength W=1. (b) ln  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  as a function of  $\ln \omega$  for two disorder strengths W=1 and W=20.

$$Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L) \underset{L \ll \xi(\omega)}{\simeq} \frac{1}{L} F_{d=1}(0)$$
(19)

but will be localized on samples of large lengths  $L \ge \xi(\omega)$ with an IPR of order [using  $F_{d=1}(x) \propto x^{\nu}$  at large x]

$$Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L) \underset{L \gg \xi(\omega)}{\simeq} \omega^2 = \frac{1}{\xi(\omega)}.$$
 (20)

The conclusion is thus that for any fixed frequency  $\omega$ , the corresponding eigenmodes will become localized in the limit  $L \rightarrow +\infty$ .<sup>22,23</sup> However if one is interested into the set of eigenstates of a sample of a given size *L*, the conclusion is that frequencies  $\omega \ge L^{-1/2}$  correspond to localized eigen-

modes, whereas a certain number  $\mathcal{N}_{deloc}(L)$  of eigenmodes corresponding to frequencies  $\omega \leq L^{-1/2}$  are delocalized. From the linear behavior in  $\omega$  of the integrated density of states of Eq. (16), one obtains that the fraction of delocalized states scales as the pseudocritical value  $\omega^*(L) \sim L^{-1/2}$ ,

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_{deloc}(L)}{L} \propto \omega^*(L) \sim L^{-1/2}.$$
 (21)

So the number of delocalized eigenstates in a sample of size L grows as



FIG. 3. (Color online) Finite-size scaling analysis of the typical IPR  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  of the low-frequency eigenmodes in d=1 according to Eq. (17): the rescaled variable  $y=LY_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  is plotted as a function of the reduced variable  $x=L^{1/\nu}\omega$  with the value  $\nu=2$ . (a) Data collapse in log-log scale for W=1. (b) Data collapse in log-log scale for W=20.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Integrated density of states  $N(\omega)$  for phonons in d=2. (a)  $N(\omega)$  for various sizes  $10 \le L \le 80$  and two disorder strengths W=1 and W=20. (b) Same data in log-log scales to display the low-frequency behavior of Eq. (24).

$$\mathcal{N}_{deloc}(L) \underset{L \to +\infty}{\propto} L^{1/2} \tag{22}$$

in agreement with the interpretation given in Ref. 22. Note that this property of phonons in dimension d=1 is very different from the Anderson electronic problem, where the whole set of eigenstates of a given sample become localized at large sizes. Physically, this difference is essential if one considers the dynamical properties since the dynamics can be expanded on the basis of eigenmodes: in the Anderson electronic problem, the localization of the whole set of eigenfunctions imply the exponential localization for the dynamical properties in the phonon case, the presence of these low-frequency delocalized modes for any size prevents the exponential localization in the dynamics.

## IV. LOCALIZATION PROPERTIES OF PHONONS IN DIMENSION *d*=2

In this section, we present our numerical results obtained in dimension d=2. for the following sizes L and the corresponding number  $n_s(L)$  of disordered samples,

$$L = 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80,$$
$$n_s(L) = 2 \times 10^7, 7 \times 10^5, 77 \times 10^3, 7500, 1800, 500, 250, 200.$$
(23)

#### A. Density of states

We show in Fig. 4(a) the integrated density of states  $N(\omega)$  of Eq. (14) for two disorder strengths W=1 and W=20. As shown in log-log scale in Fig. 4(b), we find the same behavior as in the pure case

$$N(\omega) \underset{\omega \to 0}{\propto} \omega^2 \tag{24}$$

and the disorder strength W is only present in the numerical prefactor. We have also checked that the lowest frequency mode scales as  $\omega_1(L) \propto 1/L$ .

#### **B.** Typical inverse participation ratio $Y_2^{typ}(\omega, L)$

We show in Fig. 5 the typical inverse participation ratio  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  of Eq. (13) as a function of the frequency  $\omega$  for various sizes *L*. In the high-frequency domain where the data of all sizes collapse, the eigenstates are localized. In the low-frequency domain where all sizes give different results, as shown more clearly in log-log scale in Fig. 5(b), eigenstates are delocalized on the whole disordered sample. As in dimension d=1, we find moreover that the data for the two disorder strengths W=1 and W=20 merge in the low-frequency region for each size *L*: this means that the lowest frequencies eigenstates are delocalized in the same way independently of the disorder strength.

#### C. Finite-size scaling analysis of the low-frequency modes

We show in Fig. 6 that our data are compatible with the following finite-size scaling for the low-energy modes,

$$Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L) \simeq \frac{1}{L^2} F_{d=2}[(\ln L)^{1/\mu}\omega] \text{ with } \mu = 2.$$
 (25)

This means that to each frequency  $\omega$ , one can associate a correlation length  $\xi(\omega)$  diverging as the following essential singularity:

$$\ln \xi(\omega) \underset{\omega \to 0}{\propto} \frac{1}{\omega^2}$$
(26)

in agreement with Ref. 23. As in dimension d=1, the conclusion is thus that any fixed frequency mode  $\omega$  becomes localized in the limit  $L \rightarrow +\infty$ .<sup>23</sup>



FIG. 5. (Color online) Typical IPR  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  as a function of the frequency  $\omega$  in d=2 for all eigenmodes of samples of sizes  $20 \le L \le 80$ . (a)  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  as a function of  $\omega$  for W=1. (b)  $\ln Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  as a function of  $\ln \omega$  for two disorder strengths W=1 and W=20.

However if one is interested into the set of eigenstates of a sample of a given size  $L^2$ , the conclusion is that frequencies  $\omega \ge (\ln L)^{-1/2}$  are localized, whereas a certain number  $\mathcal{N}_{deloc}(L)$  of eigenstates with frequencies  $\omega \le (\ln L)^{-1/2}$  are delocalized. From the behavior in  $\omega$  of the integrated density of states of Eq. (24), one obtains that the fraction of delocalized states scales as the square of the pseudocritical value  $\omega^*(L) \sim (\ln L)^{-1/2}$ ,

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_{deloc}(L)}{L^2} \propto [\omega^*(L)]^2 \sim (\ln L)^{-1}.$$
 (27)

So the number of delocalized eigenstates in a sample of size  $L^2$  grows as



#### V. LOCALIZATION-DELOCALIZATION TRANSITION OF PHONONS IN DIMENSION *d*=3

In this section, we present our numerical results obtained in dimension d=3 for the following sizes L and the corresponding number  $n_s(L)$  of disordered samples,

$$L = 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18$$

$$i_s(L) = 35 \times 10^4, 45 \times 10^3, 5500, 1500, 300, 300.$$
 (29)



FIG. 6. (Color online) Finite-size scaling analysis of the low-frequency modes in d=2 for  $30 \le L \le 80$  according to Eq. (25):  $y = L^2 Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  as a function of  $z = (\ln L)^{1/\mu}\omega$  with the value  $\mu=2$ . (a) Data collapse in log-log coordinates for W=1. (b) Data collapse in log-log coordinates for W=20.



FIG. 7. (Color online) Typical IPR  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  as a function of the typical frequency in d=3 for all eigenmodes of samples of sizes  $8 \le L \le 18$  for W=20. (a) ln  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  as a function of ln  $\omega$ : the collapse in the high-frequency region corresponds to localized states. (b)  $\ln[L^3Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)]$  as a function of ln  $\omega$ : the collapse in the low-frequency region corresponds to delocalized states.

#### A. Typical inverse participation ratio $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$

We show our data for the typical IPR  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  in Fig. 7(a): in the high-frequency part of the spectrum, the data collapse for the various sizes *L* corresponds to localized states with a finite value  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,\infty) > 0$  [see Eq. (10)]. We show in Fig. 7(b) the same data after the appropriate rescaling  $L^3 Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  to detect the delocalized states [see Eq. (11)]: the data collapse in the low-frequency part of the spectrum corresponds to delocalized states.

#### B. Finite-size scaling analysis of the localization transition

In dimension d=3, one expects that there exists a localization-delocalization transition at some finite frequency  $\omega_c > 0.^{23}$  The IPR is then expected to follow the following finite-size scaling:

$$Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L) \simeq \frac{1}{L^{D(2)}} F_{d=3}[L^{1/\nu}(\omega - \omega_c)].$$
 (30)

The exponent D(2) governs the power-law decay of the IPR exactly at criticality,

$$Y_2^{typ}(\omega_c, L) \propto \frac{1}{L^{D(2)}}.$$
(31)

For the transition of the Anderson tight-binding electronic model in d=3, it has been measured numerically (see Ref. 9, and references therein)

$$D_{Anderson}(2) \simeq 1.3. \tag{32}$$

As shown in Fig. 8(a), if we rescale our data using this value, we obtain that the curves  $L^{D(2)}Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  for various *L* cross around the value  $\ln \omega_c(W=20) \approx -0.1$  corresponding to

$$\omega_c(W=20)\simeq 0.9. \tag{33}$$

The integrated density of states at this value is around  $N[\omega_c(W=20)] \simeq 0.66$  (data not shown) so that the critical

point is sufficiently inside the spectrum to have enough localized states and delocalized states on both sides (this is not the case for any value of the disorder strength as explained below in Sec. V C).

In addition, if we now rescale our data in terms of the reduced variable  $L^{1/\nu}(\omega - \omega_c)$  with the value of the correlation exponent that has been measured numerically for the Anderson tight-binding electronic model in d=3 (see Ref. 9, and references therein)

$$\nu_{Anderson} \simeq 1.57$$
 (34)

we obtain a good data collapse as shown in Fig. 8(b). Our conclusion is thus that the localization transition of phonons in d=3 is governed by the same universality class as the Anderson tight-binding electronic model in d=3.

### C. On the importance to consider strong enough disorder *W* to observe the transition

Up to now, we have described our results in dimension d=3 for the disorder strength W=20, for which we have found a clear localization transition at some finite frequency  $\omega_c(W=20)$  [Eq. (33)] well inside the spectrum. However, as in dimensions d=1 and d=2, we have also studied the disorder strength W=1: the corresponding data for the typical IPR  $Y_2^{typ}(\omega,L)$  shown in Fig. 9 indicate that here all states of the spectrum are actually delocalized. This does not mean that there is no critical frequency  $\omega_c(W=1)$ , but that this critical value is not accessible, because it is higher that the maximal frequency  $\omega_{max}$  of the spectrum where the density of states reaches its asymptotic value  $N(\omega_{max})=1$ . Our conclusion is thus that to observe the localization transition, one should consider sufficiently strong disorder W to ensure that the corresponding critical value belongs to the spectrum

$$\omega_c(W) < \omega_{max}(W). \tag{35}$$

We have found that this condition is satisfied for W=20 but



FIG. 8. (Color online) Finite-size scaling analysis of the localization transition in d=3 for the disorder strength W=20. (a)  $\ln[L^{D(2)}Y_2(\omega,L)]$  as a function of  $\ln \omega$  for the sizes  $8 \le L \le 18$  with the value D(2)=1.3: the crossing determines the critical point  $\ln \omega_c(W=20) \simeq -0.1$ . (b)  $\ln[L^{D(2)}Y_2(\omega,L)]$  as a function of  $\ln(\omega-\omega_c)+(1/\nu)\ln L$  for  $\nu=1.57$ : the data collapse is satisfactory.

is not satisfied for W=1. Changing the value of W allows to move the critical value  $\omega_c(W)$  inside the spectrum.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

To characterize the localization properties of eigenstates for phonons in the presence of random masses in dimension d=1,2,3, we have studied numerically the behavior of the typical inverse participation ratio  $Y_2(\omega,L)$  as a function of the frequency  $\omega$  and of the linear length *L* of the disordered samples. In dimensions d=1 and d=2, we have found that the low-frequency part  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  of the spectrum satisfies the following finite-size scaling  $LY_2(\omega, L) = F_{d=1}(L^{1/2}\omega)$  in dimension d=1 and  $L^2Y_2(\omega, L) = F_{d=2}[(\ln L)^{1/2}\omega]$  in dimension d=2. We have moreover explained that the loose statement "all eigenstates are localized in dimensions d=1,2" should be stated with some care for phonons: it is true that an eigenstate of any fixed frequency  $\omega$  becomes localized in the limit  $L \rightarrow +\infty$  but one should also be aware that a given disordered sample of fixed length L contains a certain number  $N_{deloc}(L)$  of delocalized states growing as  $N_{deloc}(L) \sim L^{1/2}$  in d=1 and as  $N_{deloc}(L) \sim L^2/(\ln L)$  in d=2. These low-frequency delocalized modes are expected to play a major role in the dynamical properties on large distances and, in particular, in the



FIG. 9. (Color online) Typical IPR as a function of the frequency  $\omega$  in d=3 for all eigenmodes of samples of sizes  $8 \le L \le 18$  for the disorder strength W=1. (a)  $\ln Y_2^{typ}$  as a function of  $\ln \omega$ : even in the region of the highest frequencies, there is no collapse that would correspond to localized states [see the difference with the data corresponding to W=20 in Fig. 7(a)]. (b)  $\ln(L^3 Y_2^{typ})$  as a function of  $\ln \omega$ : the collapse on the whole frequency region means that all states are delocalized [see the difference with the data corresponding to W=20 in Fig. 7(b)].

heat transport problem where the disordered sample is connected to heat baths at the boundaries (see, for instance, Ref. 27).

In dimension d=3, for strong enough disorder strength W (W=20 in our case), we have found a very clear localizationdelocalization transition at some finite critical frequency  $\omega_c(W) > 0$ . We have shown that our data are compatible with the finite-size scaling  $L^{D(2)}Y_2(\omega,L)=F_{d=3}[L^{1/\nu}(\omega-\omega_c)]$  with the values  $D(2) \approx 1.3$  and  $\nu \approx 1.57$  corresponding to the uni-

- <sup>1</sup>P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1492 (1958).
- <sup>2</sup>A. Lagendijk, B. van Tiggelen, and D. S. Wiersma, Phys. Today **62** (8), 24 (2009).
- <sup>3</sup>D. J. Thouless, Phys. Rep. **13**, 93 (1974); D. J. Thouless, in *Ill Condensed Matter*, Les Houches 1978, edited by R. Balian, R. Maynard, and G. Toulouse (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979).
- <sup>4</sup>B. Souillard, in *Chance and Matter*, Les Houches 1986, edited by J. Souletie, J. Vannimenus, and R. Stora (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987).
- <sup>5</sup>I. M. Lifshitz, S. A. Gredeskul, and L. A. Pastur, *Introduction to the Theory of Disordered Systems* (Wiley, New York, 1988).
- <sup>6</sup>B. Kramer and A. MacKinnon, Rep. Prog. Phys. **56**, 1469 (1993).
- <sup>7</sup>M. Janssen, Phys. Rep. **295**, 1 (1998).
- <sup>8</sup> P. Markos, Acta Phys. Slov. **56**, 561 (2006).
- <sup>9</sup>F. Evers and A. D. Mirlin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1355 (2008).
- <sup>10</sup>J. Billy, V. Josse, Z. Zuo, A. Bernard, B. Hambrecht, P. Lugan, D. Clément, L. Sanchez-Palencia, P. Bouyer, and A. Aspect, Nature (London) **453**, 891 (2008); G. Roati, C. D'Errico, L. Fallani, M. Fattori, C. Fort, M. Zaccanti, G. Modugno, M. Modugno, and M. Inguscio, *ibid.* **453**, 895 (2008).
- <sup>11</sup>J. Chabé, G. Lemarié, B. Grémaud, D. Delande, P. Szriftgiser, and J. C. Garreau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 255702 (2008); and G. Lemarié, J. Chabé, P. Szriftgiser, J. C. Garreau, B. Grémaud, and D. Delande, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 043626 (2009).
- <sup>12</sup>E. Abrahams, P. W. Anderson, D. C. Licciardello, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 673 (1979).

versality class of the localization transition for the Anderson tight-binding electronic model in dimension d=3. We have also found that for too small disorder strength (namely, W = 1 in our case) the critical point  $\omega_c(W)$  can be higher that the maximal frequency of the spectrum so that all eigenstates are actually delocalized. The choice of too small disorder strengths seems to be the reason why localized states were found only very near band edges in previous numerical studies.<sup>24–27</sup>

- <sup>13</sup>P. W. Anderson, Philos. Mag. B **52**, 505 (1985).
- <sup>14</sup>T. R. Kirkpatrick, Phys. Rev. B **31**, 5746 (1985).
- <sup>15</sup>C. Dépollier, J. Kergomard, and F. Laloe, Ann. Phys. **11**, 457 (1986).
- <sup>16</sup>J. D. Maynard, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 401 (2001).
- <sup>17</sup>S. Faez, A. Strybulevych, J. H. Page, A. Lagendijk, and B. A. van Tiggelen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 155703 (2009).
- <sup>18</sup>S. John, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2169 (1984); and **58**, 2486 (1987);
  S. John, Phys. Today **44** (5), 32 (1991).
- <sup>19</sup>M. Störzer, P. Gross, C. M. Aegerter, and G. Maret, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 063904 (2006).
- <sup>20</sup>E. Guazzelli, E. Guyon, and B. Souillard, J. Phys. (France) Lett.
  44, L837 (1983); P. Devillard, F. Dunlop, and B. Souillard, J. Fluid Mech. 186, 521 (1988); M. Belzons, E. Guazzelli, and O. Parodi, *ibid.* 186, 539 (1988).
- <sup>21</sup>F. J. Dyson, Phys. Rev. **92**, 1331 (1953).
- <sup>22</sup>K. Ishii, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **53**, 77 (1973).
- <sup>23</sup>S. John, H. Sompolinsky, and M. J. Stephen, Phys. Rev. B 27, 5592 (1983).
- <sup>24</sup>J. J. Ludlam, S. N. Taraskin, and S. R. Elliott, Phys. Rev. B 67, 132203 (2003).
- <sup>25</sup>Y. Akita and T. Ohtsuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 2954 (1998).
- <sup>26</sup>R. Sepehrinia, M. R. Rahimi Tabar, and M. Sahimi, Phys. Rev. B 78, 024207 (2008).
- <sup>27</sup> A. Chaudhuri, A. Kundu, D. Roy, A. Dhar, J. L. Lebowitz, and H. Spohn, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 064301 (2010).
- <sup>28</sup>P. Dean, Rev. Mod. Phys. **44**, 127 (1972).