Commensurate antiferromagnetism in CePt₂In₇, a nearly two-dimensional heavy fermion system

N. apRoberts-Warren, A. P. Dioguardi, A. C. Shockley, C. H. Lin, J. Crocker, P. Klavins, and N. J. Curro

Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, California 95616, USA

(Received 21 April 2010; published 14 May 2010)

CePt₂In₇ is a new heavy fermion system with a structure similar to the CeMIn₅ system but with greater two-dimensional character. We report the synthesis and characterization of phase pure polycrystalline material, which reveals an antiferromagnetic transition at $T_N=$ 5.2 K. Nuclear quadrupolar resonance studies indicate that the antiferromagnetism is commensurate and exhibits strong antiferromagnetic fluctuations in the paramagnetic state.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.81.180403](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.180403)

PACS number(s): 75.30.Mb, 71.27. + a, 75.50.Ee, 76.60.Gv

In the quest for new high-temperature superconductors, two guiding principles have emerged in recent years: (1) reduced dimensionality and (2) proximity to antiferromagnetism. Materials which satisfy these two criteria are more likely to lead to unconventional superconductivity upon dop-ing or application of pressure.^{1,[2](#page-3-1)} Several years ago, this design principle lead to the discovery of the CeMIn₅ $(M = Co, Rh, Ir)$ heavy fermion system, which has revealed a wealth of information about the subtleties and interplay between antiferromagnetism and superconductivity. 3 The basic structural elements of these materials are Ce-In planes, which give rise to a two-dimensional (2D) character of the electronic behavior and a tenfold increase in the superconducting transition temperature from the cubic $Cefn₃$ system. Here we report data in a new variant, $CePt₂In₇$, in which the spacing between Ce-In planes is increased by 43% .⁴ Recently, Bauer *et al.*^{[5](#page-3-4)} discovered that CePt₂In₇ is antiferromagnetic at ambient pressure and becomes superconducting under pressure. Our microscopic nuclear quadrupolar resonance (NQR) measurements reveal that this system is antiferromagnetic below T_N = 5.2 K, with staggered antiferromagnetic ordering in-plane, and collinear antiferromagnetic correlations between adjacent planes. Measurements of the spin-lattice-relaxation rate reveal enhanced fluctuations at temperatures up to nearly $4T_N$. This behavior suggests that the antiferromagnetic fluctuations in $CePt₂In₇$ are more two dimensional than in the CeMIn₅ system, where the spin fluctuations are present only up to at most $1.25T_N$.

Polycrystalline samples of $CePt₂In₇$ were synthesized by first arc-melting stoichiometric quantities of Ce, Pt, and In under Ar atmosphere. The reacted ingot was wrapped in Ta foil, sealed under vacuum in a quartz ampoule and annealed for 1 week. Powder x-ray diffraction at room temperature in a Siemens diffractometer revealed nearly phase pure material that crystallized in the space group *I*4/*mmm* with lattice constants $a = 4.602$ Å and $c = 21.601$ $c = 21.601$ $c = 21.601$ Å (Fig. 1). Extra peaks in the diffraction spectrum suggest an impurity level of less than 3%. The planar Ce-Ce spacing in CePt₂In₇, a , is reduced by 8% from that of cubic CeIn₃, and is 1% smaller than in CeRhIn₅. The interplanar distance along the c direction is 10.8 Å in CePt₂In₇, 43% larger than in CeRhIn₅. Two important structural differences between these two compounds are the alternating Ce-In(1) planes along the c direction that are displaced by half a lattice constant along the $[110]$ direction, and a third set of In sites located halfway between the Ce-In(1) planes that serve to expand the lattice along c direction.

Figure [2](#page-1-0) summarizes the bulk thermodynamic properties of polycrystalline CePt₂In₇ measured in a Quantum Design physical property measurement system and superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer. The data agree qualitatively with those reported previously but show no anomaly at 8 K associated with impurity phases.⁵ The specific heat divided by temperature, *C*/*T*, exhibits a minimum at 9.1 K with a value of 450 mJ/mol K^2 and a peak at 5.2 K associated with an antiferromagnetic transition. The large value of $C/T \sim m^*$ indicates a substantial enhancement of the effective mass, *m* , arising from the interactions of the conduction electrons with the magnetic Ce ions. 6 For comparison, $C/T \sim 290 - 750$ mJ/mol K² in the CeMIn₅ compounds[.7](#page-3-6) The inset shows the entropy obtained by integrating the total specific heat as a function of temperature, and reveals a value of \sim 36% *R* log 2 at T_N . Although the specific heat and entropy include contributions both from the magnetic 4*f* electrons and the lattice, the large value of *C*/*T* and the small value of $S(T_N)$ suggest that the magnetic moment in the ordered state is reduced by Kondo interactions, similar to CeRhIn₅.^{[3](#page-3-2)} The magnetic susceptibility, $\chi(T)$, of the polycrystalline powder shows Curie-Weiss behavior for *T* \approx 150 K, with an effective moment of 2.41 μ _B, consistent with $Ce^{3+}(p=2.54)$, and a Curie-Weiss temperature of 13.5 K. χ exhibits a maximum at 8 K, and an inflection at T_N . The resistivity, $\rho(T)$, shows metallic behavior with inflections at 100 and 25 K, and a sharp suppression below 8 K with a residual resistivity $\rho_0 = 1.9 \mu \Omega$ cm. The origin of these two higher temperature anomalies is unknown but may be related

FIG. 1. (Color online) X-ray powder diffraction and structure. Vertical red lines are theoretical peak positions.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Upper panel: total specific heat (C) divided by temperature $(①)$, left axis) and $d\rho/dT$ (solid line, right axis) versus temperature. The inset shows the total entropy obtained by integrating C/T versus temperature. Lower panel: resistivity (\bullet) and susceptibility (\blacklozenge) versus temperature; the inset shows the inverse of the susceptibility versus temperature, as well as a linear fit.

to either a crystalline electric field excitation and/or the onset of coherence of the Kondo lattice. Indeed, the inflection point in $\rho(T)$ at 100 K in CePt₂In₇ is qualitatively similar to one observed in CeRhIn₅ at roughly 40 K that has been interpreted as the coherence temperature. 8 The temperature dependence of $d\rho/dT$ scales roughly with C/T [Fig. [2](#page-1-0)(a)] with a peak at T_N , indicating the presence of antiferromagnetic fluctuations.⁹ The sharp downturn in $\rho(T)$ and $\chi(T)$ at 8 K signals the onset of these fluctuations.

In order to investigate the antiferromagnetic state in more detail, we have conducted detailed NMR and NQR measurements in the paramagnetic and antiferromagnetic states. ¹¹⁵In $(I=9/2)$ is an excellent nucleus for NMR/NQR, and CePt₂In₇ has three crystallo-graphically distinct In sites (see Fig. [1](#page-0-0)). The nuclear-spin Hamiltonian is given by $\mathcal{H}_{Q} = \frac{h\nu_z}{6}[(3\hat{I}_z^2 - \hat{I}^2) + \eta(\hat{I}_x^2 - \hat{I}_y^2)]$, where $h\nu_z = 3eQV_{zz}/2I(2I-1)$, *Q* is the quadrupolar moment, $\eta = (|V_{xx}|-|V_{yy}|)/|V_{zz}|$, and $V_{\alpha\beta}$ are the components of the electric field gradient (EFG) tensor.¹⁰ Figure [3](#page-1-1) shows the NQR spectrum at 10 K obtained by acquiring spin echoes as a function of frequency. The spectrum reveals three sets of resonances with distinct EFGs: site A with $v_z = 19.290(1)$ MHz and $\eta = 0$, site B with $\nu_z = 16.47(2)$ MHz and $\eta = 0.47(1)$, and site C with ν _z \approx 2.5 MHz and η \approx 0.4. Site C was identified by acquiring spectra in an applied magnetic field (not shown) and fitting the resulting powder pattern. Site A must be the $In(1)$ site because it has axial symmetry. However, it is surprising that the EFG at the In(1) site in CePt₂In₇ is about three times larger than in the CeMIn₅ series.¹⁰ This enhancement may reflect a greater 2D character of the electronic structure. The EFG at site B is similar to that of the $In(2)$ in the CeMIn₅

APROBERTS-WARREN *et al.* **PHYSICAL REVIEW B 81**, 180403(R) (2010)

FIG. 3. (Color online) NQR spectra above T_N (top panel), below T_N (bottom panel), and (middle panel) the field dependence of the resonant frequencies of the $In(3)$ site for internal fields along the c axis (blue), and in the ab plane parallel $|a|$, green) and perpendicular $($ $|b$, magenta) to the normal on the unit-cell face (Ref. [12](#page-3-12)). Color intensity is proportional to the matrix element for the particular transition. Colors for the In(1), In(2), and In(3) resonances match those in Fig. [1.](#page-0-0) The inset in the bottom panel shows the $In(2)$ spectrum at 4 K.

materials. In fact, the response of these sites in the antiferromagnetic state (discussed below) suggests proximity to the Ce planes as in $CeCoIn₅$.^{[11](#page-3-10)} We therefore assign site B to the In(3) and site C to In(2). [Note that the symmetry of the In(3) in CePt₂In₇ matches that of the In(2) in CeMIn₅. The *z* direction of the EFG tensor is generally defined as the principal axis with the largest eigenvalue. In the case of $In(1)$, the *z* direction of the EFG points along the crystal *c* axis. In order to identify the z axis of the $In(2)$ and $In(3)$ sites, single crystals are necessary to investigate the field dependence of the resonances. However, it is known that in the CeMIn₅ materials the z axis of the $In(2)$ sites lies along the normal to the unit-cell faces. We therefore assume that the *z* axis of the EFG tensor also lies along the unit-cell face normals in $CePt₂In₇$ based on the similarity between the symmetries of the In(2) in the Ce MIn_5 and the In(2,3) in the CePt₂In₇.

Below T_N , the spectra of the In(1) broaden slightly (by \sim 25 kHz), the In(2) splits equally (see inset of lower panel of Fig. 3), and the In(3) site develops multiple peaks due to the presence of a static internal magnetic field, **H**int (Fig. [3](#page-1-1)). The middle panel of Fig. 3 shows the splitting of these NQR resonances as a function of internal magnetic field (calculated by diagonalizing $\mathcal{H}_Q + \gamma \hbar \hat{\mathbf{I}} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{int}}$, where γ is the gyromagnetic ratio). The spectra indicate that approximately one half of the $In(3)$ experience an internal field $H_{\text{int}} = 1.4$ kOe along the *c* axis, and that all of the In(2) experience an internal field of 0.4 kOe along the *c* direction. In the ordered state of CeRhIn₅, the internal field at the $In(2)$ also lies along the *c* direction and is of the same magnitude, which suggests a similar magnitude of ordered moment and magnetic structure for $CePt_2In_7$.^{[13](#page-3-11)} CeRhIn₅ exhibits a spiral magnetic structure with moments S_0 in the *ab* plane and

FIG. 4. (Color online) $(T_1T)^{-1}$ versus *T* measured at the In(1) $3\nu_Q$ transition (\blacksquare) and In(3) 35 MHz transition (\blacksquare). The In(1) data has been scaled by a factor of 5. The dotted line is the square of the specific heat divided by temperature, and the solid line is a fit to $(T_1T)^{-1} = A/(T - T_N)^{\alpha}$, where $A = 55(1)$ s⁻¹ K⁻¹ and $\alpha = 0.36(1)$. Inset: the ordered Ce moments (green arrows on yellow atoms) and hyperfine fields (red arrows) at the $In(2)$ (left) and $In(3)$ (right) sites, as discussed in the text.

ordering wave vector $\mathbf{Q} = (\frac{\pi}{a}, \frac{\pi}{a}, 0.297 \frac{\pi}{c})$, which gives rise to a broad double-peak spectrum at the $In(2)$ site.^{13[,14](#page-3-13)} However, in the case of $CePt_2In_7$, we observe a distinct set of resonance lines in the antiferromagnetic state rather than a broad double-peak structure characteristic of incommensurate magnetism.

Our observations of the internal hyperfine fields place important constraints on possible magnetic structures in this material: (i) $\mathbf{H}_{int}(1)=0$ at the In(1) site, (ii) $\mathbf{H}_{int}(2)\parallel c$, and (iii) two sets of fields $\mathbf{H}_{int}(3)=0$ or $\mathbf{H}_{int}(3)|\hat{c}$ at the In(3) site. In order to deduce a possible magnetic structure, $S(r)$, we assume a hyperfine tensor, B, for the $In(2)$ and $In(3)$ sites that has the same symmetry as that for the $In(2)$ in the CeMIn₅ materials, with $B_{ab} = 0$, and B_{aa} , B_{bb} , B_{cc} , and B_{ac} nonzero.^{11,[15](#page-3-14)} We find that for $Q = (\frac{\pi}{a}, \frac{\pi}{a})$ in the basal plane, $\mathbf{S}_0 \parallel [100]$ with collinear spins in neighboring planes, the hyperfine field at the In(3) site is given by $H_{int}(3)=0$ or $\pm 2B_{ac}S_0\hat{c}$ (see Fig. [4](#page-2-0) inset). All of the In(2) sites experience a hyperfine field either parallel or antiparallel to the *c* direction. This particular magnetic structure is similar to that observed in La_2CuO_4 but other structures may be possible.¹⁶ Without independent measurements of B_{ac} , we are unable to estimate the magnitude of S_0 . If we use the values appropriate for CeCoIn₅, we find $S_0 \approx 0.08 \mu_B$ at 4 K but expect this to grow at lower temperatures.¹⁵ At the $In(1)$ site, the internal field vanishes because the site is symmetrically located in the plane of the Ce atoms, similar to the case of field-induced magnetism in CeCoIn₅.^{[17](#page-3-16)} We note that $H_{int}(1) \neq 0$ in $CeRhIn₅$ because of a slight asymmetry in the hyperfine tensor in that compound, which seems to be absent in the case of $CePt₂In₇.¹³$ $CePt₂In₇.¹³$ $CePt₂In₇.¹³$

In order to investigate the dynamics of the phase transition, we have measured the spin-lattice-relaxation rate, T_1^{-1} , as a function of temperature at both the $In(1)$ and $In(3)$ sites as shown in Fig. [4.](#page-2-0) For the $In(1)$, the relaxation was measured at the $3v_Q$ transition by inverting the magnetization

(2010)

and fitting the recovery to the standard expression for a spin *I*= 9/2 nucleus. The same expression was used to fit the relaxation of the $In(3)$ transition at roughly 35 MHz. We caution that since the In(3) has $\eta > 0$ and hence $[\hat{I}_z, \mathcal{H}_Q] \neq 0$, the magnetization recovery acquires a complicated dependence on the EFG parameters and T_1^{-1} depends on spin fluctuations in all three directions.¹⁸ The \hat{T}_1^{-1} values that we extract provide a reasonable description of the temperature dependence but may not accurately reflect the absolute value of the spin fluctuations [see Eq. (1) (1) (1) below].

There are dramatic differences between the temperature dependences of T_1^{-1} at the In(1) and the In(3) sites. The spin-lattice-relaxation rate is driven by fluctuations of the hyperfine fields at the Larmor frequency and is related to the dynamical spin susceptibility by the relation,

$$
\frac{1}{T_1 T} = \gamma^2 k_B \lim_{\omega \to 0} \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \beta} F_\beta^2(\mathbf{q}) \frac{\chi_\beta^{\prime\prime}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\hbar \omega},
$$
(1)

where the form factor $F(\mathbf{q})$ is the Fourier transform of the hyperfine coupling and $\chi''_{\beta}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ is the dynamical susceptibility.^{19,[20](#page-3-19)} For the In(1) site, the form factor vanishes for $\mathbf{q} \sim \mathbf{Q}$, hence T_1^{-1} at the In(1) site is insensitive to the critical dynamics associated with the phase transition. This is reflected both in the absence of any significant static internal fields at this site below T_N , as well as the lack of any enhancement in $(T_1T)^{-1}$ above T_N . On the other hand, the In(3) form factor does not vanish at **Q** and hence $(T_1T)^{-1}$ probes the critical slowing down of the antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations. This interpretation is supported by the fact that although both quantities diverge at T_N , $(T_1T)^{-1}$ does not scale with $(C/T)^2$, as would be expected if the density of states, $N(0)$, were enhanced by an increasing m^* . Rather, T_1^{-1} is dominated by slow spin fluctuations at the $In(3)$ site, consistent with the observation of large static internal fields below T_N .

We find that the temperature dependence is well fit by the expression $(T_1T)^{-1} = A(T - T_N)^{-n}$, where $n = 0.36(1)$. A similar divergence was found in CeRhIn₅ with $n=0.30²¹$ In both cases, this exponent is suppressed from the expected 2D $(n=1)$ and three-dimensional values $(n=1/2).^{19,22}$ $(n=1/2).^{19,22}$ $(n=1/2).^{19,22}$ $(n=1/2).^{19,22}$ This suppression may suggest that the critical point $T_N(H=0)$ is in fact a multicritical point, and that other field-induced phase transitions may emerge in applied fields, *H*. [23](#page-3-22) In contrast to CeRhIn₅, the critical fluctuations in CePt₂In₇ appear to extend up to $4T_N$. This result suggests that antiferromagnetic correlations develop near 20 K but weak interplanar coupling suppresses the long-range order. 24

In conclusion, we have grown and characterized polycrystalline samples of $CePt₂In₇$, and found that this nearly two-dimensional heavy fermion system with $C/T \sim 450 \text{ mJ/mol K}^2$ above T_N exhibits commensurate antiferromagnetism. This material represents a unique opportunity to investigate Kondo-lattice physics in two dimensions with a weak interplanar coupling and is an ideal candidate to exhibit a quantum phase transition and superconductivity under pressure.⁵ This system promises to reveal different information about the basic physics unconventional superconductivity and quantum criticality in the Kondo lattice. $25,26$ $25,26$

This work was inspired by the announcement of the discovery of this material by the LANL group on strongly correlated electron materials at the ICM and M2S conferences in 2009. We thank D. Pines, M. Matsumoto, S. Savrasov, R. Singh, and R. Scalettar for stimulating discussions. This research was sponsored by the National Nuclear Security Administration under the Stewardship Science Academic Alliances program through DOE Research Grant No. DOE DE-FG52- 09NA29464.

- ¹P. Monthoux, D. Pines, and G. G. Lonzarich, Nature ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06480)) 450[, 1177](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06480) (2007).
- ² T. Moriya and K. Ueda, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/66/8/202) **66**, 1299 (2003).
- ³ J. D. Thompson *et al.*, [J. Magn. Magn. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-8853(00)00602-8) **226-230**, 5 $(2001).$ $(2001).$ $(2001).$
- 4Z. Kurenbaeva, E. Murashova, Y. Seropegin, H. Noel, and A. Tursina, [Intermetallics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intermet.2008.04.018) **16**, 979 (2008).
- 5E. D. Bauer, V. A. Sidorov, H. Lee, N. Kurita, F. Ronning, R. Movshovich, and J. D. Thompson, [J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/200/1/012011) **200**, [012011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/200/1/012011) (2010).
- 6Z. Fisk, D. W. Hess, C. J. Pethick, D. Pines, J. L. Smith, J. D. Thompson, and J. O. Willis, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.239.4835.33) 239, 33 (1988).
- 7C. Petrovic, P. G. Pagliuso, M. F. Hundley, R. Movshovich, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, Z. Fisk, and P. Monthoux, [J. Phys.:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/13/17/103) [Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/13/17/103) 13, L337 (2001).
- 8Y.-F. Yang, Z. Fisk, H.-O. Lee, J. D. Thompson, and D. Pines, Nature ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07157)) 454, 611 (2008).
- ⁹M. E. Fisher and J. S. Langer, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.20.665)* **20**, 665 (1968).
- ¹⁰ J. Rusz *et al.*, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.245124)* 77, 245124 (2008).
- 11N. J. Curro, B.-L. Young, R. R. Urbano, and M. J. Graf, [J. Low](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10909-009-9967-y) [Temp. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10909-009-9967-y) **158**, 635 (2009).
- 12N. J. Curro, B. Simovic, P. C. Hammel, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, and G. B. Martins, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.180514) **64**, [180514](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.180514)(R) (2001).
- 13N. J. Curro, P. C. Hammel, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, and Z. Fisk, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.R6100)* 62, *R6100* (2000).
- 14W. Bao, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, Z. Fisk, J. W. Lynn, and R. W. Erwin, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.R14621)* 62, R14621 (2000).
- 15N. J. Curro, B.-L. Young, R. R. Urbano, and M. J. Graf, Physica C (to be published).
- 16T. Yildirim, A. B. Harris, O. Entin-Wohlman, and A. Aharony, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.3710) **72**, 3710 (1994).
- 17B.-L. Young, R. R. Urbano, N. J. Curro, J. D. Thompson, J. L. Sarrao, A. B. Vorontsov, and M. J. Graf, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.036402) **98**, [036402](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.036402) (2007).
- 18C. P. Slichter, *Principles of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance*, 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992).
- ¹⁹T. Moriya, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.18.516) **18**, 516 (1963).
- ²⁰ T. Moriya and K. Ueda, [Solid State Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(74)90733-9) **15**, 169 (1974).
- 21 N. J. Curro, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, P. G. Pagliuso, S. Kos, A. Abanov, and D. Pines, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.227202)* **90**, 227202 (2003).
- 22A. J. Millis, H. Monien, and D. Pines, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.167) **42**, 167 $(1990).$ $(1990).$ $(1990).$
- 23A. L. Cornelius, P. G. Pagliuso, M. F. Hundley, and J. L. Sarrao, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.144411) 64, 144411 (2001).
- 24P. Sengupta, A. W. Sandvik, and R. R. P. Singh, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.094423) **68**, [094423](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.094423) (2003).
- ²⁵ S. Doniach, [Physica B & C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4363(77)90190-5) **91**, 231 (1977).
- 26N. J. Curro, T. Caldwell, E. D. Bauer, L. A. Morales, M. J. Graf, Y. Bang, A. V. Balatsky, J. D. Thompson, and J. L. Sarrao, Nature ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03428)) 434, 622 (2005).