Giant crystal-electric-field effect and complex magnetic behavior in single-crystalline CeRh₃Si₂

A. P. Pikul, D. Kaczorowski, Z. Gajek, and J. Stępień-Damm

Institute of Low Temperature and Structure Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, P Nr 1410, 50-950 Wrocław, Poland

A. Ślebarski

Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, 40-007 Katowice, Poland

M. Werwiński and A. Szajek

Institute of Molecular Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 60-179 Poznań, Poland (Received 4 February 2010; revised manuscript received 19 April 2010; published 13 May 2010)

Single-crystalline CeRh₃Si₂ was investigated by means of x-ray diffraction, magnetic susceptibility, magnetization, electrical resistivity, and specific-heat measurements carried out in wide temperature and magnetic field ranges. Moreover, the electronic structure of the compound was studied at room temperature by cerium core-level x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS). The physical properties were analyzed in terms of crystalline electric field and compared with results of *ab initio* band-structure calculations performed within the density-functional theory approach. The compound was found to crystallize in the orthorhombic unit cell of the $ErRh_3Si_2$ type (space group Imma No.74, Pearson symbol: oI24) with the lattice parameters a =7.1330(14) Å, b=9.7340(19) Å, and c=5.6040(11) Å. Analysis of the magnetic and XPS data revealed the presence of well-localized magnetic moments of trivalent cerium ions. All the physical properties were found to be highly anisotropic over the whole temperature range studied and influenced by exceptionally strong crystalline electric field with the overall splitting of the $4f^1$ ground multiplet exceeding 5700 K. Antiferromagnetic order of the cerium magnetic moments at T_N =4.70(1) K and their subsequent spin rearrangement at $T_t=4.48(1)$ K manifest themselves as distinct anomalies in the temperature characteristic of all the physical properties investigated and exhibit complex evolution in an external magnetic field. A tentative magnetic B-T phase diagram, constructed for B parallel to the b axis being the easy magnetization direction, shows very complex magnetic behavior of CeRh₃Si₂, similar to that recently reported for an isostructural compound CeIr₃Si₂. The electronic band-structure calculations corroborated the antiferromagnetic ordering of the cerium magnetic moments and well-reproduced the experimental XPS valence-band spectrum.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.81.174408

PACS number(s): 75.10.Dg, 75.30.Gw, 75.30.Kz, 71.20.Lp

I. INTRODUCTION

The phase diagram Ce-Rh-Si comprises 25 ternary phases with well-defined crystal structures.¹ However, physical properties have been reported for only a few of them, namely: CeRhSi₂ and Ce₂Rh₃Si₅ (both described in the literature as intermediate valence systems²⁻⁴), Ce₃Rh₃Si₂ (exhibiting complex long-range magnetic ordering⁵), and CeRh₂Si₂ and CeRhSi₃ (antiferromagnetic heavy-fermion systems^{6,7}). The two latter phases are known as pressure-induced superconductors.^{8–11} While in CeRh₂Si₂ the superconductivity seems to replace the antiferromagnetism,^{8,9} in CeRhSi₃ it develops deeply inside the ordered state.^{10,11} Most interesting, the superconductivity in noncentrosymmetric CeRhSi₃ involves spin-fluctuation-assisted triplet pairing.¹¹ In the context of the recent findings for the Ce-Rh-Si ternaries, comprehensive physical characterization of other (hitherto unknown or hardly studied) phases from the system seems quite desirable. The existence of CeRh₃Si₂ has been reported by Morozkin et al.,12 yet without any crystallographic or physical data. Then, CeRh₃Si₂ has been found as an impurity phase in polycrystalline CeRh₂Si₂.¹³ In the latter study it has been established that the compound crystallizes with an orthorhombic crystal structure and exhibits an antiferromagnetic phase transition at $T_{\rm N}=5$ K.¹³

Here we report the results of our investigation of the crystal structure and the basic physical properties of CeRh₃Si₂ performed on single-crystalline specimens in wide temperature and magnetic field ranges. The experimental characterization is accompanied by the results of *ab initio* calculations of the electronic band structure. Short accounts on the work presented in this paper were given in conference communications.^{14,15}

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

A single crystal of CeRh₃Si₂ was grown by the Czochralski pulling method employing a tetra-arc furnace under protective ultrapure (4.8N, BOC Gases) argon atmosphere, which was additionally titanium-gettered during the whole growing process. The starting polycrystalline melt was prepared from pieces of Ce (3N, Ames Laboratory), Rh ingot (3N, Chempur), and Si chips (6N, Chempur). The pulling rate was 10 mm/h and the copper heart's rotation speed was 3 rpm. The final ingot of CeRh₃Si₂ was about 4 mm in diameter and 40 mm in length. From this single-crystalline rod a fragment of about 20 mm in length was cut for experimental studies. The crystal was wrapped in Ta foil, sealed in an evacuated silica tube, and annealed at 900 °C for 2 weeks. The quality of the product was verified by means of x-ray powder-diffraction measurements performed on a pulverized piece of the crystal (Stoe diffractometer with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation) and microprobe analysis (Phillips 515 scanning electron microscope equipped with an EDAX PV 9800 spectrometer). The entire x-ray diffractogram was indexed within an orthorhombic unit cell, and the sample was found to be single phase. Additionally, a polycrystalline sample of an isostructural LaRh₃Si₂ compound, being a nonmagnetic counterpart of CeRh₃Si₂, was prepared by conventional arc melting stoichiometric amounts of the constituents. X-ray powderdiffraction experiments proved that the La-based phase is indeed isostructural to CeRh₃Si₂.

The crystal structure of CeRh₃Si₂ was also examined on a small single-crystalline fragment using a four-circle diffractometer equipped with a charge coupled device (CCD) camera (Kuma Diffraction KM-4 with graphitemonochromatized Mo $K\alpha$ radiation). Crystal structure refinement was performed using full-matrix least squares on F^2 as a refinement method and applying the SHELXL-97 program.¹⁶ The magnetic properties were studied at temperatures ranging from 1.7 up to 300 K in applied magnetic fields up to 5 T using a Quantum Design MPMS-5 superconducting quantum interference device (SOUID) magnetometer. The electrical resistivity was measured in the temperature range of 1.5-300 K employing standard dc four-point technique. Electrical contacts to bar-shaped specimens were made by spot welding. The heat capacity was measured in the temperature interval of 350 mK-300 K and in applied magnetic fields up to 9 T using a Quantum Design PPMS platform. For these experiments the thermal relaxation method¹⁷ was applied with 2% heat pulses and Apiezon N vacuum grease as a sample mounting medium. The x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out at room temperature with monochromatized Al $K\alpha$ radiation using a PHI-5700 ESCA spectrometer. The sample of CeRh₃Si₂ was scraped with a diamond file under high vacuum immediately before recording a spectrum.

The electronic structure calculations were performed within a density-functional theory (DFT) (Ref. 18) using the full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FP LAPW) method implemented in the latest version (WIEN2K) of the original WIEN code.¹⁹ In the WIEN2K code calculations the scalar relativistic approach was implemented with the spinorbit interactions taken into account by using the second variational method.²⁰ The exchange-correlation potential in the local (spin) density approximation (LSDA) was assumed in the form proposed by Perdew et al.²¹ using the generalized gradient approximation. Furthermore, to improve the description of the strongly correlated 5f electrons, the on-site Coulomb energy U correction and exchange J parameters were introduced within the LSDA+U approach.^{22,23} In our case we used $U_{\text{eff}} = U - J$, setting J = 0. Another approach applied by us to reduce the discrepancy between the DFT magnetic moments and experimental ones was taking into account the so-called orbital polarization (OP) term as proposed by Brooks²⁴ and Eriksson et al.^{25,26} The number of k points was 2000 in the Brillouin zone (BZ), which corresponds to 240 points in an irreducible wedge of the BZ for all methods of calculations applied in this paper. For the BZ integration, a tetrahedron method was used.²⁷ The selfconsistency criterion was equal 10^{-6} Ry for the total energy. The calculations were performed for lattice constants and atomic positions in the unit cell described below in Sec.

TABLE I. Crystallographic and structure refinement data for single-crystalline $CeRh_3Si_2$.

Empirical formula	Ce _{0.50} Rh _{1.50} Si
Formula weight	252.51 g/mol
Temperature	293(2) K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Imma
Unit-cell dimensions	$a=7.1330(14)$ Å, $\alpha=90^{\circ}$
	$b=9.7340(19)$ Å, $\beta=90^{\circ}$
	$c=5.6040(11)$ Å, $\gamma=90^{\circ}$
Unit-cell volume	389.10(13) Å ³
Ζ	4
Calculated density	8.621 Mg/m ³
Absorption coefficient	24.354 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	884
θ range for data collection	4.19°-45.28°
Limiting indices	$-13 \le h \le 9$
	$-12 \le k \le 19$
	$-10 \le l \le 10$
Reflections collected/unique	4366/840[R(int)=0.0465]
Completeness to θ =45.28	93.8%
Refinement method	Full-matrix least squares on F^2
Data/restraints/parameters	840/0/22
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.010
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0287, wR_2 = 0.0509$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0432, wR_2 = 0.0524$
Extinction coefficient	0.0356(8)
Largest diff. peak and hole	2.707 and $-3.148 \text{ e}\text{\AA}^{-3}$

III A. The theoretical x-ray photoemission spectra were obtained from the calculated densities of electronic states (DOS) convoluted by a Gaussian with a half-width δ equal to 0.3 eV and scaled using the proper photoelectronic cross sections for partial states.²⁸

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Crystal structure

The crystal structure refinement (see Tables I–III) performed for single-crystalline $CeRh_3Si_2$ confirmed that the compound crystallizes in the orthorhombic symmetry with

TABLE II. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal displacement parameters for CeRh₃Si₂. U_{eq} was defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor.

Atom	$(\times 10^4)$	y (×10 ⁴)	z (×10 ⁴)	$U_{\rm eq}$ (×10 ³ Å ²)
Ce	0	7500	2160(1)	8(1)
Rh(1)	2172(1)	5000	0	6(1)
Rh(2)	-2500	7500	-2500	8(1)
Si	0	9215(2)	6996(2)	7(1)

TABLE III. Anisotropic thermal displacement parameters (×10³ Å²) for CeRh₃Si₂. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2\pi^2[h^2a^2U_{11}+\cdots+2hkabU_{12}]$.

Atom	U_{11}	U_{22}	U ₃₃	<i>U</i> ₂₃	U_{13}	<i>U</i> ₁₂
Ce	8(1)	7(1)	8(1)	0	0	0
Rh(1)	7(1)	6(1)	5(1)	1(1)	0	0
Rh(2)	13(1)	4(1)	7(1)	0	-2(1)	0
Si	6(1)	9(1)	7(1)	-1(1)	0	0

the space group *Imma* (No.74, Pearson symbol *oI*24) and that it is isostructural with ErRh_3Si_2 .²⁹ Refined lattice parameters are a=7.1330(14) Å, b=9.7340(19) Å, and c=5.6040(11) Å, and the atomic coordinates are listed in Table II. Figure 1 presents the structure of CeRh₃Si₂ viewed along the *a* axis.

The ErRh₃Si₂-type crystal structure was described in detail in Ref. 29. It represents a deformed superstructure of the hexagonal CaCu₅-type structure with $a=2c_h$, $b=\sqrt{3}a_h$, and $c=a_h$, where a_h and c_h are the lattice parameters of the parent hexagonal unit cell. The characteristic features of this atom arrangement are a honeycomblike network of the Rh and Si atoms within the *bc* plane and zigzag chains of the Ce atoms along the *a* axis (see Fig. 1).

B. Magnetic properties

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) present the magnetic susceptibility χ of CeRh₃Si₂ measured as a function of temperature *T* in a magnetic field *B* of 0.5 T applied parallel to the main crystallographic directions. Sharp susceptibility maxima at about 4.5 K, best visible on the $\chi_b(T)$ curve measured along the *b* axis [see Fig. 2(a)], manifest the onset of an antiferromagnetically ordered state. Below 4.5 K the susceptibility χ_b does not decrease significantly, as expected for simple antiferromagnets, but saturates at low temperatures, hence suggesting more complex magnetic behavior. The anomaly at 4.5 K is only slightly weaker for the *c* axis, and the behavior of χ_c in the ordered region is similar to that of χ_b . On the contrary, the *a*-axis susceptibility is featureless and very small, thus indicating that the magnetic moments are confined to the *bc* plane of the unit cell. A distinct difference of



FIG. 1. (Color online) Crystal structure of CeRh₃Si₂.

 $\chi_a(T)$ as compared to the other two components is observed also in the paramagnetic state [see Fig. 2(b)]. At room temperature, the ratio χ_b/χ_a is about 4, and it increases with decreasing temperature down to 200 K, where it is larger than 6. The $\chi_a^{-1}(T)$ variation exhibits strongly curvilinear shape in the whole *T* range studied. In contrast, the $\chi_b^{-1}(T)$ and $\chi_c^{-1}(T)$ curves are almost identical and exhibit nearly linear behavior characteristic of systems with well-localized magnetic moments. The overall behavior of the magnetic susceptibility of CeRh₃Si₂ implies huge magnetocrystalline anisotropy due to strong crystal electric field (CEF) interactions (cf. Sec. III E).

As can be seen in Fig. 2(b), the inverse average "polycrystalline" magnetic susceptibility χ_{avg}^{-1} [defined as χ_{avg} $=\frac{1}{3}(\chi_a + \chi_b + \chi_c)$] follows above about 150 K the Curie–Weiss law with the effective magnetic moment $\mu_{eff}=2.47 \mu_B$ and the paramagnetic Curie temperature $\theta_{CW}=-52$ K [see the straight solid line in Fig. 2(b)]. The experimental value of μ_{eff} is close to that calculated for a free Ce³⁺ ion $[g\sqrt{j(j+1)}=2.54]$ and thus indicates the presence of welllocalized magnetic moments. The negative value of θ_{CW} hints at antiferromagnetic character of the magnetic exchange interactions, in line with the AFM ordering observed below 4.5 K.

Figure 2(c) shows the magnetization of CeRh₃Si₂ measured at 1.72 K as a function of the magnetic field strength. Here the complex magnetic behavior of the compound manifests itself as cascades of metamagneticlike transitions in M(B) measured along the b and c axes. For the former direction of the magnetic field one observes some saturation above about 1.5 T at a value $\mu_s = 12.8$ emu/g corresponding to about $1.16\mu_B$. In the case of $B \parallel c$ axis, the magnetization increases linearly with increasing B reaching in 5 T a value of about $0.9\mu_B$. In turn, for B||a axis the magnetization is a linear function of the applied field in the entire range studied, and the value of M measured at 5 T is nearly 20 times smaller than that observed for $B \parallel b$ axis. The overall behavior of M(B) is reminiscent of that reported for CeSb,^{30,31} TbNi₂Si₂,³² and TbNi₂Ge₂,³³ which are commonly called "devil's staircase" systems (for short review and discussion see Sec. IV).

In order to shed more light on the nontypical temperature variation in the magnetic susceptibility below 4.5 K [Fig. 2(a)], we performed a series of additional measurements of $\chi_{\rm b}(T)$, with increased density of the data points. As can be inferred from Fig. 3, in the lowest magnetic field studied (i.e., B=0.005 T) the magnetic order in CeRh₃Si₂ sets in already at $T_{\rm N}$ =4.7 K. The Néel temperature decreases with increasing field, as expected for antiferromagnets. In a field



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Low-temperature magnetic susceptibility of single-crystalline CeRh₃Si₂ measured in external magnetic field *B* applied parallel to the principal crystallographic axes. The arrows mark the Néel temperature; the solid lines serve as a guide for the eye. (b) Temperature variations in the inverse magnetic susceptibility of the compound; χ_{avg}^{-1} represents the inverse average magnetic susceptibility. The solid line is a fit of the Curie-Weiss law to the experimental data; the dashed curves represent the fits described in Sec. III E. (c) Magnetization as a function of applied magnetic field measured for single-crystalline CeRh₃Si₂ in zerofield (bright symbols) and field cooling (dark symbols) regimes, along the principal crystallographic axes. The solid lines serve as guides for the eye.

of 0.5 T, T_N is reduced to about 4.5 K, marked by an arrow in Fig. 2(a). Moreover, the high-resolution measurements revealed the presence of some spin rearrangement, which takes place just below T_N and therefore is hardly visible in Fig. 2(a). In 0.005 T, this spontaneous change in the magnetic structure manifests itself as a tiny anomaly in the $\chi(T)$ curve at T_t =4.5 K, which develops with increasing field into a clear kink moving down on the temperature scale. The latter observation suggests that the antiferromagnetic character of the magnetic order is retained below T_t . The plateau visible in 0.5 T below 4 K [Fig. 2(a)] apparently results from superposition of low-temperature slopes of the anomalies at T_N and T_t and, another, field-induced phase transition. It is well visible in Fig. 3 in the field range 0.7 T $\leq B \leq 0.9$ T as a



FIG. 3. (Color online) Temperature dependencies of the magnetic susceptibility of single-crystalline $CeRh_3Si_2$ measured in different applied magnetic fields *B* parallel to the *b* axis. For the sake of clarity the curves are shifted up.

broad ferromagneticlike hump dominating the susceptibility below T_t . Unfortunately, complex shape of the $\chi(T)$ curves does not allow us to determine the exact temperature at which the latter contribution sets in.

Figure 4 presents a series of dense magnetization curves measured along the easy *b* axis. For the sake of straightforward comparison with the afore-discussed susceptibility data, these experiments were focused on magnetic fields lower than 2 T and temperatures close to $T_{N,t}$. As seen, the "devilish" field dependence of the magnetization, observed at 1.7 K [Fig. 2(c)], is hardly visible at temperatures higher than 4.4 K. However, upon decreasing *T* a sequence of distinct steps in the *M*(*B*) curve emerges from the smooth curve. Three of these steps, located at 3.8 K at about 0.15, 0.32, and 0.53 T, dominate the magnetization; yet several less visible anomalies can also be found on the *M*(*B*) curve. The experimental data displayed on Figs. 3 and 4 were used for constructing a tentative phase diagram described in Sec. IV.

C. Electrical resistivity

Figure 5 presents the electrical resistivity of singlecrystalline CeRh₃Si₂ as a function of temperature, measured with the current *i* flowing along the main crystallographic directions. Clearly, the compound exhibits good metallic conductivity in the entire temperature range studied. The antiferromagnetic phase transition manifests itself as a drop in $\rho(T)$ at about 4.7 K, being equal to the Néel temperature



FIG. 4. (Color online) Isothermal magnetization of singlecrystalline CeRh₃Si₂ measured with the magnetic field applied along the *b* axis at several different temperatures from the ordered region. The curves are shifted up for the sake of clarity.

deduced from the magnetic measurements performed in the weakest magnetic fields (cf. Fig. 3). Closer look at the low-temperature range (see the inset to Fig. 5) unambiguously shows the presence of another kink on the $\rho(T)$ curve that occurs at about 4.5 K. The latter finding is in line with the scenario of two subsequent magnetic phase transitions discussed in Sec. III B.

As can be inferred from Fig. 5, the resistivity measured along the *a* axis is much smaller than ρ taken along the *b* and



FIG. 5. (Color online) Electrical resistivity of single-crystalline CeRh₃Si₂ measured as a function of temperature with electrical current *i* flowing along the principal crystallographic directions; solid lines serve as a guide for the eye. The inset: low-temperature region; the arrows mark magnetic transition temperatures.



FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Specific heat of single-crystalline CeRh₃Si₂ (squares) and polycrystalline LaRh₃Si₂ (circles) as a function of temperature; the solid line is a fit of Eq. (2) to the experimental data, the arrow marks the Néel temperature. The upper inset shows C/T vs T^2 measured for the La-based compound; the straight solid line is a fit of the Debye T^3 -aw [Eq. (1)]. The lower inset displays the specific heat of LaRh₃Si₂ divided by T^3 as a function of T; the arrow marks the position of a maximum (for its interpretation see the text).

c axes. At 300 K, the ratio $\rho_b/\rho_a \approx \rho_c/\rho_a \cong 4$. Moreover, the anomalies at T_N and T_t are hardly visible on the $\rho_a(T)$ curve. This behavior is fully consistent with the established for CeRh₃Si₂ very large magnetocrystalline anisotropy characterized by the magnetic moments confined to the *bc* plane.

Our several attempts to measure the resistivity of polycrystalline LaRh₃Si₂ failed because of multitude of microcracks present in the specimens. For this reason it was not possible to extract the magnetic contribution to the electrical resistivity of CeRh₃Si₂ employing a commonly used method of subtracting the resistivity of its nonmagnetic counterpart.

D. Specific heat

Figure 6 displays the temperature dependencies of the specific heat of CeRh₃Si₂ and its nonmagnetic isostructural counterpart LaRh₃Si₂. At low temperatures a distinct anomaly located at about 4.7 K confirms the antiferromagnetic ordering of the cerium moments in CeRh₃Si₂. The overall shape of C(T) obtained for the La-based compound is in turn typical for nonmagnetic metals. In particular, below about 7 K it is easily describable by the formula

$$C(T) = \gamma T + \frac{12\pi^4}{5} \frac{nR}{\Theta_{\rm D}^3} T^3,$$
 (1)

in which the first term is a conventional conduction-electron contribution to the specific heat with γ being the Sommerfeld coefficient, and the second term is a low-temperature phonon contribution in a form of the Debye- T^3 law with the charac-

teristic Debye temperature Θ_D , the universal gas constant *R*, and *n* being a number of atoms in the formula unit.³⁴ Least-squares fitting of Eq. (1) to the experimental data (see the solid line in the inset to Fig. 6) yielded the values of $\gamma = 3 \text{ mJ}/(\text{mol K}^2)$ and $\Theta_D = 428 \text{ K}$. At higher temperatures C(T) of LaRh₃Si₂ can be described by the equation

$$C(T) = \gamma T + n_{\rm D} 9R \left(\frac{T}{\Theta_{\rm D}}\right)^3 \int_0^{\Theta_{\rm D}/T} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx + n_{\rm E} 3R \left(\frac{\Theta_{\rm E}}{T}\right)^2 \frac{e^{\Theta_{\rm E}/T}}{(e^{\Theta_{\rm E}/T} - 1)^2},$$
 (2)

in which the second term is the full Debye expression for the phonon specific heat, and the third term describes the phonon specific heat within the Einstein model of lattice vibrations (see, e.g., Refs. 34 and 35) with $\Theta_{\rm E}$ being the characteristic Einstein temperature. The coefficients $n_{\rm D}$ and $n_{\rm E}$ are numbers of atoms in the formula unit $(n_{\rm D} + n_{\rm E} \equiv n)$, vibrations of which were assumed to follow the Debye or Einstein models, respectively. The solid line in Fig. 6 presents the temperature variation in the specific heat calculated employing Eq. (2) with the parameters $\gamma=3$ mJ/(mol K²) and $\Theta_{\rm D}=428$ K (as determined from the low-temperature data), and $\Theta_{\rm E}$ =125 K, $n_{\rm D}$ =5, and $n_{\rm E}$ =1. The two latter values ($n_{\rm D}$ and $n_{\rm E}$) were chosen arbitrarily, assuming that the rare-earth atoms (1/f.u.) in CeRh₃Si₂ and LaRh₃Si₂, which occupy the centers of the hexagonal tubes (see Fig. 1 and Sec. III A), are weakly coupled with their surroundings (5 at./f.u.) and exhibit some tendency to vibrate independently of the whole lattice. The characteristic temperature of those vibrations (i.e., the Einstein temperature) was estimated on the basis of simple analysis of C(T) measured for the La-based compound: analytical properties of the Debye and Einstein functions allow to relate the position T_{max} of the low-temperature maximum in the $C(T)/T^3$ curve to the Einstein temperature as $\Theta_{\rm E} \approx 5$ $\times T_{\text{max}}$. In the case of LaRh₃Si₂ $T_{\text{max}} \approx 25$ K; hence Θ_{E} ≈ 125 K (see the lower inset to Fig. 6).

In order to estimate the 4f-contribution $\Delta C(T)$ to the total heat capacity of CeRh₃Si₂, the phonon specific heat of LaRh₃Si₂ was subtracted from C(T) of the Ce-based compound. As apparent from Fig. 7(a), the so-obtained $\Delta C(T)$ exhibits in the paramagnetic region a distinct Schottky-like anomaly due to the CEF effect. The thick solid line in Fig. 7(a) represents the Schottky specific heat calculated for the CEF model derived in Sec. III E. Apparently, the model accounts reasonably well for the experimental data, except for high temperature region, where significant quantitative discrepancy is seen. The shortcomings likely arise because of the accumulation of experimental errors (see Fig. 6) directly influencing reliability of the subtraction procedure.

Below about 50 K, the magnetic contribution to the specific heat of CeRh₃Si₂ increases again, and at about 4.70(1) K $\Delta C(T)$ takes a form of distinct λ -shaped anomaly, followed by another pronounced peak that occurs at about 4.48(1) K. While the λ -shaped feature corresponds to the second-order antiferromagnetic phase transition evidenced at $T_{\rm N}$ in the magnetic-susceptibility data, the second feature can be ascribed to the spin rearrangement at $T_{\rm t}$. The characteristic spikelike shape of that singularity is typical for first order



FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) Logarithmic temperature variation in the magnetic contribution to the specific heat of CeRh₃Si₂ (left axis); the thin solid curve serves as a guide for the eye, the arrows mark the magnetic transition temperatures, and the thick solid line represents the Schottky contribution calculated for the CEF level scheme from Table IV. The dashed curve is the magnetic entropy *S* of the compound (right axis); the drop lines mark the values of $S(T_N)$ and $T(S=R \ln 2)$. (b) $\Delta C/T$ at low temperatures; the solid curve is a fit of Eq. (3) to the experimental data in the magnetically ordered region, and the arrows mark the magnetic transition temperatures.

phase transitions. In turn, the magnetic contribution to the specific heat in the range of 5–50 K can be attributed to short-range interactions, which evolve finally into the long-range antiferromagnetic ordering. The latter hypothesis is supported by the temperature dependence of the magnetic entropy, defined as $S(T) = \int_0^T \Delta C(T) / T dT$. As can be inferred from Fig. 7(a) (right axis), the entropy at T_N is strongly reduced in comparison to the entropy of the ground-state doublet (=*R* ln 2) and amounts only to about 0.44*R* ln 2. The value of *R* ln 2 is achieved at temperature as high as ≈ 30 K, suggesting that even well above T_N the system is not yet completely disordered.

In the ordered region, the specific heat of CeRh₃Si₂ is dominated by an antiferromagnetic magnon contribution. Assuming that the dispersion relation in the antiferromagnetic spin-wave spectrum has a relativistic form $\omega = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + D^2 k^2}$ (where ω , D, and k are the spin-wave frequency, stiffness, and wave number, respectively, and Δ is a spin-wave gap) $\Delta C(T)$ takes the form of a sum of the electronic and magnon contributions,³⁶

$$\Delta C(T) = \gamma T + A\Delta^4 \sqrt{\frac{T}{\Delta}} e^{-\Delta/T} \left[1 + \frac{39}{20} \left(\frac{\Delta}{T}\right) + \frac{51}{32} \left(\frac{\Delta}{T}\right)^2 \right],$$
(3)

where A is a proportionality coefficient related to D as A $\propto 1/D^3$. Least-squares fitting procedure [see the solid line in



PHYSICAL REVIEW B 81, 174408 (2010)

FIG. 8. (Color online) Specific heat of singlecrystalline CeRh₃Si₂ measured at low temperatures in several different magnetic fields B applied along the b axis. The curves are shifted up for the sake of clarity.

Fig. 7(b)] yielded the values: $\gamma = 7 \text{ mJ/(mol K}^2)$, A = 3 mJ/(mol K⁴), and $\Delta = 16 \text{ K}$. The small value of the Sommerfeld coefficient, which can be also directly deduced from Fig. 7(b) as $\Delta C(T)/T|_{T \to 0}$, is typical for simple metals.

Figure 8 shows the results of the specific-heat measurements done in several different magnetic fields applied parallel to the *b* axis. As in the case of the magnetization measurements the latter experiments were also focused on the temperature region close to T_N and T_t . As seen, the two anomalies visible in zero magnetic field at 4.7 and 4.5 K split in higher fields into four separate features in C(T)/T, which independently shift to lower temperatures with rising *B*. However, all of the anomalies systematically broaden, and in $B \ge 0.7$ T one can distinguish only two of them. Finally, in B=1.2 T only one hump at about 3.7 K is visible, and in $B \ge 1.5$ T the specific-heat curve is featureless. The positions of the anomalies from Fig. 8 served as complementary set of data used for constructing the tentative phase diagram shown Sec. IV.

E. CEF calculations

The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility (Sec. III B) and the Schottky contribution to the heat capacity (Sec. III D) of CeRh₃Si₂ suggest predominantly localized character of the *f* electrons and the importance of definite sequence of the CEF levels. To capture the main features of the $4f^1$ state split in the CEF potential, we apply here the standard static description based on the renormalized Hamiltonian projected on the space of the pure *f*-electron states. The approach is known to be quite satisfactory for insulating systems, strongly supported by both qualitative and quantitative theoretical studies.^{37,38}

In the Wybourne notation,³⁹ the one-electron CEF potential of the C_{2v} point symmetry appropriate to the case of CeRh₃Si₂ reads as

$$H_{\text{CEF}} = B_{20}\hat{C}_0^{(2)} + B_{22}\hat{C}_2^{(2)} + B_{40}\hat{C}_0^{(4)} + B_{42}\hat{C}_2^{(4)} + B_{44}\hat{C}_4^{(4)} + B_{60}\hat{C}_0^{(6)} + B_{62}\hat{C}_2^{(6)} + B_{64}\hat{C}_4^{(6)} + B_{66}\hat{C}_6^{(6)}, \qquad (4)$$

where B_{kq} are the CEF parameters, which are to be adjusted

to the experimental data, and $\hat{C}_q^{(k)}$ are the normalized spherical harmonics. Besides, we employ also a simplified angularoverlap-model (AOM) form of the CEF potential defined through matrix elements of the effective CEF interaction (see, for instance, Ref. 38),

$$\langle m | H_{\rm CEF} | m' \rangle = \sum_{\pi} \sum_{\mu} D^{(3)*}_{\mu m} (0, \Theta_{\pi}, \Phi_{\pi}) D^{(3)}_{\mu m'} (0, \Theta_{\pi}, \Phi_{\pi}) e^{\tau}_{\mu}.$$
(5)

In this equation, $D_{\mu m}^{(3)}(0, \Theta_{\pi}, \Phi_{\pi})$ is the matrix element of the irreducible representation $D^{(3)}$ of the rotation group, and R_{π} , Θ_{π} , and Φ_{π} are the angular coordinates of the nearestneighbor atom πt expressed in the coordination system set at the magnetic atom site. The index τ distinguishes various chemically nonequivalent atoms and t runs over nearestneighbors τ atoms. Thus, the whole CEF effect is described by three intrinsic parameters e_{μ}^{τ} with $\mu=0(\sigma), 1(\pi), 2(\delta)$ for each chemically nonequivalent nearest-neighbor atom τ . In the present case there are six silicon atoms and six rhodium atoms in the coordination sphere of the radius of 3.34 Å. This coordination implies six nonequivalent AOM parameters, three for each nearest-neighbor atom. If we neglect e_{δ} and/or tie up e_{π} with one third of e_{σ} (following the rules observed for insulating systems³⁷), four-parameter or twoparameter versions of AOM can be applied.

The Hamiltonian H includes also the spin-orbit interaction:

$$H_{\rm SO} = \zeta_{4f} \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{s} \tag{6}$$

with the spin-orbit constant ζ_{4f} equal to 647.3 cm⁻¹ (931.4 K),⁴⁰ and the Zeeman term:

$$H_{\rm M} = \mu_{\rm B} \left[(l_x + 2s_x) \frac{B_x}{B} + (l_y + 2s_y) \frac{B_y}{B} + (l_z + 2s_z) \frac{B_z}{B} \right] B$$
(7)

describing the system response to the external magnetic field *B*:

$$H = H_{\rm CEF} + H_{\rm SO} + H_{\rm M}.$$
 (8)

Following Refs. 41 and 42, the molar magnetization $M_{\text{mol},\alpha}$ and the magnetic susceptibility χ_{α} are calculated from derivative of the free energy *F* of the system

$$F = -\frac{N}{\beta} \sum_{\nu} \exp(-\beta E_{\nu}), \quad \beta = 1/(k_{\rm B}T)$$
(9)

with respect to the magnetic field direction α , i.e.,

$$M_{\text{mol},\alpha} = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial F}{\partial B} = N_{\text{A}} \frac{\sum_{\nu} \mu_{\nu,\alpha} \exp(-\beta E_{\nu,\alpha})}{\sum_{\nu} \exp(-\beta E_{\nu,\alpha})}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\mu_{\nu,\alpha} = -\frac{\partial E_{\nu,\alpha}}{\partial B} \tag{11}$$

and $E_{\nu,\alpha}$ are the eigenenergies of the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (8). The molar susceptibility is defined as

$$\chi_{\alpha} = \mu_0 \frac{M_{\text{mol},\alpha}}{B}.$$
 (12)

The CEF parameters in Eq. (4) have been fitted to restore the temperature dependences of the magnetic susceptibility measured along the a, b, and c axes and simultaneously account for the Schottky contribution to the heat capacity (see Sec. III D). For this purpose the Levenberg-Marquardt method⁴³ was applied in the version implemented in the computer programs by Schilder and Lueken.^{41,42,44,45} In order to scan the entire parametric space, several initial CEF parameter sets have been probed. Among them one may distinguish three special cases: (i) zero values, (ii) the CEF parameters reported for the compound CeIr₃Si₂,⁴⁶ and (iii) the parameters estimated using AOM with the values: $e_{\delta}^{\text{Rh}} = -50,0,50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (-72,0,72 K). Besides, several independent initial randomly generated CEF parameters sets (over 230) have been probed as well.

The fitting procedure was same for each of the initial CEF parameters set. First, we fit the two second-order parameters, whereas the remaining ones were kept at their initial values. Then, the parameters of second and sixth ranks were fixed at their values determined in the previous step, while the fourth-rank parameters were fit. Subsequently, all the second-rank and the fourth-rank parameters were varied simultaneously. In the following we call this initial step the base phase, in which general shapes of the experimental magnetic-susceptibility curves have been reproduced fairly well, yet some details of the measured curves could not be accounted for.

In the second, refining, phase we included the sixth-rank CEF parameters. Their influence on the thermal properties is expected to be of less significance, as they only determine the CEF admixture of the 7/2 states having energies above 2000 cm⁻¹ (2878 K) to the low-energy 5/2 states predomi-

nantly governing the thermodynamic properties of the compound studied in moderate temperature ranges. This admixture modifies rather slightly both the CEF splitting of the 5/2 state and the matrix elements of the angular momentum operator. Nevertheless, the mixing may become more pronounced if the CEF effect is strong enough. Indeed, some preliminary simulations showed that in the case of CeRh₃Si₂ the admixture of the j=7/2 states may reach as much as 10% for the lowest states. Hence, we decided to include the sixthrank states in the refining phase, and as a result the relative root-mean-square error SQX (Refs. 44, 47, and 48) has dropped from 2.92% to 1.86%.

The fitting procedure of the sixth-order parameters were analogous to that of the fourth order. First, we varied only the sixth-order parameters, while keeping all the remaining parameters fixed on the values determined in the base phase. In the final step all the CEF parameters were varied simultaneously. In each step the molecular field constant λ was also varied.

Most of the probed initial sets of CEF parameters led to stable solutions of three different types. The corresponding fits yielded similar sequences of the CEF levels but distinctly different parameters sets, except for the two second-rank parameters, which appeared to have fairly similar values in each solution. The best fitting results are collected in Table IV. As seen from this table, the CEF effect is generally large and leads to the total splitting of the 5/2 state of the order of 500 cm⁻¹ (720 K) and the overall $4f^1$ spitting exceeding 4000 cm^{-1} (5755 K). Despite the pronounced differences between the parameters in the three distinct sets (only the solution giving lowest SQX is shown) the sequence of levels and the model curves are almost same, what is reflected in negligible differences in the root-square errors and the energies. The scattering of some fourth-rank and especially sixthrank CEF parameters in various solutions confirms rather limited reliability of the latter parameters. Consequently, as the final result we accepted the solution characterized by the lowest root-square error. The so-obtained model curves are shown in Fig. 2 together with the experimental ones. Additionally, Fig. 7(a) presents the calculated Schottky contribution expected for the obtained CEF splitting scheme.

F. X-ray photoemission spectroscopy

Analysis of the Ce 3*d* XPS spectra is a proper method to investigate the character of the Ce 4*f* states in Ceintermetallic compounds due to the strong Coulomb interaction between photoemission holes in the 3*d* shell and electrons located near the Fermi level. 3*d* core ionization of Cebased compounds usually results in a spectrum of final states, whose configurations correspond to the f^0 , f^1 , and f^2 states (see Refs. 49 and 50).

Figure 9(a) shows the Ce 3*d* XPS spectrum obtained for CeRh₃Si₂. Two final-state contributions f^1 and f^2 are clearly observed, which exhibit spin-orbit splitting $\Delta_{SO} \cong 18.6$ eV. In turn, the f^0 component is not evident in the spectrum, which implies stable valence 3+ of the cerium atoms. According to the Gunnarsson and Schönhammer theory,⁴⁹ the hybridization energy Δ_{fs} , which describes the hybridization

TABLE IV. CEF parameters, molecular field constant λ , eigenenergies, and eigenwave functions obtained from the fitting of the experimental magnetic-susceptibility data and the Schottky contribution to the specific heat.

Parameter	Value (K)
B ₂₀	1322
B ₂₂	-1265
B_{40}	727
B ₄₂	1327
B_{44}	-2345
B ₆₀	-7478
B ₆₂	-2197
B ₆₄	3060
B ₆₆	22239
λ (mol m ⁻³)	501176
SQX	1.86%
Eigenvalues (K)	Eigenvectors
0	$-0.801 5/2,5/2\rangle+0.428 5/2,1/2\rangle-0.407 5/2,$ $-3/2\rangle$
	$\begin{array}{c} 0.875 5/2,3/2\rangle - 0.289 5/2,-5/2\rangle \\ - 0.255 7/2,3/2\rangle + \cdots + 0.239 5/2,-1/2\rangle \end{array}$
655	$-0.126 7/2, -1/2\rangle$
	$-0.783 5/2,1/2\rangle - 0.464 5/2,5/2\rangle$
692	$-0.334 7/2,1/2\rangle+0.223 7/2,-7/2\rangle$
	$-0.765 7/2,7/2\rangle - 0.511 7/2,-1/2\rangle$
3091	$+0.313 7/2.3/2\rangle -0.235 7/25/2\rangle$

055	-0.120 //2,-1/2/
	-0.783 5/2, 1/2>-0.464 5/2, 5/2>
692	-0.334 7/2,1/2>+0.223 7/2,-7/2>
	$-0.765 7/2,7/2\rangle -0.511 7/2,-1/2\rangle$
3091	+0.313 7/2,3/2>-0.235 7/2,-5/2>
	$-0.940 7/2,5/2\rangle+0.246 7/2,1/2\rangle-0.217 7/2,$
3478	$-3/2\rangle$
	-0.742 7/2,-1/2>+0.459 7/2,7/2>
	$-0.232 7/2,3/2\rangle + \cdots -0.381 5/2,-1/2\rangle$
5467	$-0.138 7/2,-5/2\rangle -0.133 5/2,-5/2\rangle$
	-0.854 7/2,3/2 -0.383 7/2,7/2
	$-0.233 5/2,3/2\rangle + \dots + 0.202 5/2,-5/2\rangle$
5882	+0.170 7/2, -5/2

part of the Anderson impurity Hamiltonian⁵¹ and is defined as $\pi V^2 \tilde{\rho}_{\text{max}}$, where $\tilde{\rho}_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum in the DOS and V is the hybridization matrix element, can be estimated from the ratio $r=I(f^2)/[I(f^1)+I(f^2)]$ of the $I(f^2)$ and $I(f^1)$ intensities.⁵⁰ The particular contributions to the 3d XPS spectrum can be derived by its analysis in terms of the Doniach-Šunjić approach⁵² (the experimental details and accuracy of the method is also discussed in Ref. 53). This way, for CeRh₃Si₂ one estimates a hybridization width Δ_{fs} of ~56 meV.

More evidence for the stable valence of Ce³⁺ ions in CeRh₃Si₂ comes from the Ce 4d XPS spectrum displayed in Fig. 9(b). Clearly, there is no additional peak at higher binding energies (E > 118 eV), that could be attributed to the Ce $3d^9f^0$ state.^{50,54,55}

G. Electronic band-structure calculations

The band-structure calculations were performed using the WIEN2K code within the local-density approximation (LDA),



FIG. 9. (Color online) (a) Ce 3d and (b) Ce 4d XPS spectra obtained for CeRh₃Si₂. The f^1 and f^2 components were separated on the basis of the Doniach-Šunjić theory. The dashed line represents the calculated background.

LDA+U, and LSDA+OP schemes [the first two approaches with (LSDA and LSDA+U) and without spin polarization]. Non spin polarized densities of electronic states were used for calculations of photoemission spectra, which in experiment were measured at room temperature, well above T_N =4.72 K. In the case of spin polarized calculations the antiferromagnetic solution was not assumed in advance. The 12 atoms forming unit cell that was used in our calculations were treated as different types of atoms. The starting magnetic moments were chosen to have opposite sign. The system reached, iteration by iteration, a self-consistent solution, that was very close to the antiferromagnetic state. The resultant magnetization of both sublattices was almost balanced and was equal to about $2 \times 10^{-3} \mu_B/f.u.$

In the first step of our calculations, we employed the LSDA approach. The calculated DOS for CeRh₃Si₂ system is presented in Fig. 10: (a) the total DOS and (b) the contributions provided by 4f electrons located on cerium atoms forming two magnetic sublattices. The valence band can be divided into two parts below the Fermi level (E_F) , here located at E=0. The first part located in the range of (-11.5,-7.5) eV is formed mainly by electrons provided by silicon atoms Si(3s). The main part of the valence band between about -7.5 eV and E_F is created by Rh(4d) and Si(3p) electrons. The Ce(4f) electrons provide contribution near the Fermi level and they have the main contribution to DOS at $E=E_F$: 5.43 states/(eV atom), 77.5% of the total value 7.01 states/(eV f.u.).

Based on DOS at E_F one can calculate the Sommerfeld coefficient γ_0 , which in our case is equal to about 16.5 mJ/(mol K^2). This value is much higher than the ex-



FIG. 10. (Color online) The total (per f.u.) and site projected (per Ce atom) densities of electronic states (DOS) for antiferromagnetic CeRh₃Si₂ calculated within the LSDA, LSDA+OP, and LSDA+U schemes.

perimental one $\gamma_{exp}=3 \text{ mJ}/(\text{mol K}^2)$, reported earlier in Sec. III D. This discrepancy is probably caused by inadequate description of the strongly correlated 4f electrons by the LSDA formalism.

Hybridization changes initial configuration $6s^25d^14f^1$ to the final one $6s^{1.99}5d^{0.57}4f^{1.02}$. Total number of electrons is different from those for pure cerium systems because of charge transfer from or to rhodium and silicon atoms as well as the charge accumulated in the interstitial region between atomic spheres.

The values of site projected moments on cerium atoms are equal to $\pm 0.08 \ \mu_B$ per Ce atom. The resultant value is not spectacular because of opposite alignment of spin and orbital moments. Until now the experimental values of local moments were not known.

The shape of the DOS obtained by the LSDA+OP approach [Figs. 10(c) and 10(d)] is similar to that reported earlier but usually in this approach the magnetic moments increase, in our case to $\pm 0.5 \mu_B$ per Ce atom. Unfortunately the DOS at E_F is still too high: 10.65 states/(eV f.u.) with contribution of 4f electrons of about 8.52 states/(eV Ce atom). The Sommerfeld coefficient is equal to 25.1 mJ/(mol K²).

On the two lowest panels of Fig. 10 we present results of the LSDA+U approach with U equal to 6 eV. The additional U term to the LSDA approach shifts the 4f DOS (in LSDA located well below E_F) toward higher binding energies (now located around -2 eV). The unoccupied part of 4f DOS is moved to the energies about 4 eV above the Fermi level. In



FIG. 11. (Color online) The measured x-ray photoelectron spectrum for the valence band compared with the calculated ones within the LDA approach for CeR_3hSi_2 system.

this way the contribution of Ce(4*f*) electrons to the DOS at $E=E_F$ is practically negligible and the Fermi level is located in a pseudogap of the DOS. The value of DOS(E_F) = 1.01 states/(eV f.u.) gives the Sommerfeld coefficient equal to 2.39 mJ/(mol K²). The latter value is slightly smaller than the experimental Sommerfeld coefficient as it should be for theory. The magnetic moments are equal to $\pm 0.09\mu_B$ per Ce atom.

Figure 11 presents experimental and calculated x-ray photoelectron spectra based on non-spin-polarized DOS plots and calculated cross sections collected in Ref. 28. The best fitting to the experimental photoemission spectrum was obtained for the LDA calculations. The consistency between the calculated and experimental spectra is quite good. The spectrum is dominated by the Rh(4*d*) electrons. The Ce(4*f*) electrons provide contributions near the Fermi level. The peak located at about 8–9 eV below E_F is formed mainly by the Si(3*s*) electrons (not shown here).

IV. SUMMARY

CeRh₃Si₂ was found to crystallize in the orthorhombic ErRh₃Si₂-type structure with honeycomblike Rh-Si cages surrounding the Ce ions, which occupy just one inequivalent crystallographic position in the unit cell. The 4f electrons are well localized and experience exceptionally strong (as for cerium intermetallics) crystalline-electric-field effect with significant admixture of two j multiplets (i.e., 5/2 and 7/2). In the whole temperature range studied CeRh₃Si₂ exhibits very large easy ab plane anisotropy in its magnetic and electrical transport behavior. At low temperatures the compound undergoes two subsequent antiferromagnetic phase transitions. The experiments performed in external magnetic fields B applied parallel to the easy b axis revealed very complex B-T phase diagram of CeRh₃Si₂ (Fig. 12), which needs to be verified by neutron-scattering studies. The band-structure calculations confirmed the antiferromagnetic ordering of the cerium magnetic moments. The calculated values of these moments are equal to $0.08\mu_B$, $0.09\mu_B$, and $0.5\mu_B$ per Ce atom for the LSDA, LSDA+U, and LSDA+OP approach, respectively. Only LSDA+U calculations yielded correct relation between theoretical $[2.39 \text{ mJ}/(\text{mol } \text{K}^2)]$ and measured $[3 \text{ mJ}/(\text{mol } \text{K}^2)]$ values of the Sommerfeld coeffi-



FIG. 12. (Color online) Tentative *B*-*T* phase diagram for singlecrystalline CeRh₃Si₂ based on anomalies observed in temperature dependencies of magnetic susceptibility (squares; Fig. 3), field variations in magnetization (squares; Fig. 4) and the temperature dependencies of the specific heat (circles; Fig. 8) for B||b axis. Solid curves are hypothetical phase-boundary lines. Colors serves as a guide for the eye.

cients being less than one. The non-spin-polarized DOS plots reproduced well the experimental x-ray photoelectron spectrum of the valence band.

It is worth noting that properties similar to those of CeRh₃Si₂ (i.e., devilish magnetization, complex magnetic phase diagram, and strong CEF effect) were reported for a number of magnetically ordered rare-earth intermetallics. Among them one can mention highly anisotropic CeIr₃Si₂.⁴⁶ TbNi₂Ge₂,^{33,56} TbNi₂Si₂,³² and CeSb.^{30,31} All these compounds exhibit several successive field-induced metamagnetic phase transitions, which manifest themselves as a series of sudden jumps between well-defined plateaus of fielddependent magnetization. Detailed bulk direction-dependent physical properties measurements as well as neutrondiffraction and x-ray resonant exchange scattering studies have revealed that in all of these compounds one deals with nontrivial field-induced spin rearrangements. In most cases the metamagnetic processes are relatively well understood and described phenomenologically, yet microscopic models were proposed only for a limited number of the systems (for survey see Ref. 57 and references therein). In most cases, the magnetic behavior was interpreted in terms of the Ising model with next-nearest-neighbor interactions [axial nextnearest-neighbor Ising model (ANNNI)], which (in an ideal case) can produce an infinite number of commensurate phases occurring with an infinite number of steps in fielddependent magnetization, called commonly a "devil's staircase" (for review see e.g., Ref. 58). Other theoretical approaches were proposed for TmAgGe (Ref. 59) and HoNi₂B₂C.⁶⁰ The former compound was found to exhibit some features of a frustrated system with distorted antiferromagnetic Kagome-like layered structure. The sequence of field-induced metamagnetic transitions observed in the compound TmAgGe was successfully reproduced using a Hamiltonian that describes only (i) relatively weak antiferromagnetic next-nearest-neighbor and ferromagnetic nearestneighbor exchange adopted to the geometry of the system and (ii) strong crystal electric field effect.⁶¹ In turn. HoNi₂B₂C is a magnetically ordered superconductor, which shows at low temperatures a transition from commensurate antiferromagnetic to incommensurate *c*-axis complex spiral state with some *a*-axis incommensurate modulation (see Refs. 62-64 and references therein). Here again the crystalline electric field was taken into account to explain the complex magnetic phase diagram,⁶⁵ yet the generalized ANNNI model (a kind of so-called clock model) was also successfully applied.⁶⁶

The microscopic origin of the complex magnetic behavior of CeRh₃Si₂ cannot be defined at this stage of our study. The most relevant factor governing its strongly anisotropic properties in the ordered and paramagnetic states is clearly unusually strong crystalline electric field potential that yields the total splitting of the 5/2 state of about 720 K and the overall 4f' splitting as large as about 5760 K. The estimated magnitude of the CEF effect in CeRh₃Si₂ is perhaps the largest ever reported for Ce-based intermetallics. The other cerium compounds known for their giant CEF interactions are CeRh₃B₂ (Refs. 67 and 68) and CeIr₃Si₂,⁴⁶ where the total CEF splitting is about 2000 and 1600 K, respectively. Remarkably, the latter compound is isostructural to CeRh₃Si₂, while the crystal structure of CeRh₃B₂ is closely related to that of CeRh₃Si₂. Further studies, including inelastic neutron-scattering experiments and ANNNI calculations, are needed to shed more light on the unique features (i.e., unusually strong CEF and complex magnetic behavior) of the latter compound.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Helmut Schilder for developing his computer program toward simultaneous fitting of the heat capacity and for the engagement and support. This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education within Grants No. N202 116 32/3270, No. N202 1349 33, and No. N N202 032137. Part of the research was performed in the frame of the National Network "Strongly correlated materials: preparation, fundamental research and applications."

- ¹A. Lipatov, A. Gribanov, A. Grytsiv, S. Safronov, P. Rogl, J. Rousnyak, Y. Seropegin, and G. Giester, J. Solid State Chem. **183**, 829 (2010).
- Solid State Commun. 67, 677 (1988).
- ⁴D. Kaczorowski, A. Pikul, U. Burkhardt, M. Schmidt, A. Ślebarski, A. Szajek, M. Werwiński, and Y. Grin, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter (to be published).
- ²D. T. Adroja and B. D. Rainford, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **119**, 54 (1993).
- ³C. Godart, C. V. Tomy, L. C. Gupta, and R. Vijayaraghavan,
- ⁵D. Kaczorowski, Y. Prots, U. Burkhardt, and Y. Grin, Intermetallics **15**, 225 (2007).

- ⁶R. Settai, A. Misawa, S. Araki, M. Kosaki, K. Sugiyama, T. Takeuchi, K. Kindo, Y. Haga, E. Yamamoto, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 2260 (1997).
- ⁷Y. Muro, D. Eom, N. Takeda, and M. Ishikawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 3601 (1998).
- ⁸S. Araki, M. Nakashima, R. Settai, T. C. Kobayashi, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, L377 (2002).
- ⁹R. Movshovich, T. Graf, D. Mandrus, J. D. Thompson, J. L. Smith, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B 53, 8241 (1996).
- ¹⁰N. Kimura, K. Ito, K. Saitoh, Y. Umeda, H. Aoki, and T. Terashima, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 247004 (2005).
- ¹¹N. Kimura, Y. Muro, and H. Aoki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **76**, 051010 (2007).
- ¹²A. V. Morozkin and Y. D. Seropegin, J. Alloys Compd. **237**, 124 (1996).
- ¹³T. Graf, M. F. Hundley, R. Modler, R. Movshovich, J. D. Thompson, D. Mandrus, R. A. Fisher, and N. E. Phillips, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 7442 (1998).
- ¹⁴D. Kaczorowski and T. Komatsubara, Physica B **403**, 1362 (2008).
- ¹⁵A. P. Pikul and D. Kaczorowski, Acta Phys. Pol. A **115**, 235 (2009).
- ¹⁶G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97: Program for Crystal Structure Refinement (University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997).
- ¹⁷J. S. Hwang, K. J. Lin, and C. Tien, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **68**, 94 (1997).
- ¹⁸ P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964).
- ¹⁹P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, and J. Luitz, WIEN2k: An Augmented Plane Wave+Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties, edited by K. Schwarz (Technische Universität Wien, Austria, 2001).
- ²⁰A. H. MacDonald, W. E. Pickett, and D. D. Koelling, J. Phys. C 13, 2675 (1980).
- ²¹J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- ²² V. I. Anisimov, I. V. Solovyev, M. A. Korotin, M. T. Czyżyk, and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B 48, 16929 (1993).
- ²³A. I. Liechtenstein, V. I. Anisimov, and J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B 52, R5467 (1995).
- ²⁴M. S. S. Brooks, Physica B & C 130, 6 (1985).
- ²⁵O. Eriksson, B. Johansson, and M. S. S. Brooks, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1, 4005 (1989).
- ²⁶O. Eriksson, M. S. S. Brooks, and B. Johansson, Phys. Rev. B 41, 7311 (1990).
- ²⁷P. E. Blöchl, O. Jepsen, and O. K. Andersen, Phys. Rev. B 49, 16223 (1994).
- ²⁸J. J. Yeh and I. Lindau, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **32**, 1 (1985).
- ²⁹K. Cenzual, B. Chabot, and E. Parthe, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 44, 221 (1988).
- ³⁰J. Rossat-Mignod, P. Burlet, J. Villian, H. Bartholin, W. Tchen-Si, D. Florence, and O. Vogt, Phys. Rev. B 16, 440 (1977).
- ³¹ J. Rossat-Mignod, J. M. Effantin, P. Burlet, T. Chattopadhyay, L. P. Regnault, H. Bartholin, C. Vettier, O. Vogt, D. Ravot, and J. C. Achart, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **52**, 111 (1985).
- ³²T. Shigeoka, H. Fuji, M. Nishi, Y. Uwatoko, T. Takabatake, I. Oguro, K. Motoya, N. Iwata, and Y. Ito, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **61**, 4559 (1992).
- ³³S. L. Bud'ko, Z. Islam, T. A. Wiener, I. R. Fisher, A. H. Lacerda, and P. C. Canfield, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **205**, 53 (1999).
- ³⁴E. S. R. Gopal, Specific Heats at Low Temperatures (Plenum

Press, New York, 1966).

- ³⁵A. Tari, *The Specific Heat of Matter at Low Temperatures* (Imperial College Press, London, 2003).
- ³⁶M. A. Continentino, S. N. deMedeiros, M. T. D. Orlando, M. B. Fontes, and E. M. Baggio-Saitovitch, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 012404 (2001).
- ³⁷J. Mulak and Z. Gajek, *The Effective Crystal Field Potential* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2000).
- ³⁸Z. Gajek, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 045139 (2005).
- ³⁹B. G. Wybourne, Spectroscopic Properties of Rare Earths (Interscience, New York, 1965).
- ⁴⁰W. Carnall, G. L. Goodman, K. Rajnak, and R. Rana, J. Chem. Phys. **90**, 3443 (1989).
- ⁴¹H. Lueken, *Magnetochemie* (Tuebner, Stuttgart, 1999).
- ⁴²H. Schilder and H. Lueken, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 281, 17 (2004).
- ⁴³W. H. Press, B. P. Flannery, S. A. Teukolsky, and W. T. Vetterling, *Numerical Recipes (Fortran Version)* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- ⁴⁴Program CONDON is free software, covered by the GNU General Public Licence and is available from http://www.condon.fhaachen.de
- ⁴⁵H. Schilder (private communication).
- ⁴⁶ K. Shigetoh, A. Ishida, Y. Ayabe, T. Onimaru, K. Umeo, Y. Muro, K. Motoya, M. Sera, and T. Takabatake, Phys. Rev. B 76, 184429 (2007).
- ⁴⁷H. Schilder, M. Speldrich, H. Lueken, A. Sutoric, and M. Kanatzidis, J. Alloys Compd. **374**, 249 (2004).
- ⁴⁸T. H. Siddall, in *Theory and Applications of Molecular Para-magnetism*, edited by E. Bourdreaux, and L. Mulay (Wiley & Sons, New York, 1976).
- ⁴⁹O. Gunnarsson and K. Schönhammer, Phys. Rev. B 28, 4315 (1983).
- ⁵⁰J. C. Fuggle, F. U. Hillebrecht, Z. Żołnierek, R. Lässer, C. Freiburg, O. Gunnarsson, and K. Schönhammer, Phys. Rev. B 27, 7330 (1983).
- ⁵¹P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **124**, 41 (1961).
- ⁵²S. Doniach and M. Sunjić, J. Phys. C 3, 285 (1970).
- ⁵³A. Ślebarski, T. Zawada, J. Spałek, and A. Jezierski, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 235112 (2004).
- ⁵⁴A. J. Signorelli and R. G. Hayes, Phys. Rev. B 8, 81 (1973).
- ⁵⁵Y. Baer, R. Hauger, C. Zürcher, M. Campagna, and G. K. Wertheim, Phys. Rev. B 18, 4433 (1978).
- ⁵⁶G. Andre, P. Bonville, F. Bourée, A. Bombik, M. Kolenda, A. Oleś, A. Pacyna, W. Sikora, and A. Szytuła, J. Alloys Compd. **224**, 253 (1995).
- ⁵⁷D. Gignoux and D. Schmitt, J. Alloys Compd. **225**, 423 (1995).
- ⁵⁸P. Bak, Rep. Prog. Phys. **45**, 587 (1982).
- ⁵⁹E. Morosan, S. Bud'ko, P. Canfield, M. Torikachvili, and A. Lacerda, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **277**, 298 (2004).
- ⁶⁰P. C. Canfield, B. K. Cho, D. C. Johnston, D. K. Finnemore, and M. F. Hundley, Physica C **230**, 397 (1994).
- ⁶¹P. A. Goddard, J. Singleton, A. L. Lima-Sharma, E. Morosan, S. J. Blundell, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 094426 (2007).
- ⁶²B. K. Cho, B. N. Harmon, D. C. Johnston, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 2217 (1996).
- ⁶³K. D. D. Rathnayaka, D. G. Naugle, B. K. Cho, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 5688 (1996).
- ⁶⁴P. C. Canfield, S. L. Budko, B. K. Cho, A. Lacerda, D. Farrell, E.

Johnston-Halperin, V. A. Kalatsky, and V. L. Pokrovsky, Phys. Rev. B 55, 970 (1997).

- ⁶⁵A. Amici and P. Thalmeier, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 10684 (1998).
- ⁶⁶V. A. Kalatsky and V. L. Pokrovsky, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 5485 (1998).
- ⁶⁷A. Galatanu, E. Yamamoto, T. Okubo, M. Yamada, A. Thamizhavel, T. Takeuchi, K. Sugiyama, Y. Inada, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15**, S2187 (2003).
- ⁶⁸F. Givord, J.-X. Boucherle, E. Lelievre-Berna, and P. Lejay, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16, 1211 (2004).