Relativistic Mott criticality in graphene

Igor F. Herbut,¹ Vladimir Juričić,¹ and Oskar Vafek²

1 *Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A 1S6*

2 *National High Magnetic Field Laboratory and Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA*

Received 7 April 2009; revised manuscript received 22 July 2009; published 27 August 2009-

We formulate the effective Gross-Neveu-Yukawa theory of the semimetal-insulator transitions on the honeycomb lattice and compute its quantum critical behavior near three (spatial) dimensions. We find that at the critical point Dirac fermions do not survive as coherent excitations and that the $\sim 1/r$ tail of the weak Coulomb interaction is an irrelevant coupling. The emergent Lorentz invariance near criticality implies a universal ratio of the low-temperature specific heats of the metallic and the rotational-symmetry-broken insulating phase.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.80.075432](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.075432)

PACS number(s): 71.10.Fd, 73.43.Nq, 81.05.Uw

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the large overlap between the p_z orbitals from neighboring carbon atoms makes graphene an excellent conductor.¹ Nevertheless, one can conceive of situations in which the relative strength of the repulsive Coulomb interaction between electrons would be higher so that graphene would turn into a Mott insulator. A recent calculation suggests that just taking the graphene sheet away from the substrate may gap out the Dirac points. $2,3$ $2,3$ If so, varying the dielectric constant of the surrounding medium over a sufficiently wide range could in principle be used to tune through the metal-insulator (MI) transition in graphene. Another possibility would be stretching the sheet to reduce the hopping between the p_z orbitals. Such a quantum phase transition would be the analog of the Higgs mechanism for gauge-neutral fermions in particle physics, with the Higgs boson here as a composite field. It would represent maybe the simplest example of fermionic quantum criticality, in which the gapless fermions exist only near the isolated points in the momentum space. A finite gap would also make graphene more interesting for potential applications in electronics[.4](#page-3-3)

A unique feature of the Mott phase in graphene is that it may come in several varieties: from the familiar Néel and staggered-density phases,^{5,[6](#page-3-5)} to the more exotic insulators that break the time-reversal symmetry (TRS) .^{[7](#page-3-6)} There have been several studies of the MI transition in graphene.^{2[,6](#page-3-5)[–12](#page-3-7)} Nevertheless, several fundamental questions still await answers. Among these, the following qualitative issues seem particularly pertinent: (1) what is the role of Dirac fermions in the critical behavior, (2) how does the criticality depend on the nature of the order parameter (OP) in the Mott insulator, (3) what is the fate of fermions near the critical point, (4) is the long-range tail of the Coulomb interaction relevant? Questions 1 and 3 echo some of the central themes of the wider field of quantum critical phenomena, $13,14$ $13,14$ whereas the last question, as we will argue, is related to the classic problem of triviality of the continuum limit in nonasymptotically freefield theories.

In this paper, we present an effective theory of the Mott transition in graphene which allows us to address these and related issues in a controlled and transparent way. Our action contains both the self-interacting bosonic (or "Higgs") OPs

and the Dirac fermions, coupled by Yukawa-like terms. It represents a simple modification of the Gross-Neveu theory, derived previously in the large-N limit,⁶ but with a crucial feature: there is an upper critical (space) dimension in the problem of *three*. This allows one to perform the $\epsilon = 3 - d$ expansion, with the Higgs and the fermionic fields at all stages of the calculation treated on the same footing, thus placing the Mott criticality in graphene at the same level of rigor as the textbook Φ^4 theory. We find the MI transition in graphene to be of the *second order*, and to be governed by the critical point laying at a finite Yukawa coupling (Fig. [1](#page-0-0)). Although crucial for the critical behavior, Dirac fermions acquire a small positive anomalous dimension, so that the residue of the quasiparticle pole continuously vanishes as the transition is approached from the metallic side. Whereas the transition may be tuned by increasing the strength of Coulomb repulsion, its $\sim 1/r$ tail is in fact an *irrelevant* perturbation to the leading order in ϵ . We determine the dependence of the critical exponents on the broken symmetry of the Mott phase. Our analytical results compare favorably with those of a recent numerical work.² The emergent Lorentz symmetry near criticality implies the existence of a particular universal quantity: the ratio of the low-temperature specific heats of the semimetal and of the rotationally noninvariant insulator. Finally, possible analogies between the

FIG. 1. Schematic flow in the critical plane for Mott transitions in graphene. For any positive bosonic quartic coupling λ the transition is continuous and governed by the fermionic critical point *F*. *g* is the Yukawa coupling between the OP and Dirac fermions. The other marked fixed points are the Gaussian (G) and the Wilson-Fisher (WF). The (unmarked) bicritical fixed point is unphysical, as argued below.

Mott criticality in graphene and the chiral symmetry breaking in $3+1$ -dimensional quantum electrodynamics (QED_4) are noted.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section we discuss the order parameters and the effective field theory near the transition. In Sec. [III](#page-1-0) we perform the analysis of the problem near $3+1$ dimensions, and in Sec. [IV](#page-2-0) we discuss our results, as well as the universal amplitudes specific to the problem at hand.

II. EFFECTIVE THEORY

Let us begin by fixing the necessary notation. Present the Dirac fermion for spin-1/2 electrons as $\Psi^{\dagger} = (\Psi^{\dagger}_{\uparrow}, \Psi^{\dagger}_{\downarrow})$, where each spin component is defined as

$$
\Psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{x},\tau) = T \sum_{\omega_n} \int^{\Lambda} \frac{d\vec{q}}{(2\pi a)^2} e^{i\omega_n \tau + i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}} [u_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{K} + \vec{q}, \omega_n),
$$

$$
v_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{K} + \vec{q}, \omega_n), u_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(-\vec{K} + \vec{q}, \omega_n), v_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(-\vec{K} + \vec{q}, \omega_n)],
$$

$$
(1)
$$

where $\vec{K} = (1, 1/\sqrt{3})(2\pi/a\sqrt{3})$ is the Dirac point, and *u* and *v* are the Grassmann fields on the two sublattices of the honeycomb lattice. The reference frame is rotated so that q_x $=\vec{q}\cdot\vec{K}/K$. The noninteracting Lagrangian is then L_f $=\bar{\Psi}\gamma_{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\Psi$, with the γ matrices defined as $\gamma_0 = I_2 \otimes \sigma_z$, γ_1 $= \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_y$, and $\gamma_2 = I_2 \otimes \sigma_x$, and $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^{\dagger} \gamma_0$. The ultraviolet cutoff is $\Lambda \leq 1/a$, where *a* is the lattice spacing. We have set the Fermi velocity to unity.

In $2+1$ dimensions there are two qualitatively different ways to gap out the Dirac fermions.⁷ The first one preserves the chiral symmetry (CS) generated by $\{\gamma_3, \gamma_5, \gamma_{35}\}, \gamma_{35}$ $=i\gamma_3\gamma_5$, but breaks the TRS for each spin component separately. The time-reversal in the above representation is defined as $\Psi_{\sigma} \to I_t \Psi_{\sigma}$, where $I_t = (\sigma_x \otimes I_2)K$ and *K* is the complex conjugation.¹⁵ Choosing $\gamma_3 = \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_y$ and $\gamma_5 = \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y$, the TRS-breaking OP may be written as

$$
\phi = (\phi_s, \vec{\phi}_t) = (\langle \bar{\Psi} \gamma_{35} \Psi \rangle, \langle \bar{\Psi} \vec{\sigma} \gamma_{35} \Psi \rangle), \tag{2}
$$

with ϕ_s and ϕ_t as its (real) singlet and triplet components. The Pauli matrices act on spin, and γ matrices act on Dirac indices. A lattice realization of ϕ has been offered long ago in terms of circulating currents between the neighboring sites on the same sublattice.¹⁶ It was also shown recently that ϕ $\neq 0$ is favored by the second nearest-neighbor repulsion on honeycomb lattice.¹⁷

The second-order parameter preserves the TRS, but breaks the CS

$$
\chi = (\chi_s, \vec{\chi_t}) = (\langle \bar{\Psi} \Psi \rangle, \langle \bar{\Psi} \vec{\sigma} \Psi \rangle). \tag{3}
$$

In the representation above, for example, the singlet corresponds to staggered density, and the triplet to staggered magnetization. A finite $\chi_s(\chi_t)$ may then be induced by a large nearest-neighbor (on-site) repulsion.⁶ In this case a finite singlet component breaks the Ising symmetry of the sublattice exchange, whereas the triplet breaks also the (spin) rotational symmetry.

We may promote the above fermion bilinears into separate dynamical fields and write the effective action near the Mott transition as $S = \int d\tau d\vec{x}L$, with $L = L_f + L_v + L_b + L_c$, where the bosonic part is

$$
L_{b} = \sum_{\psi=\phi,\chi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi_{s}(-\partial_{\tau}^{2} - \nu_{\psi,s}^{2} \nabla^{2} + t_{\psi,s}) \psi_{s} + \vec{\psi}_{t} \cdot (-\partial_{\tau}^{2} - \nu_{\psi,t}^{2} \nabla^{2} + t_{\psi,t}) \vec{\psi}_{t} \right] + \lambda_{\psi,s} \psi_{s}^{4} + \lambda_{\psi,t} (\vec{\psi}_{t} \cdot \vec{\psi}_{t})^{2} + \lambda_{\psi,s} \psi_{s}^{2} \vec{\psi}_{t}^{2} \right\},
$$
(4)

and the Yukawa terms that couple bosonic and fermionic fields are

$$
L_{y} = \sum_{\psi = \chi, \phi} \{ g_{\psi, s} \psi_{s} \overline{\Psi} M_{\psi} \Psi + g_{\psi, t} \overline{\psi}_{t} \cdot \overline{\Psi} M_{\psi} \overrightarrow{\sigma} \Psi \}, \qquad (5)
$$

where the matrices M_{χ} = 1 and M_{ϕ} = γ_{35} . Note that *L* lacks the Lorentz symmetry due to the assumed generic bosonic velocities $v_{\psi,s} \neq v_{\psi,t} \neq 1$. The form of *L* is dictated by the spinrotational, time-reversal, and sublattice-exchange (Ising) symmetry of the original problem on honeycomb lattice.

One may also account for the long-range tail of the Coulomb interaction by including the term

$$
L_c = ia\overline{\Psi}\gamma_0\Psi + \frac{1}{2e^2}a|\nabla|^{d-1}a.
$$
 (6)

We allow for a general spatial dimension *d*, but in a manner that assures that the integration over the scalar gauge field *a* would introduce the $\sim e^2/r$ density-density interaction between fermions in any *d*. [18](#page-3-13)

Integrating out the Higgs OPs in the symmetric phase $t_{\psi,s}$, $t_{\psi,t}$ > 0 would produce local quartic terms for the remaining fermionic degrees of freedom, and reduce the theory to the Gross-Neveu form derived before in the large-*N* limit[.6](#page-3-5) The advantage of the Yukawa form is that it becomes renormalizable in 3+1 dimensions, where *both* the Yukawa and the Higgs self-interaction couplings become dimensionless. The relationship between the Gross-Neveu and the Yukawa descriptions mirrors the one between the nonlinear and linear sigma models in standard critical phenomena, 19 and there is a substantial evidence that both describe the same critical point[.20](#page-3-15) In the remaining part of the paper we exploit this equivalence and study the effective Yukawa theory in *d*= 3 $-\epsilon$ dimensions, with an eye on $\epsilon = 1$ relevant to graphene.

A possible objection to the pursuit of the above strategy may be that the Higgs bosons ϕ that involve γ_{35} do not gap the Dirac fermions in $3+1$ dimensions, where the CS is reduced to $U(1)$. If we were to compute the beta functions directly in $2+1$ dimensions, on the other hand, at least perturbatively there can be no difference between those with χ and ϕ labels. This is because the matrix γ_{35} commutes with all the γ matrices which appear in the fermion propagators.⁷ This leads us to conjecture that the critical behaviors at χ and ϕ transitions that share the same spin symmetry are identical.

III. —EXPANSION

With the above rational in mind, hereafter we consider only the CS breaking transition. Anticipating some of the results we set $v_{x,s} = v_{x,t} = 1$ and $e^2 = 0$, and integrate out both the fermionic and the bosonic modes within the fourmomentum shell $\Lambda/b < (\omega^2 + \vec{k}^2)^{1/2} < \Lambda$. There are two critical points, distinguished by the rotational symmetry of the OP: (1) at $t_{\chi,s} = 0$ ($t_{\chi,t} = \infty$) and (2) at $t_{\chi,t} = 0$ ($t_{\chi,s} = \infty$).^{[21](#page-3-16)} They may be tuned by increasing the nearest neighbor and the on-site repulsive terms in the Hubbard model, respectively.⁶ To one-loop order we find

$$
\frac{dg_u^2}{d \ln b} = g_u^2 (\epsilon - (7 - S)g_u^2),\tag{7}
$$

$$
\frac{d\lambda_u}{d\ln b} = \lambda_u(\epsilon - 8g_u^2) - 4(9 + S)\lambda_u^2 + 2g_u^4,\tag{8}
$$

where $g_u = g_{\chi,u} / (8\pi^2 \Lambda^{\epsilon})$ and $\lambda_u = \lambda_{\chi,u} / (8\pi^2 \Lambda^{\epsilon})$, $u = s, t$, and $S=0(S=2)$ for singlet (triplet) couplings. The purely bosonic Wilson-Fisher fixed point is unstable in the *g* direction, and the critical point lies at a finite Yukawa and quartic couplings $(Fig. 1)$ $(Fig. 1)$ $(Fig. 1)$. The flows in both cases resemble the one of superconductors, 2^2 except that the bicritical point now lies in the unphysical region, so that both the singlet and the triplet transition are always second order.

Let us examine the stability of the critical point with respect to perturbations. First, breaking the Lorentz symmetry by a small difference in the fermionic and bosonic velocities is irrelevant: for $\delta_{\mu} = 1 - v_{\chi,\mu} \leq 1$, to the leading order in ϵ we find

$$
\frac{d\delta_u}{d\ln b} = \frac{4\epsilon}{S - 7}\delta_u.
$$
 (9)

Weak Coulomb interaction is also readily found to be (marginally) irrelevant

$$
\frac{de^2}{d \ln b} = -\frac{4}{3}(2\delta_{d,3} + 1)e^4,\tag{10}
$$

similarly as in the purely bosonic theories.¹⁸ Here we rescaled $e^2/8\pi^2 \rightarrow e^2$. The first term in the bracket derives from the usual polarization, and it is present only in $d=3$, where the second term in Eq. (6) (6) (6) becomes analytic in momentum.¹⁸ The remaining term comes from the renormalization of the Fermi velocity.²³

The correlation-length critical exponent is then

$$
\nu = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3(5+S)}{(7-S)(9+S)} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2),\tag{11}
$$

and

$$
\eta_b = \frac{4}{7 - S} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2),\tag{12}
$$

with η_h as the usual OP's anomalous dimension.

The fermion propagator at the critical point behaves as $G_f^{-1} \sim (\omega^2 + k^2)^{(1 - \eta_f)/2}$, where the *fermionic* anomalous dimension is

$$
\eta_f = \frac{3}{2(7-S)} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{13}
$$

The scaling then implies⁶ that the residue of the Dirac quasiparticle's pole vanishes near the critical point as a power law,

$$
Z \sim (t_{\chi,\mu})^{3\epsilon/[4(7-\delta)]+O(\epsilon^2)}.\tag{14}
$$

There are therefore no sharp fermionic excitations right at the critical point.

Both the bosonic and the fermionic anomalous dimensions, being proportional to the critical point values of the Yukawa coupling, are finite already to the leading order in ϵ . This implies that the exponents have rather different values from the usual mean field. Since there does not seem to be a dangerously irrelevant coupling in the problem the hyperscaling should hold and we may obtain the remaining exponents from the usual scaling relations. 24 This way we find

$$
\gamma = 1 + \frac{4(3+S)}{(7-S)(9+S)} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2),\tag{15}
$$

$$
\delta = \frac{d+3-\eta_b}{d-1+\eta_b} = 3 - \frac{1+S}{7-S} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{16}
$$

For a large number of Dirac fields *N* one similarly finds:⁶ $\nu=1+O(1/N)$ and $\eta_b=1+O(1/N)$, and thus $\gamma=1+O(1/N)$, and $\delta = 2 + O(1/N)$. Various calculations on the Gross-Neveu models in the past 20 also suggested that the infinite-*N* results are often good estimates of the exponents' actual values.

IV. DISCUSSION

The critical exponents have recently been computed numerically for two species of Dirac fermions interacting via \sim 1/*r* interactions. Whereas only the standard power laws and no essential singularity^{11[,12](#page-3-7)} were observed,² the critical exponents $\gamma=1$, and $\delta \approx 2.3$, particularly when expressed in terms of $\nu = 0.85$ and $\eta_h = 0.82$ appear distinctly not to be of the usual mean-field variety. They do seem close to our oneloop results, however, particularly for the triplet OP, where for $\epsilon = 1$ we find $\nu \approx 0.88$ and $\eta_b \approx 0.80$. The correlationlength exponent is also very close to the previous two-loop result²⁵ for the singlet OP. These are only crude estimates, but we believe that the observation of the values of ν and η_h near unity should be taken as a sign of the Gross-Neveu-Yukawa fermionic criticality. Although the strength of 1/*r* interaction may be used as the tuning parameter, both the present ϵ , earlier^{6[,26,](#page-3-22)[27](#page-3-23)} 1/*N* expansions, and the results near $1+1$ dimensions²⁸ suggest this to be ultimately an irrelevant coupling. The Mott transition in graphene may be analogous to the situation in the QED_4 ,^{[29](#page-3-25)} where the CS breaking transition brought by the increase in the electromagnetic charge appears to be described by the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio Lagrangian with only short-range interactions. This is one way to phrase the triviality of the continuum limit of QED_4 .^{[30](#page-3-26)}

Let us list some further results specific to the Mott criticality in graphene. As the critical point is approached from the insulating side both the masses of the Higgs OP and of the Dirac fermions approach zero, with the universal ratio

$$
\left(\frac{m_b}{m_f}\right)^2 = \frac{8\lambda_u}{g_u^2} = \frac{16}{9+5} + O(\epsilon),\tag{17}
$$

analogous to the universal Ginzburg-Landau parameter at the superconducting critical point.^{22[,24](#page-3-19)} The emerging Lorentz invariance in the critical region implies that (a) the dynamical critical exponent $z=1$, so that the Fermi velocity is not critical,^{[7](#page-3-6)} (b) the (nonuniversal) velocity of bosonic excitations in the critical region approaches the (nonuniversal) Fermi velocity. For the triplet OP this means that the spin waves on the insulating side will have the same velocity as the Dirac fermions on the metallic side, when both are near criticality. As a consequence, the ratio of the specific heats on the metallic and insulating side in the critical region approaches a *universal* value

$$
\lim_{T \to 0} \frac{C(t_{\chi,t} \to 0+)}{C(t_{\chi,t} \to 0-)} = 4(1 - 2^{-d}).
$$
\n(18)

The numerator (denominator) corresponds to the specific

- ¹A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 109 (2009).
- ² J. E. Drut and T. A. Lahde, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 026802 (2009); Phys. Rev. B **79**, 165425 (2009); **79**, 241405(R) (2009).
- ³O. Vafek and M. J. Case, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 033410 (2008).
- ⁴ A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, Nat. Mater. **6**, 183 (2007).
- ⁵G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2449 (1984).
- ⁶I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 146401 (2006).
- ⁷ I. F. Herbut, V. Juričić, and B. Roy, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 085116 (2009) and references therein.
- ⁸ S. Sorella and E. Tosatti, Europhys. Lett. **19**, 699 (1992).
- 9L. M. Martelo, M. Dzierzawa, L. Siffert, and D. Baeriswyl, Z. Phys. B 103, 335 (1997).
- 10T. Paiva, R. T. Scalettar, W. Zheng, R. R. P. Singh, and J. Oitmaa, Phys. Rev. B 72, 085123 (2005).
- ¹¹ E. V. Gorbar, V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. B 66, 045108 (2002).
- 12D. V. Khveshchenko and H. Leal, Nucl. Phys. B **687**, 323 (2004); D. V. Khveshchenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 246802 (2001); D. V. Khveshchenko, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 21, 075303 (2009).
- 13D. Belitz, T. R. Kirkpatrick, and T. Vojta, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 579 (2005).
- 14S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- ¹⁵ I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 205433 (2008).

heat of the eight (two) *free* relativistic fermions (Goldstone bosons) in *d* dimensions. Assuming that the Lorentz invariance is still emergent at the critical point in $d=2^7$ $d=2^7$ the same ratio is exactly 3 at the transition into the spin-density-wave phase in the Hubbard model on honeycomb lattice.

In conclusion, we presented an effective theory of the Mott transition on honeycomb lattice that becomes solvable near three spatial dimensions. We argued that the quantum critical point in graphene should be relativistically invariant, and possibly logarithmically trivial. An interesting consequence of our theory is the existence of a simple universal ratio of the specific heats of the two phases near the transition. This particular prediction should hopefully become testable in the future numerical and experimental studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I.F.H. and V.J. are supported by the NSERC of Canada.

- ¹⁶F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2015 (1988).
- 17S. Raghu, X.-L. Qi, C. Honerkamp, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 156401 (2008).
- ¹⁸I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 137004 (2001).
- ¹⁹ J. Zinn-Justin, Nucl. Phys. B **367**, 105 (1991).
- 20L. Rosa, P. Vitale, and C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 958 (2001) and references therein.
- 21 Here we did not consider multicritical behavior and thus set
- $\lambda_{\psi, st} = 0.$
²²I. F. Herbut and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4588 (1996); 78, 980 (1997).
- ²³ J. Gonzalez, F. Guinea, and M. A. H. Vozmediano, Nucl. Phys. B 424, 595 (1994); Phys. Rev. B **59**, R2474 (1999).
- ²⁴ I. Herbut, *A Modern Approach to Critical Phenomena* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007).
- 25B. Rosenstein, H.-L. Yu, and A. Kovner, Phys. Lett. B **314**, 381 $(1993).$
- ²⁶ I. L. Aleiner, D. E. Kharzeev, and A. M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B 76, 195415 (2007).
- ²⁷D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 235423 (2007).
- 28V. Juričić, I. F. Herbut, and G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 081405(R) (2009).
- ²⁹ J. B. Kogut and C. G. Strouthos, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 094012 $(2005).$
- 30M. Göckeler, R. Horsley, V. Linke, P. Rakow, G. Schierholz, and H. Stüben, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4119 (1998).