## **Exact Coulomb cutoff technique for supercell calculations in two dimensions**

A. Castr[o\\*](#page-3-0)

*Institut für Theoretische Physik and European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 14, D-14195 Berlin, Germany*

E. Räsäne[n†](#page-3-1)

*Nanoscience Center, Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland*

C. A. Rozz[i‡](#page-3-2)

*INFM–CNR National Research Center "S3," via Campi 213a, I-41100 Modena, Italy* (Received 2 March 2009; revised manuscript received 13 May 2009; published 6 July 2009)

We present a reciprocal space technique for the calculation of the Coulomb integral in two dimensions in systems with reduced periodicity, i.e., finite systems, or systems that are periodic only in one dimension. The technique consists of cutting off the long-range part of the interaction by modifying the expression for the Coulomb operator in reciprocal space. The physical result amounts in an effective screening of the spurious interactions originated by the presence of ghost periodic replicas of the system. This work extends a previous report [C. A. Rozzi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 205119 (2006)], where three-dimensional systems were considered. We show that the use of the cutoffs dramatically enhances the accuracy of the calculations, and it allows description of two-dimensional systems of reduced periodicity with substantially less computational effort. In particular, we consider quantum-dot arrays having potential applications in quantum information technology.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.80.033102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.033102)

PACS number(s): 71.15.Mb, 73.21.La, 71.10.Ca

The interest in low-dimensional electronic structures has increased steadily during the past few decades. This is mostly due to breakthroughs in semiconductor technology in the 1970s and early 1980s. At present, low-dimensional systems form a significant portion of the whole field of condensed-matter physics. Some examples are layered semiconductor devices such as metal-oxide-semiconductor field effect transistors, quantum Hall systems, spintronic devices, and quantum wells, quantum wires, and quantum dots  $(QDs).<sup>1</sup>$  $(QDs).<sup>1</sup>$  $(QDs).<sup>1</sup>$ 

Following the advances in constructing techniques for single two-dimensional (2D) QD with tunable atomlike properties, it has become possible to *couple* QDs to form artificial "molecules." Coupled QDs have significant potential for solid-state quantum computation through, e.g., coherent manipulation of spins.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, extended lattices or arrays of QDs have been fabricated, $3$  which, in addition to the proposed applications in quantum information, $4$  show interesting magnetic phase transitions<sup>5,[6](#page-3-8)</sup> that may be exploited in quantum transport and spintronics.

From the theoretical point of view, dealing with systems of arbitrary periodic dimensionality may be complicated. In the simplest case of a fully periodic lattice of elements, periodic boundary conditions are applied at every cell border, and the Bloch's theorem describes the discrete-translation invariant form of the orbitals. On the other hand, in all the cases in which the system has reduced periodicity, the use of a supercell with periodic boundary conditions in all the directions becomes problematic. In fact, the response function of a periodic lattice is generally very different from the response of a system with reduced periodicity (such as an isolated system, a chain, a slab, etc.) and the convergence of the fully periodic quantity to the reduced-periodic one as a function of the supercell size is very slow. A large supercell is a numerical disadvantage but it is especially necessary to

avoid the influence of the periodic images if long-range operators are used.

The main issue here is indeed the computation of a longrange operator, i.e., the Hartree (or "Coulomb") potential,

$$
V[n](\mathbf{r}) = \int d^3 r' \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|},
$$
 (1)

<span id="page-0-0"></span>which is ubiquitous in science, and which we study here in the context of 2D electronic structure calculations. In particular, we will exemplify our approach by utilizing densityfunctional theory (DFT) although the method we propose can also be useful in different fields. In Fourier space, convolution integral  $(1)$  $(1)$  $(1)$  is transformed into a trivial product. This fact adds to the other undoubted advantages of the supercell approach such as the natural inclusion of the periodic boundary conditions, and the existence of very efficient fast Fourier transform algorithms.

The attempt to retain these advantages, i.e., to compute the Hartree integral in reciprocal space, has led to the creation of several cutoff schemes for finite systems, whose main intent is to provide an effective truncated Coulomb interaction such that the system becomes unaware of the ex-istence of its periodic replicas.<sup>7–[9](#page-3-10)</sup> More recently, an exact scheme was proposed to achieve a broader goal, $10$  namely, to truncate the Coulomb interaction in a three-dimensional (3D) system in the dimensions along which the system is confined, leaving it long ranged in the dimensions in which the system is periodic.

In this Brief Report we show that a similar scheme can be drawn in a 2D space, allowing us to correct the spurious supercell effect when treating finite systems and onedimensional (1D) chains. In particular we focus on the case of a single infinite chain of 2D few-electron QDs, which, in a classical supercell approach, would mistakenly appear as periodic system in both directions while it should be treated as a truly periodic system only along the *x* direction. We study the magnetic ground state of this system, and show that the use of the cutoff allows speeding up of the calculations of ground-state fundamental quantities such as the Fermi level. The technique is exact if the computation parameters are chosen judiciously. The possibility of isolating the chain from the replicas permits getting an insight about the role of interchain interaction in determining the conductive or insulating character of the chain.

We follow closely the procedure described in Ref. [10.](#page-3-11) The Hartree integral is the convolution of the charge density *n* with the Coulomb interaction potential *v*. In 2D,

$$
V(x,y) = \int \int_{\text{space}} \frac{n(x',y')}{\sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2}} dx'dy'
$$

$$
= \int \int_{\text{space}} n(x',y')v(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')dx'dy'.
$$
 (2)

We consider the charge density to be in a unit cell. This unit cell may then be replicated in both directions to fill all 2D space (the two-dimensional periodic case, or "2D/2D"), replicated in only one direction ("2D/1D"), or not replicated at all [finite case or "2D/zero dimensional  $(0D)$ "]. If we move to reciprocal space, the unit cell is always replicated periodically in both directions, which is undesired in both the 2D/1D and 2D/0D cases. The Hartree integral in reciprocal space reduces to the simple multiplication,

$$
V(\mathbf{G}) = n(\mathbf{G})v(\mathbf{G}),\tag{3}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>where  $\mathbf{G} = (G_x, G_y)$  are the reciprocal vectors. The Fourier transform of  $v(x, y)$  can be readily computed,,

$$
v(G_x, G_y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \frac{e^{i(G_x x + G_y y)}}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} = \frac{2\pi}{G}.
$$
 (4)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>This expression implies full 2D periodicity, and therefore it contains spurious terms if the periodicity is reduced. Our aim is to modify the expression of the Coulomb interaction  $v(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \tilde{v}(\mathbf{G})$  to an effectively truncated interaction,

$$
\tilde{v}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases}\n\frac{1}{r} & \text{if } \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}, \\
0 & \text{if } \mathbf{r} \notin \mathcal{D},\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(5)

for some suitable region  $D$ , such that it avoids the interaction of the "real" cells with the spurious replicas while maintaining all interactions between points in the real cells. In order to achieve this goal, it will be necessary to increase the size of the original unit cell.

For finite systems (2D/0D) the cutoff region can be conveniently defined as  $r < R$ , for some cutoff radius R. We can then easily perform the Fourier integral in polar coordinates,

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\tilde{v}^{0D}(G) = \int_0^R dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \exp(iGr \cos \phi)
$$
  
=  $2\pi \int_0^R dr J_0(Gr)$   
=  $(2\pi R) {}_1F_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}; 1, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{G^2 R^2}{4}\right)$ , (6)

where  $J_0$  is the Bessel function of the first kind and  $_1F_2$  is the generalized hypergeometric function. Note that the *G*=0 case is finite and continuous, and poses no difficulty:  $\tilde{v}^{0D}$ (G=0)=2 $\pi R$ . The value of *R* must be sufficient to contain all possible interactions in the original unit cell where the charge is contained; if we imagine it to be a square of radius *L*, then  $R = \sqrt{2L}$ . If we now enlarge this cell (padding the density with zeros) to  $L' = (1 + \sqrt{2})L$ , the spurious replicas will not interact thanks to the interaction cutoff, and the Hartree integration will be exact.

The 2D/1D case is more subtle. We assume the charge density to be contained in a strip defined by  $|y| \le R/2$ : the system is a chain of unit cells along the *x* axis. We define the cutoff region  $\mathcal{D}$  as  $|y| < R$ —and the unit cell is also enlarged in the *y* direction to  $|y| < R$ . It is easy to see that in this manner, the "ghost" replicas do not interact with the original chain but the real interactions are preserved. The reciprocal space expression for the truncated Coulomb potential is

$$
\tilde{v}^{1D}(G_x, G_y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_{-R}^{+R} dy \frac{e^{i(G_x x + G_y y)}}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}
$$
(7)

$$
=4\int_0^R dy \cos(G_y y)K_0(|G_x y|), \qquad (8)
$$

where  $K_0$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. However, in this case, since  $\lim_{G_x \to 0^+} K_0(G_x y) = +\infty$ , the integral is undefined on the whole line  $G_x = 0$ .

In the fully periodic (2D/2D) case, we also have a singular point at  $G = 0$  [see Eq. ([4](#page-1-0))]. If we assume charge neutrality, this singularity poses no problem: in Eq.  $(3)$  $(3)$  $(3)$  it multiplies  $n(G=0)$ , which is zero because this is, in fact, the charge neutrality condition. In the 2D/1D case, we must also see how these divergent terms affect Eq.  $(3)$  $(3)$  $(3)$ , or more precisely the back Fourier transform

$$
V(\mathbf{r}) = \int d^2 G e^{-i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}} n(\mathbf{G}) v(\mathbf{G}).
$$
 (9)

<span id="page-1-3"></span>In order to see how the infinities appear, we consider the integral in Eq. ([7](#page-1-2)) for  $G_x = 0$ , but using a finite integration domain also in the *x* direction,  $-h \leq x \leq h$ . This integral is convergent. If we perform the integration and retain only the terms that do not vanish in the limit  $h \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$
\tilde{v}^{1D}(0, G_y) \approx 4 \log(2h) \sin(G_y R) / G_y
$$

$$
-4 \int_0^R dy \cos(G_y y) \log(y). \tag{10}
$$

The first term, which we can call  $v^{\infty}(0, G_y)$ , diverges as

 $h \rightarrow \infty$ . However, it can easily be seen that it can be ignored if we assume charge neutrality: we perform the  $G<sub>v</sub>$  integra-tion in Eq. ([9](#page-1-3)) for  $G_x = 0$ , considering only the  $v^{\infty}(0, G_y)$  term

$$
\int dG_y v^{\infty}(0, G_y) n(0, G_y) e^{-iG_y y}
$$
  
=  $4 \log(2h) \int dx' \int_{-R/2}^{R/2} dy' n(x', y') \int dG_y \frac{\sin(GyR)}{G_y}$   
 $\times e^{-iG_y(y-y')}.$  (11)

Now we have

$$
\int dG_y \frac{\sin(GyR)}{G_y} e^{-iG_y(y-y')} = \begin{cases} \pi/2 & \text{if } |y'-y| < R, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
\n(12)

In the integral  $|y'| < R/2$ , since the charge is contained in that region. This is the region of interest, and therefore we are also interested in looking at the potential only for *y*<sup>*k*</sup>*R*/2. In consequence,  $|y' - y|$  kan *R*, and we can conclude

$$
\int dG_y v^{\infty}(0, G_y) n(0, G_y) e^{-iG_y y}
$$
  
=  $2\pi \log(2h) \int dx' \int_{-R/2}^{R/2} dy' n(x', y').$  (13)

This is obviously zero if we assume charge neutrality. Therefore, we can ignore the diverging terms and retain only the regular ones. With this in mind, the case of  $G=0$  is now trivial:  $\tilde{v}^{1D}(0,0) = -4R(\log R - 1)$ .

To test the cut-off method, we consider 1D QD arrays similar to those in Ref. [6.](#page-3-8) Each rectangular unit cell contains two QDs, and each QD has *N* electrons bound by a Gaussian positive background charge density. The total Gaussian background charge density has the form

$$
n_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\pi r_s^2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})^2}{Nr_s^2}\right],\tag{14}
$$

where **r**= $(x, y)$ , **R**= $(na_x, 0)$  with  $n=0, 1, 2, ...$ , and  $r_s=2$  is the average density at the center of the QD. Note the use of eff. a.u. throughout. $^{11}$ 

We solve the Kohn-Sham equations within spin DFT on a 2D grid with and without the cutoff method described above. In the former case, the system is periodic only in *x* direction, whereas in the latter case it is periodic in both *x* and *y* directions. For the exchange and correlation we use the 2D local spin-density approximation (LSDA) with the parametrization of the correlation by Attaccalite *et al.*[12](#page-3-13) This parametrization has been shown to be more accurate than the form of Tanatar and Ceperley<sup>13</sup> in the partially spin-polarized regime.<sup>14</sup> All the numerical calculations are done using the OCTOPUS code[.15](#page-3-16)

In Fig. [1](#page-2-0) we show the Fermi energy  $E_F$  of a QD chain with three electrons per dot as a function of the lattice constant *ay* perpendicular to the chain. The lattice constant in the *x* direction is fixed to  $a_r = 5.06$ . Using the cutoff method leads to a very fast convergence so that at  $a_y = 3a_x$  the Fermi

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (Color online) Fermi energy of a quantum-dot chain with three electrons per dot as a function of the supercell size perpendicular to the chain.

energy is converged to six digits. In contrast, without cutoff, i.e., the system being periodic in both directions, a much larger supercell is needed in order to achieve comparable accuracy. This is due to the long-range Coulomb interaction between parallel QD chains. Therefore, for accurate calculations the cutoff scheme is essential in reducing the cell size and thus the computational cost.

Next we change our attention to the physical effects caused by *multiple* parallel QD chains in comparison with a *single* QD chain. In the former case no cutoff is used so that for  $a_y = a_x$  we have parallel chains located at  $y = na_x$ ,  $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  In the latter case (single chain) we use the cut-off method with  $a_y = 3a_x$  to guarantee a high precision  $(see Fig. 1).$  $(see Fig. 1).$  $(see Fig. 1).$ 

In Fig. [2](#page-2-1) we plot the band structure for a QD chain with three electrons per dot at  $a_x = 5.06$  (left panel), corresponding to the system considered in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) We find that the presence of parallel chains leads to a rather minor effect on the bands. The qualitative shape of the structure is very similar, and the shift in the bands due to the interchain effects is of the same order of magnitude as the shift in  $E_F$ .

Figure [2](#page-2-1) can be also directly compared with the results of Ref. [6.](#page-3-8) Overall, we find an excellent agreement, also in the case of a larger lattice constant  $a_x = 8.55$  (right panel). Here

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 2. (Color online) Band structure at lattice constants  $a_x = 5.06$  (left) and  $a_x = 8.55$  (right) for a quantum-dot chain with three electrons in each dot. The dotted lines in the left panel correspond to the result with multiple parallel chains at  $y = na_x = na_y$ ,  $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  The straight lines correspond to the Fermi energies.

<span id="page-3-17"></span>

FIG. 3. (Color online) Band structure at a lattice constant  $a<sub>x</sub>=5$  for quantum-dot chains with two electrons in each dot. The left and right panels show the results for single and multiple chains, leading to metallic and insulating band structures, respectively. In the latter case, the parallel chains are located at  $y = na_x = na_y$ ,  $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ 

the spin degeneracy is lifted due to the exchange effect, which leads to a magnetic ground state.<sup>6</sup>

Next we consider the interchain effects on phase transitions in QD chains. As noted by Kärkkäinen *et al.*, [6](#page-3-8) the chains have a rich phase diagram with respect to the electron number *N* and the lattice constant  $a_x$ . In general, increasing  $a<sub>x</sub>$  leads to insulating behavior and/or magnetism, i.e., spin polarization, depending on *N*. In Fig. [3](#page-3-17) we show the band structure at  $a_x = 5$  for two electrons per dot, calculated with the cutoff procedure for a single chain and without the cutoff for multiple parallel chains located at  $y = na_x = na_y$ ,  $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  The single QD chain is clearly a metal with bands crossing the Fermi level. In contrast the presence of periodic replicas of the chain opens up a gap across the Fermi level so that the system becomes an insulator. The physical origin of the effect is the increased localization due to Coulomb repulsion between the replicas of the chain. Similarly, the single QD chain (left panel in Fig. [3](#page-3-17)) becomes an insulator at around  $a_x = 6$ , when the dots are more isolated.

Finally, we have also examined the interchain effects on the magnetism of QD wires. Generally, the spin polarization is relatively stable: for  $N=1, \ldots, 5$  we find similar spin polarization as a function of the lattice constant regardless of the presence of parallel QD chains. In all cases the magnetization is in a qualitative agreement with the results in Ref. [6,](#page-3-8) minor differences arising from the use of the LSDA parametrization by Attaccalite *et al.*[12](#page-3-13) instead of the one by Tanatar and Ceperley[.13](#page-3-14) However, further studies are required regarding the validity of the LSDA in the high-correlation regime and/or in the presence of magnetic fields. These would also be ideal systems to test the 2D density functionals recently developed.<sup>16[,17](#page-3-19)</sup>

The reciprocal space is the natural venue for treating periodic systems. If the periodicity is not complete (the system is not periodic in all the space dimensions) or even absent, the computations require the use of a large supercell in order to avoid the spurious interactions due to ghost system replicas; this is specially manifested in the computation of the Coulomb, or the Hartree, integral, which is a trivial multiplication if we use plane waves. For 3D systems, it has been shown that the use of a screened Coulomb interaction greatly improves the accuracy in the calculation of ground-state quantities, and substantially simplifies the evaluation of excited-state properties of reduced-periodicity systems. In this Brief Report we have shown that the same ideas can be applied to the case of the 2D electron gas; we have provided the relevant formulas for finite systems in 2D, and for systems that are periodic in only one dimension.

Moreover, the corrective cutoffs are exact and rather straightforward to apply. We have demonstrated their effectiveness by computing band structures and Fermi energies of one-dimensional periodic arrays of quantum dots formed by the confinement of two-dimensional electron gas. We expect that our technique will be of great interest for studying these systems of "artificial molecules" and "crystals" although the ubiquity of the problem we have faced will probably make our technique relevant in other fields, as well.

This work was supported by the EC Network of Excellence NANOQUANTA (Grant No. NMP4-CT-2004-500198), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft within the Grant No. SFB 658, and the Academy of Finland.

- <span id="page-3-1"></span>‡ rozzi@unimore.it
- <span id="page-3-3"></span><span id="page-3-2"></span>1For a review, see, e.g., L. P. Kouwenhoven *et al.*, Rep. Prog. Phys. 64, 701 (2001); S. M. Reimann and M. Manninen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 1283 (2002).
- 2For a review, see R. Hanson *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 1217  $(2007).$
- <span id="page-3-4"></span> $3$ L. P. Kouwenhoven *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 361 (1990).
- <span id="page-3-5"></span><sup>4</sup>K. Shiraishi et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. **78**, 3702 (2001).
- <span id="page-3-6"></span><sup>5</sup>M. Koskinen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 066802 (2003).
- <span id="page-3-7"></span><sup>6</sup>K. Kärkkäinen et al., Phys. Rev. B 72, 165324 (2005).
- <span id="page-3-8"></span><sup>7</sup>G. Makov and M. C. Payne, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 4014 (1995).
- <span id="page-3-9"></span><sup>8</sup>M. R. Jarvis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 14972 (1997).
- <sup>9</sup> A. Castro *et al.*, Can. J. Phys. **81**, 1151 (2003).
- <span id="page-3-11"></span><span id="page-3-10"></span><sup>10</sup>C. A. Rozzi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 205119 (2006).
- <span id="page-3-12"></span> $11$  All the formulas given here are the same in effective atomic units and in Hartree atomic units. Effective atomic units are convenient to account for the background material of quantum-dot systems. The lengths and energies are then given in  $a_0^*$  $=(\varepsilon/m^*)a_0$  and Ha<sup>\*</sup> =  $(m^*/\varepsilon^2)$ Ha, respectively. Here  $m^*$  is the effective mass and  $\varepsilon$  is the dielectric constant of the background material.
- <sup>12</sup>C. Attaccalite et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 256601 (2002).
- <span id="page-3-13"></span><sup>13</sup> B. Tanatar and D. M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 5005 (1989).
- <sup>14</sup>H. Saarikoski et al., Phys. Rev. B **67**, 205327 (2003).
- <span id="page-3-15"></span><span id="page-3-14"></span>15M. A. L. Marques *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **151**, 60 (2003); A. Castro et al., Phys. Status Solidi B 243, 2465 (2006).
- <span id="page-3-18"></span><span id="page-3-16"></span><sup>16</sup> S. Pittalis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 235314 (2007); S. Pittalis *et* al., Phys. Rev. A 79, 012503 (2009); E. Räsänen et al., Phys. Rev. B 79, 121305(R) (2009).
- <span id="page-3-19"></span><sup>17</sup> S. Pittalis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195322 (2008); S. Pittalis *et* al., *ibid.* **79**, 085316 (2009).

<sup>\*</sup>alberto@physik.fu-berlin.de

<span id="page-3-0"></span><sup>†</sup> erasanen@jyu.fi