Magnetic structure and charge ordering in Fe₃BO₅: A single-crystal x-ray and neutron powder diffraction study

P. Bordet^{1[,*](#page-6-0)} and E. Suard²

¹*Institut NEEL, CNRS-UJF, BP 166, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France* 2 *Institut Laue Langevin, BP 156, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France* Received 18 August 2008; revised manuscript received 24 February 2009; published 9 April 2009-

The crystal and magnetic structures of the three-leg ladder compound $Fe₃BO₅$ have been investigated by single-crystal x-ray diffraction and neutron powder diffraction. $Fe₃BO₅$ contains two types of three-leg spin ladders. It shows a charge ordering transition at 283 K, an antiferromagnetic transition at 112 K, ferromagnetism below 70 K, and a weak ferromagnetic behavior below 40 K. The x-ray data reveal a smooth charge ordering and an incomplete charge localization down to 110 K. Below the first magnetic transition, the first type of ladders orders as ferromagnetically coupled antiferromagnetic chains, while below 70 K the second type of ladders orders as antiferromagnetically coupled ferromagnetic chains.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.79.144408](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.144408)

PACS number(s): $75.25.+z$, $71.27.+a$

I. INTRODUCTION

The so-called homometallic ludwigite $Fe₃BO₅$ is a mixedvalence low dimensional semiconductor which shows a num-ber of exciting electronic and magnetic properties.^{1[,2](#page-6-2)} The general chemical formula for ludwigites is $M_2^{2+}M^{3+}O_2BO_3$, where M^{2+} and M^{3+} are 3*d* transition-metal ions. The M^{2+} and M^{3+} ions are located at the centers of edge sharing oxygen octahedra forming zigzag walls. The crystal structure of the Fe₃BO₅ high-temperature phase is depicted in Fig. [1,](#page-0-0) where the atom labeling is shown. The symmetry is orthorhombic, space group *Pbam*, with *a*= 9.462 Å, *b* = 12.308 Å, and $c = 3.075$ Å. The Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ cations occupy four distinct metal sites. The quasi-two-dimensional structure can be viewed as resulting from the presence of two types of three-leg spin ladder subunits of Fe cations. Ladders I are built upon the Fe2 and Fe4 $Fe³⁺$ cations having localized high-spins $S = \frac{5}{2}$ with one additional itinerant electron per rung. Ladders II involve the Fe1 and Fe3 Fe²⁺ cations with $S=2$ localized spins according to Mössbauer spectroscopy.^{2,[3](#page-6-3)} Ladders I show a structural and charge ordering transition at $T_c = 283$ K such that long and short bonds alternate along the ladder c axis.⁴ This transition is accompanied by an anomaly in the magnetization and a change of slope of the resistivity. The origin of this transition has been discussed in terms of excitonic instability.⁵ Fe₃BO₅ shows an antiferromagnetic transition at 112 K, weak ferromagnetism below 70 K, and another magnetic transition at 40 K where ferromagnetism disappears.^{1[,2](#page-6-2)} It is of primary importance to determine the evolution of charge and magnetic ordering in the whole temperature range between 300 and 10 K in order to gain insight into the complex physical behavior of this material. Previous x-ray diffraction studies 4.6 only provide structural information at 300, 144, and 15 K. Magnetic structure investigation at 5 K was reported by Attfield *et al.*, [7](#page-6-7) but nothing is known about magnetic orderings at intermediate temperatures. Here we present the results of a x-ray single-crystal diffraction experiment and of a neutron powder diffraction experiment both as function of temperature, aiming at providing detailed information about the charge and spin behavior of this complex, and original compound. Preliminary results were given in Refs. [8](#page-6-8) and [9.](#page-6-9)

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Single crystal and powder sample used for this work were synthesized and characterized according to Ref. [2.](#page-6-2)

A. Single-crystal x-ray diffraction

In order to investigate in close detail the charge ordering occurring at 283 K, we performed a single-crystal x-ray diffraction experiment as a function of temperature to follow the evolution of the structural parameters across the transi-

FIG. 1. (Color online) Structure of the high-temperature phase of Fe₃BO₅ above 290 K projected along the *c* axis. Light gray octahedra contain Fe cations with a +2 valence and dark gray ones Fe cations with an average valence of +2.66.

tion and in a large temperature range. The experiment was carried out with a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromatized Ag $K\alpha$ radiation $(\lambda = 0.5608 \text{ Å})$. The temperature was controlled with an Oxford Cryosystem nitrogen gas blower. A single-crystal sample was ground to a sphere of 0.1 mm radius. 180° omega scans with 2° frame size were used to collect the data up to sin θ/λ =0.9, with a 35 mm sample to detector distance, every 20 K between 320 and 110 K on cooling. Charge coupled device (CCD) data were processed, averaged, and corrected for absorption with the DENZO-SMN (Ref. [10](#page-6-10)) and MAXUS (Ref. [11](#page-6-11)) packages and refinements and structure analyses were performed using the JANA2000 software.¹² Although the transition was reported to appear at 283 K, 4 we could detect superstructure spots characteristic of a doubling of the *c* axis parameter already on the 290 K data. These data and those at lower temperature were therefore collected for the refinement on the basis of this double cell, while the 300, 310. and 320 K data were refined with the high-temperature phase unit cell. For the low-temperature phase, each experiment leads to about 2450 unique reflections of which approximately one half were superstructure reflections (1 odd) and \approx 1750 were considered as observed, i.e., had $I > 3\sigma(I)$ [where *I* and $\sigma(I)$ denote a Bragg reflection intensity and its standard deviation, respectively]. For the high-temperature phase, about 1260 unique reflections were obtained of which about 1050 were observed. The structure of ludwigite has space group *Pbam* with cell parameters *a* = 9.465 Å, *b*= 12.310 Å, and *c*= 3.077 Å at 320 K. As stated above, all superstructure spots appearing below 290 K could be indexed by doubling the *c* axis parameter. The systematic extinction condition lead to space group *Pbnm*, as reported by Mir *et al.*[4](#page-6-4) The refined atomic coordinates at 320 and 110 K are shown in Table [I.](#page-1-0)

It can be seen that the main consequence of the phase transition on the structure is that some atomic positions split into two positions which are no longer equivalent by symmetry in the low-temperature phase. It is also noteworthy that among the Fe cations, only the Fe4 cations undergo such a splitting, which suggests that the transition can be driven by charge ordering in the Fe4-Fe2-Fe4 ladders along which one electron is delocalized at high temperature. This is clearly visible in Fig. [2:](#page-1-1) above the transition, the Fe2 cations present a strongly anisotropic atomic displacement parameter (adp) along the rung, while below it, this adp drastically decreases and the Fe2 position is displaced toward Fe4a or Fe4b in an alternate way along the *c* axis. In order to characterize this effect, the ionic valences were calculated using the bond valence sum (bvs) method.^{1[3](#page-2-0)} In Fig. 3 represented as function of temperature are the evolutions of the Fe-Fe distances along the rungs of the Fe4-Fe2-Fe4 ladders, the Fe cation valences, and the Fe2 adps. These parameters were found to display the most prominent modifications across the transition. At higher temperature, the Fe2-Fe4 distance is \approx 2.79 Å; well below the transition, Fe2-Fe4a \approx 2.61 Å, an extremely short distance (the Fe-Fe distance in metallic iron is \approx 2.48 Å), while Fe2-Fe4b increases to \approx 2.95 Å. At the same time, the valences of Fe4a and Fe4b become different. Above the transition, the Fe4 cation valence is found to be +2.67, which is the expected value if one extra electron is

TABLE I. Positional parameters for ludwigite at 320 and 110 K from single-crystal x-ray diffraction.

$T = 320$ K						
Atom	Pos.	\boldsymbol{x}	y	$\ensuremath{\mathnormal{Z}}$		
Fe1	2a	θ	θ	Ω		
Fe ₂	2d	1/2	θ	1/2		
Fe3	4g	0.00029(3)	0.27433(3)	$\overline{0}$		
Fe ₄	4h	0.74436(3)	0.38746(3)	1/2		
B	4h	0.2687(3)	0.3617(2)	1/2		
O ₁	4h	0.8431(2)	0.0427(1)	1/2		
O ₂	4g	0.3874(2)	0.0787(1)	$\overline{0}$		
O ₃	4h	0.6229(2)	0.1382(1)	1/2		
O4	4g	0.1130(2)	0.1408(1)	Ω		
O ₅	4h	0.8409(2)	0.2360(1)	1/2		
		$T=110$ K				
Atom	Pos.	\boldsymbol{x}	\mathcal{Y}	$\ensuremath{\mathnormal{Z}}$		
Fe1	4a	θ	θ	Ω		
Fe ₂	4c	0.51174(3)	$-0.00266(2)$	1/4		
Fe3	8d	0.50017(2)	0.22596(2)	0.00257(3)		
Fe4a	4c	0.74924(3)	0.39071(3)	1/4		
Fe4b	4c	0.25988(3)	0.61513(3)	1/4		
Ba	4c	0.2652(3)	0.3629(2)	1/4		
B_b	4c	0.7292(3)	0.6400(2)	1/4		
O1a	4c	0.8451(2)	0.0437(1)	1/4		
O1b	4c	0.1585(2)	0.9583(1)	1/4		
O ₂	8d	0.8880(1)	0.4218(1)	$-0.0096(2)$		
O ₃ a	4c	0.6255(2)	0.1387(1)	1/4		
O3b	4c	0.3809(2)	0.8628(1)	1/4		
O4	8d	0.6133(2)	0.3591(1)	$-0.0025(2)$		
O5a	4c	0.8435(2)	0.2376(1)	1/4		
O5 _b	4c	0.1620(2)	0.7650(1)	1/4		

shared in a disordered way among the three $Fe³⁺$ cations (two Fe4 and one Fe2) which constitute the ladder rung. However, the Fe2 cation valence is found to be close to $+2.5$. This low value, together with the large and anisotropic adp for Fe2,

FIG. 2. Structural arrangement of the Fe4-Fe2-Fe4 ladders above and below the structural phase transition in $Fe₃BO₅$. The vertical direction corresponds to the *c* axis.

FIG. 3. Charge ordering and Fe cation pair formation in $Fe₃BO₅$ at the structural phase transition. Top: Fe-Fe distances along rungs of the Fe4-Fe2-Fe4 ladders. Middle: Fe cation valences calculated with the bvs method. Bottom: Atomic displacement parameters for the Fe2 cation. Error bars are smaller than the marker sizes

strongly indicates that this cation is in a disordered position above the transition, very probably dynamic, i.e., the cation vibrates between two positions symmetric about the rung center, coming alternately close to the two Fe4 cations at the rung extremities.

Below the transition, ordering occurs and the Fe2 displacements toward the rung extremities (Fe4a cations) alternate in a zigzag way along the *c* axis. At the same time the Fe2 adps become isotropic and similar to those of the other atoms. The Fe4a valence decreases to $\approx +2.53$, close to the Fe2 cation valence, while the Fe4b valence increases to \approx + 2.86. Clearly, this structural phase transition is related to the ordering of charges between Fe4a and Fe4b. A schematic picture can be proposed where the Fe2 and Fe4a cations form a pair by sharing the common electron which was delocalized over the whole rung in the high-temperature phase, while the Fe4b valence becomes +3. However, as indicated by the anomalous behavior of the Fe2 cations, the Fe2-Fe4 pairs probably already exist in the high-temperature phase but are dynamically disordered between the two sides of the rung. Therefore, the transition can also be viewed as an ordering of the pairs or of the electrons which are responsible for their existence. On cooling toward the transition, the decrease of the available thermal energy could be the driving force to induce the localization of the Fe2 cations (or Fe2-Fe4 pairs). The zigzag order along the *c* axis of the Fe2-Fe4 pairs would be a way to minimize the structural distortions effects induced by this localization.

As can be seen in Fig. [3,](#page-2-0) the phase transition takes place over a quite wide temperature range of more than 50 K before all parameter values are stabilized. This indicates that a large amount of disorder is still present down to ≈ 200 K. Furthermore, the values at which the evolution of the Fe cation valences saturate do not correspond to complete charge ordering even down to 110 K. This will have important consequences for the magnetic behavior of this compound, since the magnetic ordering process will take place on a context of dynamical charge disorder, at least within the Fe4-Fe2-Fe4 ladders.

B. Neutron powder diffraction experiment

In order to investigate the evolution of the magnetic ordering for $Fe₃BO₅$, a neutron powder diffraction (NPD) experiment was performed on the D20 instrument of the I.L.L. The 5 g sample was put in a cylindrical vanadium can inside an orange cryostat. A wavelength of 1.3 \AA from the Cu(200) monochromator reflection was used, which corresponds to the optimized flux configuration of the instrument. The sample was first heated to 320 K, that is above the structural phase transition, and then cooled at an approximately constant rate of 1 K/9 min down to 10 K while diffractograms were continuously measured every 10 min. Each diffractogram covers a temperature range of \approx 1.1 K. The data were analyzed using the program FULLPROF.^{[14](#page-6-14)} The structural phase transition is almost undetectable with these data. The only observable though very weak superstructure reflections are the $(1\ 3\ 1/2)$ and $(2\ 2\ 1/2)$ at about 23.4° .

The thermal evolution of the low angle part of the neutron diffractograms is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-3-0) The evolutions of the diffracted intensities at three selected angles are shown in Fig. [5.](#page-3-1) The chosen angles correspond to the positions of two magnetic peaks and of a background point in between them. At high temperatures, a broad hump centered at about 14° is clearly visible in the background in Fig. [4.](#page-3-0) Its intensity increases steadily with decreasing temperature, as shown in Fig. [5.](#page-3-1) At 115 K, a first set of magnetic peaks appears, ac-

FIG. 4. (Color online) Low angle part of the neutron diffractograms for $Fe₃BO₅$ at 125, 75, and 14 K. The two different sets of magnetic peaks corresponding to the two ordering transitions are clearly visible, as well as magnetic diffuse scattering at the higher temperatures.

companied by a small decrease of background intensity. At 70 K, new magnetic peaks set in and the background decreases to its high angle value. In the whole temperature range, the magnetic peaks can be indexed on the basis of the crystallographic unit cell. These observations indicate that the compound undergoes two successive magnetic ordering transitions on cooling. Since a strong diffuse scattering of magnetic origin persists between the two transitions, the higher temperature magnetic order must concern only part of the spin system, the rest remaining disordered down to the second transition. The two magnetic structures were solved and refined using data at 82 and 10 K and their evolutions were followed by sequential refinement using FULLPROF. The treatments included a full structural refinement of the crystal

FIG. 5. (Color online) Evolution with temperature of the diffracted intensity for $Fe₃BO₅$ at three positions corresponding to background (crosses), higher temperature magnetic phase (circles), and lower temperature magnetic phase (squares).

TABLE II. Magnetic structure parameters for ludwigite at 82 K $(R_p= 6.57, R_{wp}= 6.61, R_{exp}= 1.44, \chi^2= 21.2, R_{Bragg}= 1.8, R_{mag}= 6.6$ and 10 K $(R_p = 7.86, R_{wp} = 8.18, R_{exp} = 1.31, \chi^2 = 39.1, R_{Bragg} = 2.6,$ $R_{\text{mag}} = 5.3$).

Magnetic structure at $T=82$ K					
Atom	Mx	My	Mz		
Fe ₂	0	2.3(1)	θ		
Fe4a	Ω	2.3(2)	$\mathbf{0}$		
Fe4b	Ω	2.4(2)	0		
	Magnetic structure at $T=10$ K				
Atom	Mx	Mv	Mz		
Fe1	3.3(2)	0	0		
Fe ₂	Ω	3.9(1)	0		
Fe3	4.0(1)	0	0		
Fe4a	0	2.74(7)	0		
Fe4b	0	2.74	0		

structure, with a single isotropic adp for each atom type. The peak shapes were described with a pseudo-Voigt function with reflection widths following the Cagliotti law. The peak asymmetry evidenced in Fig. [4](#page-3-0) is essentially due to axial divergence of the experimental setup. It was taken into account in the Rietveld refinement using the Berar empirical model.¹⁵

The results of the magnetic structure refinements at these two temperatures are given in Table [II.](#page-3-2) The Rietveld plot of the 10 K refinement is shown in Fig. [6.](#page-4-0) The high-temperature magnetic structure (HTMS) between 70 and 115 K was refined to $R_{\text{mas}} = 6.6\%$. It involves only the Fe2, Fe4a, and Fe4b cations from ladder I. As shown in Fig. [7,](#page-4-1) it consists in ferromagnetically coupled antiferromagnetic chains running along the *c* axis within each ladder. All moments are aligned along the *b* axis. The refined values of the moments on Fe2, Fe4a, and Fe4b were equal at $2.3(1)$ μ B. During sequential refinement as function of temperature, a more stable behavior was obtained by constraining the moments on Fe4a and Fe4b to be equal. The highest moment values obtained just above the second transition were 2.7(1) μ B for Fe2 and 2.51(7) μ B for Fe4a and Fe4b. The low-temperature magnetic structure (LTMS) is induced by the ordering of ladders II. As shown in Fig. [7,](#page-4-1) ladders II are formed by antiferromagnetically coupled ferromagnetic chains running along *c*, all moments being aligned along the *a* axis. The evolution of the ordered magnetic moments is depicted in Fig. [8.](#page-4-2) At 10 K, the Fe1 and Fe3 moment values are $3.3(2)$ μ B and 4.0(1) μ B, respectively. The spin arrangement on ladders I remains similar to the HTMS one, but the transition is accompanied by an increase of the Fe2 moments to 3.9(1) μ B at 10 K, while the Fe4a and Fe4b moments stay practically constant at 2.7(1) μ B down to the lowest temperatures. This arrangement is close to the one reported by Attfield *et al.*, [7](#page-6-7) but in their case all moments were considered as equal. If we try to refine our data with the same constraint, the refinement becomes clearly worse, with $R_{\text{mag}} \approx 12\%$ compared to 5.3%. We can also obtain slightly better refinements by allowing 7 14 21 28 35 42 49 56 63 70 77

2 θ (º)

some of the moments to rotate in the (a,b) plane. Only Fe4b and Fe3 rotations out of their direction along the *b* and *a* axes, respectively, yield an improvement of R_{mag} by $\approx 1\%$. However, these positions were quite unstable as function of temperature. We consider that they are not well demonstrated by the refinements and that the most probable structure is the one described in Fig. [7.](#page-4-1) In order to check the consistency of the magnetic structures determined experimentally with the space group symmetry, we performed a group theory analysis based on the method of Bertaut¹⁶ using the BASIREPS software.¹⁷ For the *Pbnm* space group, there are eight onedimensional real irreducible representations for the little group *Gk* associated to the observed magnetic propagation vector (0,0,0). For sites Fe2, Fe4a, and Fe4b, the magnetic arrangement is given by the basis vectors of the four representations: $(u, v, 0)$, $(-u, -v, 0)$, $(-u, v, 0)$, $(u, -v, 0)$, where only the component along the *b* axis is found nonzero experimentally. For sites Fe1 and Fe3, the magnetic arrangement is given by the basis vectors of the five representations: $(u, v, w), (u, -v, w), (u, -v, -w), (u, v, -w), [u, v, w),$ *u*,−*v*,*w*), (*u*,−*v*,−*w*), (*u*,*v*,−*w*)]. This corresponds to a fer-

-40000

-10000

20000

50000

80000

110000

Intensity (arb. units)

Intensity (arb. units)

140000

170000

FIG. 7. Magnetic structure at 82 K (left) and 10 K (right) for Fe3BO5. Only the Fe atoms are shown. At 82 K: Fe1 and Fe3: white (no moment); Fe2: gray, Fe4a, Fe4b: black. At 10 K: Fe1 and Fe3: light gray; Fe2: dark gray, Fe4a, Fe4b: black.

romagnetic component along the *a* axis. It could also imply an antiferromagnetic component in the (b, c) plane which is not observed experimentally. In the case of a magnetic structure with several magnetic sites (here five sites corresponding to the five nonequivalent Fe cations), the onset of the magnetic transitions depends on the relative strengths of the intrasite and intersite interactions. In the present case, the Fe2, Fe4a, and Fe4b cations order first with basis vectors belonging to the same representation. This means that the intersite interactions are dominant between these sites forming ladder I. The situation is similar for the Fe1 and Fe3 cations on ladder II which order at lower temperature with the basis vector of the same representation (but different from the one for ladder I). These different transition temperatures and representations for to the two ladders indicate that the magnetic interactions between them are weaker than those prevailing within each of them.

FIG. 8. Evolution with temperature of the magnetic moments for $Fe₃BO₅$ from Rietveld refinement of NPD data. The moduli of Fe4a and Fe4b are constrained to be equal at all temperatures.

III. DISCUSSION

The single-crystal x-ray diffraction analysis down to 110 K indicates in ladder I an electron localization and Fe2-Fe4a pair formation with a very short Fe-Fe bond length. In view of the calculated bond valences, it seems however that the electron remains delocalized between the Fe2 and Fe4a cations. The 15 K data reported by Mir *et al.*[6](#page-6-6) are consistent with this picture. However the presence of a single data point at 15 K prevents from detecting a possible further electronic localization correlated with the magnetic ordering transitions. Our neutron powder diffraction data, aimed at solving the magnetic structures, do not have sufficient resolution to tackle this question and are hindered by the presence of magnetic peaks. The present NPD experiment shows that a considerable dynamic magnetic scattering is already present a high temperature down to the 70 K transition. This indicates the existence of strong magnetic correlations at temperatures 4 times higher than the ordering temperature. The magnetic ordering transition at 112 K concerns only the Fe cations in ladders I, while ladders II remain disordered and still display very strong magnetic correlations. This is a direct proof of the very weak magnetic coupling between the two types of ladders in this system. In ladders I, the coupling along a rung is ferromagnetic, with negligible difference of moments between the three Fe cations. The rungs are antiferromagnetically coupled along the ladder (and c axis) direction. This is in contrast to the theoretical predictions of Whangbo *et al.*[18](#page-6-18) based on the strongly interacting spin units approach, who proposed antiferromagnetic coupling in the ladders rungs. Recently, Vallejo and Avignon¹⁹ proposed an interpretation taking into account the prominent role of itinerant electrons in this system, which was able to predict the right magnetic structure. This is also supported by the relatively moderate values of the resistivity ($\approx 10 \Omega$ cm at room temperature⁴) which is only slightly modified by the charge ordering transition. The value of 2.3 μ B for the Fe cation moments is much smaller than what is expected for Fe cations $(5 \mu B)$ and 4 μ B for Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺, respectively). This may be another indication that magnetic and electronic orderings are not complete even in ladders I in the 70–112 K temperature range. Below 70 K, a new magnetic order sets in, where the Fe cations of ladders II form ferromagnetic chains along the *c* axis which are coupled antiferromagnetically. The magnetic order on ladders I is not modified, except for an increase of the Fe2 moments. Examining Fig. [7,](#page-4-1) one can notice that the Fe2 antiferromagnetic chains of ladders I are weakly connected to ladders II through two Fe3 ferromagnetic chains. Therefore, this Fe2 moment increase is probably not a consequence of the magnetic ordering of ladders II. It might be related to a further ordering of charges between the Fe2 and Fe4a cations, but as stated above, we do not have any direct proof of this effect yet. The difference of magnetic coupling along the rung of ladders I and ladders II probably originates in the strong structural difference between the two types of ladders. The Fe2, Fe4a, and Fe4b cations of ladders I are connected along a rung via edge sharing at distances

between 2.6 and 2.95 Å. The Fe1 and Fe3 cations of ladders II are connected via corner sharing at distances above 3.35 Å. At 10 K, the Fe1 and Fe3 moment values $(3.3 \text{ and } 3.3)$ 3.9 μ B) are reasonable for a Fe²⁺ cation, but those for Fe2, Fe4a, and Fe4b cations of ladders I are still small compared to expected values. Since there are twice as many Fe3 than Fe1 cations per cell, the magnetic arrangement leads to a net moment along the *a* axis of 18.9 μ B per cell (i.e., 0.79 μ B per Fe cation). Indeed, the presence of ferromagnetism was demonstrated in this compound below 70 K (Ref. [2](#page-6-2)) by magnetization measurements. However, the same authors observed its disappearance below 40 K. We do not detect any anomalous change in the NPD diffractograms around this temperature. If the magnetic structure is preserved, the disappearance of the net magnetic moment along the *a* axis would be possible only if the magnitude of the Fe1 moments becomes twice that of the Fe3 moments, which would certainly be detectable with our data. The origin of this magnetization change at 40 K is to be searched elsewhere.

IV. SUMMARY

In this study, we have used single-crystal x-ray diffraction and neutron powder diffraction as function of temperature to investigate the charge and magnetic ordering in $Fe₃BO₅$ ludwigite. A charge ordering transition occurs close to room temperature. X-ray diffraction indicates that it takes place over a wide temperature range between 200 and 300 K. It consists in an order-disorder transition within one of the two three-leg ladders contained in the structure and is related to the localization of an electron to form a pair of iron cations within the ladder's rung. Above the transition this electron is dynamically delocalized over the three iron cations forming the rung. To minimize the effects of the structural distortion created by this electron localization, the iron cation pairs order in a zigzag way along the ladder's direction. At lower temperatures, ludwigite has been reported to undergo two successive antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic ordering transitions at 112 and 70 K, respectively. Refinements of neutron powder diffraction data indicate that the first transition is due to magnetic ordering of the ladders which chargeorder around room temperature, forming ferromagnetically coupled antiferromagnetic chains running along the ladder axis. At 70 K, magnetic order of the second type of ladders sets in. It consists in antiferromagnetically coupled ferromagnetic chains running along the ladder axis. This ferrimagnetic arrangement remains unchanged down to 10 K and leads to a net magnetic moment of \approx 19 μ B per cell at low temperature. We observe no modification of the NPD diffractograms around 40 K, where the ferromagnetic component has been reported to disappear.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank V. Simonet, J. Dumas, M. Avignon, and M. Continentino for fruitful discussions, J. Fernandes for providing us with the samples, and T. Hansen for his help during the D20 experiment.

*pierre.bordet@grenoble.cnrs.fr

- ¹M. A. Continentino, J. C. Fernandes, R. B. Guimaraes, B. Boechat, and A. Saguia, in *Frontiers in Magnetic Materials*, edited by A. V. Narlikar (Springer, New York, 2005), Vol. 24, p. 385.
- 2R. B. Guimaraes, M. Mir, J. C. Fernandes, M. A. Continentino, H. A. Borges, G. Cernicchiaro, M. B. Fontes, D. R. S. Candela, and E. Baggio-Saitovich, Phys. Rev. B 60, 6617 (1999).
- ³ J. Larrea J., D. R. Sanchez, F. J. Litterst, E. M. Baggio-Saitovitch, J. C. Fernandes, R. B. Guimaraes, and M. A. Continentino, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 174452 (2004); J. Larrea, D. R. Sanchez, F. J. Litterst, and E. Baggio-Saitovitch, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, L949 (2001); J. Larrea, D. R. Sanchez, E. Baggio-Saitovitch, J. C. Fernandes, R. B. Guimaraes, M. A. Continentino, and F. J. Litterst, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **226-230**, 1079 (2001).
- 4M. Mir, R. B. Guimaraes, J. C. Fernandes, M. A. Continentino, A. C. Doriguetto, Y. P. Mascarenhas, J. Ellena, E. E. Castellano, R. S. Freitas, and L. Ghivelder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 147201 $(2001).$
- 5A. Latge and M. A. Continentino, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 094113 $(2002).$
- ⁶M. Mir, J. Janczak, and Y. P. Mascarenhas, J. Appl. Crystallogr. **39**, 42 (2006).
- ⁷ J. Paul Attfield, John F. Clarke, and David A. Perkins, Physica B **180-181**, 581 (1992).
- 8P. Bordet and E. Suard, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. **61**, C57 (2005).
- 9P. Bordet, B. Anterion, M. Mir, R. B. Guimaraes, J. C. Fernandes, and M. A. Continentino, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. **58**, C363 (2002).
- 10Z. Otwinowski and W. Minor, in *Methods in Enzymology*, edited by C. W. Carter, Jr. and R. M. Sweet (Academic, New York, 1997), Vol. 276, pp. 307-326.
- 11S. Mackay, C. J. Gilmore, C. Edwards, N. Stewart, and K. Shankland, *maXus Computer Program for the Solution and Refinement of Crystal Structures* (Bruker Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands, 1999).
- 12V. Petricek, M. Dusek, and L. Palatinus, *Jana2000. The Crystallographic Computing System* (Institute of Physics, Praha, Czech Republic, 2000).
- 13N. E. Brese and M. O'Keeffe, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci. **47**, 192 (1991).
- ¹⁴ J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, Physica B **192**, 55 (1993).
- ¹⁵ J.-F. Bérar and G. Baldinozzi, J. Appl. Crystallogr. **26**, 128 $(1993).$
- 16E. F. Bertaut, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. **A24**, 217 (1968).
- ¹⁷ J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, program available with the FULLPROF distribution.
- 18M. H. Whangbo, H. J. Koo, J. Dumas, and M. A. Continentino, Inorg. Chem. 41, 2193 (2002).
- ¹⁹ E. Vallejo and M. Avignon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 217203 (2006); J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **310**, 1130 (2007).