# Microstructure, magnetotransport, and magnetic properties of Gd-doped amorphous carbon

Li Zeng\*

Materials Science and Engineering Program, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

E. Helgren and F. Hellman

Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

R. Islam and David J. Smith

Center for Solid State Science and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, USA

J. W. Ager III

*Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA* (Received 26 January 2007; revised manuscript received 20 March 2007; published 29 June 2007)

The magnetic rare earth element gadolinium (Gd) was doped into thin films of amorphous carbon (hydrogenated *a*-C:H, or hydrogen-free *a*-C) using magnetron cosputtering. The Gd acted as a magnetic as well as an electrical dopant, resulting in an enormous negative magnetoresistance below a temperature (T'). Hydrogen was introduced to control the amorphous carbon bonding structure. High-resolution electron microscopy, ion-beam analysis, and Raman spectroscopy were used to characterize the influence of Gd doping on the a-Gd<sub>x</sub>C<sub>1-x</sub>(:H<sub>y</sub>) film morphology, composition, density, and bonding. The films were largely amorphous and homogeneous up to x=22.0 at. %. As the Gd doping increased, the  $sp^2$ -bonded carbon atoms evolved from carbon chains to 6-member graphitic rings. Incorporation of H opened up the graphitic rings and stabilized a  $sp^2$ -rich carbon-chain random network. The transport properties not only depended on Gd doping, but were also very sensitive to the  $sp^2$  ordering. Magnetic properties, such as the spin-glass freezing temperature and susceptibility, scaled with the Gd concentration.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.75.235450

PACS number(s): 61.43.Dq, 75.50.Pp, 68.55.-a, 71.23.Cq

## I. INTRODUCTION

Amorphous carbon (a-C) thin films are amorphous semiconductors with a tunable band gap. Unlike other group IV amorphous semiconductors, such as a-Si or a-Ge, which have a tetrahedral  $sp^3$ -bonded random-network matrix, a typical *a*-C film has a mixture of  $sp^2$  and  $sp^3$  bonding. Changing the  $sp^2/sp^3$  ratio tunes the band gap of *a*-C between that of diamond (100%  $sp^3$ , band gap  $E_q=5.4$  eV) and graphite (100%  $sp^2$ , semimetal with  $E_g=0$ ). Studies of a-C, diamondlike materials and carbon nanotubes have long focused on the superior mechanical properties. However, recent developments in electronic and spintronic materials have drawn attention to the electrical, optical, and magnetoelectronic properties.<sup>1</sup> Room-temperature positive magnetoresistance (MR  $\sim$  60%) was found in some magnetically doped carbon thin films such as  $Co_rC_{1-r}$  (Ref. 2) and  $Ni_rC_{1-r}$ (Ref. 3), with granular magnetic particles in an amorphous carbon matrix. Room-temperature positive MR was also reported for nonmagnetically doped (B doped) polycrystalline diamond thin films (MR  $\sim$  100%) (Refs. 4 and 5) and undoped *a*-C/*n*-Si (MR  $\sim$  12%) two-layer structures.<sup>6</sup> These results suggest potential technological applications of carbon-based thin films for electronics and spintronics applications.

In this work, we have cosputtered *a*-C and the magnetic rare earth element Gd [a-Gd<sub>x</sub>C<sub>1-x</sub>(:H<sub>y</sub>)] with a wide range of *x*, and studied the magnetic and magnetotransport properties. Gd has a half-filled *f* electron shell and is trivalent in solids, which gives rise to a large local moment with J=S=7/2 and

three valence electrons. Previous studies on Gd-doped e-beam coevaporated amorphous Si have shown strong interactions between the magnetic moments and carriers leading to very large negative MR (e.g.,  $10^5$  at 1 K), anomalous magneto-optical properties and spin-glass freezing (Refs. 7-10). The magnitude of this temperature-dependent negative MR below a characteristic temperature  $(T^*)$  was suggested to depend on electron screening related to the metallicity of the amorphous matrix.<sup>8</sup> Screening is related to the band gap of the host semiconductor materials: narrower band gap corresponding to larger dielectric constant ( $\epsilon$ ) causing more screening and reducing the effective interaction, leading to smaller MR and lower  $T^*$ . Evidence for this trend was that MR and  $T^*$  were smaller for high x and for a-Gd-Ge compared to *a*-Gd-Si samples.<sup>11</sup> These results suggest that the MR will be larger and occur at higher temperatures for a wider band gap matrix. One motivation of the present study was to make use of the tunable band gap of a-C, which depends on the  $sp^2/sp^3$  configuration, and then to study the magnetotransport properties of this type of thin film.

The inclusion of Gd is shown to have large effects on transport and magnetic properties of the a-C(:H) films. The dual roles of Gd in this material lead to strong interactions between the carriers and the magnetic moments, giving an enormous negative MR with strong temperature dependence at low temperature. Gd affects the film transport property by two means: one is by electrical doping and the other is by influencing the carbon bonding structure. Correlations between the microstructure, bonding, and physical properties are discussed, and explanations for the properties are pro-

vided based on material and electronic structures.

# **II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

The a-Gd-C(:H) films were prepared by cosputtering 99.9% pure Gd (dc sputtering) and 99.999% pure pyrolytic graphite (rf sputtering) targets onto floating substrates held at room temperature. Samples for ion-beam analysis were grown on MgO substrates, and samples for magnetization and magnetotransport measurements were grown on insulating amorphous SiN<sub>x</sub>-covered Si substrates. Various Gd doping concentrations were achieved by controlling the ratio of the Gd/C flux. The base pressure prior to deposition was  $\sim 5 \times 10^{-8}$  Torr. The sputtering gas (Ar, 99.9995% purity) working pressure was kept low (1.3-2.0 mTorr) in order to increase the mean free path of the sputtered atoms, thus preserving the initial kinetic energy of the sputtered target atoms, which is desirable for decreasing the  $sp^2/sp^3$  ratio.<sup>12</sup> At this low sputtering pressure, the mean free path is comparable to the distance between cathode and substrate holder (5 cm), so the number of collisions is  $\sim 1$ . Therefore, the adatom energy is likely to be preserved near the initial energy [estimated to be 5-10 eV for carbon, based on typical sputtering power/current/voltage values: 250 W/2 mA/900 V (Ref. 13)] gained from the Ar-target atom momentum-transfer process. It has been reported that the concentration of metastable  $sp^3$  bonds increases with C atom energy and reaches a maximum in an energy window  $\sim 100 \text{ eV}$ .<sup>12</sup> Therefore, typical sputtered *a*-C films are dominated by  $sp^2$  sites. In order to suppress the graphitic  $sp^2$ bonding and promote the  $sp^3$  bonding, we introduced H atoms using a 95% Ar: 5% H<sub>2</sub> mixture gas at the substrate in addition to pure Ar gas introduced at the Gd and C targets. Different H incorporation was achieved by fixing the Ar flow rate at 10 sccm and changing mixture gas flow rate or by introducing the pure mixture gas at the targets as the sputtering gas.

Ion-beam analysis including Rutherford backscattering (RBS) with C resonance energy and hydrogen forward scattering (HFS) was used to determine the Gd, C, and H concentrations. RBS also provided the atomic areal density from which atomic number density was obtained based on the film thickness as measured by Nanopics<sup>®</sup> atomic force microscope profilometer. High resolution cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (HR-XTEM) with a JEOL JEM-4000EX was used to determine the film microstructure. Magnetization was measured in a Quantum Design MPMS® superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer. Magnetotransport measurements were carried out on samples with lithographically defined Hall bar patterns, with the magnetic field applied parallel to the film plane and to the applied current. This geometry leads to effects dominated by interactions with the Gd spin, rather than quantum backscattering.

# III. MATERIAL AND STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION

## A. High resolution electron microscopy

Figure 1 shows typical HR-XTEM images for two samples. For both the hydrogen-free [Fig. 1(a)] and hydro-



FIG. 1. HR-XTEM micrographs for (a) sputtered hydrogen free *a*-C with 22.0 at. % Gd (a-Gd<sub>0.22</sub>C<sub>0.78</sub>); (b) sputtered hydrogenated *a*-C:H with 15.6 at. % Gd (a-Gd<sub>0.156</sub>C<sub>0.844</sub>:H<sub>0.20</sub>).

genated [Fig. 1(b)] Gd-doped films, the electron micrographs show dense amorphous microstructure, without any observable clustering or crystallization up to at least 22 at. % Gd. The resulting films were very stable in ambient conditions. The same dense and featureless microstructure was also observed in other sputtered amorphous alloys prepared at low Ar pressure, such as *a*-Gd-Si.<sup>14</sup> By contrast, *a*-Gd-Si showed open columnar structure leading to massive oxidation when sputtered at Ar pressures of ~6 mTorr. These results demonstrate that, under proper conditions, magnetron cosputtering is an excellent method for obtaining a uniform distribution of dopants in amorphous group IV semiconductors (well above the thermodynamic equilibrium).

## B. Rutherford backscattering and hydrogen forwardscattering spectrometry

Figure 2 shows a RBS spectrum for an *a*-Gd-C sample. The backscattering yield *Y* is proportional to (*Nt*), the areal density of the element of interest, where *N* is the number density (in atoms/cm<sup>3</sup>) and *t* is the film thickness. *Y* is proportional to  $Z^2$  so that low-*Z* elements, such as carbon, have a much smaller yield than high-*Z* elements, such as Gd. Moreover, the backscattering energy for light elements is low causing the signal to become convoluted with that from the



FIG. 2. (Color online) Typical RBS spectrum for Gd-doped H-free amorphous C film with incoming <sup>4</sup>He energy  $E_0$  at 2 MeV; inset shows RBS spectrum for the same sample with  $E_0$  at carbon resonance energy of 4.3 MeV. The  $\Box$  denotes experimental data and the dashed line denotes the simulation fits.

substrate, as seen in the main plot of Fig. 2. The <sup>4</sup>He energy was therefore tuned to 4.3 MeV, where <sup>4</sup>He undergoes a nuclear resonance elastic scattering with C, thus increasing the backscattering cross section of C and enhancing the C signal by a factor of  $\sim 10$ , shown as the sharp peak in the Fig. 2 inset. This technique measures the film composition with greater accuracy, and is essential to the quantitative study of any Gd concentration-dependent properties, such as the metal-insulator (*M*-*I*) transition or film magnetization.

The H content in the a-Gd-C:H films was measured by hydrogen forward scattering (HFS). The HFS samples were capped with a thin silver layer in order to separate the H signal in the sample from the signal of hydrocarbon contamination on the film surface. The hydrogen yields were calibrated with a Kapton sheet. For (95%Ar:5%H<sub>2</sub>)/Ar gas flow ratio up to 10%, H incorporation was about 5 at. %  $(a-C_{0.95}:H_{0.05})$  and was not very sensitive to the ratio. Films were also prepared with the pure mixture 95% Ar: 5% H<sub>2</sub> gas introduced at the targets, which caused noticeable changes in the bonding structure indicated by Raman spectrum discussed below. The HFS experiment was not performed on these samples, but the H at. % concentration was estimated to be high, ~20 at. % (a-C<sub>x</sub>:H<sub>0.2x</sub>) based on Raman spectroscopy in the literature. Despite this high H concentration, the pure a-C:H films were still very scratch resistant, as were the Gd-doped films which had a metallic appearance, showing no visible sign of polymerization.

### C. Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy is widely used to obtain information on  $sp^2$  and  $sp^3$  bonding in amorphous carbon films.<sup>15</sup> Disordered carbon systems have two major Raman active modes when excited with a 488 nm excitation laser:<sup>16</sup> one at 1580 cm<sup>-1</sup> of  $E_{2g}$  symmetry, labelled *G*; the other at 1350 cm<sup>-1</sup> of  $A_{1g}$  symmetry, labelled *D*. Both modes correspond to the  $sp^2$  bonding, but are related to different atomic arrangement of the  $sp^2$  sites. The *G* mode is the stretching vibration of any pair of  $sp^2$ -bonded sites, whether in chains



FIG. 3. (Color online) Raman spectra for different types of amorphous carbon. Note the evolution of two Raman modes, D peak centered at ~1390 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and G peak centered at ~1550 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The *ta*-C was prepared by cathodic-arc deposition, while the other films were prepared by magnetron sputtering.

or in rings, while the *D* mode is the collective breathing mode of the  $sp^2$  sites in 6-member graphitic rings, thus only related to disordered graphitic sites. Depending on the  $sp^2$ fraction and arrangement (whether in chains or in graphitic rings), the relative peak intensity, the peak position and the peak width of the two Raman modes will change accordingly. As  $sp^2$  sites evolve towards  $sp^3$  sites, the ratio of the *D* peak intensity to the *G* peak intensity ( $I_D/I_G$ ) decreases monotonically.<sup>12</sup> When  $sp^3$  sites start to nucleate,  $I_D$  corresponding to graphitic bonds becomes negligible and the remaining  $sp^2$  sites arrange mainly in chains.

Figure 3 shows the Raman spectra for different types of amorphous carbon films, prepared by different growth methods (with and without H). We indeed observe two peaks, one centered at  $\sim 1390 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (the *D* peak) and the other centered at ~1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> (the G peak). As the H concentration in the film is increased, the  $I_D/I_G$  ratio [all peak fitting parameters in this work were obtained by a two-Gaussian fit unless stated otherwise; a Breit-Wigner-Fano (BWF) fit for the G peak and a Lorentzian for the D peak were also performed; the resulted qualitative trend was exactly the same] decreases, indicating that H opens up the graphitic rings and helps to form  $sp^2$ -bonded carbon chains as expected from the literature. The width (full-width at half-maximum from the Gaussian peak fit) of the G peak, which scales with disorder, is  $140-150 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  corresponding to a in-plane correlation length  $L_a$  less than 0.1 nm,<sup>12</sup> further assuring the amorphous nature of the matrix. We compare our sputtered  $a-C_{1-v}(:H_v)$ samples to a tetrahedral amorphous carbon film (denoted as *ta*-C, with predominant  $sp^3$  bonding) prepared by energetic vacuum cathodic-arc deposition (the top curve in Fig. 3). A nearly symmetric G peak with no significant D peak intensity indicates that there are little graphitic rings but primarily short  $sp^2$ -bonded chains remaining in a  $sp^3$ -dominant ta-C matrix. Even though the  $sp^2$  sites are a small fraction of the  $sp^3$  sites in *ta*-C, they still show a large G peak signal because the Raman cross section for the  $\pi$  bond is much larger than the  $\sigma$  bond in  $sp^3$ . According to the three-stage model proposed by Ferrari,<sup>17</sup> the  $sp^2$  fraction of our *a*-C(:H) is about 90%-100%, typical for films prepared by sputtering.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Raman spectra for sputtered Gd-doped (a) a-C:H; (b) a-C samples with different Gd doping. As Gd doping increases,  $I_D/I_G$  increases first and then decreases.  $I_D/I_G$  is larger than seen in any a-C(:H) film in the literature.

The incorporation of H changes the morphology of the matrix towards more "diamondlike" with less graphitic ring sites and  $sp^3$  sites would have been stabilized if a more energetic deposition method was used.

Figure 4 shows the Gd influence on the a-C(:H) structure. Although no changes were visible in the HR-XTEM micrographs as the Gd doping was increased (always amorphous and no clustering), there is a dramatic change of the  $sp^2$ bonding order. As shown in Fig. 4, the  $I_D/I_G$  ratio increases greatly with Gd concentration at first and then decreases. Starting as a low but broad shoulder next to the G peak in an undoped a-C(:H), the *D* peak develops into a major Raman peak when Gd concentration increases. In contrast to the H incorporation which makes the a-C more "diamondlike," Gd favors the formation of graphitic  $sp^2$  sites. After the  $I_D/I_G$ ratio reaches a maximum, it decreases slightly with the Gd concentration. The maximum  $I_D/I_G$  value (>4 by BWF +Lorentzian fit) is quite large compared to literature values which are typically  $\leq 2.5$ ,<sup>12</sup> suggesting a large fraction of completely disordered  $sp^2$  ring sites. The G peak width decreases after doping but is still broad (90–110 cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $L_a$  $\sim$  1 nm). These features were observed in both the hydrogenated [Fig. 4(a)] and H-free [Fig. 4(b)] samples. This



FIG. 5.  $\sigma_{dc}(T)$  of *a*-Gd-C with different Gd doping. Inset shows  $\sigma_{RT}$  as a function of Gd at. % for both H-free and hydrogenated samples. A peak in the  $\sigma_{RT}$  is visible.

structural change has a large consequence on the film transport properties as discussed later.

### **IV. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES**

#### A. dc transport

The dc conductivity ( $\sigma_{dc}$ ) and magnetotransport as a function of temperature (*T*) and magnetic field (*H*) was measured for the *a*-Gd-C(:H) alloys. Figure 5 shows that  $\sigma_{dc}(T)$  for the pure H-free sputtered *a*-C is very small, but greatly increases after Gd doping, thus the electrical doping effect of Gd is obvious. However,  $\sigma_{dc}(T)$  increases with Gd doping only up to 11 at. % Gd and then decreases with Gd doping. The 11 at. % H-free sample which has the highest  $\sigma_{dc}$  is very close to the *M*-*I* transition. *a*-Gd<sub>x</sub>C<sub>1-x</sub>:H<sub>y</sub> behaves and scales similarly. The inset of Fig. 5 shows the room temperature conductivity ( $\sigma_{RT}$ ) as a function of Gd at. % for both H-free and hydrogenated samples. The only difference between them is that at similar *x*, *a*-Gd<sub>x</sub>C<sub>1-x</sub>:H<sub>0.2</sub> has a much smaller  $\sigma_{dc}$  due to the more insulating hydrogenated matrix.

The high- $T \sigma_{dc}$  of a-Gd<sub>x</sub>C<sub>1-x</sub> has a linear slope and negative  $d\rho/dT$  (as shown in Fig. 5) as is found in other doped disordered semiconductors (Refs. 18–20). At low *T*, all samples show insulating behavior, with vanishing  $\sigma_{dc}$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$  K. The transport mechanism is that of the variable-range-hopping (VRH) type,

$$\sigma = A \, \exp\left[-\left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^{\nu}\right],\tag{1}$$

where  $\nu = 1/4$  if electron-electron interactions are not taken into account [Mott VRH (Ref. 21)] or  $\nu = 1/2$  if Coulomb repulsion between the carriers is included [Efros-Shklovskii VRH (Ref. 22)].  $\sigma_{dc}(T)$  at low-*T* fits  $T^{1/2}$  better than  $T^{1/4}$ , similar to *a*-Gd<sub>x</sub>Si<sub>1-x</sub> alloys on the insulating side near the *M*-*I* transition.<sup>23,24</sup>

Figure 6 shows the semilog plot of  $\sigma_{dc}$  as a function of  $T^{-1/2}$ , measured in different *H*. An enormous increase of  $\sigma_{dc}$  in 7 T (~10<sup>4</sup> at 2.5 K) can be seen. This enormous negative



FIG. 6.  $\sigma_{dc}$  (on a logarithmic scale) for a-Gd<sub>0.11</sub>C<sub>0.89</sub> vs  $T^{-1/2}$  in different *H*. Lines are fits to VRH with  $\nu$ =-1/2 at low *T*. Inset shows  $|MR| = |\Delta \rho| / \rho = \frac{|\rho_H - \rho_0|}{\rho_H}$  (*H*=7 T) vs *T*. Below T'=29 K (indicated by the arrow), MR is large and negative; above *T'*, MR is small and positive.

MR is found for both H-free and hydrogenated samples and behaves qualitatively the same. For brevity, results for a a-Gd<sub>0.11</sub>C<sub>0.89</sub> sample were shown to illustrate the two competing MR effects found in both types of films. One is a positive MR dominant at higher *T* (up to 100 K). This is a small effect (MR value, defined as MR= $\Delta \rho / \rho = \frac{\rho_H - \rho_0}{\rho_H}$ , is  $\leq 0.02$ ) and has very small *T* dependence, as shown in the inset of Fig. 6. The other is a significant large negative MR, which increases very strongly as *T* decreases. This negative MR dominates the small positive MR below a temperature *T'* (=29 K, defined as MR=0 at 7 T for the *a*-Gd<sub>0.11</sub>C<sub>0.89</sub> sample). A similar *T'* has also been found in Gd implanted *ta*-C (*ta*-C<sub>1-x</sub>:Gd<sub>x</sub>) samples.<sup>25</sup>

T' plays the role of  $T^*$  previously discussed for *a*-Gd-Si,<sup>8</sup> as the temperature below which Gd moments affect  $\sigma_{dc}$ , producing large negative MR.<sup>8</sup>  $T^*$  could not be defined for these (or other) insulating samples. T' is the temperature below which the negative MR due to carrier-moment interactions dominates the positive MR which is due to electron correlation effect in disordered electronic systems.<sup>26</sup>

Figure 7 shows MR as a function of H for the same sample. Large negative MR at 3 K, increasing with H, is visible. The inset expands the small MR region in order to show the positive MR as a function of the H field. Three temperature regions can be identified. At high T (>30 K), MR is positive up to 7 T. At moderate T (5–22 K), MR is positive at low field and crosses over to negative MR at high field. The crossover field ( $H_c$ ) decreases towards zero with decreasing T. Finally, at low T (3 K), MR is strongly negative with a large H dependence and does not saturate up to 7 T.

#### **B.** Magnetization

Figure 8 shows magnetization data for the a-Gd<sub>0.093</sub>C<sub>0.907</sub>:H<sub>0.05</sub> sample. This data is representative of all a-Gd<sub>x</sub>C<sub>1-x</sub>(:H<sub>y</sub>) samples since they behave magnetically the same. A split was observed between susceptibility curves measured after field cooled ( $\chi_{FC}$ ) and after zero field cooled



FIG. 7. (Color online) MR vs *H* on the linear scale for T=3, 5, and 30 K, respectively. Inset shows MR data on the expanded semilog scale near MR=0 for more temperatures. Small positive high-*T* MR and large negative low-*T* MR with a crossover between them at each temperature are visible.

 $(\chi_{ZFC})$ , indicating spin-glass freezing, as previously seen and extensively discussed in *a*-Gd-Si alloys.<sup>27</sup> The spin-glass states are a result of competing ferromagnetic (FM) and antiferromagnetic (AFM) interactions in disordered materials. Since the *f* shell of Gd is very tightly bound to the nuclei, direct interaction between the Gd moments is very unlikely. A RKKY (Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida) interaction was found in metallic Gd and GdSi<sub>2</sub> thus an indirect mediated RKKY-like exchange is likely the dominant interaction causing spin-glass freezing of our *a*-Gd-C(:H) alloys. A freezing temperature  $T_f$  (roughly where  $\chi_{ZFC}$  and  $\chi_{FC}$  data split) was confirmed by ac susceptibility ( $\chi_{AC}$ ) measurements, as shown in Fig. 8 inset (a).  $T_f$  and  $\chi$  increase with Gd concentration, both in the *a*-Gd-C samples and the *a*-Gd-C:H



FIG. 8. (Color online) Magnetization data for sputtered a-Gd<sub>0.093</sub>C<sub>0.907</sub>:H<sub>0.05</sub> sample. dc susceptibility  $\chi = M/H$  for H = 100 Oe measured after field cooling ( $\chi_{FC}$ ) in 100 Oe and after zero field cooling ( $\chi_{ZFC}$ ). ac susceptibility ( $\chi_{ac}$ ) measured in 135 Hz 4 Oe. Curie-Weiss fit to the data with  $p_{eff}=8.9\mu_B$  and  $\theta=1.2$  K, shown as a solid line. Inset (a) shows the expanded scale of low-T data ( $T_f=3.8$  K). Inset (b) shows M vs H at T=2 K for the same sample (line is a guide to the eye), compared to noninteracting Brillouin function.

samples. We note that all our samples are insulators according to the vanishing zero temperature conductivity, and are highly disordered (amorphous), making the nature of an RKKY interaction different from the conventional crystalline metallic materials. The localization length of electrons near the M-I transition is however significantly larger than the Gd-Gd spacing.

Above  $T_f$ , in the paramagnetic state,  $\chi_{ZFC}$  and  $\chi_{FC}$  overlap and both fit well to the Curie-Weiss (CW) law,

$$\chi_{\rm ZFC,FC} = \frac{A}{T - \theta},\tag{2}$$

where  $\theta$  is the CW temperature and the constant  $A = n_{Gd}p^2\mu_B^2/3k_B$ . The effective moment p (8.0–9.0 $\mu_B$ ) extracted from A is slightly higher than the value for a free Gd<sup>3+</sup> ion (7.9 $\mu_B$ ) presumably due to polarization of carriers. There is no Gd concentration dependence of p.  $\theta$  is very close to 0 K ( $|\theta| \le 4$  K), slightly increasing with Gd doping. H incorporation does not have any significant effect on p or  $\theta$ . This is not like the a-Gd<sub>x</sub>Si<sub>1-x</sub> samples, where p is suppressed and shows a nontrivial dependence on Gd concentration.<sup>28</sup> No superparamagnetic or clustered glass behavior or enhanced effective moment was found, further evidence that Gd is uniformly distributed in the a-C(:H) matrix and indirectly coupled via RKKY-like interaction.

Figure 8 inset (b) shows the M vs H for the same sample. The high H magnetization is below but close to the noninteracting Brillouin function for free Gd<sup>3+</sup> ion, confirming the AFM interactions in the film. However, the magnetization response to H is much larger than the *a*-Gd-Si samples, whose M(H) curves are strongly suppressed below the Brillouin function due to much stronger AFM interactions.<sup>27</sup>

## **V. DISCUSSION**

As shown in the inset of Fig. 5,  $\sigma_{\text{RT}}$  increases then decreases, peaking at 11.0 and 13.5 at. % for a-Gd<sub>x</sub>-C<sub>1-x</sub> and a-Gd<sub>x</sub>-C<sub>1-x</sub>: H<sub>0.2</sub>, respectively. The nonmonotonic x dependence of  $\sigma_{\text{RT}}$  indicates that, in addition to electrically doping a-C(:H), Gd has other effects on the film properties when the doping level is high, which cause the overall  $\sigma_{\text{dc}}$  to decrease. As a result, a concentration-tuned M-I transition is not achieved for the a-Gd<sub>x</sub>C<sub>1-x</sub>(:H<sub>y</sub>) samples. In a-Gd-Si alloys,  $\sigma_{\text{dc}}$  decreased above 25 at. % Gd, due to formation of nanocrystalline clusters observable in the HR-XTEM micrographs.<sup>29</sup> Here, however, no crystalline clusters are observed in HR-XTEM micrographs (up to 22 at. % and 15.6 at. % for a-Gd-C and for a-Gd-C:H, respectively).

Another possible explanation of the  $\sigma_{dc}$  drop is reduced electronic density due to low atomic number density (n) of the amorphous film. *a*-C has many possible morphologies depending on the  $sp^2/sp^3$  ratio and arrangement. Gd dopants could introduce large local defects (e.g., voids) and lead to less dense *a*-C(:H) matrix, which should be reflected in a decrease of total number density ( $n_{total}$ ) of the film. We however do not observe any significant drop of  $n_{total}$  near the  $\sigma_{dc}$ maximum. If we let six carbon atoms replace one Gd atom in these doped films, we can estimate the effective mass density



FIG. 9. (Color online)  $\sigma_{\text{RT}}$  and  $I_D/I_G$  as a function of Gd concentration for (a) a-Gd<sub>x</sub>-C<sub>1-x</sub>:H<sub>0.20</sub>, (b) a-Gd<sub>x</sub>-C<sub>1-x</sub>.

( $\rho$ ) of the *a*-C(:H) matrix, which is between 1.9–2.6 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, consistent with good quality sputtered *a*-C(:H) films in the literature,<sup>12</sup> and ruling out the porous phase of *a*-C(:H), which has very low electronic density thus very low  $\sigma_{dc}$ .

We argue that the explanation for the nonmonotonic behavior of  $\sigma_{dc}$  can be deduced from the Raman spectra, which show an unambiguous change in the  $sp^2$  arrangement with Gd doping, correlated with the concentration dependence of  $\sigma_{\rm RT}$  as shown in Fig. 9. The  $I_D/I_G$  ratio first increases and then decreases, peaking at the same Gd concentration where  $\sigma_{\rm RT}$  reaches its maximum for both *a*-Gd-C and *a*-Gd-C:H. Samples with the highest  $\sigma_{dc}$  have the largest  $I_D/I_G$  ratio, indicating more disordered graphitic ring sites. These sites increase the  $\sigma_{dc}$  of the *a*-C(:H) matrix. The overall  $\sigma_{dc}$  for the high Gd concentration samples can be treated as a sum of  $\sigma$  of the *a*-C(:H) matrix and of the Gd doping sites, as in a two-channel conductivity model. Before reaching the  $\sigma_{\rm dc}$ maximum, the increasing Gd at. % and graphitic ring sites both contribute to the increasing  $\sigma_{dc}$ . However, the graphitic ring sites start to decrease after reaching the threshold doping and the matrix becomes less conducting. This compensates the doping effect of Gd and is responsible for the decreasing overall  $\sigma_{\rm dc}$ .

Turning now to the magnetotransport properties, there are few theoretical models to describe the large negative MR in magnetically doped disordered electronic systems. The bound magnetic polaron (BMP) model was used to explain the negative MR in doped crystalline semiconductor systems such as  $Cd_{1-x}Mn_xSe$  (Ref. 30) and  $Gd_{3-x}\nu_xS_4$ .<sup>31</sup> Our system however, has a much higher ratio of carriers to magnetic dopant sites compared to the above systems (of order 1:1 vs  $1:10^4$ ). Thus, BMP is not a relevant model. Instead we turn to an Anderson localization type model to explain the negative MR. The interaction between carriers and the randomly oriented Gd moments provides additional magnetic disorder to the structural disorder thus increasing the localization of the hopping carriers. Applying H reduces the magnetic disorder and increases the hopping conductance. This picture can qualitatively explain the large negative MR and emphasizes a single tunable parameter, namely the degree of disorder in both structure and magnetization, in these systems.

### VI. CONCLUSION

We have successfully prepared uniformly doped a-Gd<sub>x</sub>C<sub>1-x</sub>(:H<sub>y</sub>) samples with x up to 22 at. % and with variable amounts of H. For all samples, the magnetic ground state is a spin-glass with  $T_f$  between 2–6 K, scaling with x. Above  $T_f$ , the magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  follows a Curie-Weiss law with  $\theta \sim 0$  K and  $p_{\text{eff}} \sim 8.0-9.0 \mu_B$ , very close to the expected 7.9 $\mu_B$  for Gd<sup>3+</sup> and independent of x.  $\sigma_{\text{dc}}(T)$  initially increases with x, but decreases above 11 at. % (13.5 at. % for the hydrogenated samples) and is strongly correlated to the amount of graphitic ring sites in films. Gd incorporation favors formation of graphitic  $sp^2$  sites, while H incorporation opens up the graphitic rings, stabilizes  $sp^2$  chains and makes the a-C(:H) matrix more "diamondlike" hence lower  $\sigma_{\text{dc}}(T)$ . Raman  $I_D/I_G$  ratio after Gd doping is

larger than most pure a-C(:H) reported in the literature, suggesting that further modeling of the local bonding structure in this Gd-doped a-C material would be appropriate. The large low-T, high-H negative MR provides strong evidence of large interactions between the Gd moments and the charge carriers. A systematic study of the magnitude and onset temperature of the large negative MR by manipulating carbon matrix morphology towards more diamondlike (more  $sp^3$ fraction, higher band gap) could shed light on the underlying physics and is currently under active investigation.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank K. M. Yu and B. Wilkens for useful discussions and help with the RBS data, and D. Queen for assistance with the substrates. The authors acknowledge use of the facilities at the John M. Cowley Center for High Resolution Electron Microscopy at Arizona State University. This research was supported by NSF Grants Nos. DMR-0505524 and DMR-0203907.

\*Electronic address: lzeng@ucsd.edu

- <sup>1</sup>D. Schneider, C. F. Meyer, H. Mai, B. Schoneich, H. Ziegele, H. J. Scheibe, and Y. Lifshitz, Diamond Relat. Mater. **7**, 973 (1998).
- <sup>2</sup>Q. Z. Xue, X. Zhang, and D. D. Zhu, Physica B **334**, 216 (2003).
- <sup>3</sup>Q. Z. Xue and X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. A **313**, 461 (2003).
- <sup>4</sup>W. L. Wang, K. J. Liao, and B. B. Wang, Diamond Relat. Mater. 9, 1612 (2000).
- <sup>5</sup>Y. J. Fei, D. Yang, X. Wang, Q. B. Meng, X. Wang, Y. Y. Xiong, Y. X. Nie, and K.-a. Feng, Diamond Relat. Mater. **11**, 49 (2002).
- <sup>6</sup>Q. Z. Xue and X. Zhang, Carbon 43, 760 (2005).
- <sup>7</sup>W. Teizer, F. Hellman, and R. C. Dynes, Solid State Commun. 114, 81 (2000).
- <sup>8</sup>E. Helgren, J. J. Cherry, L. Zeng, and F. Hellman, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 113203 (2005).
- <sup>9</sup>F. Hellman, M. Q. Tran, A. E. Gebala, E. M. Wilcox, and R. C. Dynes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4652 (1996).
- <sup>10</sup>E. Helgren, L. Zeng, K. Burch, D. N. Basov, and F. Hellman, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 155201 (2006).
- <sup>11</sup>N. Sinenian, L. Zeng, E. Helgren, K. McCarthy, J. J. Cherry, F. Hellman, R. Islam, and D. J. Smith (unpublished).
- <sup>12</sup>J. Robertson, Mater. Sci. Eng., R. **37**, 129 (2002).
- <sup>13</sup>K. Meyer, I. K. Schuller, and C. M. Falco, J. Appl. Phys. 52, 5803 (1981).
- <sup>14</sup>L. Zeng, E. Helgren, F. Hellman, R. Islam, and D. J. Smith, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 184404 (2007).
- <sup>15</sup>B. S. Elman, M. Shayegan, M. S. Dresselhaus, H. Mazurek, and G. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. B 25, 4142 (1982).
- <sup>16</sup>As discussed in R. Saito et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 027401

(2002), additional small peaks may be buried under the broad D and G peaks.

- <sup>17</sup>A. C. Ferrari and J. Robertson, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 14095 (2000).
- <sup>18</sup>G. Hertel, D. J. Bishop, E. G. Spencer, J. M. Rowell, and R. C. Dynes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 743 (1983).
- <sup>19</sup>A. I. Yakimov and A. V. Dvurechenskii, JETP Lett. **65**, 354 (1997).
- <sup>20</sup>M. Liu and F. Hellman, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 054401 (2003).
- <sup>21</sup>N. F. Mott, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1, 1 (1968).
- <sup>22</sup>A. L. Efros and B. I. Shklovskii, J. Phys. C 8, L49 (1975).
- <sup>23</sup> W. Teizer, F. Hellman, and R. C. Dynes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 848 (2000).
- <sup>24</sup>P. Xiong, B. L. Zink, S. I. Applebaum, F. Hellman, and R. C. Dynes, Phys. Rev. B **59**, R3929 (1999).
- <sup>25</sup>L. Zeng, E. Helgren, H. Zutz, C. Ronning, and F. Hellman, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. **941**, Q08 (2006).
- <sup>26</sup>P. A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 287 (1985).
- <sup>27</sup> F. Hellman, D. R. Queen, R. M. Potok, and B. L. Zink, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5411 (2000).
- <sup>28</sup>F. Hellman, D. R. Queen, R. M. Potok, and B. L. Zink, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5411 (2000).
- <sup>29</sup>E. Helgren, L. Zeng, D. Queen, F. Hellman, R. Islam, and D. J. Smith, J. Appl. Phys. **101**, 093712 (2007).
- <sup>30</sup>T. Wojtowicz, T. Dietl, M. Sawicki, W. Plesiewicz, and J. Jaroszyński, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2419 (1986).
- <sup>31</sup>S. von Molnar, A. Briggs, J. Flouquet, and G. Remenyi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 706 (1983).