Strongly inhomogeneous phases and non-Fermi-liquid behavior in randomly depleted Kondo lattices

Ribhu K. Kaul[1,2] and Matthias Vojta[2,3]

[1]*Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708-0305, USA*
[2]*Institut für Theorie der Kondensierten Materie, Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*
[3]*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität zu Köln, Zülpicher Str. 77, 50937 Köln, Germany*

We investigate the low-temperature behavior of paramagnetic Kondo lattices upon random depletion of the local f moments by using strong-coupling arguments and solving SU(N) saddle-point equations on large lattices. For a large range of intermediate doping levels, between the coherent Fermi-liquid of the dense lattice and the single-impurity Fermi liquid of the dilute limit, we find strongly inhomogeneous states that exhibit distinct non-Fermi-liquid characteristics. The interplay of dopant disorder and strong interactions leads to rare weakly screened moments which dominate the bulk susceptibility. Our results are relevant to compounds like $Ce_xLa_{1-x}CoIn_5$ and $Ce_xLa_{1-x}Pb_3$.

PACS number(s): 75.20.Hr, 74.72.−h

INTRODUCTION

Heavy-fermion metals[1] are in the focus of correlated electron physics for numerous reasons. They feature a strongly correlated heavy Fermi-liquid (HFL) state that arises from the Kondo screening of a lattice of localized f moments by conduction electrons. The HFL phase can undergo quantum phase transitions to various magnetic phases, e.g., spin-density wave and spin-glass states. Remarkably, even the Fermi-liquid (FL) regime of heavy-fermion metals is not completely understood. Experimentally, different observables such as entropy, bulk susceptibility, and resistivity are employed to define characteristic crossover temperatures, but their precise relation to microscopic processes is not known. Usually, the behavior cannot be characterized by a single energy only; this situation is markedly different from that of a single magnetic impurity in a metal, where the single-impurity Kondo temperature $T_K^{(1)}$ is the only low-energy scale and all thermodynamic observables are universal functions of $T/T_K^{(1)}$ only.

A fascinating modification of Kondo lattices is the depletion of the local-moment sector by randomly replacing magnetic with nonmagnetic rare-earth ions. This allows one to continuously tune the system between the dense Kondo lattice and the isolated impurity—both limits are known to exhibit FL behavior (provided that no magnetic or superconducting order intervenes). This raises the following fundamental questions: (i) Do the two FL phases evolve continuously into each other upon changing the concentration of f moments? (ii) Over what range of doping is a picture of independent impurities applicable?

Early experimental studies on $Ce_xLa_{1-x}Pb_3$ (Ref. 2) indicated that a single-impurity picture may be valid over a rather large range of f-moment concentration: it was found that even at $x=0.6$ both transport and thermodynamic measurements could be described using a single-impurity Kondo model down to the lowest temperatures studied (one-tenth of the estimated $T_K^{(1)}$). These results are particularly surprising because the "Kondo screening clouds" should be strongly overlapping here. In contrast, recent detailed investigations of $Ce_xLa_{1-x}CoIn_5$ (Ref. 3) interestingly revealed different

properties: FL behavior, as inferred from the resistivity, is quickly suppressed to very low T when moving away both from the dense and dilute limits. The energy scale characterizing the onset of moment screening in the susceptibility is enhanced by more than an order of magnitude in the dense case compared to the dilute limit.

On the theoretical side, the behavior of depleted Kondo lattices has been studied rather little. The experiments on $Ce_xLa_{1-x}CoIn_5$ triggered an interpretation in terms of a phenomenological two-fluid model[4] (see Ref. 5 for a related mean-field approach). However, detailed microscopic investigations taking into account the dopant disorder (apart from averaged treatments using coherent potential approximation[6]) are lacking.

The aim of this Brief Report is to close this gap: using both strong-coupling arguments and detailed numerical results, obtained from solving SU(N) saddle-point equations on finite lattices, we shall present a consistent picture of the evolution of the HFL upon random f-moment depletion.[7,8]

Our main findings can be summarized as follows. The crossover from HFL to the single-impurity FL takes place at a concentration of f moments that strongly depends on the number of delocalized electrons per unit cell, n_c. In particular, at large n_c, single-impurity behavior is observed even for dense f-moment concentrations (as in $Ce_xLa_{1-x}Pb_3$). Between the two FLs, we find a wide crossover regime with strongly inhomogeneous states at low temperature: The local density of states (LDOS) in the conduction band near the Fermi level shows signatures of localization, associated with island formation, and the uniform susceptibility does not saturate down to very low temperatures due to the presence of poorly screened moments. Importantly, the broad distributions of local quantities, such as the local susceptibility χ_{loc}, and the associated non-FL behavior do not originate from disorder in the *bare* LDOS or in the local Kondo couplings (as in so-called Kondo disorder models[9]), but arise through the collective screening of the randomly positioned f moments by the conduction electrons. Our results are summarized in Fig. 1.

FIG. 1. Schematic phase diagram of paramagnetic depleted Kondo lattices. In the dense limit, $n_f \lesssim 1$, a coherent heavy FL is formed below T_{coh} (dashed line), whereas in the dilute limit, $n_f \rightarrow 0$, single-impurity physics prevails, with local FL behavior below $T_K^{(1)}$ (dash-dotted line). There is a large regime of intermediate n_f where low-energy properties are strongly inhomogeneous and FL behavior is suppressed.

MODEL

The standard Kondo lattice model (KLM) with randomly depleted f moments reads

$$H_{KLM} = \sum_k \epsilon_k c_{k\alpha}^\dagger c_{k\alpha} + \frac{J_K}{2} \sum_{\{r\}} \vec{S}_r \cdot c_{r\alpha}^\dagger \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha'} c_{r\alpha'}, \quad (1)$$

where $c_{k\alpha}$ represents the conduction electrons with momentum k, spin α, a bandwidth D, and a band filling of n_c ($n_c = 1$ corresponds to half-filling; in the following, we shall assume $n_c < 1$). The $\vec{S}_r$ are the spin-1/2 moments on the N_f *occupied* sites $\{r\}$ of the f electron lattice, with a concentration of $n_f = N_f/N_s$, where N_s is the number of conduction electron sites ($n_f = 1$ is the dense Kondo lattice).

STRONG-COUPLING ANALYSIS

In the limit $J_K \gg t$ in Eq. (1), the c electrons and f spins form local singlets. In the dilute limit, $n_f \ll 1$, one ends up with N_f local singlets which act as potential scatterers for the remaining c electrons—the system is a FL.[7,8] In the dense limit, $n_f = 1$, it is useful to think in terms of holes: after forming N_s singlets, we are left with $N_s(1 - n_c)$ mobile holes in the conduction band which are HFL quasiparticles. Clearly this limit is also a FL. Now, as the f moments are randomly depleted, the band of HFL quasiparticles is also depleted. Let us focus on $n_f = n_c$: In the strong-coupling limit, all conduction electrons are bound into localized singlets, and the system has a gap of order J_K to all excitations—this is apparently *not* a Fermi liquid. This discussion implies that the ground state of Eq. (1) evolves discontinuously upon tuning of n_f. In particular, $n_f = n_c$ appears to be the dividing line between HFL and single-impurity FL behavior. In the region $n_f \approx n_c$, we expect that the system is most sensitive to randomness (introduced by f-spin depletion) such that localization phenomena and strong inhomogeneities are likely to occur. These general arguments will be supported by our mean-field calculations below. We note that the gap at $n_f = n_c$ (of similar origin as the gap of the Kondo insulator, $n_f = n_c = 1$) is

a feature of the strong-coupling limit and is not expected to survive for $J_K \lesssim t$.

MEAN-FIELD THEORY

To obtain quantitative results, we now turn to a solvable large-N limit of Eq. (1) that allows us to access arbitrary J_K/t. Using slave fermions to represent the local moments, $\vec{S}_r = 1/2 f_{r\alpha}^\dagger \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha'} f_{r\alpha'}$ with $f_{r\alpha}^\dagger f_{r\alpha} = 1$, decoupling the Kondo interactions with auxiliary fields b_r, and neglecting temporal fluctuations of the b_r, we obtain the mean-field Hamiltonian

$$H_{mf} = \sum_k \epsilon_k c_{k\alpha}^\dagger c_{k\alpha} + \sum_{\{r\}} \mu_r f_{r\alpha}^\dagger f_{r\alpha} - \sum_{\{r\}} (b_r c_{r\alpha}^\dagger f_{r\alpha} + \text{H.c.}). \quad (2)$$

Note that H_{mf} represents the $N = \infty$ saddle-point solution of the SU(N) Kondo lattice model with a fully antisymmetric representation of the local moments. The mean-field parameters b_r, μ_r are determined by the self-consistency conditions

$$1 = \langle f_{r\alpha}^\dagger f_{r\alpha} \rangle, \quad 2b_r = J_K \langle c_{r\alpha}^\dagger f_{r\alpha} \rangle. \quad (3)$$

At high temperatures, the only solution is $b_r = \mu_r = 0$, corresponding to quasi-free local moments with Curie susceptibility. Upon lowering T, all b_r will become nonzero at $T_K^{(1)}$, the (mean-field) single-impurity Kondo temperature, which is determined by J_K and the LDOS of the conduction band only. Hence, the onset of Kondo screening at $T_K^{(1)}$ (Ref. 10) does not depend on n_f and on the particular disorder realization. Within mean-field theory, the simplest energy scale describing the *low-temperature* behavior is $T^* = \langle |b_r(T=0)|^2 \rangle / D$, where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes a disorder average. For a single impurity, the ratio $T^*/T_K^{(1)}$ is a constant of order unity. In contrast, in the dense Kondo lattice, $T^*/T_K^{(1)} \ll 1$ depends on the band filling n_c (but not on J_K at weak coupling); T^* can be associated with the coherence temperature T_{coh}, below which the Kondo lattice behaves as a FL.[11,12]

NUMERICAL RESULTS

We have performed large-scale numerical simulations of the mean-field equations on square lattices of up to 20×20 sites for different n_c and n_f.[13] Figure 2(a) shows a summary of the mean-field condensation parameters. Upon increasing n_f the scale T^* stays roughly constant until $n_f \approx n_c$ and then it drops sharply towards its $n_f = 1$ value. This supports the picture that emerged from strong coupling: $n_f \approx n_c$ separates HFL from single-impurity FL behavior. The distribution function of $\langle b_r^2 \rangle$ becomes bimodal when $n_f \approx n_c$, pointing to strong inhomogeneities at this filling. Figure 2(b) shows the evolution of the mean-field density of states of the HFL upon random f-moment dilution. For the dense lattice, the Fermi level lies in the region of the lower band with a large density of states. The number of states in this heavy-electron band is reduced upon f-moment depletion. Near $n_f = n_c$ the two bands merge, and the Fermi level lies near the edge of the bands where the states are most localized. (We have studied the inverse participation ratio of the mean-field wave functions[14] and confirmed this expectation.) The system at this filling is thus in a strongly inhomogeneous phase.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Summary of the mean-field results: (a) $T^* = \langle b_r^2 \rangle / D$ as function of the local-moment concentration n_f for $J_K/D = 1.25$ and different band fillings n_c. Note the sharp change around $n_c = n_f$. The inset shows the distribution of $b_r^2 / \langle b_r^2 \rangle$ for the different n_c at fixed $n_f = 0.5$. The strongly inhomogeneous regime is characterized by a bimodal distribution; here most pronounced at $n_c = 0.4$. (b) Evolution (from top to bottom) of the mean-field density of states from the HFL to the dilute impurity limit at fixed $n_c = 0.4$.

To gain a better understanding of the underlying microscopics, let us focus on local properties of a particular disorder realization. Figure 3 shows the spatial distributions of the local magnetic susceptibility χ_{loc} and the LDOS $A(\omega)$ (de-


FIG. 3. (Color online) Spatial distribution of the slave boson amplitudes (b_r, left), the low-energy LDOS [$A_r(\omega=0)$, middle], and the local susceptibilities [$\chi_{\text{loc},r}(T=10^{-3})$, right] for different $n_f = 0.05$, 0.5, and 0.95 at a band filling of $n_c = 0.8$. The Kondo coupling is $J_K/D = 1.25$.


FIG. 4. (Color online) Spatial distribution of the LDOS, $A_r(\omega=0)$, for a specific disorder realization with $n_f = 0.2$, but for varying conduction electron filling $n_c = 0.1$, 0.2, and 0.8. The crossover from the single-vacancy (a) to the single-impurity (c) regime can be nicely seen, with a tendency toward island formation in between. The f-moment locations can be identified from the dark sites in (c).

fined as the imaginary part of the local c electron propagator) at the Fermi level (averaged over a small energy interval to avoid discretization effects). Let us first discuss the limiting cases. In the dilute limit, $n_f \ll 1$, we expect a single-impurity picture to hold: Each moment is individually Kondo screened, and the LDOS is suppressed at the *f-moment locations* because of the resonant scattering. For the opposite limit, $1 - n_f \ll 1$, we can develop a single-vacancy picture: the missing f moments act as scattering centers in the effective two-band system, and the LDOS is now suppressed at the *vacancy locations*. This picture is realized in Fig. 3 with $n_c = 0.8$ fixed and varying n_f. Surprisingly, a single-impurity behavior (with *negative* correlation between LDOS and the site occupancy of f moments) is realized even at large f-moment concentrations like $n_f = 0.5$. It is only at $n_f = 0.95$ that one finally sees the single-vacancy behavior (*positive* correlation between LDOS and site occupancy); this is consistent with $n_f \approx n_c$ separating the HFL and single-impurity FL. Figure 4 illustrates that varying n_c can also be used to tune between the single-impurity and single-vacancy limits (here for a fixed realization of disorder!).

We now turn to the strongly inhomogeneous phases occurring for intermediate values of n_f around $n_f = n_c$. Figure 4(b) clearly shows island formation in the low-energy LDOS. This in turn gives rise to huge fluctuations in the Kondo temperatures of individual moments, resulting in broad distributions of, e.g., χ_{loc} and the existence of weakly screened moments down to lowest T. Similar to impurities in disordered metals and disordered Kondo lattices,[9,15] these broad distributions of T_K produce non-FL behavior in both thermodynamic and transport properties—these arguments qualitatively apply to our situation as well.

In Fig. 5 we show numerical data illustrating the behavior of the susceptibility; other observables (entropy, specific heat, etc.) will be presented elsewhere.[14] Figure 5(a) shows how $\chi_{ff}(T)$ in the Kondo lattice and impurity limits saturate at low T. In contrast, for intermediate n_f, the $\chi_{ff}(T)$ does not saturate to the lowest T studied (finite-size effects prevent calculations at lower T). While we cannot rule out saturation of χ_{ff} at extremely low temperatures, it is clear that FL behavior is strongly suppressed in this regime, as illustrated in the phase diagram (Fig. 1)—this behavior is very reminiscent of the experimental data on Ce$_x$La$_{1-x}$CoIn$_5$.[3] Figure 5(b) shows $\chi_{\text{loc}}(T)$ for three different sites, demonstrating that χ_{loc} is strongly inhomogeneous (while energy-integrated quanti-

FIG. 5. (Color online) Temperature dependence of χ and mean-field parameters. (a) f-moment susceptibility χ_{ff}; in the inhomogeneous regime, $n_f \approx n_c = 0.4$, χ_{ff} does not saturate to the lowest T studied. (b) Local susceptibility, $\chi_{loc}(T)$, at three different sites, illustrating the broad range of behavior. (c) and (d) Mean-field parameters $b_r(T)$ and $\mu_r(T)$ at the same sites. $J_K/D = 1.25$ and for (b)–(d) $n_f = n_c = 0.4$.

ties and the b_r, μ_r are more homogeneous), and the behavior of $\chi_{ff}(T)$ arises from a fraction of the f moments only.

INTERMOMENT CORRELATIONS

So far, we have neglected the effects of a magnetic intermoment interaction I either due to direct exchange or mediated by the conduction electrons. These interactions can be important for not too small n_f,[8] provided that their strength is comparable to or larger than T_K. (Note that $I \gg T_K$ usually leads to a magnetically ordered state.) In the dense limit, the coherence temperature will be enhanced compared to the $I = 0$ situation, as the local moments are quenched by I due to intermoment correlations. To assess the effect of I for intermediate n_f, we have performed calculations using the large-N formalism of Ref. 16. Our preliminary results (not shown) indicate that the rare unquenched moments tend to be sup-

pressed for larger n_f and sufficiently strong I, but islands and broad χ_{loc} distributions survive for smaller n_f over a sizable parameter range.[14]

CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the evolution of the paramagnetic Fermi-liquid phase of Kondo lattices upon random f-moment depletion over the full crossover from the dense lattice to the dilute impurity limit. We found that $n_f = n_c$ appears to be the dividing line between the two limiting FL behaviors; in the vicinity of $n_f = n_c$, the system generically displays nanoscale inhomogeneities and non-FL behavior caused by a subtle interplay of disorder and strong electronic correlations. Following Ref. 15, we speculate that our findings are signatures of Griffiths singularities of the metal-insulator transition occurring in the strong-coupling limit at $n_f = n_c$.

Our results are relevant to a variety of Kondo-lattice metals, e.g., $Ce_xLa_{1-x}CoIn_5$,[3] that show both HFL and single-impurity FL behavior as the f moments are randomly depleted, without signs of magnetic order. While the condition $n_f \approx n_c$ likely only applies to heavy-fermion metals described approximately by the $S = 1/2$ single-channel model [Eq. (1)], we expect the presence of two FL regimes separated by inhomogeneous phases (Fig. 1) to be a generic feature of f-moment-depleted heavy FLs. A rather large (effective) n_c is likely the reason why, e.g., $Ce_xLa_{1-x}Pb_3$ displays single-impurity-like behavior for sizable range of $x \equiv n_f$.[2]

[1] A. C. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).

[2] C. L. Lin, A. Wallash, J. E. Crow, T. Mihalisin, and P. Schlottmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1232 (1987).

[3] S. Nakatsuji, S. Yeo, L. Balicas, Z. Fisk, P. Schlottmann, P. G. Pagliuso, N. O. Moreno, J. L. Sarrao, and J. D. Thompson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 106402 (2002).

[4] S. Nakatsuji, D. Pines, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 016401 (2004).

[5] V. Barzykin, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 094455 (2006).

[6] Z. Z. Li and Y. Qiu, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 12906 (1991); T. Mutou, *ibid.* **64**, 245102 (2001).

[7] Consistent with the experimental data of Refs. 2 and 3, we assume that, upon random f-spin depletion the paramagnetic HFL does not enter a magnetic state.

[8] Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida interaction possibly leads to spin-glass behavior for small n_f, with a low ordering temperature $\propto n_f$.

[9] V. Dobrosavljevic, T. R. Kirkpatrick, and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev.

Lett. **69**, 1113 (1992); O. O. Bernal, D. E. MacLaughlin, H. G. Lukefahr, and B. Andraka, *ibid.* **75**, 2023 (1995); E. Miranda, V. Dobrosavljevic, and G. Kotliar, *ibid.* **78**, 290 (1997); A. H. Castro Neto and B. A. Jones, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 14975 (2000).

[10] The finite-T phase transition is an artifact of mean-field theory; however, the low-T physics is known to be captured accurately by this approach.

[11] S. Burdin, A. Georges, and D. R. Grempel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1048 (2000).

[12] T. Pruschke, R. Bulla, and M. Jarrell, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 12799 (2000).

[13] We expect the physics discussed here to be generic to both two- and three-dimensional systems.

[14] R. K. Kaul and M. Vojta (unpublished).

[15] E. Miranda and V. Dobrosavljevic, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **226-230**, 110 (2001).

[16] T. Senthil, M. Vojta, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 035111 (2004).