Exact analytical solution to the electronic transport in an *N***-coupled quantum dot array**

B. H. Teng,^{1[,*](#page-3-0)} H. K. Sy,² Z. C. Wang,³ Y. Q. Sun,¹ and H. C. Yang¹

¹School of Physical Electronics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, People's Republic of China

²*Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, Kent Ridge, Singapore 117542, Republic of Singapore*

3 *Department of Physics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, People's Republic of China*

(Received 26 September 2006; published 11 January 2007)

Based on the exact Green's function calculation for an *N*-coupled quantum dot array, the rigorous expressions for the dc current, differential conductance, and density of states, which show analytically algebraic structures, are obtained. Our new findings indicate that for the quantum transport properties there exists an optimal tunneled coupling for which the density of states of the electrons in the quantum dot is smoothened to the fullest extent and consequently the dc current and differential conductance reach their extrema.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.75.012105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.012105)

PACS number(s): 73.63.Kv, 02.70.Rr, 73.21.La

As a fundamental branch of mesoscopic conductor systems, the quantum dot (QD) system has been investigated extensively.^{1[–9](#page-3-2)} The quantum dot is an artificial creation of quantum confinement of only a few electrons, in which the electronic states can be probed in the presence of tunnel couplings between the dots, and the dot and the electrode, or a nearby source. Physically, the quantum dots system shows many similar behaviors with naturally occurring quantum systems in atomic, nuclear, and condensed-matter physics. Many researchers studied the transport properties of the QD systems. $10-19$ $10-19$ However, the exact analytical result of an *N*-coupled QD system has not been obtained so far. In this paper, we study a system of *N* tunnel-coupled QDs connected with two electron reservoirs via tunnel coupling. With the help of the Green's function technique, we present the exact analytical expressions for the current under a dc bias voltage, the differential conductance versus the difference in the Fermi level between the left lead and right one, and the density of states of electrons in each QD. All expressions for this one-dimensional coupled quantum dot array show analytically algebraic structures.

The one-dimensional *N*-coupled quantum dot array can be described by the following model Hamiltonian (Refs. [10,](#page-3-3) [12,](#page-3-5) and 16 :

$$
\hat{H} = \sum_{k,\alpha} \varepsilon_{k\alpha} a_{k\alpha}^+ a_{k\alpha} + \sum_k v_L (a_{kL}^+ c_1 + \text{h.c.}) + \sum_k v_R (a_{kR}^+ c_N + \text{h.c.})
$$

+
$$
\sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_0 c_i^+ c_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} v (c_i^+ c_{i+1} + \text{h.c.}), \tag{1}
$$

where $a_{k\alpha}^+(a_{k\alpha})$ is the electron creation (annihilation) operator for an electron with momentum k in reservoir α $(\alpha=L, R)$, c_i^+ (c_i) is the electron creation (annihilation) operator in dot *i*, v_L (v_R) is the tunneling coupling between dot 1 (N) and reservoir $L(R)$, v is the tunneling coupling between the two neighboring dots.

In order to study the quantum transport properties of this system, we define the retarded Green's function $\langle \langle c_i(t) | c_j^+(0) \rangle \rangle = -i \theta(t) \langle [c_i(t), c_j^+(0)]_+ \rangle$ and its Fourier transform $G_{ij} = \langle \langle c_i | c_j^{\dagger} \rangle \rangle (\omega)$.^{[12,](#page-3-5)[20](#page-3-7)} With the help of the Green's function technique, we calculate exactly two types of the Green's functions: G_{1N} and G_{jj} , respectively.

According to the Hamiltonian of a one-dimensional *N*-coupled quantum dot array, the equations of motion with respect to the Green's function G_{1N} can be derived as follows:

$$
(x - y_L)G_{1,N} - G_{2,N} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
xG_{i,N} - G_{i-1,N} - G_{i+1,N} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
(x - y_R)G_{N,N} - G_{N-1,N} = 1/v,
$$
\n(2)

where $i=2,3,...,N-1$, $x=\frac{1}{v}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)$, and $y_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{v}\sum_{k}\frac{v_{\alpha}^2}{\omega-\varepsilon_0}$ $\frac{v_{\alpha}}{\omega - \varepsilon_{k\alpha}}$. Solving the above equations, the Green's function G_{1N} can be expressed exactly as

$$
G_{1N}(\omega) = \frac{1}{v \cdot \Delta(G)(\omega)},
$$
\n(3)

in which $\Delta(G)$ is given by

$$
\Delta(G)(\omega) = (x - y_L)(x - y_R)B_{N-2}(x)
$$

-([x - y_L) + (x - y_R)]B_{N-3}(x) + B_{N-4}(x). (4)

In the above derivations we have employed the formula of the determinant 21

$$
B_{m}(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x & -1 & & & \\ -1 & x & -1 & & \\ & \bullet & & & \\ & & \bullet & & \\ & & & -1 & x & -1 \\ & & & & -1 & x \\ & & & & \sinh \phi \end{vmatrix}
$$

$$
= \frac{\sinh(m+1)\phi}{\sinh \phi}, \qquad (5)
$$

where ϕ is defined by cosh $\phi = \frac{x}{2}$.

Similarly, the equations of motion with respect to the Green's function *Gjj* can be written as

$$
(x - y_L)G_{1,j} - G_{2,j} = \delta_{1,j}/v,
$$

$$
xG_{i,j} - G_{i-1,j} - G_{i+1,j} = \delta_{i,j}/v,
$$

012105-1 ©2007 The American Physical Society

$$
(x - y_R)G_{N,j} - G_{N-1,j} = \delta_{N,j}/v, \qquad (6)
$$

where $j = 1, 2, ..., N$ and $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker δ . The Green's function G_{ij} can be solved exactly from the above equations as follows:

$$
G_{jj}(\omega) = \frac{\Delta(G_{jj})(\omega)}{\upsilon \cdot \Delta(G)(\omega)},
$$
\n(7)

where $\Delta(G_{jj})$ is given by

$$
\Delta(G_{jj})(\omega) = \begin{cases}\n(x - y_R)B_{N-2}(x) - B_{N-3}(x), & j = 1 \\
[(x - y_L)B_{j-2}(x) - B_{j-3}(x)][(x - y_R)B_{N-j-1}(x) - B_{N-j-2}(x)], & 2 \le j \le N-1 \\
(x - y_L)B_{N-2}(x) - B_{N-3}(x), & j = N.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(8)

It is worth pointing out that no approximation has been made for the Green's functions: G_{jj} and G_{1N} .

Starting from G_{jj} and G_{1N} , we work out analytically the rigorous expressions for transport properties, such as the dc current, the differential conductance, and the density of states, in an *N*-coupled quantum dot array.

The dc current. Following the definition of the electronic current^{10[,12](#page-3-5)} and using Eq. (3) (3) (3) , the dc current flowing from the left reservoir to the right reservoir is given by

$$
J = \frac{4e}{h} \int d\omega [f_L(\omega) - f_R(\omega)] \Delta_L \Delta_R |G_{1N}(\omega + i0)|^2, \quad (9)
$$

where $f_{\alpha}(\omega) = \{ \exp[\beta(\omega - \mu_{\alpha})] + 1 \}^{-1}$ is the Fermi distribution function for the reservoir α , and μ_{α} is the chemical potential of the reservoir α . Here using the wide-band limit,¹⁰ we have $\sum_{k} v_{k\alpha}^{2} (\omega - \varepsilon_{k\alpha} + i0)^{-1} = -i\Delta_{\alpha}$, in which Δ_{L} and Δ_{R} are the resonant widths associated with the tunneling between the left reservoir and the first dot, and that between the right reservoir and the *N*th dot, respectively. In the following, we use $\tilde{E} = E/v$ for energy and omit the superscript of wave. In terms of the Green's function G_{1N} , therefore, the dc current can be rewritten as

$$
J = \frac{4e}{h} \int d\omega [f_L(\omega) - f_R(\omega)] \frac{\Delta_L \Delta_R}{[\eta^2(\omega) + \xi^2(\omega)]},
$$
 (10)

where

$$
\eta(\omega) = [(\omega - \varepsilon_0)^2 - \Delta_L \Delta_R] B_{N-2}(\omega - \varepsilon_0)
$$

- 2(\omega - \varepsilon_0)B_{N-3}(\omega - \varepsilon_0) + B_{N-4}(\omega - \varepsilon_0)

and

$$
\xi(\omega) = (\Delta_L + \Delta_R)[(\omega - \varepsilon_0)B_{N-2}(\omega - \varepsilon_0) - B_{N-3}(\omega - \varepsilon_0)].
$$

The differential conductance. By setting the Fermi level μ_R of the right reservoir fixed $(\mu_R=0)$, the differential conductance with respect to the chemical potential μ_L ($\mu_L = \mu$) of the left reservoir can be obtained analytically as follows:

$$
\sigma = \frac{4e^2}{h} \int d\omega \left[-\frac{df(\omega - \mu)}{d\omega} \right] \frac{\Delta_L \Delta_R}{\left[\eta^2(\omega) + \xi^2(\omega) \right]}.
$$
 (11)

The density of states. According to the definition of the density of states $\rho_j \equiv -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im } G_{jj}(\omega + i0)$ and Eq. ([7](#page-1-0)), the density of states of electrons in the *j*th quantum dot can be given by

$$
\rho_j = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\xi(\omega) u_j(\omega) - \eta(\omega) w_j(\omega)}{\eta^2(\omega) + \xi^2(\omega)},
$$
\n(12)

where

$$
u_j(\omega)=\begin{cases} (\omega-\varepsilon_0)B_{N-2}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)-B_{N-3}(\omega-\varepsilon_0), & j=1\\ [(\omega-\varepsilon_0)B_{j-2}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)-B_{j-3}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)][(\omega-\varepsilon_0)B_{N-j-1}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)-B_{N-j-2}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)]\\ -\Delta_L\Delta_R B_{j-2}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)B_{N-j-1}(\omega-\varepsilon_0), & 2\leq j\leq N-1\\ (\omega-\varepsilon_0)B_{N-2}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)-B_{N-3}(\omega-\varepsilon_0), & j=N \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\label{eq:2} \begin{aligned} w_j(\omega) \\ &= \begin{cases} \Delta_R B_{N-2}(\omega-\varepsilon_0), & j=1\\ \Delta_L B_{j-2}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)[(\omega-\varepsilon_0)B_{N-j-1}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)-B_{N-j-2}(\omega-\varepsilon_0)] + \Delta_R B_{N-j-1}(\omega)[(\omega-\varepsilon_0)B_{j-2}(\omega)-B_{j-3}(\omega)], & 2\leq j\leq N-1\\ \Delta_L B_{N-2}(\omega-\varepsilon_0), & j=N \end{cases} \end{aligned}.
$$

FIG. 1. (Color online) Plot of the dc current against the left Fermi level for $N=7$, $kT=0.08$, and $\varepsilon_0=-1$ at different resonant widths: $\Delta = 0.1(green)$, $1.0(red)$, $6.0(blue)$. All energies are expressed by units of *v*.

It is a remarkable fact that the exact expressions for the dc current, the differential conductance, and the density of states, have explicitly algebraic structures. For arbitrary dot number *N*, the resonant widths Δ_L and Δ_R , and the interdot tunnel coupling *v*, these transport properties can be calculated exactly.

Within the wide-band limit, the resonant widths Δ_L and Δ_R are energy-independent constants, so we take $\Delta_L = \Delta_R$ $=\Delta$ for simplicity. According to the rigorous formulas ([10](#page-1-1)) and (11) (11) (11) , we plot the curves for the dc current and the differential conductance with respect to μ . Without losing generality, we consider the quantum dot array of *N*=7.

Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the dependence of the dc current on the bias voltage μ . At low temperatures, the dc current versus μ has a steplike structure when the resonant width Δ , which relates the tunnel coupling between the reservoir and its nearby dot, is much larger or smaller than the tunnel coupling *v* between the intermediate dots. With increasing the temperature or the number of quantum dots, the dc current

FIG. 2. (Color online) Plot of the differential conductance against the left Fermi level for $N=7$, $kT=0.08$, and $\varepsilon_0=-1$ at different resonant widths: $\Delta = 0.1(green)$, $1.0(red)$, $6.0(blue)$. All energies are expressed by units of *v*.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Plot of the density of states of each dot in the quantum dot array for $N=7$, $\varepsilon_0=-1$, and different resonant widths: (a) $\Delta = 0.1$; (b) $\Delta = 1.0$; (c) $\Delta = 6.0$. All energies are expressed by units of *v*. When $\Delta_L = \Delta_R$, we have $\rho_j = \rho_{N+1-j}$ for symmetry. That is, we have $\rho_1 = \rho_7(\text{red})$, $\rho_2 = \rho_6(\text{green})$, $\rho_3 = \rho_5(\text{blue})$, and $\rho_4(pink)$.

will be smoothened gradually and the "steps" in the dc current disappear eventually.

Figure [2](#page-2-1) shows the dependence of the differential conductance on the bias voltage μ . At low temperatures, the differential conductance versus μ shows a multipeak structure when the resonant width Δ is much larger or smaller than the tunnel coupling *v*. Similar to the situation in the dc current, with increasing the temperature or the number of quantum dots, the multiple peaks in the differential conductance will become rounded gradually and eventually disappear.

Figures [1](#page-2-0) and [2](#page-2-1) exhibit an observable feature, that the ratio of the reservoir resonant width Δ to the interdot tunnel coupling *v* has a considerable effect on the dc current and the differential conductance. When the resonant width Δ is larger or smaller than the tunnel coupling *v*, the dc current and the differential conductance have small or intermediate values. Only for a special tunnel coupling can both the dc current and the differential conductance reach their extrema. In order to determine the optimal tunnel coupling relation in the dc current and the differential conductance, we investigate the area under the differential conductance curve with respect to μ . In terms of the exact formula for the differential conductance, the area under the differential conductance curve with respect to μ can be given by

$$
\Gamma = \int d\mu \sigma(\mu) = \frac{4e^2}{h} \int d\omega \frac{\Delta^2}{[\eta^2(\omega) + \xi^2(\omega)]}.
$$
 (13)

We find that Γ is dependent only on the resonant width Δ and the interdot tunnel coupling *v*, but independent of the dot number and temperature. According to the extremum condition $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \Delta} = 0$, we have that for arbitrary QD number *N*

$$
\Gamma_{\text{max}} = \frac{\pi e^2}{h}
$$
 when $\frac{\Delta}{v} = 1$.

This fact indicates that there exists an optimal tunnel coupling relation in the *N*-coupled quantum dot array. That is, when the interdot tunneling coupling v is equal to the reservoir resonant width Δ related to the tunnel coupling between the reservoir and its nearby quantum dot, the dc current and differential conductance reach their extrema.

Physically, this optimal tunnel coupling relation can be understood by the density of states of electrons in the quantum dot. Figure [3](#page-2-2) shows the density of states of electrons in each dot. When Δ is smaller or larger than *v* [Figs. [3](#page-2-2)(a) and $3(c)$ $3(c)$, the density of states of electrons in each quantum dot shows the structure of the multiple energy levels. For the *j*th $(2 \le j \le N-1)$ dot, the number of the oscillating peaks located in related energy levels is different from that in its neighboring $(j-1)$ th and $(j+1)$ th dots. This means that the positions and strengths of some energy levels in the *j*th dot are not in correspondence with that in $(j-1)$ th and $(j+1)$ th dots. This fact indicates that when the electrons oscillate among all the dots in the array, the tunneling effect among all the neighboring dots will be weakened. As a result, the current flowing through all the dots and the differential conductance will be diminished to some extent.

For the optimal tunnel coupling [Fig. $3(b)$ $3(b)$], however, the density of states of electrons in each quantum dot has been smoothened to the fullest extent and the electron energy levels become continuous. In this case, the tunneling effect among all the neighboring dots is strongest, meaning perfect transparency of the quantum dot array for transport. That is, most of the electrons from the right reservoir can pass easily through all the dots to the left reservoir. This, therefore, leads to the maximal dc current and differential conductance, as shown in Figs. [1](#page-2-0) and [2.](#page-2-1)

In conclusion, we have calculated exactly the dc current, the differential conductance, and the density of states for the *N*-coupled quantum dot array. These analytically rigorous expressions for the quantum transport show explicitly algebraic structures. We have found that the dc current, the differential conductance and the density of states depend considerably on the ratio of the reservoir resonant width Δ to the interdot tunneling coupling *v*. When this resonant width Δ is equal to the tunneling coupling v , the density of states has been smoothened to the fullest extent. As a result, the dc current and differential conductance reach their extrema. Meanwhile for smaller quantum dots the dc current and the differential conductance exhibit respectively the steplike structure and the multi-peak resonant structure at low temperatures. In addition, these exact results may be used as a benchmark for numerical studies.

*Email address: phytbh@163.com (B. H. Teng).

- $¹C$. Bruder and H. Schoeller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1076 (1994).</sup>
- 2^2 M. P. Anantram and S. Datta, Phys. Rev. B 51, 7632 (1995).
- ³ T. H. Oosterkamp *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1536 (1997).
- ⁴L. P. Kouwenhoven *et al.*, Science **278**, 1788 (1997).
- ⁵G. Schedelbeck *et al.*, Science 278, 1792 (1997).
- 6 L. P. Kouwenhoven *et al.*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **64**, 701 (2001).
- ⁷ J. M. Elzerman et al., Nature (London) **430**, 431 (2004).
- ⁸ R. Hanson *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 196802 (2005).
- ⁹E. Onac *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 176601 (2006).
- ¹⁰ A.-P. Jauho *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 5528 (1994).
- ¹¹ T. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 12339 (1997).
- 12K. Kawamura and T. Aono, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Part 1 **36**, 3951 $(1997).$
- ¹³L. H. Lin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **60**, R16299 (1999).
- ¹⁴ R. Ziegler *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 1961 (2000).
- ¹⁵Z. Ma *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 15352 (2000).
- ¹⁶ W. Z. Shangguan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 235323 (2001).
- ¹⁷ W. Lu *et al.*, Nature (London) **423**, 422 (2003).
- ¹⁸C. Flindt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 205334 (2004).
- ¹⁹ W. G. van der Wiel et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 1 (2003).
- ²⁰B. Teng and H. K. Sy, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 104115 (2004).
- ²¹ H. K. Sy, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 9220 (1992).