## **Electronic structure of**  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  **studied by x-ray photoemission spectroscopy**

T. T. Tran,<sup>1</sup> K. Takubo,<sup>1</sup> T. Mizokawa,<sup>1,2</sup> W. Kobayashi,<sup>3</sup> and I. Terasaki<sup>3,4</sup>

1 *Department of Physics and Department of Complexity Science and Engineering, University of Tokyo, Chiba 277-8581, Japan*

<sup>2</sup>*PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency, 4-1-8 Honcho Kawaguchi, Saitama, Japan*

3 *Department of Applied Physics, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan*

<sup>4</sup>*CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Tokyo 108-0075, Japan*

(Received 3 July 2005; revised manuscript received 22 February 2006; published 17 May 2006)

We have studied the electronic structure of the *d*-electron heavy-fermion system  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  using x-ray photoemission spectroscopy and a cluster model calculation. The Ru 3*d* core level spectrum shows a doublepeak structure as commonly observed in metallic Ru oxides. In  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$ , the well-screened peak has dominating intensity, indicating that the Ru  $4d$  electrons in CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub> are highly itinerant. On the other hand, the Cu  $2p_{3/2}$  core level peak is accompanied by a satellite and shows that the valence state of Cu is close to  $3d^9$  (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) with localized character. In addition, the main Cu  $2p_{3/2}$  peak shows an asymmetric line shape due to the screening effect, suggesting the hybridization effect between the Cu 3*d* and Ru 4*d* orbitals. The present results show that, among the *d*-electron heavy-fermion materials, the electronic structure of CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub> best resembles that of the *f*-electron Kondo system.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.73.193105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.193105)

 $: 71.27.+a, 79.60- i, 71.28.+d$ 

Research on heavy-fermion materials was started by the discovery of an enormous electronic heat capacity coefficient in  $CeAl<sub>3</sub>$ ,<sup>1</sup> and has been accelerated by the discovery of superconductivity in  $CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$ ,<sup>2</sup> and recently by observations of heavy-fermion behavior in transition-metal oxides.3 While in *f*-electron heavy-fermion compounds the Kondo lattice model gives the essentials of the underlying physics, the routes to the formation of heavy quasiparticle masses would be very different in transition-metal oxides. This makes research on *d*-electron heavy-fermion systems a hot topic in strongly correlated material science and attracts the interest of many researchers, both experimentalists and theoreticians.3

 $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  is a newly discovered *d*-electron heavyfermion system $4$  in which a transport study indicates that the heavy-fermion behavior comes from the Kondo mechanism just as in an *f*-electron heavy-fermion system. In this scenario, Cu 3*d* and Ru 4*d*, respectively, play the roles of the localized *f* electron and the conduction electron. This point makes  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  different from other *d*-electron heavyfermion systems, such as  $LiV<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ , in which only V 3*d* electrons have important roles.<sup>5</sup> CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub> has the perovskite-type crystal structure as shown in Fig. 1. While the Ca and Cu ions occupy the *A* site coordinated by the 12 oxygen ions, the Ru ions occupy the *B* site octahedrally coordinated by the six oxygen ions. The corner-sharing Ru-O network is expected to provide a relatively wide Ru 4*d*–O 2*p*band near the Fermi level just as in other perovskite-type Ru oxides such as  $SrRuO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>$ . On the other hand, the hybridization between the Cu 3*d* and O 2*p* orbitals would be different from that in typical perovskite-type Cu oxides such as  $LaCuO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $La<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>$ . In our experiment, we examine the electronic structure of  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  by x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS), in order to get information about the above scenario.

Our XPS measurements were carried out at room temperature using JPS9200 spectrometer. Monochromatic Al*K* (1486.6 eV) was used as an x-ray source. The pass energy of

the electron analyzer was set to 10 eV. The total energy resolution including the x-ray source and the electron analyzer was about 0.6 eV. The binding energy was calibrated using the Fermi edge and the Au  $4f$  core level  $(84.0 \text{ eV})$  of the gold reference sample. The polycrystalline samples of  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  prepared by a solid-state reaction were fractured *in situ* to obtain a clean surface. The base pressure of the chamber was  $7\times10^{-8}$  Pa.

Figure 2 shows the valence band XPS spectrum of  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$ . Three main structures  $(A, B, \text{ and } C)$  were observed. Compared with the XPS result for  $Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>$  and its analysis,<sup>6</sup> we can conclude that the peak at around  $-0.8$  eV (structure *C*) and the broad structure at around −6 eV (structure *A*) are derived from, respectively, the Ru  $4dt_{2g}$  band (hybridized with the O 2 $p$  states) and the O 2 $p$  band (hybridized with the Ru 4d states). Therefore, structure *B*, which does not appear in the spectrum of  $Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>$ , is mainly constructed from the Cu 3*d* states. The Cu 3*d* band is located at −2.5 eV. In the case of CuO, the main Cu 3*d* band is located at  $\sim$  -3.1 eV and the Zhang-Rice singlet level with the <sup>1</sup> $A_1$  symmetry is located at  $\sim$ −1.3 eV.<sup>7,8</sup> Assuming that the



FIG. 1. Schematic drawing of the crystal structure of  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$ . The arrow indicates the charge transfer from the O 2*p* to Cu 3*d* orbitals.



FIG. 2. Valence band XPS spectra of  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  (closed circles) and  $Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>$  (open squares)

energy separation between the main Cu 3*d* band and the Zhang-Rice singlet level in  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  is comparable or smaller than CuO, the Zhang-Rice singlet level does not reach the Fermi level. This assumption is reasonable since the Cu  $3d - O 2p$  hybridization of CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub> is rather weak compared to that of CuO, as indicated from the cluster model analysis of the Cu 2*p* spectra. Therefore, the electronic states at the Fermi level is dominated by the Ru  $4dt_{2g}$ states and the Zhang-Rice singlet level derived from the Cu 3*d* states is buried in the Ru  $4dt_{2g}$  band. Therefore, in the ground state, the Cu ion takes the  $d^9$  electronic configuration with localized spin 1/2. The Zhang-Rice singlet state is the final state of the photoemission process from this  $d^9$  ground state. As shown in Fig. 2, the Ru  $4dt_{2g}$  bandwidth and the density of states at the Fermi level in  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  are similar to those in  $Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>$ . The high density of states near the Fermi level is the origin of the strong screening effects on core holes as discussed below.

The Ru 3*d* core level XPS result for  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  is shown in Fig. 3 together with the same result in  $Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>$ . Both spectra show a clear double-peak structure which can be assigned as poorly screened *(A)* and well-screened *(B)* peaks due to the screening effect of the conduction electrons.<sup>14</sup> The theory states that satellite structure may occur when the core-valence Coulomb interaction is strong enough to form well-screened and poorly screened final states. The main and satellite structures correspond to the well-screened and poorly screened final states, respectively. In metallic Ru oxides such as  $SrRuO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>$ , the intensity of the well-screened peak is dominant while the intensity of the poorly screened peak becomes dominant in insulating Ru oxides such as  $Ca<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>.<sup>9-11</sup>$  In  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$ , the intensity of the well-screened peak is more dominant than those in  $SrRuO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>$  and is comparable to that in  $RuO_2$ ,<sup>12</sup> indicating that the Ru 4*d* electrons in  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  are much more itinerant than those in SrRuO<sub>3</sub> and  $Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>$ . Since the screening process of the Ru 3*d* core level involves the extended Ru 4*d* electrons, quantitative analysis of the Ru 3*d* spectrum is very difficult. It would be interesting to develop a new theoretical method to analyze



FIG. 3. Ru 3*d* core level XPS spectra of  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  (closed circles) and  $Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>$  (open squares).

the Ru 3*d* spectrum of the metallic Ru oxides. For example, Anderson impurity model would be useful to analyze the Ru 3*d* spectrum as applied to the Cu 2*p* spectrum by Koitzsch and co-workers.<sup>13</sup>

Figure 4 shows XPS result for the Cu 2*p*3/2 core level. It shows the satellite structure  $(A)$  and the main peak  $(C)$ . A shoulder structure  $(B)$  of the main peak is also observed. The satellite structure is commonly observed in insulating transition-metal oxides such as CuO and NiO. The existence of the satellite structure shows that the Cu 3*d* level is partially filled and that the O 2*p* electrons can be transferred to the empty Cu 3*d* orbitals to screen the Cu 2*p* core hole. In addition, the line shape of the satellite structure is similar to those observed in  $Cu^{2+}$  oxides such as CuO and La<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that the valence state of Cu is close to  $3d^9$  (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) and that the Cu 3*d* electrons in this system are almost localized. In order to confirm this interpretation, we have analyzed the main and satellite structures using the configuration interaction calculation on the CuO<sub>12</sub> cluster model (see Fig. 1).<sup>15,17,18</sup> In this description,



FIG. 4. Cu 2p photoemission spectrum of  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  (closed circles) and the cluster model analysis (dotted curve).

the ground state is given by the linear combination of  $3d^9$ and  $3d^{10}L$  states:

$$
\Psi_{g} = \alpha_{1} |d^{9}\rangle + \alpha_{2} |d^{10}\underline{L}\rangle, \qquad (1)
$$

where *d* implies a Cu 3*d* electron and *L* denotes an O 2*p* ligand hole. The final states are given by the linear combinations of  $c^3 d^9$  and  $c^3 d^{10}L$  where *c* represents the Cu  $2p_{3/2}$ core hole:

$$
\Psi_f = \beta_1 | \underline{c} d^9 \rangle + \beta_2 | \underline{c} d^{10} \underline{L} \rangle. \tag{2}
$$

Adjustable parameters are the charge-transfer energy from the O 2p orbitals to the empty Cu 3d orbitals,  $\Delta$ , the Coulomb interaction between the Cu 3*d* electron and the Cu 2*p* core hole, *Q*, and the transfer integrals between the Cu 3*d* and O 2*p* orbitals, which can be expressed by Slater-Koster parameters  $(p d\pi)$  and  $(p d\sigma)$ . Here, the ratio  $(p d\sigma) / (p d\pi)$  is  $-2.2$ . The energy difference between the 3*d*<sup>9</sup> and 3*d*<sup>10</sup>*L* states is given by  $\Delta$  and that between the  $c^2 3 d^9$  and  $c^3 3 d^{10} L$  by  $\Delta$  $-Q$ . The hybridization term between the 3*d*<sup>9</sup> ( $c$ 3*d*<sup>9</sup>) and  $3d^{10}\underline{L}$  ( $c3d^{10}\underline{L}$ ) states is given by the transfer integrals. The calculated Cu 2*p* core level photoemission spectrum is broadened with an energy-dependent Lorentzian such that the Lorentzian full width at half maximum is

$$
2\Gamma = 2\Gamma_0(1 + \alpha \Delta E),\tag{3}
$$

where  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma$  are, respectively, the lifetime broadening of the main and satellite peaks,  $\Delta E$  denotes the energy separation from the main peak, while  $\alpha$  is the broadening parameter. We adopted the values  $\Gamma_0 = 1.0$  eV and  $\alpha = 0.1$ .<sup>17</sup> The Gaussian broadening of 1.0 eV is also used for the entire spectrum to simulate the instrumental resolution and other broadening effects. We have obtained the best-fit result by setting  $Q=10.0$  eV,  $\Delta=0.2$  eV, and  $(pd\sigma)=-1.0$  eV. Since the charge transfer energy  $\Delta = 0.2$  is smaller than the Coulomb interaction between the Cu 3*d* electron and the Cu 2*p* core hole, *Q*, the satellite structure in Cu 2*p* spectra has *c*3*d*<sup>9</sup> character and the main peak has *c*3*d*10*L* character. The transfer integral between the Cu 3*d* and O 2*p* orbitals is much smaller than those reported for CuO and  $\text{La}_2\text{CuO}_4$ .<sup>8,17,18</sup> This is consistent with the fact that the Cu ions occupy *A* site of the perovskite lattice. The charge transfer energy  $\Delta$  is also smaller than those reported for the Cu<sup>2+</sup> oxides. $1^{7,18}$  While the smallness of transfer integral tends to make the Cu 3*d* electrons in the present system more localized than those in  $La_2CuO_4$  or  $Nd_2CuO_4$ , the smallness of the charge-transfer energy tends to make the Cu 3*d* electrons in the present system more delocalized than those in  $La_2CuO_4$ or Nd<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>. Probably these two effects cancel with each other to some extent and the localization of the Cu 3*d* electrons in  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  would be more or less similar to that in  $La_2CuO_4$  or  $Nd_2CuO_4$ .

The calculated result is plotted in Fig. 4. We could not reproduce the shoulder structure of the main peak since we employed the single-site cluster model in our calculation. The shoulder structure  $(B)$  of the main peak is caused by the nonlocal screening mechanism:<sup>16</sup> screening by transferring an electron from the outside of the  $CuO<sub>12</sub>$  cluster considered above. In the present system, the nonlocal screening due to the Ru 4*d* electrons is expected to be dominant since the Ru 4*d* density of states at the Fermi level is considerably high. Recently, an x-ray absorption study has shown that the Cu valence in CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ti<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub> is also +2.<sup>19</sup> However, it is expected that the nonlocal screening channel is almost suppressed in  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ti<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  where the Ti 3*d* orbitals are unoccupied and the Cu ion is essentially isolated.

The Cu 2*p* spectrum with the satellite structure indicates that the Cu 3*d* electrons are relatively localized in contrast to the itinerant Ru 4*d* electrons. In this sense, the electronic structure of  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  is rather similar to that of 4*f*-electron heavy-fermion materials in which the highly localized 4*f* electrons hybridize with the conduction electrons. However, the asymmetric line shape of the Cu 2*p* main peak indicates that the Ru 4*d*–Cu 3*d* screening channel is very active to screen the Cu  $2p$  core hole. Actually, the cluster model analysis of the Cu 2*p* spectrum shows that the transfer integral between the Cu 3*d* and O 2*p* orbitals is as large as  $\sim$ 1 eV and the Ru 4*d*–Cu 3*d* hybridization via the O 2*p* orbitals is expected to large compared with the 4*f*-electron heavy-fermion systems. Here, one can speculate that the Cu 3*d* electrons would be less localized than the 4*f*-electron systems and would be comparable to the 5*f*-electron systems. In future, further experiments should be performed on  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  to reveal to what extent the Kondo picture can be applicable to  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$ . One of the most important experiments is the photoemission spectroscopy experiment with high-energy resolution in which one would observe a Kondo peak at the Fermi level.

In conclusion, we have performed the XPS measurement of CaCu3Ru4O12. The Ru 3*d* spectrum indicates that Ru 4*d* electrons are highly itinerant while Cu 2*p* spectrum reveals the localization of Cu 3*d* electrons. This supports the scenario which Kobayashi *et al.* proposed about the mechanism of the heavy-fermion behavior in  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  and shows that, among the *d*-electron heavy-fermion materials, the electronic structure of  $CaCu<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>$  best resembles that of the *f*-electron Kondo system.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

- 1K. Andres, J. E. Graebner, and H. R. Ott, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1779 (1975). Friday, March 31, 2006 at 14:08
- Steward, Rev. Mod. Phys. **56**, 755 (1984).
- 2F. Steglich, J. Aarts, C. D. Bredl, W. Lieke, D. Meschede, W. Franz, and H. Schafer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1892 (1979); G. R.
- <sup>3</sup>T.-A. Krug von Nidda, R. Bulla, N. Buttgen, M. Heinrich, and A. Loidl, Eur. Phys. J. B 34, 399 (2003).
- 4W. Kobayashi, I. Terasaki, J. Takeya, I. Tsukuda, and Y. Ando, J.

Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73**, 2373 (2004).

- 5S. Kondo, D. C. Johnston, C. A. Swenson, F. Borsa, A. V. Mahajan, L. L. Miller, T. Gu, A. I. Goldman, M. B. Maple, D. A. Gajewski, E. J. Freeman, N. R. Dilley, R. P. Dickey, J. Merrin, K. Kojima, G. M. Luke, Y. J. Uemura, O. Chmaissem, and J. D. Jorgensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3729 (1997).
- 6T. T. Tran, T. Mizokawa, S. Nakatsuji, H. Fukazawa, and Y. Maeno, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 153106 (2004).
- <sup>7</sup> J. Ghijsen, L. H. Tjeng, J. van Elp, H. Eskes, J. Westerink, G. A. Sawatzky, and M. T. Czyzyk, Phys. Rev. B 38, 11322 (1988).
- 8H. Eskes, L. H. Tjeng, and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 288  $(1990).$
- 9P. A. Cox, R. G. Egdell, J. B. Goodenough, A. Hamnett, and C. C. Naish, J. Phys. C **16**, 6221 (1983).
- 10A. Sekiyama, S. Kasai, M. Tsunekawa, Y. Ishida, M. Sing, A. Irizawa, A. Yamasaki, S. Imada, T. Muro, Y. Saito *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B  $70$ ,  $060506(R)$   $(2004)$ , and unpublished results.
- 11T. T. Tran, T. Mizokawa, S. Hirata, K. Takubo, M. Kurokawa, H.

Yagi, A. Fugimori, S. Nakatsuji, H. Fukazawa, and Y. Maeno (unpublished).

- 12Y. Kaga, Y. Abe, H. Yanagisawa, M. Kawamura, and K. Sasaki, Surf. Sci. Spectra **6**, 68 (1999).
- 13A. Koitzsch, J. Fink, M. S. Golden, K. Karlsson, O. Jepsen, O. Gunnarsson, L. L. Miller, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, G. Yang, and S. Abell, Phys. Rev. B 66, 024519 (2002).
- <sup>14</sup> A. Kotani and Y. Toyazawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 37, 912 (1974).
- <sup>15</sup> Stefan Hufner, *Photoelectron Spectroscopy* (Springer, Berlin, 2003).
- 16M. A. van Veenendaal and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2459 (1993); Phys. Rev. B **49**, 3473 (1994).
- 17A. E. Bocquet, T. Mizokawa, T. Saitoh, H. Namatame, and A. Fujimori, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 3771 (1992).
- <sup>18</sup> K. Okada and A. Kotani, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 58, 2578 (1989).
- 19C. McGuinness, J. E. Downes, P. Sheridan, P.-A. Glans, K. E. Smith, W. Si, and P. D. Johnson, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 195111  $(2005).$