Optical properties of boron-doped diamond

Dan Wu, Y. C. Ma, Z. L. Wang, Q. Luo, C. Z. Gu, and N. L. Wang*

Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

C. Y. Li, X. Y. Lu, and Z. S. Jin

State Key Laboratory for Superhard Materials, Jilin University, Changchun 130021, China

(Received 26 August 2005; revised manuscript received 14 November 2005; published 6 January 2006)

We report optical reflectivity study on pure and boron-doped diamond films grown by a hot-filament chemical vapor deposition method. The study reveals the formation of an impurity band close to the top of the valence band upon boron doping. A schematic picture for the evolution of the electronic structure with boron doping was drawn based on the experimental observation. The study also reveals that the boron doping induces local lattice distortion, which brings an infrared-forbidden phonon mode at 1330 cm−1 activated in the doped sample. The antiresonance characteristic of the mode in conductivity spectrum evidences the very strong coupling between electrons and this phonon mode.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.73.012501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.012501)

PACS number(s): 74.25.Gz, 71.55.Eq, 71.30.+h

Since diamond was used as an attractive material in many applications for its high hardness and stable chemical property, lots of studies have been done on diamond's transport and thermal properties. Pure diamond is a wide-gap semiconductor with the band gap of 5.5 eV .^{1,2} As the inspiration of adding impurity to a semiconductor such as silicon, the microwave plasma chemical vapor deposition (MPCVD) method as well as high pressure high temperature (HPHT) synthesizing skills have been popularly used recently to add dopants into pure diamond, with the purpose of finding out how impurities play a role in diamond.^{3,4} The most attractive impurity now should be boron as single electron acceptors. As the boron doping level increases, a gradual change from a semiconductor to a metal and eventually to a superconductor occurs. Doped boron atoms substitute for the carbon atoms when the concentration is low, $\leq 0.5\%$ and occupy neutrally interstitial positions at the doping level \sim 4%. In low doping cases, the substituted boron atoms are bonded to neighboring carbon atoms in the $sp³$ environment, and in the ground state the holes provided by boron atoms are bound in one of the threefold degenerate impurity states with a binding energy of 0.38 eV. At higher boron concentration, as the average distance between boron atoms is close to the acceptor Bohr radius, the metallic conduction appears, with the room temperature conductivities of a few $10^2 \Omega^{-1}$ cm^{-1.5-7}

The superconductivity of boron-doped diamond at several K is now the most interesting phenomenon.^{8,9} In order to understand the origin of this phenomenon, a number of firstprinciple calculations have been performed recently with regard to the electronic structure, lattice dynamics, and the electron-phonon coupling of the doped system.10–13 It was suggested that the superconductivity is mediated through the electron-phonon interaction. Holes doped at the top of the zone-centered, degenerate σ -bonding valence band couple strongly to the optical bond-stretching modes, a mechanism similar to the one causing the superconductivity in MgB_2 .¹⁰ However, there is also a suggestion by Baskaran that an additional metallic "midgap band" of a conducting "selfdoped" Mott insulator contributes to the superconductivity.¹⁴ In this brief report, we study the optical properties of lightly boron-doped diamond which has a *p*-type semiconductor character and pure diamond films in a wide range of frequency, with the temperature changing from 300 K to 10 K. A transfer of spectral weight from interband transition to the low frequencies was clearly observed, which provides experimental evidence for the evolution of the electronic structure with boron doping. A schematic picture was drawn based on the experimental observation. In addition, an antiresonance phonon feature is clearly observed at lowfrequency \sim 1330 cm⁻¹ for the boron-doped compound, indicating (1) the boron-induced distortion is evident, which thus brings this infrared-forbidden mode activated in the doped sample, and (2) strong electron-phonon coupling.

The boron-doped polycrystalline diamond thick film was grown using an hot-filament chemical vapor deposition (HFCVD) method on molybdenum substrates. The molybdenum substrates were ultrasonically pretreated in an ethanol solution containing diamond powder, followed by a −200 V bias voltage-assisted HFCVD process. In addition to the CH₄ and $H₂$ used as reaction gases, boron species were incorporated into the diamond films during the growth process by bubbling the H_2 gas (10 SCCM) through the B(OCH₃)₃ liquid precursors at room temperature. The total pressure was 50 Torr and the substrate temperature was about 1073 K measured by a thermocouple mounted on the substrate. After deposition of 60 h, the molybdenum substrates were removed by a cooling technique, and a freestanding diamond film with the thickness of about 100 μ m was obtained. The scanning electron microscopy image indicates that the average diamond grain's size in the polycrystalline diamond thick film is larger than 10 μ m. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement confirmed the pure phase and, to some degree, the preferred (111) orientation of the diamond film as evidenced by the relatively strong peak of the plane (111) in Fig. 1. The dc resistivity was measured by standard fourprobe method. Figure 2 displays the resistivity vs *T* curves for pure and doped films. For the heavily doped sample, the restivitiy value is much lower than the pure sample, and becomes superconducting below \sim 10 K.

The polycrystalline diamond films have very rough sur-

FIG. 1. (Color online) The XRD patterns with Cu K_{α} radiation $(\lambda = 0.154$ nm) for a boron-doped diamond film before and after polishing (black and red lines, respectively).

faces due to the different growth orientations of diamond grains. For optical reflectance measurement, the surface has to be polished. As the diamond is a superhard material, polishing turns out to be very difficult. We used a high rotatespeed (20 000 round/min) diamond grinding wheel to polish the sample and achieved a mirrorlike surface. Unfortunately, we found that polishing drastically affects the properties of the sample. The superconducting sample lost its superconductivity after being polished; meanwhile, the resistivity increases substantially. The ρ -*T* curve of the same, but polished, sample is also shown in Fig. 2. From the x-ray diffraction patterns shown in Fig. 1, no discernible change in peak positions could be found after polishing. However, the testing of boron concentration by Hall effect with the polished boron-doped sample shows that the boron concentration decreased to 1.3×10^{20} cm⁻³, which was much lower than the value before polishing, 7.32×10^{20} cm⁻³. This decreasing of concentration crosses the critical value n_c ¹⁵ for the onset of superconductivity. It is not clear why polishing

FIG. 2. (Color online) The dc resistivity as a function of temperature for a pure and a boron-doped diamond film. The unpolished boron-doped sample exhibits a superconducting transition near 10 K (inset of the figure). The superconductivity was lost unexpectedly after polishing.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Frequency dependence of reflectance spectra of pure and boron-doped diamond from 40 cm−1 to 6400 cm−1 at different temperature. The inset is the whole spectra from 40 cm⁻¹ to 50 000 cm⁻¹.

so strongly affects the sample properties. One possibility is that the boron concentration in the sample is not homogeneous during the diamond film growth; the outer surface region may have higher boron content, and the polishing removes the outer surface of the sample which, as a result, reduces the boron concentration. Further investigation on this issue is needed. The near-normal incident reflectance spectra were measured by a Bruker 66 *v*/*s* spectrometer in the range from 40 to 25 000 cm^{-1} and by a homemade grating spectrometer from 25000 cm^{-1} to 50000 cm^{-1} . The sample was mounted on an optically black cone in a coldfinger flow cryostat. An *in situ* overcoating technique was employed for reflectance measurements.¹⁶ We performed Kramers-Kronig transformation of $R(\omega)$ to obtain the optical conductivity spectra. For the low frequency extrapolation, we use constant and Hagen-Rubens relation for pure and doped samples, respectively. At the high frequency side, above the maximum frequency in the data file, the reflectance is extrapolated as ω^{-1} up to 400 000 cm⁻¹ followed by a function of ω^{-4} .

Figure 3 shows the optical reflectance spectra of pure and doped samples measured at 10 K and 300 K. A weak temperature dependence was found for the doped sample. The reflectance in the frequency range of $150-2400$ cm⁻¹ increases somewhat with decreasing temperature; however, its reflectance below 150 cm⁻¹ or above 2400 cm⁻¹ decreases with decreasing temperature. Above 6400 cm⁻¹ the reflectance spectra are temperature independent. As for the pure diamond sample, it shows featureless change over a broad frequency range. The increase above 30 000 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the onset of interband transition. The low ω reflectance decreases slightly with decreasing temperature, being consistent with the insulating characteristic. As revealed clearly in this figure, the major difference between the pure and B-doped diamonds is a substantial increase of the low frequency reflectance (below \sim 5000 cm⁻¹) for the doped sample. The low ω spectral weight was transferred from high frequency range above \sim 5000 cm⁻¹ as well as in the interband transition region. So the reflectance data illustrate clearly that B-doping leads to formation of electronic states

FIG. 4. (Color online) The optical conductivity spectra of pure and boron-doped diamond over a broad range of frequencies. The inset is the expanded spectra from 40 cm⁻¹ to 8000 cm⁻¹.

at low energies. Metallic conduction can be caused when those states are enough to form a band crossing the Fermi level.

Figure 4 shows the conductivity spectra in a broad frequency range. For the pure diamond sample, the spectral weight at low frequency is extremely low. A sharp increase in $\sigma(\omega)$ appears at frequency exceeding 30 000 cm⁻¹. This is due to the interband transition from valence band to conduction band. For the B-doped sample, on the other hand, an increase of spectral weight at frequency below 7000 cm−1 is evident. In the meantime, the onset of interband transition shifts somewhat to higher frequencies. Notably, the low energy excitations do not form a Drude peak. The conductivity was severely suppressed at low frequency, resulting in a broad peak centered at \sim 3000 cm⁻¹ (0.38 eV). This means that the states induced by B-doping are still highly localized. We noticed that the peak energy corresponds well to the boron acceptor levels located at 0.38 eV from the top of valence band as determined from many other experimental probes.7,17 So, peak energy just corresponds to the interband transition from the top of the valence band to the impurity states.

Based on the above experimental data, we can draw a schematic picture for the evolution of the electronic states for the B-doped diamond, as shown in Fig. 5. The pure sample is a standard semiconductor with the valence band completely filled and conduction band completely empty. The Fermi level locates close to the top of the valence band [Fig. $5(a)$]. B-doping creates the acceptor impurity states (near the Fermi level) with a binding energy of 0.38 eV. When the doping levels are low, those energy levels are isolated, and the impurity states are completely localized [Fig. $5(b)$]. As the boron concentration increases, the impurity energy levels broaden and form a band. At high enough doping level, the impurity band may overlap with the top states of valence band because of their very close energies [Fig. 5(c)]. Metallic conduction could be formed as long as the impurity band crosses the Fermi level. Additionally, because the holes are created in the valence band, a slight downward shift of Fermi level likely occurs, which can explain the observed energy shift of interband transitions. Obviously, for our polished

FIG. 5. (Color online) A schematic diagram for the evolution of the electronic structure of diamond with B-doping. (a) The pure diamond is a typical semiconductor. The chemical potential is close to the top of the valence band. (b) Boron doping introduces acceptor impurity energy levels near the top of the valence band. (c) With increasing boron doping, the boron impurity levels form a band, and may overlap with the top of the valence band. Metallic conduction occurs as long as the impurity band crosses the chemical potential

B-doped sample, it corresponds to the states between Figs. 5(b) and 5(c). Metallic response should be observed once the drastic effect caused by polishing could be removed or reduced.

The above picture shares some similarity with the high temperature cuprate superconductors which are doped Mott insulators. For the undoped parent compound, the lowest interband transition is the charge transfer excitations, that is, the transition from occupied O_{2p} band to the empty upper Hubbard band of Cu 3*d* electrons. When carriers, for example holes, are doped into the compound, they start to create some midgap states within the charge transfer gap. In optical spectra, one can observe a transfer of the spectral weight from the interband transition to the midinfrared band. With increasing doping, the midinfrared band shifts to lower frequency and finally merges with the Drude band that appears when the concentration is higher than the critical x_c for insulator-metal transition. Here, in the boron-doped diamond system, the midgap states are just from the boron acceptor impurity band. When the impurity band crosses the Fermi level, the compound can becomes metallic. We believe that the superconductivity originates from this impurity band. Baskaran proposed that the impurity band has very strong electron correlation, which could be split into lower and upper subbands, in addition to some extra midgap states. If this is the case, one would expect to see another interband transition from occupied states to the upper Hubbard impurity band for the boron-doped sample. The present experimental result does not support this scenario because no such interband transition is observed.

Besides the change in electronic states with B-doping, there also exists an important difference between the pure and doped samples with regard to phonon spectra. We noticed that the doped sample has a peculiar structure at about 1330 cm−1 in reflectance and conductivity spectra, while the pure sample does not show any anomaly at this frequency. In the Raman spectra of pure diamond, a very sharp zone-center phonon peak was observed at 1332 cm⁻¹.¹⁸ This Raman mode is obviously forbidden in infrared as the reflectance is featureless in this particular region. As the boron atoms substitute for carbon in crystal structure, the distortion of vibrational eigenvectors brings nonzero moments of electronic dipoles for most vibrational modes. So the exceptional feature in the doped sample at \sim 1330 cm⁻¹ should be explained as the activated infrared-forbidden mode by impurity.

We noticed that this phonon mode has an antiresonance characteristic in the conductivity spectrum, namely, the feature looks more like an asymmetric dip than a peak in the electronic background of conductivity spectrum. Usually, a phonon displays a peak structure centered at its characteristic frequency. The peak can be asymmetric (Fano line shape) due to an interaction of lattice with the electrons. The rather obvious antiresonance feature is an indication of the strong electron-phonon coupling in the compound. Such a phenomenon is more commonly seen in organic conductors or superconductors as a result of significant electron-molecular vibration coupling.19,20 The presence of such a peculiar phonon structure unambiguously illustrates the very strong electronphonon coupling in the present doped diamond sample. In fact, the strong coupling of electrons with such mode and its significant effect on the superconductivity in B-doped diamond has been addressed in a number of theoretical studies recently.10–13 Our infrared response study provides experimental evidence for the strong coupling of electrons with this mode.

In summary, we have performed optical reflectance measurements on polished surfaces of both pure and borondoped diamond films grown by a hot-filament chemical vapor deposition method. Significant difference has be found from the reflectance and conductivity spectra in pure and boron-doped samples. The study revealed clearly the formation of an acceptor impurity state near the top of the valence band with boron doping. It is suggested that this impurity band could overlap with the valence band at high enough doping, and the superconductivity is originated from this impurity band. The study also revealed the impurity's effect on phonon vibration modes and a very strong electron-phonon coupling in the doped compound.

We thank J. Y. Shen for her help in experiment. This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (973 Project No. 2006CB601002), the National High Technology Development Program of China (Grant No. 2002AA325090), and the National Science Foundation of China.

- *Corresponding author. Electronic address:
- nlwang@aphy.iphy.ac.cn
- ¹W. C. Walker and J. Osantowski, Phys. Rev. 134, A153 (1964).
- ²W. Saslow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **16**, 354 (1966).
- ³H. Shiomi, Y. Nishibayashi, and N. Fujimori, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Part 1 **30**, 1363 (1991).
- ⁴ J. P. Lagrange, A. Deneuville, and E. Gheeraert, Diamond Relat. Mater. 7, 1390 (1998).
- 5M. Werner, O. Dorsch, H. U. Baerwind, E. Obermeier, L. Haase, W. Seifert, A. Ringhandt, C. Johnston, S. Romani, H. Bishop, and P. R. Chalker, Appl. Phys. Lett. **64**, 31 (1994).
- 6E. Bustarret, E. Gheeraert, and K. Watanabe, Phys. Status Solidi A 199, 9 (2003).
- ⁷ J. Nakamura, E. Kabasawa, and N. Yamada, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 245111 (2004).
- 8E. A. Ekimov, V. A. Sidorov, E. D. Bauer, N. N. Mel'nik, N. J. Curro, J. D. Thompson, and S. M. Stishov, Nature **428**, 542 $(2004).$
- 9Y. Takano, M. Nagao, I. Sakaguchi, M. Tachiki, T. Hatano, K. Kobayashi, H. Umezawa, and H. Kawarada, Appl. Phys. Lett. 85, 2851 (2004).
- 10L. Boeri, J. Kortus, and O. K. Andersen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**,

237002 (2004).

- 11 K. W. Lee and W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 , 237003 (2004).
- 12X. Blase, Ch. Adessi, and D. Connetable, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 237004 (2004).
- ¹³ H. J. Xiang, Z. Li, J. Yang, J. G. Hou, and Q. Zhu, Phys. Rev. B 70, 212504 (2004).
- 14 G. Baskaran, cond-mat/0404286 and cond-mat/0410296 (unpublished).
- 15E. Bustarret, J. Kacmarcik, C. Marcenat, E. Gheeraert, C. Cytermann, J. Marcus, and T. Klein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 237005 $(2004).$
- 16C. C. Homes, M. Reedyk, D. A. Crandles, and T. Timusk, Appl. Opt. 32, 2976 (1993).
- 17A. S. Barnard, S. P. Russo, and I. K. Snook, Philos. Mag. **83**, 1163 (2003).
- ¹⁸ J. W. Ager III, W. Walukiewicz, M. McCluskey, M. A. Plano, and M. I. Landstrass, Appl. Phys. Lett. **66**, 616 (1995).
- 19C. S. Jacobsen, *Semiconductors and Semimetals*, edited by E. Conwell (London, Academic, 1998), Vol. 27, ch. 5, p. 293.
- 20N. L. Wang, H. Mori, S. Tanaka, J. Dong, and B. P. Clayman, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, 5463 (2001).