

Transport in magnetically ordered Pt nanocontacts

J. Fernández-Rossier, David Jacob, C. Untiedt, and J. J. Palacios

Departamento de Física Aplicada and Instituto Universitario de Materiales de Alicante (IUMA), Universidad de Alicante, San Vicente del Raspeig, 03690 Alicante, Spain

(Received 6 October 2005; revised manuscript received 27 October 2005; published 12 December 2005)

Pt nanocontacts, like those formed in mechanically controlled break junctions, are shown to develop spontaneous local magnetic order. Our density functional calculations predict that a robust local magnetic order exists in the atoms presenting low coordination, i.e., those forming the atom-sized neck. We thus find that the electronic transport can be spin polarized, although the net value of the conductance still agrees with available experimental information. Experimental implications of the formation of this new type of nanomagnet are discussed.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.72.224418](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.224418)

PACS number(s): 75.75.+a, 73.63.Rt, 72.25.Ba

Fabrication of metallic nanocontacts permits us to probe the electronic and mechanical properties of conventional metals with unconventional atomic coordination.¹ Electron transport in these systems depends on the tiny fraction of atoms in the sample forming the atom-sized neck which have a reduced coordination and are responsible for the two-terminal resistance. Transport experiments can thereby probe the atomic and related electronic structure of these atoms and provide information about a fundamental question: How do bulk properties evolve when the system reaches atomic sizes and atoms when full bulk coordination are no longer the majority? A bulk property that is susceptible to change is magnetism. Bulk Pt, for instance, is a paramagnetic Fermi liquid with a rather large spin susceptibility.² A transition to a ferromagnetic state could be expected upon reduction of the atomic coordination with the concomitant increase of the density of states at the Fermi energy beyond the Stoner limit. Density functional calculations^{3–5} for one-dimensional infinite Pt chains support this hypothetical scenario, resulting in a ferromagnetic transition above a critical lattice spacing which, depending on the computational approach, can be below the equilibrium lattice constant.⁴ The formation of local moments in real Pt nanocontacts would not be totally unexpected.

Formation of and electronic transport in finite Pt chains, for instance, have been extensively studied experimentally.^{8–12} Based upon the appearance of a peak at $G=0.5 \times 2e^2/h=0.5G_0$ in the conductance histogram, Rodrigues *et al.* suggested that Pt and Pd nanocontacts could be spin polarized.¹² The origin of this peak has been later attributed to adsorbates¹³ so that magnetism in Pt and Pd nanocontacts has not been confirmed experimentally yet. Previous theory work has addressed the formation of local moments in Pd nanocontacts⁶ and in Co, Pd, and Rh short chains sandwiched between Cu planes.⁷ To the best of our knowledge, theory work on Pt nanocontacts^{14–18} has overlooked the possibility of local magnetic order so far. Here we perform density functional calculations of both the electronic structure and transport and find that local magnetic order can develop spontaneously in Pt nanocontacts. Local magnetic moments as high as $1.2\mu_B$ in low-coordination atoms are found. Interestingly, while transport is definitely spin polarized, the calculated total conductance of magnetic and nonmagnetic Pt

nanocontacts is very similar and in agreement with experimental data, explaining why magnetism has been unnoticed so far.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. First, we discuss the methodology of the electronic structure calculation presented here. Second, we present results for idealized infinite and finite one-dimensional Pt chains. Then we calculate both zero-bias transport and the electronic structure of Pt nanocontacts, which turn out to be magnetic beyond attainable values of the stretching. Finally we discuss whether magnetism in Pt nanocontacts is robust to thermal and quantum fluctuations, and propose experimental verification of the different scenarios. We also comment on the effect of spin-orbit interaction, missing in our calculations.

ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE AND TRANSPORT CALCULATIONS

The electronic structure of various low-dimensional structures of Pt which mimic actual nanocontacts is calculated in the density functional approximation, using either CRYSTAL03 (Ref. 19) or ALACANT (ALicante *ab initio* computation applied to nanotransport), our *ab initio* transport package²⁰ interfaced to GAUSSIAN03.²¹ ALACANT describes the bulk electrodes using a semiempirical tight-binding Hamiltonian on a Bethe lattice. The spin-resolved density matrix includes the effect of the electrodes by means of self-energies, and the spin-polarized transport^{22,23} is obtained with the standard Landauer formula. Both GAUSSIAN03/ALACANT and CRYSTAL03 perform electronic structure calculations using a basis of localized atomic orbitals (LAO). CRYSTAL03 permits us to calculate infinite systems with crystalline symmetry whereas ALACANT is suitable for systems with no symmetry or periodicity such as nanocontacts in or out-of-equilibrium situations. In the case of the Pt calculations shown here we use scalar relativistic (SR) pseudopotentials for the 60 inner electrons and the remaining 18 electrons are treated using generalized gradient approximation (GGA) density functionals. The basis set used for all the calculations has been optimized to describe bulk Pt as well as Pt surfaces.²⁴ Other basis sets such as LANL2DZ or SDD (Ref. 21) have occasionally been employed for comparison. The main results do not depend on the choice of the basis set.




FIG. 1. (a) (Color online) Energy per atom for a perfect monostand Pt chain. (b) Magnetic moment per atom as a function of lattice spacing a . (c) Density of states (DOS) of the paramagnetic chain at the Fermi energy as a function of a . (d) DOS as a function of energy for paramagnetic chains with $a=2.4$ Å (dashed) and $a=2.8$ Å (solid)

RESULTS

We first consider a perfect one-dimensional monostand Pt chain. Such an idealized system serves as a standard starting point to understand lower symmetry geometries. It also permits us to test whether our LAO pseudopotential methodology reproduces the results obtained with SR all electron plane-wave calculations reported by Delin *et al.*⁴ In Fig. 1(a) we show the energy per atom as a function of the lattice constant a both for the paramagnetic (PM) and the ferromagnetic (FM) chain. They both have a minimum at $a=2.4$ Å. The FM chain develops a non-negligible magnetic moment when the lattice constant goes beyond $a \approx 2.6$ Å. This configuration is clearly lower in energy above that distance. The energy difference between the FM and the PM configurations is 16 meV per atom for $a=2.7$ Å and 33 meV per atom for $a=2.8$ Å. The magnetic moment per atom reaches a saturation value of $1.2\mu_B$. The equilibrium distance, critical spacing, asymptotic magnetic moment, and the shape of the phase boundary obtained by us are similar to those obtained by Delin *et al.*⁴ using a SR all-electron plane-wave calculation. Our results and those of Delin *et al.* underestimate the onset of the magnetic transition compared to calculations including spin-orbit coupling^{4,5} that predict that a magnetic moment forms already below the equilibrium distance.

The magnetic transition in the phase diagram [Fig. 1(b)] is compatible with the Stoner criterion for ferromagnetic instability. As the chain is stretched, the atom-atom coupling becomes weaker, the bands narrow down, and so does the density of states [$\rho(\epsilon)$]. Since the integrated $\rho(\epsilon)$ must be equal to the number of electrons per atom, narrowing of the DOS implies an increase of the $\rho(\epsilon)$ [see Fig. 1(d)] and, therefore, an increase of the spin susceptibility, which is proportional to $\rho(\epsilon_F)$. In Fig. 1(c) we show how the $\rho(\epsilon_F)$ of the PM chain increases as a function of the lattice constant. The remarkable feature of Pt chains is that the Stoner instability occurs close to the equilibrium lattice spacing.

The electronic structure of the ideal Pt chain sheds some light on the electronic structure of the nanocontact. In Fig. 2




FIG. 2. (Color online) Energy bands for ideal Pt chain with $a=2.8$ Å. (a) Ferromagnetic phase (solid lines for majority spin, dashed lines for minority spins). (b) Paramagnetic case.

we show the energy bands for the ideal Pt chain both in the FM [Fig. 2(a)] and PM [Fig. 2(b)] configurations, for a lattice spacing $a=2.8$ Å. We notice that the spectrum at $k=0$ has four resolved energy levels per spin. These correspond to the $6s$ and the $5d$ levels which, because of the axial potential created by the neighboring atoms, split into two doublets E_1 , E_2 and one singlet A_1 . The E_1 and E_2 are linear combinations of orbitals with $L_z = \pm 1$ and $L_z = \pm 2$, respectively, whereas the A_1 singlet is a $L_z=0$ orbital that hybridizes with the lower energy $6s$ orbital. The largest contribution to the density of states, and therefore to the magnetic instability, comes from the A_1 -like band at the edge of the Brillouin zone. However, the prominent role played by these bands in the magnetic behavior of Pt chains is in stark contrast with their role on the transport properties of Pt nanocontacts (see below and see also related work on Ni nanocontacts²³). Four spin-degenerate bands cross the Fermi energy in the PM case whereas seven spin-split bands do it in the FM chain. In the FM chains the number of spin minority channels is six, and the number of spin majority channels is one. Although spin-orbit interaction modifies significantly the bands,⁴ the number of bands at the Fermi energy is pretty similar in both cases. Therefore, one can anticipate that the number of open channels in the magnetic and nonmagnetic Pt nanocontacts studied below should be roughly the same and thereby the conductance should be similar, although the spin polarization might well be large in the former case. The conductance of the ideal FM chain is $3.5G_0$, very far from the value of $0.5G_0$ that allegedly signals the emergence of magnetism and is also far away from half of the conductance of the PM chain; so it is very unlikely that the celebrated half quantum can be attributed exclusively to magnetism.

Real Pt chains are typically less than five atoms long and are connected to bulk electrodes. Although not surprising, we have verified that magnetism survives in isolated short chains with $N_A=3, 4$, and 5 Pt atoms. The equilibrium distance is 2.4 Å for all $N_A=3, N_A=4$, and $N_A=5$. Interestingly, the short chains are always magnetic in the $N_A=3$ and $N_A=4$ cases and show a nonmagnetic to magnetic crossover at $a=2.6$ Å in the $N_A=5$ case, already similar to the ideal infinite chain. The total magnetic moment of all the $N_A=3$




FIG. 3. Conductance per spin channel for a nanocontact with a three-atom Pt chain (see inset) for the magnetic solution (a) and the nonmagnetic one (b). The atom-atom distance in the chain is 2.8 \AA . The numbers in the inset correspond to the atomic-plane-averaged magnetic moment per atom.

chains with $a < 3.0 \text{ \AA}$ is $4\mu_B$. The outer atoms have a magnetic moment of $1.36\mu_B$ and the central atom with a larger coordination has a smaller magnetic moment of $1.29\mu_B$. In the case of $N_A=4$, the total magnetic moment is $6\mu_B$, and its distribution is similar to the $N_A=3$ case.

The calculations above show that magnetism is present both in finite- and infinite-sized Pt systems with a small atomic coordination. It remains to be seen that this holds true in nanocontacts where none or only a few atoms have a small coordination (like in the case of the formation of short chains), but these are strongly coupled to the bulk. In order to verify whether or not this is the case, we have calculated both the electronic structure and transport for a model Pt nanocontact. It is formed by two opposite pyramids grown in the (001) crystallographic orientation of bulk Pt and joined by one atom which presents the lowest possible coordination [see inset in Fig. 3(a)]. Relaxation of the 11 inner atoms of the cluster has been performed starting from an equilibrium situation where the distance between the undistorted outer planes is $d=d_0$. After relaxation, for a fixed value of $d > d_0$, the inner atoms feel a net-zero force whereas the outer plane atoms experience a nonzero force imposed by the constraint. Zigzag configurations appear in the chain for values of d close to d_0 (not shown).¹⁸ On increasing d the three-atom chain straightens up [see inset in Fig. 3(a)] followed by a plastic deformation (not shown). This deformation can be in the form of a rupture or a precursor of the addition of a new atom to the chain which comes from one of the two four-atom bases.³

We now compute the transmission before the plastic deformation occurs (left panel in Fig. 3), where the atom-atom distance in the short chain is 2.82 \AA . The value of the corresponding atomic-plane-averaged magnetic moments are also shown in the inset. As expected, it decreases for atoms in the bulk as the coordination reaches the bulk value. Some atomic realizations in the stretching process (like zigzag ones) result in nanocontacts with a smaller Pt-Pt distance and no magnetism, in agreement with the infinite chain phase diagram in

Fig. 1. In contrast to both the paramagnetic and ferromagnetic infinite chains, there are only three channels contributing to the total conductance for minority electrons and there are more than one (three) for majority ones. For the majority electrons these are a perfectly transmitting s -type channel and two partially open ($T=0.4$) pd -type channels (one $p_x d_{xz}$ and one $p_y d_{yz}$ hybridized). Notice that the value of the majority spin conductance is larger than that in the infinite FM chain and smaller than that in the infinite PM chain. This is not surprising since, although the short chain is magnetic, the base planes are barely magnetic and the bulk electrodes are definitely paramagnetic. This situation contrasts with that found in fully ferromagnetic systems like nickel²³ where the conductance for the spin majority in the nanocontact is equal or smaller than that of the infinite FM chain. The three minority channels have the same character as the majority channels, except that here the s -type channel does not transmit perfectly while the transmission of two pd -type channels is enhanced so that all three minority channels have a transmission around 0.7. The other two remaining pd -like channels are responsible for the sharp resonances that appear around the Fermi level. The total conductance of the nanocontact in the FM case thus turns out to be around $4e^2/h=2G_0$ which is only slightly larger than the average experimental value corresponding to the last plateau ($1.75G_0$), but, interestingly, barely differs from the value obtained when the possibility of magnetic order is ignored (around $2.3G_0$, see right panel in Fig. 3). As in the case of the ideal chain, the FM conductance is not half of the PM conductance nor half of G_0 . To conclude this discussion we notice that, although transport is only weakly spin polarized, the magnetism brings the pd -like resonances up to the Fermi level compared to the nonmagnetic case. These resonances may well give features in the low bias conductance not present if Pt were not magnetic.

CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

The main conclusions of this work are (i) density functional calculations predict that nanochains formed in Pt nanocontacts can be stretched as to become magnetic before breaking with the magnetic moment being localized mainly on the atoms with small coordination; (ii) in that situation the value of the total conductance is not modified compared to nonmagnetic contacts, although the transmission is moderately spin polarized. How robust are these results? It is well-known that both local and gradient-corrected density functionals present some degree of electronic self-interaction, in contrast with the Hartree-Fock approximation. Self-interaction is larger for localized electrons and shifts the d bands upwards in energy, as shown in the case of Co, Ni, and Pd one-dimensional chains.²⁵ A number of schemes to avoid this problem, like LDA+U and self-interaction correction functionals have been proposed. The method of choice between chemists is hybrid functionals²⁶ in which local and Hartree-Fock exchanges are combined and the self-interaction is reduced. We have calculated the magnetic phase diagram of the one-dimensional Pt chain using the hybrid B3LYP functional²⁶ and found, somewhat expectedly,

that magnetism is enhanced and that B3LYP infinite Pt chains are ferromagnetic down to the equilibrium distance ($a=2.4 \text{ \AA}$). Both nonlocal exchange and spin-orbit coupling⁴ enhance the stability of magnetism in Pt nanocontacts. This and previous results on Ni nanocontacts²³ lead us to believe that self-interaction is an issue in the electronic structure and transport properties of transition metal nanocontacts and further work is necessary along these lines.²⁷

The mean field picture of the electronic structure describes a static magnetic moment without preferred spatial direction. In reality the nanomagnet formed in the break junction is exchanged coupled dynamically to the Fermi sea of the conduction electrons of the electrodes. In the case of a spin $S=\frac{1}{2}$, this can result in the formation of a Kondo singlet that would yield an anomaly in the zero bias conductance. For larger spins, the conduction electron sea cannot screen the spin completely so that the magnetic moment survives. The magnetic moment of the nanocontact in Fig. 3 is $S\approx 6$, comparable to that of single molecule magnets,²⁸ making the formation of a Kondo singlet unlikely.

In the absence of spin-orbit interactions and an external magnetic field, an electronic configuration with total spin S has $2S+1$ degenerate configurations corresponding to the spin pointing along different directions. However, spin-orbit

interaction is strong in Pt and produces spin anisotropy, favoring orientation along the transport direction axis in the case of one-dimensional chains.⁴ Thermal fluctuations of the magnetic moment between these two configurations are quenched for temperatures smaller than the anisotropy barrier. Departures from the easy axis orientation will be damped via electron-hole pair creation across the Fermi energy that would also result in small bias features in transport.²⁹ The application of a sufficiently strong magnetic field in the direction perpendicular to the easy axis moves the local magnetic moments away from their easy axis. This is known to change the number of open channels at the Fermi energy in both the case of Ni ideal chains³⁰ and in the case of ferromagnetic semiconductor tunnel junctions.³¹ This effect, or maybe even larger, can be expected in Pt nanocontacts and could be used to detect the nanomagnetism experimentally.

Fruitful discussions with J. Ferrer and V. García-Suárez are acknowledged. Work was funded from Grant Nos. MAT2002-04429 and FIS2004-02356, the Ramon y Cajal Program (MCyT, Spain), and Grant No. GV05/152 from Comunidad Valencina, are acknowledged. This work has also been supported by FEDER.

- ¹N. Agrait, A. Levy-Yeyati, and Jan M. van Ruitenbeek, Phys. Rep. **377**, 81–279 (2003).
- ²A. H. MacDonald, J. M. Daams, S. H. Vosko, and D. D. Koelling, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 6377 (1981).
- ³S. R. Bahn and K. W. Jacobsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 266101 (2001).
- ⁴A. Delin and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 144434 (2003); Surf. Sci. **566–568**, 262 (2004).
- ⁵T. Nautiyal, T. H. Rho, and K. S. Kim, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 193404 (2004).
- ⁶A. Delin, E. Tosatti, and R. Weht, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 057201 (2004).
- ⁷V. S. Stepanyuk, A. L. Klavysyuk, W. Hergert, A. M. Saletsky, P. Bruno, and I. Mertig, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 195420 (2004).
- ⁸C. Sirvent, J. G. Rodrigo, S. Vieira, L. Jurczyszyn, N. Mingo, and F. Flores, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 16086 (1996).
- ⁹R. H. M. Smit, C. Untiedt, A. I. Yanson, and J. M. van Ruitenbeek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 266102 (2001).
- ¹⁰R. H. M. Smit, C. Untiedt, G. Rubio-Bollinger, R. C. Segers, and J. M. van Ruitenbeek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 076805 (2003).
- ¹¹S. K. Nielsen, Y. Noat, M. Brandbyge, R. H. M. Smit, K. Hansen, L. Y. Chen, A. I. Yanson, F. Besenbacher, and J. M. van Ruitenbeek, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 245411 (2003).
- ¹²V. Rodrigues, J. Bettini, P. C. Silva, and D. Ugarte, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 096801 (2003).
- ¹³C. Untiedt, D. M. T. Dekker, D. Djukic, and J. M. van Ruitenbeek, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 081401(R) (2004).
- ¹⁴L. de la Vega, A. Martín-Rodero, A. L. Yeyati, and A. Saul, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 113107 (2004).
- ¹⁵Y. García, J. J. Palacios, E. SanFabián, J. A. Vergés, A. J. Pérez-Jiménez, and E. Louis, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 041402(R) (2004).
- ¹⁶V. M. García-Suárez, A. R. Rocha, S. W. Bailey, C. J. Lambert, S. Sanvito, and J. Ferrer, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 045437 (2005).
- ¹⁷K. S. Thygesen and K. W. Jacobsen, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 033401 (2005).
- ¹⁸V. M. Garcia-Suarez, A. R. Rocha, S. W. Bailey, and C. J. Lambert, cond-mat/0505487 (unpublished).
- ¹⁹V. R. Saunders, R. Dovesi, C. Roetti, M. Caus, N. M. Harrison, R. Orlando, and C. M. Zicovich-Wilson, CRYSTAL98 User's Manual (University di Torino, Torino, 1998).
- ²⁰J. J. Palacios, A. J. Perez-Jimenez, E. Louis, and J. A. Verges, Phys. Rev. B **64** 115411 (2001); J. J. Palacios, A. J. Perez-Jimenez, E. Louis, E. SanFabián, and J. A. Verges, *ibid.* **66**, 035322 (2002); J. J. Palacios *et al.*, in *Computational Chemistry: Reviews of Current Trends*, edited by Jerzy Leszczynski (World Scientific, Singapore, 2005).
- ²¹M. J. Frish *et al.*, GAUSSIAN03, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.
- ²²J. J. Palacios, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 125424 (2005).
- ²³D. Jacob, J. Fernández-Rossier, and J. J. Palacios, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 220403(R) (2005); D. Jacob and J. J. Palacios, cond-mat/0510696 (unpublished).
- ²⁴K. Doll, Surf. Sci. **573**, 464 (2004).
- ²⁵M. Wierzbowska, A. Delin, and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 035439 (2005).
- ²⁶A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. **98**, 5648 (1993).
- ²⁷A. Ferretti, A. Calzolari, R. D. Felice, F. Manghi, M. J. Caldas, M. B. Nardelli, and E. Molinari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 116802 (2005).
- ²⁸A. Caneschi, D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli, A. L. Barra, L. C. Brunel, and M. Guillot, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **113**, 5873 (1991).
- ²⁹C. Untiedt and J. M. van Ruitenbeek (unpublished).
- ³⁰J. Velev, R. F. Sabirianov, S. S. Jaswal, and E. Y. Tsymlal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 127203 (2005).
- ³¹L. Brey, C. Tejedor, and J. Fernández-Rossier, Appl. Phys. Lett. **85**, 1996 (2004).