Contribution of impurity-pinned vortices to the response function in a randomly diluted easyplane ferromagnet on a square lattice

Fagner M. Paula and Afranio R. Pereira*

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa, 36570-000 Minas Gerais, Brazil

Gary M. Wysin

Department of Physics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506-2601, USA (Received 7 June 2005; revised manuscript received 4 August 2005; published 20 September 2005)

Using spin dynamics techniques, we determine the neutron scattering function $S_{xx}(\vec{q}, \omega)$ of the twodimensional classical XY model on a square lattice containing a percentage of nonmagnetic impurities on the magnetic sites. Dilution substantially transforms the shape and the position of the central and spin wave peaks. Besides the spin wave peak, an additional inelastic peak arises. We argue that the causes of this rich structure are vortex-vacancy interactions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.72.094425

PACS number(s): 75.10.Hk, 75.30.Hx, 75.40.Mg, 75.40.Gb

Magnetic vortices and other nonlinear magnetization distributions have attracted much attention in physics of lowdimensional magnetism. The direct experimental visualization of these structures in nanomagnetism has given new impulses to investigate not only the physical relevance but also the technological importance of nonlinear excitations in magnetic materials.¹⁻⁴ Now, even a delicate phenomenon like a shift of the vortex center position from the center of a nanodot can be observed experimentally. However, although there is a large class of layered insulators that has been shown to exhibit the experimental characteristics of twodimensional (2D) magnetism, it is not so easy to get a direct observation of vortices in these materials. In such systems, the vortex or soliton signature may be found in the dynamical correlation functions via vortex motion or vortex-magnon (or soliton-magnon) interactions. In fact, vortex motion may cause a central peak in the spin-spin dynamical structure factor^{5,6} while vortices or solitons interacting with magnons may contribute to the electron-paramagnetic-resonance (EPR) linewidth,⁷⁻⁹ which is the temporal integral of the four-spin-correlation function. Surprisingly, some Monte Carlo calculations indicate that a single vortex very seldom moves freely over a larger distance. Normally the vortex travels only one or two lattice spacings until it annihilates with the antivortex of a pair which meanwhile appeared spontaneously in the neighborhood.^{10,11} This result would be in contrast to the phenomenological theories that predict the vortex contribution to the central peak, but as pointed out by Mertens and Bishop,¹² probably only the effective vortex motion is important for the dynamic correlation function. This would mean that it does not matter if a vortex is annihilated with the antivortex of a pair in the considered time interval [0,t], because the vortex of that pair has a motion similar to that of the original vortex. Only effective lifetimes are seriously affected.

On the other hand, to the authors' knowledge, there are no experiments in easy-plane magnets to explicitly determine the vortex signature using the EPR techniques (EPR linewidth measurements have provided an important indirect method to experimentally detect solitons in two-dimensional

isotropic magnetic materials^{7,8,13}). Therefore, since the interpretation of the central peak in the spin-spin correlation function is not at all clear, vortices are still objects that need to be observed (directly or indirectly) in 2D easy-plane magnetic materials. Perhaps the inclusion of external factors in the system may help vortices to manifest themselves more clearly. For example, the introduction of an amount of nonmagnetic impurities into the magnetic sites of a classical magnet may create conditions that affect the vortex dynam-ics. Really recent works^{14–17} have shown that vortices are attracted and pinned by nonmagnetic impurities. A boundstate vortex impurity with the vortex center around the impurity is then formed,¹⁴ and as a first consequence, the mean vortex mobility should decrease. Hence, if vortex motion is the cause of the central peak, this peak should be considerably modified in diluted systems. Following this idea, other possibilities could also appear. For instance, it was shown that vortices can develop an oscillatory motion¹⁷ around a vacancy and this motion could also contribute to the spin dynamics. For these and many other motivations, it should be important to study the spin dynamics in doped, layered magnetic materials. In fact, the site dilution problem is an important subject in modern condensed matter physics. In this paper, we develop some arguments based on numerical and analytical calculations that vortex-vacancy interactions may play a crucial role in the spin dynamics.

We consider the classical 2D XY model with a fraction of nonmagnetic impurities to study the behavior of the system dynamics using combined Monte Carlo (MC) and spin dynamics (SD) simulations. The spin model under consideration may also have relevance to the study of superconductivity, in particular, to the interaction between vortices and spatial inhomogeneities. It is described by the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j (S_i^x S_j^x + S_i^y S_j^y), \qquad (1)$$

where J is the ferromagnetic exchange coupling, $\langle i, j \rangle$ indicates nearest-neighbor sites of an $L \times L$ square lattice and the



FIG. 1. The correlation function $S_{xx}(\vec{q}, \omega)$ versus ω for some impurity concentrations $\rho_v=0$ (dotted line), $\rho_v=0.16$ (dashed line), and $\rho_v=0.20$ (solid line). It was used for $\vec{q} = (\pi/4a, 0)$, L=64a, and temperature T=0.350J.

quenched dilution factors $\{\sigma_i\}$ independently take the values 1 or 0 depending on whether the associated site is occupied by a spin or vacant. The classical spins \vec{S}_i have three components $S_i^2 = (S_i^x)^2 + (S_i^y)^2 = 1$. The behavior of the dynamics was analyzed considering several values for the impurity concentration ρ_v and temperature *T*. The critical temperature T_c of this model (we use T_{KT} for the pure model) is a function of the impurity concentration ρ_v . ^{18,19} It vanishes at the site percolation threshold $\rho_v \approx 0.41$ for a square lattice. ^{18,19}

Numerically we simulated the thermal equilibrium spin dynamics of the Hamiltonian (1) at several different dilutions ρ_v on $L \times L$ square lattices (16 $\leq L \leq$ 96, in units of lattice spacing a) with periodic boundary conditions. The number of spins in the system is $N = (1 - \rho_v)L^2$. The numerical method is a combined MC-SD technique, which include effects due to all thermodynamically allowed excitations available to the microscopic spin dynamics. The techniques used here have been described in Ref. 20 and are based on the simulation methods developed in Refs. 5 and 21 and the MC part has been applied recently in the diluted easy-plane Heisenberg model.^{19,22} Averages for the diluted model were made over different realizations of the vacancy positions (averaging over the disorder). Now we summarize the main results. Figure 1 shows $S_{xx}(\tilde{q},\omega)$ at temperature T=0.350J, \tilde{q} = $(\pi/4a, 0)$, L=64a for various impurity concentrations, ρ_v =0.0, 0.16, 0.20. The critical temperatures related to these impurity concentrations are, respectively, 0.700J, 0.453J, and 0.384J.19 Note that an almost infinitesimally narrow spike around $\omega = 0$ takes place. This is completely different from the pure case. In general, for $T \leq T_c$, the central peak becomes narrower as ρ_v increases. At the same time, the spin wave peak (SWP) moves toward lower frequencies and becomes wider as ρ_v increases. Besides the SWP, another interesting inelastic peak at a finite frequency independent of q arises. In order to better see this new structure, we use intermediate values of q. For small values of momentum, the spin wave peak is centralized at positions of low frequencies, dominating the region where the new peak arises, and hence,



FIG. 2. $S_{xx}(\vec{q}, \omega)$ versus frequency ω for some values of L.

small $\langle \vec{q} \rangle$ is not appropriate to observe it. This inelastic peak moves slightly toward lower ω and becomes narrower and higher as ρ_v increases. We also notice that this peak moves continuously toward lower ω as the lattice size increases (see Fig. 2). In fact, it is observed that $\omega_p = C(\rho_p, T)/L$ (in units of J), where the factor $C(\rho_v, T)$ depends on impurity concentration and temperature. As ρ_v and T increase, the factor $C(\rho_v, T)$ tends to decrease. The effect of ρ_v on $C(\rho_v, T)$ is much stronger than that of T. Indeed, Paula et al.¹⁶ have shown that the presence of other vacancies decreases considerably the vortex-on-vacancy pinning energy. In Fig. 3, we plot $C(\rho_v, T)$ versus ρ_v for T=0.200J. Essentially, for a given temperature T, $C(\rho_n, T)$ decreases linearly with ρ_n . It should be interesting to study the behavior of $C(\rho_v, T)$ in the limit of a very low impurity concentration ($\rho_v \rightarrow 0$). Extrapolating the results of Fig. 3 to the limit $\rho_v \rightarrow 0$, we get $C(\rho_v, 0.200)$ \rightarrow 12.09Ja. We also studied the behavior of $C(\rho_v \rightarrow 0, T)$ for other values of T (not shown here). As the temperature is decreased, $C(\rho_v \rightarrow 0, T)$ increases and extrapolating the results to the limit $T \rightarrow 0$, we get $C(\rho_v \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow 0) \approx 13.10 Ja$ leading to $\omega_v \approx (13.10/L)J$. This result is very suggestive. Indeed, Pereira et al.¹⁷ have shown that a single vortex can



FIG. 3. (Color online) The factor $C(\rho_v, T)$ versus impurity concentration ρ_v for L=96 and T=0.200J. The dotted line is a good fit to the data. Note that $C(\rho_v \rightarrow 0, T=0.200J) \rightarrow 12.09Ja$.

oscillate around a nonmagnetic impurity and such motion could be characterized by some normal modes with welldefined frequencies, which have the same behavior with the system size as the peak obtained here. Their calculations were done for a system at zero temperature containing only one vortex and only one vacancy and, therefore, valid in the limit $\rho_v \rightarrow 0$. The main mode of the oscillatory vortex motion was found to have a frequency given by $\omega_0 \approx (13.57/L)J$, which is very close to ω_v in the limit $\rho_v \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow 0$. The relation $\omega_v(\rho_v \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow 0) \approx \omega_0$ strongly suggests that the cause of the new peak observed here is vortex-vacancy interactions.

As we have already seen, for a given temperature T, the position of the spin wave peak moves toward lower ω as ρ_n increases, and the peak widens slightly. It is somewhat similar to what happens for pure systems as the temperature increases, indicating that the nonmagnetic disorder is, to some extent, similar to thermal disorder. Theoretical calculations using the spin wave approximation show that the static spinspin correlation function exponent of the diluted system η_n has the same form of the exponent η of the pure system, differing only by a constant which renormalizes the temperature.¹⁸ The approximate relation between η_v and η is then given by $\eta_v \simeq \eta/(1-2\rho_v)$.¹⁸ Writing this expression as $\eta_v = 1/2\pi J\beta_v$, we have $\beta_v = \beta(1-2\rho_v)$ and then, the effect of vacancies is to increase the effective temperature of the system. The substitution of η by η_v in the Villain²³ or Nelson and Fisher²⁴ dynamical correlation functions implies that the spin wave peak must widen as ρ_v increases, which is in qualitative agreement with our simulations.

While the behavior of the spin wave peak is relatively qualitatively well understood for the diluted problem, the additional small inelastic structure at a frequency ω_{ν} , not observed in pure systems, is a new and interesting peak that needs explanation. Theoretical expectations based on the vortex-vacancy interactions¹⁷ may justify this structure. A simple phenomenological model based on oscillating vortices is developed now. Vortices are created in pairs of vortexantivortex and, in impurity systems, it must be energetically favorable for a pair to nucleate near a vacancy, preferentially with one of the two vortex centers located exactly at the vacancy center.²⁵ Then the system may contain some impurity-pinned vortices (antivortices) and their respective antivortices (vortices) in the neighborhood (and in general, not pinned at impurities, at least for low impurity concentrations). Considering a specific pinned vortex, we will define its partner as the antivortex the shortest distance away. The energy of this configuration can be estimated as $E_i \cong E_{2v}$ $+U_{vi}$, where E_{2v} is the pair creation energy (for a discrete lattice, Landau and Binder²⁷ found $E_{2v} \approx 6.39J$) and $U_{vi} \approx$ -3.178J is the vortex-on-vacancy pinning energy.¹⁵

The time-dependent spin correlation function is obtained after the following assumptions. First, we assume that the magnetization at any point $\vec{r_i}$ in the lattice is from vortices located at vacant sites $\vec{r_{\gamma}}$ with $S^x(\vec{r_i},t) = \sum_{\gamma} S^x(\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_{\gamma}},t)$. In reality, the respective partners (not pinned) of each impuritypinned vortex (i.e., its nearest antivortex) also contribute to the magnetization and they must also be considered. Such a partner is localized by vectors $\vec{r_{\gamma}} + \vec{R_{\gamma}}$, where $\vec{R_{\gamma}}$ determines the partner position in relation to \vec{r}_{γ} (note that $|R_{\gamma}| = R_{\gamma}$ gives the γ pair size). In this notation the planar vortex-antivortex solution is written in polar coordinates (Φ, Θ) , as $\Phi_p = \arctan[(y - y_{\gamma})/(x - x_{\gamma})] - \arctan[(y - y_{\gamma})/(x - x_{\gamma})]$ $-R_{\gamma}\cdot\hat{y}/(x-x_{\gamma}-R_{\gamma}\cdot\hat{x})], \ \Theta_{p}=\pi/2.$ In the simplest analysis, when not centered on an impurity, a partner contributes only to the static structure factor since it may not move considerably on the lattice. It is not completely true because these structures must affect the central peak in the sense proposed by Mertens and Bishop¹² (of course with less intensity than the pure case). The time dependence is assumed from the vortex oscillations around the vacancy. It has been shown¹⁷ that such oscillations are not so simple and that the amplitude of oscillation is of the order of one lattice spacing a. However, as a further simplification we will assume a approximation writing $S^{x}(\vec{r}_{i},t) = \sum_{\gamma} S^{x}[\vec{r}_{i}-\vec{r}_{\gamma}]$ harmonic $-\vec{a}_{\gamma}\sin(\omega_{v}t)$], where vectors $\vec{a}_{\gamma}(|\vec{a}_{\gamma}|=a)$ indicate the direction of the oscillatory motion (in relation to the x axis) and ω_{v} is the vortex oscillation frequency. Using the above the correlation considerations, function $S_{rr}(\vec{r},t)$ $=\langle S^{x}(\vec{r},t)S^{x}(\vec{0},0)\rangle$ is calculated as

$$S_{xx}(\vec{r},t) \approx n_v \int \mu(R) d^2 r_{\gamma} dR \langle S^x(\vec{r}_{\gamma},R,\alpha) \rangle_{\alpha,\vartheta}, \qquad (2)$$
$$\times S^x(\vec{r}-\vec{r}_{\gamma}-\vec{a}_{\gamma}\sin(\omega_v t),R,\alpha) \rangle_{\alpha,\vartheta}, \qquad (2)$$

where n_v is the impurity-pair density, $\mu(R)$ is the pair size distribution function²⁶ and α , ϑ are the angles that vectors \vec{R}_{γ} and \vec{a}_{γ} make with the *x* axis respectively. The symbol $\langle ... \rangle_{\alpha,\vartheta}$ represents an average over these two angles. In the lowimpurity concentration and low-temperature regimes, we estimate the impurity-pair density n_v substituting β by β_v in the Boltzmann factor, obtaining $n_v(\rho_v) \approx B(\rho_v) \exp(-\beta E_i)$, where the coefficient *B* is given by $B(\rho_v) \approx \exp(2\rho_v \beta E_i)$. As expected, the geometric (or nonmagnetic) disorder contributes to the pair formation.

The spatial and temporal Fourier transformations of Eq. (2) yield

$$S_{xx}(\vec{q},\omega) \approx n_v F_{xx}(\vec{q}) \int \langle \exp[-i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{a}_\gamma \sin(\omega_v t)] \rangle_{\vartheta} \\ \times \exp(i\omega t) dt, \qquad (3)$$

where $F_{xx}(\vec{q}) = \int \mu(R) \langle |f_{xx}(\vec{q}, R, \alpha)|^2 \rangle_{\alpha} dR$, with

$$f_{xx}(\vec{q}, R, \alpha)|^2 = \frac{1}{2} [|f_x(\vec{q}, R, \alpha)|^2 + |f_y(\vec{q}, R, \alpha)|^2].$$
(4)

The static structure factors in Eq. (4), $f_x(\vec{q}, R, \alpha) = \int \cos[\Phi_p(\vec{q}, R, \alpha)] \exp(i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}) d^2 r$ and $f_y(\vec{q}, R, \alpha) = \int \sin[\Phi_p(\vec{q}, R, \alpha)] \exp(i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}) d^2 r$ are calculated as in Ref. 9 $[f_x \sim O(R^2)]$. After averaging over ϑ , the integral in Eq. (3) is written as

$$\int \left[J_0(qa\,\sin(\omega_v t))\cos(\omega t) + H_0^s(qa\,\sin(\omega_v t))\sin(\omega t) \right] dt,$$
(5)

where J_0 and H_0^s are the Bessel and Struve functions, respectively. Integration of Eq. (5) leads to two sharp peaks at well-defined frequencies $\omega = 0$ and $\omega = \omega_v$. The central peak is much more intense and then we approximate

$$S_{xx}(\vec{q},\omega) \cong n_v(\rho_v) F_{xx}(\vec{q}) [b_1 \delta(\omega) + b_2 \delta(\omega - \omega_v)], \quad (6)$$

where b_1 and b_2 are constants that give the strength of the peaks. These simple analytical results suggest that the pinned-vortex contributions to the correlation function imply two infinitely high and infinitesimally narrow peaks, which in some respects resemble results of simulations. Of course, like the divergent spin wave peaks obtained theoretically,^{23,24} this double delta-function correlation is only an approximation. This sharp spectrum may be modified by the occurrence of other interactions, which cause a broadening of the line shape. The simple analytical model introduced here reproduces the qualitative behavior of $S_{xx}(\vec{q}, \omega)$ observed in simulations.

In summary, vortices interacting with vacancies in diluted classical easy-plane 2D magnets result in an inelastic peak in the dynamical correlation function. However, numerical results presented here concern finite L, which means that, in particular, we have calculated the frequency of the vortex oscillations around a nonmagnetic impurity ion for a doped magnetic material of finite dimensions. The finite-size extrapolation to thermodynamic limit is essential for future comparisons with experimental findings in layered systems. Besides, our phenomenological model cannot explain the finite-size dependence of ω_v . Therefore, although all simulations can only be realized in finite systems, we can give a simple insight about this dependence. In an easy-plane magnet, the magnon spectrum is gapless. This implies that for vortex oscillation with a finite frequency ω_v that the frequency inevitably falls in a magnon continuum. As a result, vortex motion excites magnon modes,^{17,28} and that will lead to fundamentally different consequences for vortex motion in an unbounded medium and in a finite sample. For the case of interest, for a magnet of finite size, one expects that the radiation of magnons, their reflection off the boundary, and their effect back on the vortex will result in the establishment of a dynamical state of the magnet which includes both the moving vortex and the coherent magnetization oscillations matched to the vortex motion,^{17,28,29} leading to the observed dependence of ω_v on L. The finite-size dependence obtained here is probably a general property of 2D magnetic materials with a gapless dispersion law. By the other side, in an unbounded medium, magnons do not produce effects back on the vortex and it is possible that such a dependence on the system size disappears, remaining an inelastic peak at a characteristic finite frequency (although the methods used here cannot calculate its value). We also notice that, due to the large intensity of the factor $C(T, \rho_v)$, the frequency ω_v can be relatively large, even for systems much bigger than the usual experimental samples. In fact, using typical values for ferromagnetic samples, $J \cong 0.1 \text{ eV}$, $a \cong 1 \text{ Å}$, considering L as large as 1 mm and applying the appropriated units, one estimates the frequency associate to the new peak as ω_n $\sim (10Ja/\hbar)(1/L) \cong 10^9 s^{-1}$ (for low ρ_v and T). Since there are several contributions to the central peak, the mechanism proposed here may be more effective to experimentally detect vortices in layered magnetic materials. This theory may be tested by many real magnetic (ferromagnetic or even antiferromagnetic) systems, one of which is the class of nearly 2D compounds $(R-NH_3)_2 M_v Mn_{1-v} X_4$, where R is an organic radical separating the Mn X planes and the X ligand is either Cl or Br. The spin of Mn ion (S=5/2) ensures that these compounds are well approximated by the classical Hamiltonian, and nonmagnetic doping ions M can be Mg or Cd. We hope that our work can motivate experiments to detect the rich structure predicted here.

This work was supported by CNPq, CAPES, and FAPEMIG (Brazil). G.M.W. is very grateful for support from FAPEMIG and for the hospitality of the Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Brazil.

- *Corresponding author. Electronic address: apereira@ufv.br
- ¹T. Pokhil, D. Song, and J. Nowak, J. Appl. Phys. **87**, 6319 (2000).
- ²M. Schneider, H. Hoffmann, and J. Zweck, Appl. Phys. Lett. **77**, 2909 (2000).
- ³M. Rahm, R. Höllinger, V. Umansky, and D. Weiss, J. Appl. Phys. **95**, 6708 (2004).
- ⁴A. R. Pereira, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 224404 (2005); J. Appl. Phys. **97**, 094303 (2005).
- ⁵F. G. Mertens, A. R. Bishop, G. M. Wysin, and C. Kawabata, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 117 (1987); Phys. Rev. B **39**, 591 (1989).
- ⁶M. E. Gouvêa, G. M. Wysin, A. R. Bishop, and F. G. Mertens, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 11840 (1989).
- ⁷C. E. Zaspel, T. E. Grigereit, and J. E. Drumheller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4539 (1995).

- ⁸K. Subbaraman, C. E. Zaspel, and J. E. Drumheller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2201 (1998).
- ⁹A. R. Pereira and A. S. T. Pires, Phys. Rev. B 60, 6226 (1999).
- ¹⁰D. A. Dimitrov and G. M. Wysin, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 8539 (1996).
- ¹¹B. V. Costa, J. E. R. Costa, and D. P. Landau, J. Appl. Phys. 81, 5746 (1997).
- ¹²F. G. Mertens and A. R. Bishop, cond-mat/9903037.
- ¹³C. E. Zaspel and J. E. Drumheller, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **10**, 3649 (1996).
- ¹⁴A. R. Pereira, L. A. S. Mól, S. A. Leonel, P. Z. Coura, and B. V. Costa, Phys. Rev. B 68, 132409 (2003).
- ¹⁵G. M. Wysin, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 184411 (2003).
- ¹⁶F. M. Paula, A. R. Pereira, L. A. S. Mól, Phys. Lett. A **329**, 155 (2004).
- ¹⁷A. R. Pereira, S. A. Leonel, P. Z. Coura, and B. V. Costa, Phys.

Rev. B 71, 014403 (2005).

- ¹⁸B. Berche, A. I. Farinas-Sanches, Y. Holovatch, and R. Paredes, Eur. Phys. J. B **36**, 91 (2003).
- ¹⁹G. M. Wysin, A. R. Pereira, I. A. Marques, S. A. Leonel, and P. Z. Coura, cond-mat/0504145.
- ²⁰G. M. Wysin and A. R. Bishop, Phys. Rev. B 42, 810 (1990).
- ²¹C. Kawabata, M. Takeuchi, and A. R. Bishop, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **54–57**, 871 (1986); J. Stat. Phys. **43**, 869 (1986).
- ²²G. M. Wysin, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 094423 (2005).

- ²³J. Villain, J. Phys. (France) **35**, 27 (1974).
- ²⁴D. R. Nelson and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 16, 4945 (1977).
- ²⁵A. R. Pereira, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **279**, 396 (2004).
- $^{26}\mbox{H.}$ J. Jensen and H. Weber, Phys. Rev. B $\,45,\,10468$ (1992).
- ²⁷D. P. Landau and K. Binder, Phys. Rev. B 24, 1391 (1981).
- ²⁸G. M. Wysin, Phys. Rev. B 54, 15156 (1996).
- ²⁹A. R. Pereira, F. O. Coelho, and A. S. T. Pires, Phys. Rev. B 54, 6084 (1996).