Magnetic behavior of the spin-chain compounds Ca_3CuIrO_6 **and** Ca_3CuRhO_6

S. Rayaprol and E. V. Sampathkumaran

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Mumbai 400005, India (Received 15 September 2004; revised manuscript received 3 November 2004; published 4 March 2005)

The spin-chain compounds, Ca_3CuCO_6 and Ca_3CuRhO_6 , crystallizing in a K_4CdCl_6 -derived monoclinic structure, are investigated by ac and dc magnetization, isothermal remnant magnetization, as well as heatcapacity measurements. The results reveal the existence of a magnetic ordering in the vicinity of 15 K for both the compounds, but the transition appears to be of a complex nature. The existence of a spin-glass component is strongly indicated by the results. We propose that topological effects play a role on magnetism of these compounds. The magnetic properties for these two compounds are interestingly similar as though isoelectronic chemical substitution at the octahedral coordination site does not significantly interfere in the magnetic exchange process.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.71.094403 PACS number(s): 75.50.Lk, 75.40.Cx, 75.30.Cr, 75.30.Kz

I. INTRODUCTION

The spin-chain compounds belonging to a family of the type, (Ca, Sr) ₃ ABO_6 (*A*, *B*=metallic, magnetic ions),¹⁻³ crystallizing in a K_4CdCl_6 -derived rhombohedral structure, with a triangular arrangement of spin chains in the basal plane, have started attracting a lot of attention in recent years (see Refs. $4-25$, and references cited therein). In particular, the spin-glass features observed for some of the compounds with Co at the A/B site^{11–14,17–19} (e.g., an unusual frequency ν and the magnetic-field *H* dependence of the peaks in ac susceptibility x) are uncharacteristic of canonical spin glasses and therefore the magnetism of these compounds is apparently quite exotic. The Cu containing compounds present additional complications due to a lattice distortion (mostly monoclinic) (Refs. $4-8$) arising out of the Jahn-Teller effect associated with the $3d^9$ configuration of Cu²⁺ ion (A site) and the ac χ behavior due to spin-glass freezing is found to be somewhat different^{15,16} from those of Co-based systems. It should be noted that, for a Cu compound, 25 undergoing triclinic distortion due to a partial site-exchange, long-range antiferromagnetic order (instead of spin-glass freezing) has been reported at low temperatures, despite various unfavorable factors such as disorder and multiplicity of bond distances. It is therefore clear that the spin-glass behavior due to the topological frustration is not a general rule (also see Refs. 2 and 16) within this family, thereby emphasizing the role of other factors, e.g., second and higher nearest-neighbor interactions. In view of such interesting situations these compounds present, as a part of our efforts on Cu-containing compounds, we have investigated the compounds, $Ca₃CuIrO₆$ and $Ca₃CuRhO₆$, for their magnetic behavior. To our knowledge, there is no further paper on these compounds following the report on the synthesis of the former material⁶ and on the dc magnetization *M* behavior of the latter.^{7,8}

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The compounds in the polycrystalline form were prepared by solid-state reaction method. Requisite amounts of highpurity ($>99.9\%$) starting components (CaCO₃, Ir, Rh powder, and CuO) were thoroughly ground in acetone and heated in Pt crucibles between 800 °C and 1100 °C for 1 week with intermediate grindings. The samples thus formed were found to be single phase. All the lines in the x-ray diffraction patterns (Fig. 1) could be indexed on the basis of monoclinically distorted K_4CdCl_6 structure (space group $C2/c$). While the isothermal *M* measurements at 1.8 K were performed employing a commercial (Oxford Instruments) vibrating sample magnetometer, the temperature (T) dependent ac and dc magnetic susceptibility χ behavior were tracked by a superconducting quantum interference device (Quantum De-

FIG. 1. X-ray diffraction patterns (Cu K_{α}) of Ca₃CuIrO₆ and $Ca₃CuRhO₆$.

FIG. 2. Inverse susceptibility of Ca_3CuIrO_6 and Ca_3CuRhO_6 as a function of temperature obtained in a magnetic field of 5 kOe (for the zero-field-cooled state of the specimens). A line is drawn through the high-temperature linear region. The inset shows the susceptibility data below 30 K in an expanded form.

sign). The heat-capacity (C) data in the absence and in the presence of magnetic fields *H* were obtained below 35 K by the relaxation method employing a Physical Property Measurements System (Quantum Design).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of dc χ measurements measured in the presence of an H of 5 kOe after zero-field cooling (ZFC) to 1.8 K are shown in Fig. 2 for both the samples. χ obeys Curie-Weiss law above 150 K. The values of the effective moment μ_{eff} and the paramagnetic Curie temperature θ_p obtained from the linear region are $2.1\mu_B$ and −12 K for Ca₃CuIrO₆ and 2.44 μ _B and −140 K for Ca₃CuRhO₆, respectively. As the *T* is lowered further, there is a gradual deviation from the high-*T* Curie-Weiss behavior with a pronounced anomaly due to the onset of magnetic ordering well below 20 K. This finding is in qualitative agreement with the reports of Stitzer *et al.*⁷ and Davis *et al.*; ⁸ however, these authors did not find the theoretically predicted value of 2.45 $\mu_{\rm B}$ for $\mu_{\rm eff}$ for the spin-only moment of Rh⁴⁺ (low spin for the octahedral coordination) and Cu^{2+} , whereas our value is in perfect agreement with this combination of electronic structure. However, the observed value for Ca_3CuIrO_6 is marginally lower (for Cu²⁺ and low spin Ir⁴⁺); such discrepancies sometimes observed 26 for this family of compounds in the literature have been attributed to anisotropy introduced in some specimens due to quasi-one-dimensional nature of these materials.

FIG. 3. Magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature for Ca_3CuIrO_6 and Ca_3CuRhO_6 for the zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) conditions of the specimens for $H=100$ Oe.

We have also taken the dc $\chi(T)$ data below 30 K for *H* $=100$ Oe for the ZFC and field-cooled (FC) conditions of the specimen. The plots shown in Fig. 3 clearly reveal that there is a sudden upturn below about 16 K with a significant bifurcation of ZFC-FC curves in the range 10–15 K. The ZFC curves²⁷ exhibit a peak at about 7 and 12 K for the two compounds, respectively, while FC curves tend towards saturation at lower temperatures. The isothermal *M* curves at 1.8 K shown in Fig. 4 exhibit an abrupt increase around 300 Oe; the sudden increase of *M* setting in only after a certain value of *H* typical of a meta-magnetic transition implies the existence of an antiferromagnetic coupling. *M* beyond 10 kOe varies sluggishly (that is, without exhibiting saturation even at fields as high as $120 kOe$, with a much smaller value of the magnetic moment even at 120 kOe compared to the ferromagnetic spin-only value of $2\mu_B$. While these findings support antiferromagnetic ordering (in zero magnetic field), the hysteretic nature of the *M-H* curves at low fields at 1.8 K (see the insets in Fig. 4) appears to favor ferromagnetic interaction as well. Thus, these *M* data reveal the existence of both ferromagnetic as well as antiferromagnetic couplings. The question therefore arises whether this inference viewed together with the bifurcation of ZFC-FC dc $\chi(T)$ curves implies spin-glass freezing, which will be addressed below further.

We now present the *v*-dependent ac $\chi(T)$ behavior (Fig. 5). It is clear that, in the absence of an external dc *H*, the real (χ') part for $\nu=1.3$ Hz exhibits a well-defined peak near the transition, say at 13 K and 15.3 K, for $Ca₃CuIrO₆$ and $Ca₃CuRhO₆$, respectively. This peak apparently shifts to a

FIG. 4. Isothermal magnetization (in the units of magnetic moment per formula unit) as a function of magnetic field at 1.8 K for $Ca₃CuIrO₆$ and $Ca₃CuRhO₆$. Low-field hysteretic behavior is shown in the insets. The arrows mark the way the magnetic field is varied. The time dependence of isothermal remanent magnetization at 1.8 K is also plotted in the insets.

marginally higher temperature, say to 13.5 K for ν $=1.3$ kHz for the former; though this shift in the peak position is not transparent for the latter, the fact that the left side of the peak moves to a higher temperature with increasing ν implies a v dependence of ac $\chi(T)$ curves. The shift in the peak temperature (if called as "spin-freezing temperature, $T_{\rm f}^{\prime\prime}$, for instance, for the former, corresponds to a value of about 0.01 for the factor²⁸ $\Delta T_f/T_f\Delta(\ln \nu)$; the features in the imaginary part χ'' of χ are quite prominent in the vicinity of T_f ; the features in ac $\chi(T)$ get wiped out in the presence of an external dc *H*, say 10 kOe; these properties are typical of canonical spin glasses.

We have also performed time-dependent isothermal remanent magnetization M_{IRM} measurements at 1.8 K. For this purpose, after attaining 1.8 K by zero-field cooling, a magnetic field of 5 kOe was switched on for a time *t* of 5 min, followed by measuring *t* dependence of *M* after *H* was reduced to zero. It is found that, for the same measurements above 15 K, *M* falls to negligibly small values within few seconds after H is switched off (thereafter remaining constant), while at 1.8 K, the values are found to be large. M_{IRM} is found to undergo a slow decay with t (see the insets in Fig. 4), which indicates the existence of a spin-glass-like phase in the sample. While the functional form is logarithmic (M_{IRM}) $=2.58-0.08 \ln t$ for the Ir sample, the one for the Rh sample appears to be more complex. It is obvious from the insets

FIG. 5. Ac susceptibility (amplitude=1 Oe) as a function of temperature for $Ca₃CuIrO₆$ and $Ca₃CuRhO₆$ at various frequencies. The curves move with increasing frequencies $(1.3, 13, 130,$ 1300 Hz) in the direction of arrows.

that the net decay over a period of 1 h is in fact much smaller for the latter compared to the former, and it is not clear whether it implies different degree of complexity of the magnetic phase, e.g., with different fractions of the magnetic ions undergoing spin-glass freezing.

The $C(T)$ behavior is shown in Fig. 6 in various ways. It is apparent that there is a shoulder (very weak peak) in the plots around 13 K (15 K) for Ca_3CuIrO_6 (Ca₃CuRhO₆), not only in $C(T)$ but also in $C/T(T)$. Thus, the entropy change in the range $13-15$ K at the transition (Fig. 6, inset) is negligible. In other words, the absence of a *prominent* λ peak in $C(T)$ raises a question whether a well-defined magnetic structure, if present, proceeds through entire crystallite, in other words, whether the magnetic transition is of an inhomogeneous (or broadened) type. Spin-glass-like freezing could be one source of this type of transition. In support of this, the plot of C/T versus T^2 (see Fig. 6) is linear below about 12 K (down to 4.5 K) with a large linear term, typical of spin glasses. It is however to be noted that, though the anomaly in *C* at the respective transition temperatures is weak, there is a sharp peak (see Fig. 6), visible at least for $Ca₃CuRhO₆$. This could imply that there is a finite fraction of magnetic ions undergoing long-range magnetic ordering with a well-defined magnetic structure centering around 15 K. It is therefore not clear at present whether one has to invoke the idea of "partially-disordered antiferromagnetic structure (PDA)" advanced for $Ca_3Co_2O_6$ and $Ca_3CoRhO_6^{9,11,13}$ though we cannot rule out possible formation of clusters of antiferromagnetic segments created by the defects along the chains,15 coupling among themselves like a cluster spin

FIG. 6. Heat capacity *C* and *C*/*T* as a function of temperature for Ca₃CuIrO₆ (left columns) and Ca₃CuRhO₆ (right columns) in the absence and in the presence of magnetic fields $(10 \text{ and } 50 \text{ kOe}).$ The temperature dependence of total entropy is also shown in the insets. The plots of C/T vs T^2 are also shown and the continuous lines are drawn to highlight the linear region below 12 K.

glass. We have also measured *C* in the presence of 10 and 50 kOe and we find that the kink around the transition is smeared out. The insets in Fig. 6 reveal how the applied field modifies the way the total entropy evolves. The $S(T)$ curves, say for $H=0$ and 50 kOe, tend to overlap at a temperature far above T_f , which appear to favor the proposal of magnetic inhomogeneity persisting over a wide temperature range above T_f . Incidentally, these correlations could possibly extend to temperatures as high as 150 K, as inferred from the deviation of $\chi(T)$ from the high-*T* Curie-Weiss behavior (see Fig. 1).

Finally, we would like to add that there is a weak change in the slope of C/T vs T^2 plots around 4.5 K in both the samples, which indicates further complexities of the magnetic phase of these compounds at such temperatures. The linear region below 4.5 K yields the values of linear coefficient and Debye temperature as 15 mJ/mol K^2

 (21 mJ/mol K^2) and 275 K (225 K) for $Ca_3\text{CuIrO}_6$ $(Ca₃CuRhO₆)$, respectively.

IV. SUMMARY

The results presented in this paper suggest that the two compounds, $Ca₃CuIrO₆$ and $Ca₃CuRhO₆$, exhibit complex magnetic behavior with the onset of magnetic ordering around 15 K. Several experimental results viewed together [the bifurcation of ZFC-FC $\chi(T)$ curves, v dependence of ac χ and its response to the application of H , the slow decay of M_{IRM} , low-field hysteretic M - H behavior without saturation at high fields, and a broad $C(T)$ anomaly with a large linear term] indicate the existence of a spin-glass-like component. However, a well-defined kink/shoulder, though very weak, appearing in $C(T)$ around 15 K points towards the existence of a well-defined magnetic structure for some fraction of magnetic ions. Thus, the results offer evidence for some kind of random magnetism in the bulk of these samples and this type of magnetism in such stoichiometric materials may have its origin in the topological frustration of coupling among magnetic ions. It is worthwhile to perform careful neutrondiffraction studies to understand possible complex magnetic structure including PDA structure.

Finally, a careful look at all the data presented in this paper indicates that the properties of both the compounds are apparently similar in many respects. Thus, the chemical substitution at the octahedral site by an isoelectronic ion does not bring about any major qualitative change in the measured physical properties, except perhaps a marginal depression of magnetic ordering temperature by negative chemical pressure. However, a substitution by Ru for this site results in a long-range ferrimagnetic ordering,5 *without spin-glass features*²⁹ in ac $\chi(T)$. The fact that the v dependence of the peak temperature in $\chi'(T)$ of the title compounds falls in line with those of other Cu-based spin glasses of this family, raises a question whether the unusual spin glass anomalies in the Co analogs, Ca_3CoIrO_6 and Ca_3CoRhO_6 , 10,11,14,15 are in some fashion decided by Co. Thus, this work enables us to bring out the role of electronic structure of *A* and *B* ions on magnetic behavior. We hope these results will be helpful for the theoretical advancement of the field of geometrical frustration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank K. K. Iyer and Kausik Sengupta for their valuable help during experiments.

- ¹ See, for instance, T. N. Nguyen and H. C. zur Loye, J. Solid State Chem. 117, 300 (1995).
- 4S. H. Irons, T. D. Sangrey, K. M. Beauchamp, M. D. Smith, and H. C. zur Loye, Phys. Rev. B 61, 11 594 (2000).
- ²C. L. Onnerud, M. Sigrist, and H. C. zur Loye, J. Solid State Chem. 127, 25 (1996).
- ³H. Fjellvag, E. Gulbrandsen, A. Aasland, A. Olsen, and B. C. Hauback, J. Solid State Chem. 124, 190 (1996).
- ⁵C. A. Moore, E. J. Cussen, and P. D. Battle, J. Solid State Chem. **153**, 254 (2000).
- ⁶A. Tomaszewska and Hk. Müller-Buschbaum, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **619**, 534 (1993).
- 7K. E. Stitzer, W. H. Henley, J. B. Claridge, H. C. zur Loye, and R. C. Layland, J. Solid State Chem. **164**, 220 (2002).
- 8M. J. Davis, M. D. Smith, and H. C. zur Loye, J. Solid State Chem. **173**, 122 (2003).
- ⁹H. Kageyama, K. Yoshimura, K. Kosuge, H. Mitamura, and T. Goto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1607 (1997).
- 10S. Niitaka, H. Kageyama, M. Kato, K. Yoshimura, and K. Kosuge, J. Solid State Chem. **146**, 137 (1999).
- 11S. Niitaka, K. Yoshimura, K. Kosuge, M. Nishi, and K. Kakurai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 177202 (2001).
- 12S. Niitaka, H. Kageyama, M. Kato, K. Yoshimura, K. Kosuge, S. Kawano, N. Aso, A. Mitsuda, H. Mitamura, and T. Goto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70**, 1222 (2001).
- 13A. Maigan, C. Michel, A. C. Masset, C. Martin, and B. Raveau, Eur. Phys. J. B 15, 657 (2000).
- 14E. V. Sampathkumaran and Asad Niazi, Phys. Rev. B **65**, $180401(R)$ (2002).
- 15Asad Niazi, P. L. Paulose, and E. V. Sampathkumaran, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 107202 (2002).
- 16Asad Niazi, E. V. Sampathkumaran, P. L. Paulose, D. Eckert, A. Handstein, and K.-H. Müller, Phys. Rev. B 65, 064418 (2002).
- 17S. Rayaprol, K. Sengupta, and E. V. Sampathkumaran, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 180404 (R) (2003).
- 18S. Rayaprol, K. Sengupta, and E. V. Sampathkumaran, Solid State Commun. **128**, 79 (2003).
- 19S. Rayaprol, K. Sengupta, and E. V. Sampathkumaran, Proc.- Indian Acad. Sci., Chem. Sci. 115, 553 (2003).
- 20 M. Loewenhaupt, W. Schäfer, A. Niazi, and E. V. Sampathkumaran, Europhys. Lett. **63**, 374 (2003).
- 21S. Rayaprol, K. Sengupta, E. V. Sampathkumaran, and Y. Matsushita, J. Solid State Chem. 177, 3270 (2004).
- 22E. V. Sampathkumaran, Z. Hiroi, S. Rayaprol, and Y. Uwatoko, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **284**, L7 (2004).
- 23E. V. Sampathkumaran, N. Fujiwara, S. Rayaprol, P. K. Madhu, and Y. Uwatoko, Phys. Rev. B 70, 014437 (2004).
- 24V. Hardy, M. R. Lees, O. A. Petrenko, D. McK Paul, D. Flahaut, S. Hebert, and A. Maignan, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 064424 (2004).
- 25K. Sengupta, S. Rayaprol, K. K. Iyer, and E. V. Sampathkumaran, Phys. Rev. B 68, 012411 (2003).
- 26See, for instance, H. Kageyama, K. Yoshimura, and K. Kosuge, J. Solid State Chem. **140**, 14 (1998).
- ²⁷We find that χ for ZFC condition attains negative values below about 7 K for $H=100$ Oe and it becomes positive if the measurements are done at a higher field (say, 500 Oe). This behavior has been reported for $Ca₃LiRuO₆$ and could arise due to a small negative remanent field as discussed in M. Mahesh Kumar and E. V. Sampathkumaran, Solid State Commun. 114, 643 (2000).
- 28See, for a review, J. A. Mydosh, *Spin Glasses: An Experimental Introduction* (Taylor and Francis, London, 1993).
- 29S. Rayaprol and E. V. Sampathkumaran, cond-mat/0411360.