#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The support and encouragement of Professor

I. D. Abella through all phases of this research is

\*Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. GP-18622, and in part by the Advanced Research Projects Agency Contract No. DAHC 15-67-C-0220.

<sup>†</sup>Submitted as a thesis to the Department of Physics, The University of Chicago, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree.

<sup>1</sup>A. Compaan, Phys. Rev. B 5, 4450 (1972).

<sup>2</sup>L. Q. Lambert, A. Compaan, and I. D. Abella, Phys. Rev. A 4, 2022 (1971).

<sup>3</sup>I. D. Abella, N. A. Kurnit, and S. R. Hartmann, Phys. Rev. **141**, 391 (1966).

<sup>4</sup>M. O. Scully, M. J. Stephen, and D. C. Burnham, Phys. Rev. **171**, 213 (1968); R. G. Brewer and R. L. Shoemaker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **27**, 633 (1971); A. I. Alekseev and I. V. Evseev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **57**, 1735 (1969) [Sov. Phys.-JETP **30**, 938 (1970)]; V.

V. Samartsev and V. R. Nagibarov, Fiz. Tverd. Tela 11, 3669 (1969) [Sov. Phys.-Solid State 11, 3085 (1970)].

<sup>5</sup>J. P. Gordon, C. H. Wang, C. K. N. Patel, R. E. Slusher, and W. J. Tomlinson, Phys. Rev. **179**, 294 (1969).

<sup>6</sup>D. Grischkowsky and S. R. Hartmann, Phys. Rev. B 2, 60 (1970).

<sup>7</sup>L. G. Rowan, E. L. Hahn, and W. B. Mims, Phys. Rev. A **137**, 61 (1965).

<sup>8</sup>N. A. Kurnit, I. D. Abella, and S. R. Hartmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 567 (1964).

<sup>9</sup>A. Compaan, L. Q. Lambert, and I. D. Abella, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 1089 (1968).

<sup>10</sup>L. Q. Lambert, A. Compaan, and I. D. Abella, Phys. Lett. A **30**, 153 (1969).

<sup>11</sup>J. Macek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1 (1969); G. W. Series,

Physica (Utr.) 33, 138 (1967), and references therein.

<sup>12</sup>H. J. Andra, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 325 (1970).

<sup>13</sup>T. Hadeishi and W. A. Nierenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14, 891 (1965).

<sup>14</sup>J. N. Dodd, R. D. Kaul, and D. M. Warrington, Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. 84, 176 (1964); E. B. Aleksandrov, Opt. Spektrosk.

gratefully acknowledged. I also gratefully appreciate the many helpful comments and invaluable assistance of Dr. A. Compaan, and the laboratory assistance of C. Y. Pang and S. Paranjape.

**17**, 957 (1964) [Opt. Spectrosc. **17**, 522 (1964)]; J. N. Dodd, W. J. Sandle, and D. Zissermann, Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. **92**, 497 (1967).

<sup>15</sup>D. A. Jensen, S. H. Aronson, R. D. Ehrlich, D. Fryberger,

C. Nissim-Sabat, V. L. Telegdi, H. Goldberg, and J. Solomon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 615 (1969).

<sup>16</sup>A. Compaan, L. Q. Lambert, and I. D. Abella, Opt. Commun. **3**, 236 (1971).

<sup>17</sup>A. Szabo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 323 (1971); 25, 924 (1970).

<sup>18</sup>E. O. Schulz-duBois, Bell Syst. Tech. J. 38, 271 (1959).

<sup>19</sup>N. Laurance, E. C. McIrvine, and J. Lambe, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **23**, **5**15 (1962).

<sup>20</sup>J. U. White, J. Opt. Soc. Am. **32**, 285 (1942).

<sup>21</sup>P. F. Liao and S. R. Hartmann, Phys. Lett. A **38**, 295 (1972). We have tested the theoretical fit using the remeasured quadrupole constants and found that in most cases the curves are indistinguishable. Figure 3 shows the effects of the small change of quadrupole constant in the most sensitive region.

<sup>22</sup>R. V. Pound, Phys. Rev. 79, 685 (1950).

<sup>23</sup>N. A. Kurnit and S. R. Hartmann, *Interaction of Radiation with Solids* (Plenum, New York, 1967), p. 693; I. D. Abella, in *Progress in Optics*, edited by E. Wolf (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1969), Vol. VII, p. 158.

<sup>24</sup>S. L. McCall and E. L. Hahn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **18**, 908 (1967); Phys. Rev. **183**, 457 (1969); C. K. N. Patel and R. E. Slusher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1019 (1967); I. M. Asher and M. O. Scully, Opt. Commun. **3**, 395 (1971); I. M. Asher, Phys. Rev. A **5**, 349 (1972).

<sup>25</sup>L. Q. Lambert, A. Compaan, and I. D. Abella, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **15**, 88 (1970).

<sup>26</sup>A. Compaan and I. D. Abella, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 16, 1403 (1971).

<sup>27</sup>R. F. Wenzel, Phys. Rev. B 1, 3109 (1970).

<sup>28</sup>A. Szabo, in Proceedings of the Seventh International Quantum Electronics Conference, Paper I-8, Digest of Technical Papers, 1972 (unpublished), p. 44; and private communication.

PHYSICAL REVIEW B

#### VOLUME 7, NUMBER 5

1 MARCH 1973

# Strong-Field Assignment on $4f^{13}$ 5d Levels of Yb<sup>2+</sup> in SrCl<sub>2</sub>

Eugene Loh\*

Laboratoire de Chimie Physique "Matière et Rayonnement" Associé au, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université de Paris VI, 75 005-Paris-France (Received 11 September 1972)

The  $4f^{14} \rightarrow 4f^{13}$  5*d* absorption spectrum of an Yb<sup>2+</sup> ion in cubic crystals is demonstrated to have four peaks, which correspond to the four 5*d* ( $e_g$  or  $t_{2g}$ )  $4f^{13}$  ( ${}^2F_{7/2}$  or  ${}^2F_{5/2}$ ) final states.

The  $4f^{n-1} 5d$  configuration of most of the divalent and trivalent rare-earth ions in solids is known<sup>1,2</sup> to be formed by weak interaction between the 5dorbitals, which are split strongly in the crystal field, with the  $4f^{n-1}$  core. For example, the  $4f^{14}$ -  $4f^{13} 5d$  absorption spectrum<sup>3</sup> of Yb<sup>2+</sup> in CaF<sub>2</sub> consists of  $e_g$  and  $t_{2g}$  components of the 5*d* electron with a separation of 16700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Each of these 5*d* orbitals has a high-energy partner,<sup>3</sup> which corresponds to the  ${}^2F_{7/2} + {}^2F_{5/2}$  transition of Yb<sup>3+</sup> (4*f*<sup>13</sup>) at ~10000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For each Yb<sup>2+</sup> ion in the cubic crystal there are therefore four 4*f*<sup>14</sup> + 4*f*<sup>13</sup> 5*d* ab-



sorption peaks,<sup>3</sup> which correspond to the final states  $5d(e_g \text{ or } t_{2g})4f^{13}({}^2F_{7/2} \text{ or } {}^2F_{5/2})$  with  $e_g$  lower than  $t_{2g}$  in fluorite structure and  ${}^2F_{7/2}$  lower than  ${}^2F_{5/2}$ . The energy difference between the  $e_g$  and  $t_{2g}$  components is  $10Dq \approx 13\,000$  to  $17\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in alkaline-earth fluorides<sup>4</sup> and that between  ${}^2F_{7/2} - {}^2F_{5/2}$  should be that of Yb<sup>3+</sup>  $(4f^{13}) \sim 10\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

In this paper we shall demonstrate that the seemingly complicated spectrum<sup>6</sup> of Yb<sup>2+</sup> in SrCl<sub>2</sub>, which has the same fluorite structure as other alkalineearth fluorides, can and should be simply interpreted as in CaF<sub>2</sub>.<sup>3</sup> The apparent complexity of the  $4f^{14} \rightarrow 4f^{13} 5d$  absorption spectrum<sup>6</sup> of Yb<sup>2+</sup> in SrCl<sub>2</sub> is possibly due to the presence of (a) three types of "isolated" Yb<sup>2+</sup> ions and (b) three types of Yb<sup>2+</sup> sites with Yb<sup>3+</sup> neighbors. In CaF<sub>2</sub>, there seems to be only (a) one type of "isolated" Yb<sup>2+</sup> ion and (b) one type of Yb<sup>2+</sup>-Yb<sup>3+</sup> site as suggested by the ultraviolet absorption spectrum<sup>3,4</sup> of Yb<sup>2+</sup> in CaF<sub>2</sub>.

Figure 1 reproduces the  $4f^{14} - 4f^{13} 5d$  absorption spectrum<sup>6</sup> of Yb<sup>2+</sup> in SrCl<sub>2</sub>. Starting at the longwavelength end, we assign the structure at 1, 1', and 3 as the  $5d(e_g)4f^{13}({}^2F_{7/2})$  state of three types of "isolated" Yb<sup>2+</sup>. Their corresponding  $5d(e_g)4f^{13}({}^2F_{5/2})$  states are at 9, 10, and 11, respectively, and the  $5d(t_{2g})4f^{13}({}^2F_{7/2})$  states are at 13, 13', and 13'', respectively. The  $5d(t_{2g})4f^{13}({}^2F_{5/2})$  states will be in the vacuumultraviolet region.<sup>3</sup> This assignment yields  ${}^2F_{5/2}$ - ${}^2F_{7/2} \approx 10\,360 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and crystal field strength 10Dq $\approx 13\,830 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  as indicated in Fig. 1 by the separation between peaks 9 to 1 and 13 to 1, respectively. 8 in Fig. 1 as  $5d(e_g)4f^{13}({}^2F_{7/2})$  states of Yb<sup>2+</sup> with Yb<sup>3+</sup> neighbors. Again, their corresponding  $5d(e_g)4f^{13}({}^2F_{5/2})$  states are at 14, 15, and 14", respectively, and the  $5d(t_{2g})4f^{13}({}^2F_{7/2})$  states are at 16, 16', and 18, respectively. The  $5d(t_{2g})4f^{13}({}^2F_{5/2})$  states of Yb<sup>2+</sup> with Yb<sup>3+</sup> neighbors, again, will be in the vacuum-ultraviolet region.<sup>3</sup> Figure 1 shows that  ${}^2F_{5/2} {}^2F_{7/2} {}^{\approx} 10770$  cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $10Dq {}^{\approx} 13\,550$  cm<sup>-1</sup> are the energy separations between 14 to 5 and 16 to 5, respectively.

Table I summarizes the values of  ${}^{2}F_{5/2} - {}^{2}F_{7/2}$  and 10Dq of 5d electron as deduced by subtracting the frequencies between corresponding peaks. The averaged value of  ${}^{2}F_{5/2} - {}^{2}F_{7/2} \approx 10500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  agrees well with the direct measurement<sup>7</sup> on Yb<sup>3+</sup> in SrCl<sub>2</sub>. The averaged value of the crystal field strength  $10Dq \approx 13600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  on the 5d electron in SrCl<sub>2</sub> is also in line with the values in other alkaline-earth fluorides, <sup>4</sup> e.g., 16350 cm<sup>-1</sup> in CaF<sub>2</sub>, 14750 cm<sup>-1</sup> in SrF<sub>2</sub>, and 13300 cm<sup>-1</sup> in BaF<sub>2</sub>.

In order to demonstrate that the spectrum of  $SrCl_2: Yb^{2*}$  in Fig. 1 is not due to only one type of  $Yb^{2*}$  ion, we present the spectrum of another crystal in Fig. 2 at 8 °K. The  $Yb^{2*}$  in this sample was converted from  $Yb^{3*}$  in the single crystal  $SrCl_2: 0.1$ -mole%  $Yb^{3*}$  by backing it with ytterbium metal chips in a sealed quartz capsule at 450 °C for a  $\frac{1}{2}$  h. Figure 2 shows that the absorption due to  $Yb^{2*}$ -Yb<sup>3\*</sup>, peaks 5, 6, and 8, is of the same order of magnitude as that due to peaks 1 of isolated  $Yb^{2*}$  ions, while Fig. 1 shows that the former is about one order of magnitude lower than the latter due to low  $Yb^{3*}$  concentration. Apparently, the  $Yb^{3*}$ -Yb<sup>2\*</sup> conversion by vapor-baking reduction at 450



(i) Experimental peaks (cm <sup>-1</sup> )		(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	
		Assignment	Type of Yb <sup>2+</sup>	Frequency ${}^{2}F_{5/2} - {}^{2}F_{7/2}$	Difference (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) 10Dq
	$\begin{array}{c} 27\ 262\\ 28\ 500\\ 29\ 272\\ 35\ 028\\ 36\ 100\\ 37\ 219 \end{array}$	$5d(e_g) \ 4f^{13} \ (^2F_{7/2})$	$\begin{cases} \text{``isolated''} \\ Yb^{2*} \\ \end{cases} \\ Yb^{2*} \text{ with } Yb^{3*} \\ \text{``neighbors''} \end{cases}$		
$egin{array}{c} E_9\ E_{10}\ E_{11} \end{array}$	37 625 38 748 39 749	$5d(e_g) 4f^{13} ({}^2F_{5/2})$		10 360 10 250 10 480	
E <sub>13</sub> E <sub>13</sub> , E <sub>13</sub> ,	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 41\ 089\\ 42\ 200\\ 42\ 750 \end{array}\right\}$	$5d(t_{2g})$ $4f^{13}$ $({}^{2}F_{7/2})$	'isolated" Yb <sup>2+</sup>		$13830\\13700\\13480$
E <sub>14</sub> E <sub>15</sub> E <sub>14</sub> ,,	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 45794\\ 46649\\ 47900 \end{array}\right\}$	$5d(e_g) 4f^{13} ({}^2F_{5/2})$	Yb <sup>2+</sup> with Yb <sup>3+</sup> "neighbors"	10770 10550 10680	
E <sub>16</sub> E <sub>16</sub> , E <sub>18</sub>	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 48 \ 575 \\ 49 \ 700 \\ 50 \ 403 \end{array}\right\}$	$5d(t_{2g}) 4f^{13} ({}^{2}F_{7/2})$			$13550 \\ 13600 \\ 13180$

TABLE I.  $4f^{14} - 4f^{13}$  5d absorption peaks of Yb<sup>2+</sup> in SrCl<sub>2</sub>, their assignments, the deduced values of spin-orbit splitting of Yb<sup>3+</sup>, and the crystal-field strength. (i) Experimental location of peaks, (ii) their assignments, (iii) the possible types of Yb<sup>2+</sup> ions in SrCl<sub>2</sub>, and (iv) spin-orbit splitting,  ${}^{2}F_{5/2} - {}^{2}F_{7/2}$ , of Yb<sup>3+</sup> (4f<sup>13</sup>) and the crystal field strength, 10Dq, on the 5d electron as deduced by taking the difference in frequencies between the corresponding peaks.

°C for  $\frac{1}{2}$  h is not as efficient as the method, which was applied<sup>6</sup> to the sample in Fig. 1, of passing hydrogen through the mixture of SrCl<sub>2</sub>+0.05-mole% YbCl<sub>3</sub> just below its melting point. The possible presence of the absorption due to Yb<sup>2+</sup>-Yb<sup>3+</sup> may also be deduced from the published spectra in Refs. 6 and 4:

(i) The absorption spectrum of  $SrCl_2$ :  $Yb^{3+}$  in Fig. 2 of Ref. 6 shows two humps, one in the region 2650 to 2950 Å and the other 2000 to 2200 Å, which are in the absorption region of  $Yb^{2+}-Yb^{3+}$ . This suggests that there was a minute amount of  $Yb^{2+}$  in the  $SrCl_2$ :  $Yb^{3+}$  sample used in the Fig. 2 of Ref. 6.

(ii) The strength of peaks 5 and 6 increases<sup>4</sup> as the lattice parameter decreases from  $BaF_2$  toward  $CaF_2$  as shown in Fig. 5 of Ref. 4. This enhancement of peaks 5 and 6 in a small lattice suggests that the Yb<sup>2+</sup> ion, being of larger size, prefers to associated with smaller ions Yb<sup>3+</sup> in a tight lattice.

We wish to emphasize that before a detailed fitting between a theoretical calculation and the experimental spectra it is useful to examine whether the experimental spectra are due to a single type or many types of ions in solids. Eremin<sup>8</sup> has recently compared his  $4f^{n-1}5d$  calculation of  $Yb^{2+}$  in solids with the experimental spectra. Unfortunately, he tacitly assumed that all the peaks of  $Yb^{2+}$  in fluorides and chloride are due to one type of ion.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the  $4f^{14} - 4f^{13} 5d$  transition of an Yb<sup>2+</sup> ion in cubic solids, e.g., alkaline-earth fluorides and SrCl<sub>2</sub>, simply has four peaks. Piper, Brown, and Mc-Clure,<sup>6</sup> in their analysis on the  $4f^{14} - 4f^{13} 5d$  absorption spectrum<sup>6</sup> of Yb<sup>2+</sup> in SrCl<sub>2</sub>, have treated the complicated spectrum as due to one type of Yb<sup>2</sup> ion instead of several types as we illustrate here.

The author wishes to thank L. A. Boatner of Advanced Technology Center, Inc., Dallas, Texas, for several SrCl<sub>2</sub> single crystals, Y. Cauchois, C. Bonnelle, and R. C. Karnatak for hospitality, J. Loriers, P. Caro, P. Porcher, and other staff at Laboratoire des Terres-Rares, Bellevue, and C. Gansser at Centre d'Etudes at Recherches de Chimie Organique Appliquée, Thiais, for many conveniences. He is grateful to N. Jonkierre at Bellevue for running the spectra on Cary 14.

- <sup>2</sup>L. L. Chase, Phys. Rev. B <u>2</u>, 2308 (1970).
- <sup>3</sup>E. Loh, Phys. Rev. <u>184</u>, 348 (1969).
- <sup>4</sup>A. A. Kaplyanskii and P. P. Feofilv, Opt. Spektrosk.

<sup>\*</sup>Present address: Instituto de Energia Atôinica, Caixa Postal 11.049, Pinheiros, São Paulo, Brasil.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>E. Loh, Phys. Rev. <u>175</u>, 533 (1968).

<u>13</u>, 235 (1962) [Opt. Spectry. <u>13</u>, 129 (1962)]. <sup>5</sup>G. H. Dieke and H. M. Crosswhite, Appl. Opt. <u>2</u>,

675 (1963).

<sup>6</sup>T. S. Piper, J. P. Brown, and D. S. McClure, J. Chem. Phys. 46, 1353 (1967).

### PHYSICAL REVIEW B

#### VOLUME 7, NUMBER 5

Rev. B 5, 3654 (1972).

[Opt. Spectry. 26, 317 (1969)].

1 MARCH 1973

## **Positron Annihilation in the Wigner Crystal**

Chanchal K. Majumdar

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay-5, India

T. V. Ramakrishnan

Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India (Received 12 September 1972)

The positron annihilation rate is calculated in the Wigner crystal and shown to approach the positronium value continuously from above as  $r_s$  becomes large. For  $r_s \approx 15$ , the numerical value is roughly in agreement with what is expected from the knowledge of the rate in low-density metals.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Positron annihilation in metals has been studied by many workers with the computational methods of many-body theory. Up to about  $r_s \approx 4$  in sodium, the conventional electron-gas theory yields fair results, but beyond this point for the low-density situation more sophisticated calculations become necessary. ( $r_s$  is the interelectron spacing measured in units of the Bohr radius.) In this region, the calculations of Kahana, Carbotte, and Salvadori<sup>1</sup> or of Bergersen and Terrell<sup>2</sup> give too low a value. Crowell et al.<sup>3</sup> find that their calculated rates diverge to  $+\infty$  around  $r_s = 6$ ; difficulties stem from those associated with the calculation of the dielectric constant of the electron gas. Sjöllander and  $\text{Stott}^4$  also find that their rates are too high. Following Sjöllander and Stott's approach, Bhattacharyya and Singwi<sup>5</sup> have shown that a more refined self-consistent consideration of rell<sup>2</sup> give too low a value. Crowell et al.<sup>3</sup> find that their calculated rates diverge to  $+\infty$  around  $r_s \approx 6$ ; difficulties stem from those associated with the calculation of the dielectric constant of the electron electron correlations, including in particular threebody correlations, leads to good agreement with experiment in the region  $r_s = 5$  to 6. Their rates tend to the free-positronium value as  $r_s$  becomes larger.

Going beyond the region around  $r_s \approx 5$ , Arponen<sup>6</sup> has published a calculation in which the annihilation rate drops well below the positronium value around  $r_s = 8$ , but approaches this value from below. It is well known that all the experimentally measured values are above the positronium value and approach this smoothly from above. Arponen's cal-

culation uses the Thomas-Fermi screening for large  $r_s$ , obviously outside its range of validity, and ignores the fact that the electron gas undergoes drastic transformation in this region.

<sup>7</sup>R. W. Mires, D. J. Arnold, and W. K. Dean, Phys.

<sup>8</sup>M. V. Eremin, Opt. Spektrosk. 29, 100 (1970) [Opt.

Spectry. 29, 53 (1970)]; Opt. Spectrosk. 26, 578 (1969)

We shall restrict ourselves to this extreme lowdensity region and consider the annihilation of a positron in the Wigner crystal<sup>7</sup> into which the electron gas transforms at large  $r_s$ . Of course, there are many uncertainties connected with this crystal.<sup>8-13</sup> Therefore we shall try only for a qualitatively correct behavior. Our main aim is to show that if this crystallization is taken into account, the positron annihilation rate approaches that of positronium from *above*, not from below.

Since the calculation involves considerable algebra, it is perhaps worthwhile to motivate the final equation by simple arguments. Consider first the bcc lattice of the Wigner crystal as broken up into the Wigner-Seitz spheres. One electron sits at the center of each sphere, and there is enough uniformly distributed positive charge in it to make it neutral. The potential experienced by the positron is made up of the Coulomb interaction of the electron and that of the distributed positive charge:

$$\phi(r) = -\frac{e^2}{r} + \frac{3e^2}{2r_{\rm WS}} - \frac{e^2r^2}{2r_{\rm WS}^3}, \qquad r \le r_{\rm WS}$$
  
= 0,  $r \ge r_{\rm WS}$ . (1.1)

The wave function  $\psi$  for the lowest state satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d\psi}{dr} + \frac{2\mu_{\rm red}}{\hbar^2} \left[ E - \phi(r) \right] \psi = 0 , \quad (1.2)$$

with the boundary condition  $d\psi/dr|_{r=rws} = 0$ .