# Low-temperature spin freezing in the Dy<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> spin ice

J. Snyder,<sup>1</sup> B. G. Ueland,<sup>1</sup> J. S. Slusky,<sup>2</sup> H. Karunadasa,<sup>2</sup> R. J. Cava,<sup>2</sup> and P. Schiffer<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Materials Research Institute, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry and Princeton Materials Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, USA

(Received 22 August 2003; published 18 February 2004)

We report a study of the low temperature bulk magnetic properties of the spin ice compound  $Dy_2 Ti_2O_7$  with particular attention to the (T < 4 K) spin freezing transition. While this transition is superficially similar to that in a spin glass, there are important qualitative differences from spin glass behavior: the freezing temperature increases slightly with applied magnetic field, and the distribution of spin relaxation times remains extremely narrow down to the lowest temperatures. Furthermore, the characteristic spin relaxation time increases faster than exponentially down to the lowest temperatures studied. These results indicate that spin-freezing in spin ice materials represents a novel form of magnetic glassiness associated with the unusual nature of geometrical frustration in these materials.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.69.064414

PACS number(s): 75.50.Lk

## I. INTRODUCTION

Geometrically frustrated magnetic materials, in which the topology of the spin lattice leads to frustration of the spinspin interactions, have been demonstrated to display numerous unusual cooperative spin states.<sup>1,2</sup> Of particular recent interest are the rare earth pyrochlores such as  $Dy_2Ti_2O_7$ ,  $Ho_2Ti_2O_7$ , and  $Ho_2Sn_2O_7$ ,  $^{3-16}$  in which the lattice geometry and spin symmetry lead to frustration of ferromagnetic and dipolar interactions.<sup>17-20</sup> The magnetic rare-earth ions in these materials are situated on a lattice of corner-sharing tetrahedra, where their spins are constrained by crystal field interactions to point either directly toward or directly away from the centers of the tetrahedra. To minimize the dipole and ferromagnetic exchange interactions, the spins on each tetrahedron must be oriented such that two spins point inward and two point outward in exact analogy to the constraints on the positions of the hydrogen atoms in the ground state of ice.<sup>21-23</sup> The resulting high degeneracy of spin states leads to a disordered low temperature state analogous to that of ice, and the low temperature magnetic state in these materials has thus been termed "spin ice."

The spin ice state has been demonstrated experimentally through neutron scattering studies<sup>5,13,24</sup> and also through measurements of the magnetic specific heat.<sup>7,15</sup> The latter yield a measured ground state spin entropy in good agreement with the theoretical prediction for the "ice rules" (first codified by Pauling) and experimental results for ice.7,15,23 While the spin entropy only freezes out below  $T_{ice} \sim 4$  K in Dy<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, ac magnetic susceptibility studies show a strongly frequency dependent spin-freezing at  $T \sim 16 \text{ K}$ ,<sup>8,10</sup> below which the high frequency susceptibility (f > 100 Hz) is suppressed. Because of the high degree of structural and chemical order in this material, spin relaxation in the vicinity of the  $T \sim 16$  K spin-freezing is associated with a very narrow distribution of spin relaxation times (determined from the frequency dependence of the imaginary part of the ac magnetic susceptibility). This distribution is sufficiently narrow that the spin relaxation can be characterized by a single temperature-dependent relaxation time  $\tau(T)$  which is thermally activated for  $T > T_{cross}$  (where  $T_{cross} \sim 13$  K) and exhibits relatively weak temperature dependence for  $T_{\rm cross} > T > T_{\rm ice}$ —due to a crossover from thermal to quantum spin relaxation at  $T \sim T_{\rm cross}$ .<sup>9,25</sup> The weak temperature dependence of  $\tau(T)$  for  $T_{\rm cross} > T > T_{\rm ice}$ , is responsible for the absence of freezing in low frequency susceptibility in that temperature range.<sup>10,25</sup>

For  $T < T_{ice}$ , ac susceptibility measurements show a second frequency dependent spin-freezing<sup>8,10,11,26</sup> which corresponds to the loss of entropy observed in the specific heat measurements.<sup>7,15</sup> This freezing is more complete than that at higher temperature, i.e., the ac susceptibility goes to zero below the frequency dependent freezing temperature  $(T_f)$  for all measured frequencies, and there is an associated bifurcation between the field-cooled and zero-field cooled magnetization.<sup>10,11,13</sup> This lower temperature freezing is thus directly analogous in its magnetic properties to freezing into a spin glass state in disordered frustrated magnets (although the specific heat results are somewhat different in the two cases'). While experimental studies have examined the local magnetic structure within the low temperature frozen state<sup>4,5,15</sup> as well as field-induced transitions to a polarized state,<sup>14</sup> there has been no direct comparison of the bulk magnetic properties near the low temperature spin-ice freezing to those associated with spin-glass freezing encountered in disordered frustrated magnets.<sup>27</sup> We report a detailed study of the bulk magnetic properties of  $Dy_2Ti_2O_7$  in the low temperature regime of  $T < T_{ice}$ , and we find that they differ significantly from those of a spin glass.

### **II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

Polycrystalline  $Dy_2Ti_2O_7$  samples were prepared using standard solid-state synthesis techniques described previously.<sup>8,28</sup> X-ray diffraction demonstrated the samples to be single-phase, and Curie–Weiss fits done to the high temperature susceptibility were consistent with J=15/2 Dy<sup>3+</sup> ions. We study the magnetization (*M*) as well as the real and imaginary parts ( $\chi'$  and  $\chi''$ ) of the ac susceptibility ( $\chi_{ac}$ ). We measured *M* with a Quantum Design MPMS SQUID magnetometer for temperatures above 1.8 K. At lower tem-



FIG. 1. (Color online) The temperature dependence of the magnetization measured on warming after zero field cooling and field cooling from T = 1.5 K. The increase in the zero field cooled data at the lowest temperatures is due to the nonequilibrium effects associated with raising the magnetic field at low temperatures.

peratures, we measured magnetization with a capacitive field-gradient magnetometer assembled from a sapphire base and a quartz paddle (Ferro-Ceramic Grinding Inc.) and mounted in a sample can filled with superfluid <sup>4</sup>He for thermal contact.<sup>28</sup> For temperatures above 1.8 K, we measured  $\chi_{ac}$  with the ACMS option of the Quantum Design PPMS cryostat, while at lower temperatures we used a simple inductance coil in a dilution refrigerator.<sup>28</sup> All samples studied were potted in nonmagnetic epoxy (Stycast 1266). This allowed for reliable thermal contact in the case of the ac susceptibility studies and control over the shape of the sample in the case of the magnetization measurements. The potting in epoxy had only minor effects on the measured properties, as demonstrated in the following and discussed in detail elsewhere.<sup>28</sup>

#### **III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

#### A. Magnetization

The T > 1.8 K magnetization indicated a small ferromagnetic Weiss constant of  $\Theta_W \approx 0.2$  K, which is quite close to the value of  $\Theta_W \approx 0.5$  K reported by Ramirez *et al.*<sup>7</sup> We measured the low temperature magnetization on warming from 100 mK to 1.2 K at a rate of ~5 mK/min. Zero field cooled (ZFC) and field cooled (FC) data are shown in Fig. 1. A bifurcation was seen between the FC and ZFC magnetization at  $T \sim 650$  mK. Below this temperature, the FC magnetization is completely reversible in temperature at a fixed field while the ZFC magnetization is irreversible. This signifies the onset of spin freezing on the time scale of the magnetization measurement ( $\sim 10^2 - 10^3$  s). One of the closely studied properties of conventional spin glasses is how the freez-



FIG. 2. (Color online) Magnetization as a function of field at 250, 400, and 800 mK showing hysteresis below  $T \sim 650$  mK but completely reversible behavior at higher temperatures. The black curve is the initial sweep up in field after zero-field cooling, followed by the red and green curves, respectively.

ing temperature (commonly taken as the bifurcation point between the FC and ZFC magnetization) evolves with applied magnetic field. In conventional spin glasses, a sufficiently strong applied field quenches the glass state, and the temperature at which the glass state appears decreases monotonically and usually quite rapidly with increasing applied magnetic field (the so-called AT or GT lines<sup>27</sup>). As shown in Fig. 1, the temperature of this bifurcation in  $Dy_2Ti_2O_7$  is only weakly dependent on applied field (the application of a magnetic field actually slightly *increases* the freezing temperature observed in the ac susceptibility data discussed in the following). On the other hand, the percentage difference between the two data sets decreases with applied field, and above 5 kOe we observed no difference between the FC and ZFC magnetization.

The spin ice freezing is also reflected in hysteresis in the field dependence of the magnetization below 650 mK (which has also been studied in detail by Sakakibara *et al.*<sup>14</sup>). Before cycling the field, the sample was zero-field-cooled from 1.2 K to the temperature of the measurement. The magnetization M(H) was then measured as the field was swept up to 10 kOe, down to -10 kOe, and back up to 10 kOe to close the loop. As can be seen in Fig. 2, the loop is almost identical at 250 and 400 mK, with a width of  $\sim 4$  kOe. As expected, M(H) becomes reversible again above 650 mK (as evidenced by the loop being closed completely at 800 mK).

The irreversibility in the low-temperature spin state was further studied by examining the remanent magnetization in zero field. The thermoremanent magnetization (TRM) was found by cooling the sample from 1.2 K in a field to the desired temperature, reducing the field to zero at a rate of 0.1



FIG. 3. (Color online) IRM and TRM data at 250 mK showing the presence of irreversibility and the formation of a metastable state at low temperatures. Notice that they merge in fields above 5 kOe where the bifurcation between FC and ZFC magnetization also disappears.

T/min, and then measuring the magnetization as a function of time to obtain the asymptotic moment. The isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) was found by cooling the sample from 1.2 K in the absence of a field, and then cycling the field from  $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$  and measuring the magnetization as a function of time to obtain the asymptotic moment. The sample was held at H for at least 8 h to obtain nearly complete relaxation in the field. Our measurements of the IRM and TRM as a function of applied field can be seen in Fig. 3, and are qualitatively consistent with expectations for a spin glass.<sup>27</sup> The difference between the IRM and TRM below 5 kOe represents the fact that the system retains a "memory" of its preparation even under the same final conditions. The saturation of IRM and TRM above 5 kOe shows that sufficiently high fields can destroy this "memory," consistent with the equivalence of the ZFC and FC M(T) data taken in fields above 5 kOe.

### B. ac magnetic susceptibility

In contrast to magnetization studies, ac susceptibility measurements with varying frequency allow a direct probe of the spin relaxation time. The characteristic behavior of the high temperature ac susceptibility is shown in Fig. 4, in which the freezing at  $T \sim 16$  K is evident as well as the maximum in  $\chi'(T)$  at T < 4 K which is associated with the development of correlations for  $T < T_{ice}$  (manifested in the irreversibility of the magnetization below 650 mK described above). These data also demonstrate the relatively small difference between loose powder samples and the samples potted in epoxy being studied here. In order to examine the spin relaxation process in detail, ac susceptibility data were taken in the vicinity of this low temperature freezing over a relatively broad range of low frequencies (0.1 < f < 500 Hz).

With the magnetization showing a bifurcation at  $T \sim 650$  mK, we expect the ac susceptibility in our frequency range to freeze out above this temperature (as has been observed previously in a more limited frequency range<sup>8,10,11</sup>). As shown in Fig. 5,  $\chi'(T)$  does have a maximum and then drops to zero below 0.7 K for all measured frequencies. We



FIG. 4. (Color online) The ac susceptibility of  $Dy_2Ti_2O_7$  as a function of temperature in zero magnetic field. (a) The real part of the susceptibility ( $\chi'$ ) showing frequency dependent local maximum at ~4 K and ~16 K. (b) The imaginary part of the susceptibility ( $\chi''$ ) showing rises at temperatures corresponding to the drops seem in  $\chi'(T)$ .

also observe the rise in  $\chi''(T)$  corresponding to the maximum in  $\chi'(T)$ , as expected from the Kramers–Kronig relations.

The  $T < T_{ice}$  spin freezing feature in  $\chi'(T)$  can be characterized through a freezing temperature,  $T_f$ , which is taken as the maximum in  $\chi'(T)$ . The data taken in the dilution refrigerator and those taken in the PPMS are combined in Fig. 6 to examine the dependence of  $T_f$  on frequency and



FIG. 5. (Color online) The temperature dependence of the real and imaginary parts of the ac susceptibility at low temperatures in zero magnetic field.



FIG. 6. (Color online) The frequency of the spin freezing temperature below  $T_{\rm ice}$ . Note that the data do not follow Arrhenius behavior and that the application of a magnetic field increases the freezing temperature. The open symbols represent zero field data taken in the dilution refrigerator while the closed symbols represent the higher temperature data taken on the PPMS cryostat.

magnetic field. The ac data taken in a magnetic field show that a field enhances  $T_f$ , which is consistent with the behavior seen at the higher temperature spin-freezing.<sup>8</sup> Unlike the higher temperature feature, a reasonable extrapolation of  $T_f$ to very long times does approach the bifurcation temperature seen in magnetization measurements. However, the frequency dependence of  $T_f$  cannot be fit to an Arrhenius law  $(f=f_0e^{-E_a/k_BT_f})$ , suggesting that this relaxation is not simply thermally activated. Such non-Arrhenius behavior has previously been observed in the dilute Ising spin system  $\text{LiHo}_{1-x}Y_xF_4$ ,<sup>29</sup> and is consistent with the previously suggested importance of quantum spin relaxation in this system,<sup>9,25</sup>

To further characterize spin relaxation time in  $Dy_2Ti_2O_7$ , we also measured  $\chi''(f)$  at temperatures from 0.8 to 1.8 K, as shown in Fig. 7. Like the higher temperature data,  $\chi''(f)$ 





FIG. 8. (Color online) The temperature dependence of the characteristic spin relaxation time. The open symbols represent zero field data taken in the dilution refrigerator while the closed symbols represent the higher temperature data taken on the PPMS cryostat. The inset shows low temperature (T < 4 K) data plotted as a function of 1/T which shows the non-Arrhenius behavior at low temperatures. Note that  $\tau(T)$  is increasing at a rate which is faster than exponential in 1/T, i.e., the increase is faster than what would be expected for simple thermal activation. Although the data in the inset appear to go asymptotically to a straight line at our lowest temperatures, our frequency range does not allow us to explore whether it becomes purely Arrhenius at lower temperatures than those at which we can take data.

displays a single, relatively sharp peak which implies that there is a narrow range of relaxation times or effectively a single characteristic relaxation time,  $\tau$ , for the spins in zero field [where  $1/\tau$  is the frequency of the maximum in  $\chi''(f)$  at a given temperature]. The changing peak position with decreasing temperature reflects the evolution of  $\tau(T)$ , and our characterization of  $\tau(T)$  down to below T=1 K allows us to understand the origins of the two different spin freezing transitions observed in the ac susceptibility. As shown in Fig. 8 and described previously,<sup>10,25</sup>  $\tau(T)$  displays thermally activated behavior at high temperatures which changes to a much weaker temperature dependence at  $T_{\rm cross} \sim 13$  K. Our data show that the strong temperature dependence then reemerges below  $T_{ice}$ , as spin-spin correlations develop.<sup>10</sup> The higher temperature activated relaxation is responsible for the spin freezing observed at  $T \sim 16$  K in the higher frequency ac susceptibility data. The crossover to relatively weak temperature dependence results in the absence of freezing at lower frequencies until  $\tau(T)$  begins to rise sharply again at the lowest temperatures. This rapid increase of  $\tau$ with decreasing temperature is actually faster than would be expected for activated behavior (as shown in the inset to Fig. 8), which we attribute to the increasingly strong correlations between the spins with decreasing temperature requiring several spins to change orientation in order to follow the ac field.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

With the above-presented data, we can contrast the  $T < T_{ice}$  spin-freezing with the well-studied transition to a spin

glass state. The basic signatures of the spin freezing, i.e., an irreversibility in the magnetization and a frequency dependent maximum in  $\chi'(T)$  in the absence of other thermodynamic signatures of a phase transition, are qualitatively consistent with spin-glass freezing seen in both highly disordered systems and in site-ordered geometrically frustrated antiferromagnets.<sup>1,2,30</sup> Upon closer inspection, however, the detailed behavior of the spin-ice freezing is somewhat different from the cooperative freezing in spin glasses, with the most obvious qualitative difference being that the application of a field enhances the freezing temperature. This is in sharp contrast to the behavior of both the disorder-based spin glasses and spin-glass transitions observed in siteordered geometrically frustrated antiferromagnets.<sup>27,31,32</sup>

A more subtle difference between the low temperature spin ice freezing and that in spin glasses is the distribution of spin relaxation times. While this has not been well characterized in the site-ordered geometrically frustrated antiferromagnets, the spin freezing in disorder-based spin glasses is accompanied by an extremely broad distribution of relaxation times.<sup>27</sup> The narrow range of distribution times in  $Dy_2Ti_2O_7$ , characterized through the width of the peak in  $\chi''(f)$ , is presumably due to the lack of inhomogeneity in the local environment of individual spins. This lack of disorder combined with the frustration prevents the development of a range of spin-correlation length scales that characterize the low temperature state of spin glasses. Curiously, the peak in  $\chi''(f)$  appears to narrow and become noticeably asymmetric at the lowest temperatures, with a much sharper drop on the low frequency side. Similar behavior was observed in  $LiHo_{1-x}Y_{x}F_{4}$ , where it was attributed to the emergence of a gap in the relaxation spectrum.<sup>29</sup> Unfortunately, the fre-

\*Electronic address: schiffer@phys.psu.edu

- <sup>1</sup>A. P. Ramirez, in *Handbook of Magnetic Materials*, edited K. J. H. Buschow (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2001), Vol. 13.
- <sup>2</sup>P. Schiffer and A. P. Ramirez, Comments Condens. Matter Phys. **18**, 21 (1996).
- <sup>3</sup>S. T. Bramwell and M. J. P Gingras, Science **294**, 1495 (2001).
- <sup>4</sup>M. J. Harris, S. T. Bramwell, D. F. McMorrow, T. Zeiske, and K. W. Godfrey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2554 (1997).
- <sup>5</sup>M. J. Harris, S. T. Bramwell, P. C. W. Holdsworth, and J. D. M. Champion, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4496 (1998).
- <sup>6</sup>S. T. Bramwell and M. J. Harris, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10, L215 (1998).
- <sup>7</sup>A. P. Ramirez, A. Hayashi, R. J. Cava, R. Siddharthan, and B. S. Shastry, Nature (London) **399**, 333 (1999).
- <sup>8</sup>J. Snyder, J. S. Slusky, R. J. Cava, and P. Schiffer, Nature (London) **413**, 48 (2001); Phys. Rev. B **66**, 064432 (2002).
- <sup>9</sup>G. Ehlers, A. L. Cornelius, M. Orendác, M. Kajnaková, T. Fennell, S. T. Bramwell, and J. S. Gardner, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15, L9 (2003).
- <sup>10</sup>K. Matsuhira, Y. Hinatsu, and T. Sakakibara, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, L737 (2001).
- <sup>11</sup>K. Matsuhira, Y. Hinatsu, K. Tenya, and T. Sakakibara, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **12**, L649 (2000).
- <sup>12</sup>A. L. Cornelius and J. S. Gardner, Phys. Rev. B 64, 060406 (2001).

quency range of our apparatus did not allow us to fully characterize the development of this asymmetry at lower temperatures, but it should be noted that our system is quite different in that the spin density is much higher and that exchange interactions become important at the lowest temperatures.

The difference between the spin freezing in  $Dy_2Ti_2O_7$  and that in spin glasses is perhaps not surprising, since origin of the spin ice state is purely geometrical and does not involve the structural and chemical disorder traditionally associated with glassiness in magnetic materials. The spins in spin ice systems are also highly uniaxial, which makes them rather different in character from those in site-ordered geometrically frustrated antiferromagnets that exhibit spin-freezing, where the spins are typically quite isotropic. These differences suggest the addition of disorder or dilution of the magnetic lattice with magnetic or nonmagnetic<sup>8,28,33,34</sup> ions as possible routes for investigating a crossover between spin ice and spin glass behavior. The data suggest that the glassiness observed in Dy2Ti2O7 is somehow fundamentally different from that in other magnetic materials, and that different models will be needed to understand the spin freezing. The results also raise the question of whether there exist other types of frustration in site-ordered materials which will manifest glassy behavior with different characteristic behavior.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge support from the Army Research Office PECASE Grant No. DAAD19-01-1-0021. R. J. C. was partially supported by NSF Grant No. DMR-9725979.

- <sup>13</sup> H. Fukazawa, R. G. Melko, R. Higashinaka, Y. Maeno, and M. J. P. Gingras, Phys. Rev. B 65, 054410 (2002).
- <sup>14</sup> K. Matsuhira, Z. Hiroi, T. Tayama, S. Takagi, and T. Sakakibara, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **14**, L559 (2002); T. Sakakibara, T. Tayama, Z. Hiroi, K. Matsuhira, and S. Takagi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 207205 (2003).
- <sup>15</sup>S. T. Bramwell *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 047205 (2001).
- <sup>16</sup>H. Kadowaki, Y. Ishii, K. Matsuhira, and Y. Hinatsu, Phys. Rev. B 65, 144421 (2002).
- <sup>17</sup>R. Siddharthan, B. S. Shastry, A. P. Ramirez, A. Hayashi, R. J. Cava, and S. Rosenkranz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 854 (1999).
- <sup>18</sup>B. C. den Hertog and M. J. P. Gingras, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3430 (2000).
- <sup>19</sup>R. G. Melko, B. C. den Hertog, and M. J. P. Gingras, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 067203 (2001).
- <sup>20</sup>R. Siddharthan, B. S. Shastry, and A. P. Ramirez, Phys. Rev. B 63, 184412 (2001).
- <sup>21</sup>L. Pauling, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond* (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, 1945).
- <sup>22</sup> V. F. Petrenko and R. W. Whitworth, *Physics of Ice* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1999).
- <sup>23</sup>W. F. Giaque and J. W. Stout, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **58**, 1144 (1936).
- <sup>24</sup>S. T. Bramwell, M. J. Harris, B. C. den Hertog, M. J. P. Gingras, J. S. Gardner, D. F. McMorrow, A. R. Wildes, A. L. Cornelius, J. D. M. Champion, R. G. Melko, and T. Fennell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 047205 (2001).

- <sup>25</sup>J. Snyder, B. G. Ueland, J. S. Slusky, H. Karunadasa, R. J. Cava, Ari Mizel, and P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. (in press); cond-mat/0302453.
- <sup>26</sup>In zero magnetic field, only the lower temperature freezing is observed in Ho<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> and Ho<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (Ref. 11).
- <sup>27</sup>J. A. Mydosh, *Spin Glasses An Experimental Introduction* (Taylor & Francis, London, 1993); K. H. Fischer and J. A. Hertz, *Spin Glasses* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991).
- <sup>28</sup>J. Snyder, Ph.D. thesis, Pennsylvania State University, 2003.
- <sup>29</sup>D. H. Reich, T. F. Rosenbaum, and G. Aeppli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1969 (1987); S. Ghosh, R. Parthasarathy, T. F. Rosenbaum, and G. Aeppli, Science **296**, 2195 (2002).
- <sup>30</sup>A. P. Ramirez, G. P. Espinosa, and A. S. Cooper, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2070 (1990); J. S. Gardner, B. D. Gaulin, S. H. Lee, C. Broholm, N. P. Raju, and J. E. Greedan, *ibid.* **83**, 211 (1999); P. Schiffer, A. P. Ramirez, D. A. Huse, P. L. Gammel, U. Yaron, D. J. Bishop, and A. J. Valentino, *ibid.* **74**, 2379 (1995); A. S. Wills, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 064430 (2001).
- <sup>31</sup>P. Schiffer, A. P. Ramirez, K. N. Franklin, and S. W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2085 (1996).
- <sup>32</sup>Y. K. Tsui, C. A. Burns, J. Snyder, and P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3532 (1999).
- <sup>33</sup>T. F. Rosenbaum, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8, 9759 (1996).
- <sup>34</sup>P. Schiffer and I. Daruka, Phys. Rev. B 56, 13712 (1997).