## **Dielectric functions of Si nanocrystals embedded in a**  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  **matrix**

T. P. Chen,\* Y. Liu, M. S. Tse, O. K. Tan, P. F. Ho, and K. Y. Liu

*School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798*

D. Gui

*Institute of Microelectronics, Singapore Science Park II, Singapore 117685*

A. L. K. Tan

*Singapore Institute of Manufacturing Technology, Singapore 638075* (Received 22 May 2003; published 7 October 2003)

Knowing the dielectric functions of semiconductor nanocrystals isolated in a dielectric matrix is important to both solid-state physics and applications. In this work, we have developed an approach to determine the dielectric function of Si nanocrystals embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix synthesized with Si ion beams. The approach is based on the effective medium approximation, and appropriate models are developed to simulate the secondary ion mass spectroscopy and spectroscopic ellipsometry measurements on the material system. The energy gap expansion of the Si nanocrystals due to the nanocrystal size effect has been obtained by modeling the real part of the dielectric function with the single-oscillator model. From the energy gap expansion, the nanocrystal size can be also obtained with two independent models including the phenomenological model based on quantum confinement and the bond contraction model. The results from the two models are similar and are also consistent with transmission electron microscope and x-ray diffraction measurements.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.68.153301 PACS number(s): 78.20.Ci, 78.67.Bf

In recent years, a great deal of research on Si nanocrystals (nc-Si) embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix has been conducted due to their potential applications in Si-based optoelectronic devices and single-electron memory devices or other singleelectron devices. $1-6$  One of the promising techniques being used to elaborate nc-Si is the implantation of Si ions into robust  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  thin films that provide good chemical and electrical passivation of the nanocrystals. This synthesis process yields a narrow-size distribution of nanocrystals, and it is fully compatible with the mainstream complementary metaloxide-semiconductor (CMOS) process. For Si nanocrystals embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix, their optical and dielectric properties should be different from those of bulk crystalline Si due to the size effect, and as the Si nanocrystals are isolated by the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix the situation should be also different from that of a continued Si-nanocrystal film. Therefore, it would be interesting to examine the properties of the isolated nanocrystals embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix, and knowing the dielectric function of the nanocrystals isolated in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix is definitely important to both solid-state physics and applications. In this work, the dielectric function and optical constants of Si nanocrystals embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix are determined, and from these results the energy gap expansion of the nanocrystals due to the size effect is obtained. In addition, the nanocrystal sizes can also be estimated from the energy gap expansion, and the result is consistent with highresolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) and x-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements.

550-nm-thick  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  films were grown on *p*-type Si (100) substrates by wet oxidation of Si at  $1000\,^{\circ}$ C. The SiO<sub>2</sub> films were implanted with a dose of  $1 \times 10^{17}$  atoms/cm<sup>2</sup> of Si<sup>+</sup> at 100 keV. The samples were annealed at 1000 °C in nitrogen gas for a duration of 20 min. Upon such an annealing, one would expect the formation of Si nanocrystals in the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  film.<sup>7,8</sup> Figure 1(a) shows the HRTEM image of nc-Si embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix. The mean size of the Si nanocrystals could be determined from the full width of half maximum (FWHM) of the Bragg peak after correction for instrumental broadening in the XRD measurement.<sup>9</sup> Figure  $1(b)$  shows the XRD measurement for nc-Si embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix and the pseudo-Voigt fit to the data, and the nc-Si size obtained is  $\sim$  4.6 nm. The depth distribution of Si nanocrystals in  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  film was obtained from secondary ion mass spectroscopy (SIMS) measurements. Spectroscopic ellipsometry measurements were carried out to determine the ellipsometric angles  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  in the wavelength range of 400–1200 nm.

The volume fraction of Si nanocrystals in  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  film as a function of depth was calculated from the SIMS measurement, and the result is shown in Fig. 2. As can be seen in Fig. 2, nc-Si distributes from the surface to a depth of 250 nm, and there are few or no nanocrystals in the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  film beyond a depth of 250 nm. Therefore, the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  film can be approximately represented by the two layers: i.e., the first layer  $(0 \leq \text{depth} \leq 250 \text{ nm})$ , which contains Si nanocrystals, and the second layer (depth $>250$  nm), which is basically a pure  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  layer. For the first layer, as the volume fraction of Si nanocrystals varies with depth; the optical properties in this layer will also vary with depth. To model the optical properties of the first layer, it is divided into *m* sublayers with equal thickness  $d_0$  ( $m=25$  and  $d_0=10$  nm in this study), namely, sublayer 1, sublayer 2, ..., sublayer *m* in the sequence starting from the surface, and the volume fraction of Si nanocrystals is considered constant within each sublayer. Each sublayer has its own complex refractive index  $N_i = n_i + jk_i$  (*i*  $=1,2,...,m$ ) where  $n_i$  and  $k_i$  are the refractive index and extinction coefficient for the *i*th sublayer, respectively. Note that  $N_i$  is also a function of wavelength  $(\lambda)$ . Therefore, in the



FIG. 1. (a) HRTEM image of nc-Si embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix and (b) XRD measurement for nc-Si embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix and the pseudo-Voigt fit to the data.

elliposmetry analysis, the material system used in this study can be described by a  $(m+3)$ -phase model, i.e., air/sublayer  $1/\cdot \cdot \cdot$ /sublayer *m*/pure SiO<sub>2</sub> layer (i.e., the second layer mentioned above)/Si substrate. Each phase is characterized by its complex refractive index  $N_i$  ( $i=0,1,...,m,m+1,m+2$ ). Note



FIG. 2. Volume fraction of Si nanocrystals embedded in  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ film vs depth.

that  $N_0=1$  for air,  $N_{m+1}=N_{SiO_2}$  (i.e., the SiO<sub>2</sub> complex refractive index) for the pure  $SiO_2$  layer, and  $N_{m+2} = N_{Si}$  (i.e., the Si complex refractive index) for the Si substrate. As  $N_0$ ,  $N_{m+1}$ , and  $N_{m+2}$  are known, for a fixed incident angle  $\phi_0$ the ellipsometric angles  $\psi$  and  $\Delta$  can be expressed as functions of the parameters  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ , ...,  $N_m$ , and  $\lambda$  (Refs. 10 and  $11$ , i.e.,

$$
\psi = f_1(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m, \lambda) \tag{1}
$$

and

$$
\Delta = f_2(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m, \lambda). \tag{2}
$$

Note that the functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  cannot be expressed as analytical formulas as they are very complicated for such a  $(m+3)$ -phase system (here  $m=25$ ).

For the first layer mentioned above, it can be treated as a material system in which the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  is a host material while the Si nanocrystal is an inclusion embedded in the host material. Although the Si nanocrystal fraction varies with the depth and there may be a size distribution for the nanocrystals, for each sublayer of the first layer, its effective complex dielectric function  $\varepsilon_i$  ( $=N_i^2$ ,  $i=1,2,...,m$ ) can be calculated with the following effective medium approximation: $10,12$ 

$$
\frac{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{\text{SiO}_2}}{\varepsilon_i + 2\varepsilon_{\text{SiO}_2}} = \nu_i \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{nc-Si}} - \varepsilon_{\text{SiO}_2}}{\varepsilon_{\text{nc-Si}} + 2\varepsilon_{\text{SiO}_2}},\tag{3}
$$

where  $v_i$  ( $i=1,2,...,m$ ) is the volume fraction of the nanocrystals in the *i*th sublayer,  $\varepsilon_{SiO_2}$  is the dielectric function of host material  $\rm SiO_2$  , and  $\epsilon_{\rm nc\text{-}Si}$  is the dielectric function of the Si nanocrystal. Here the nanocrystal size distribution is considered in terms of the mean dielectric function. As the volume fraction ( $v_i$ ) and  $\varepsilon_{SiO_2}$  are known, from Eq. (3) the effective complex dielectric function  $\varepsilon_i$  (and thus the complex refractive index  $N_i$ ) for the *i*th sublayer (*i* = 1,2,...,*m*) can be expressed in terms of  $\varepsilon_{nc-Si}$  (or the complex refractive index of nc-Si, i.e.,  $N_{\text{nc-Si}}$ ). Therefore, Eqs. (1) and (2) can be rewritten in the form of

$$
\psi = f_1'(N_{\text{nc-Si}}, \lambda) \tag{4}
$$

and

$$
\Delta = f_2'(N_{\text{nc-Si}}, \lambda). \tag{5}
$$

Again the functions  $f'_1$  and  $f'_2$  cannot be expressed as analytical formulas as they are very complicated. For a given wavelength  $\lambda$ , an ellipsometric measurement yields a value of  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$ , and therefore  $N_{\text{nc-Si}}$  can be obtained by solving Eqs.  $(4)$  and  $(5)$ . In this study, we use a spectral fitting in the wavelength range from 400 to 1200 nm to determine the  $N_{\text{nc-Si}}$  at each wavelength instead of solving the equations. The spectral fitting can avoid the problems of unstable or unreasonable values and difficulties associated with the peak structures of the measured  $\Psi(\lambda)$  and  $\Delta(\lambda)$  due to the measurement, model, and calculation errors. Figure 3 shows the spectral fitting, i.e., the comparison of the calculated  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  based on Eqs. (4) and (5) with the measured  $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  in



FIG. 3.  $\psi$  and  $\Delta$  as functions of wavelength. The lines represent the best fit with the approach described in the text.

the wavelength range from 400 to 1200 nm. As can be seen in this figure, all the complicated spectral features of both  $\Psi$ and  $\Delta$  can be fitted excellently. This indicates that the above approach is correct and the fitting is effective. The complex refractive index  $N_{\text{nc-Si}}$  (and thus the refractive index *n* and extinction coefficient *k*) of Si nanocrystals embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix obtained as a function of wavelength is shown in Fig. 4. The real and imaginary parts of the complex dielectric function of nc-Si obtained are also shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively.

As can be seen in Figs. 4–6, the optical constants and dielectric function of the Si nanocrystals are reduced significantly compared to those of bulk crystalline Si. Here the reduction in the optical constants or the dielectric function is attributed to the energy gap expansion of the nanocrystals as a result of the nanocrystal size effect. Based on the singleoscillator model, the change  $(\Delta \varepsilon_r)$  of the real part of the dielectric function due to the energy gap change  $(\Delta E_g)$  can be expressed as follows for a small energy gap change (i.e.,  $\Delta E_g / E_{g0} \ll 1$ :

$$
\frac{\Delta \varepsilon_r}{\varepsilon_{r0}} = -\frac{2(1 - \varepsilon_{r0}^{-1})}{1 - (E/E_{g0})^2} \left( \frac{\Delta E_g}{E_{g0}} \right),\tag{6}
$$





FIG. 5. Real part of the dielectric function of Si nanocrystals embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix as a function of wavelength. The modeling with Eq. (6) yields an energy gap expansion of 5.1% and 6.3% for  $E_{g0}$ =4 eV and 4.8 eV, respectively.

where  $\varepsilon_{r0}$  and  $E_{g0}$  are the real part of dielectric function and energy gap of bulk crystalline Si, and *E* is the photon energy. Note that the energy gap  $E_g$  (= $\hbar \omega_g$  where  $\omega_g$  is the resonance frequency of the oscillator) in the single-oscillator model is not the same as the fundamental energy band gap of silicon. Tsu *et al.* pointed out that the fundamental  $\Gamma$ - $\Delta$  gap at 1.1 eV plays almost no role in the dielectric function.<sup>1</sup> The energy gap  $E_{\varrho 0}$  for the dielectric function of bulk crystalline Si was set to be 4 eV in Ref. 13 while it was shown to be  $4.8$  eV in Ref. 14. In this study, Eq.  $(6)$  is used to model the real part of the dielectric function of Si nanocrystals embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix, and the result is shown in Fig. 5. The model yields an energy gap expansion of 5.1% and 6.3% for  $E_{g0}$ =4 eV and 4.8 eV, respectively.

The nanocrystal size could be estimated from the energy gap change by using the bond contraction model<sup>15</sup> or the phenomenological model based on quantum confinement<sup>16</sup> on the size dependence of energy gap expansion. From the bond contraction model, $^{15}$  if the surface bond contraction is significant for only the two outermost atomic layers of a spherical dot and the dot size  $(i.e., the diameter  $D$ ) is much$ larger than the atomic diameter *d*, the energy gap expansion is given as



FIG. 6. Imaginary part of the dielectric function of Si nanocrystals embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix as a function of wavelength.

D*Eg*

$$
\frac{\Delta E_g}{E_{g0}} = \gamma'_1 c_1 (c_1^{-m} - 1) + \gamma'_2 c_2 (c_2^{-m} - 1),\tag{7}
$$

where

$$
\gamma'_i = \frac{3}{k} \left( 1 - \frac{i - 0.5}{k} \right)^2
$$
  $(i = 1, 2),$ 

 $k=D/(2a)$ ,  $m=1$ ,  $c_1=0.88$ , and  $c_2=0.94$  (Ref. 15). For  $\Delta E_g / E_{g0} = 5.1\%$  which corresponds to  $E_{g0} = 4$  eV, Eq. (7) yields the nanocrystal size  $D=4.1$  nm, and for  $\Delta E_g / E_{g0}$ = 6.3% which corresponds to  $E_{g0}$ =4.8 eV, Eq. (7) yields the nanocrystal size  $D=3.1$  nm. On the other hand, from the phenomenological model, $16$  which predicts the trend for quantum confinement—i.e., the inverse dependence of the expanded energy gap on the nanocrystal size—and in which a log-normal distribution of nanocrystal sizes is considered,  $\Delta E_g$  is given by

$$
\Delta E_g = \frac{C}{d_0^n} \left( \frac{d_m}{d_0} \right)^{n(2n+5)/3},\tag{8}
$$

where  $d_0$  (in nm) is the mean size of nanocrystals and  $d_m$  is the size for which the maximum occurs in the log-normal distribution,  $n=1.22$ ,  $C=3.9$ , and  $d_m/d_0=0.7$  (Ref. 16). For

\*Electronic address: echentp@ntu.edu.sg

- <sup>1</sup>N. Lalic and J. Linnros, J. Lumin. **80**, 263 (1999).
- ${}^{2}E$ . Kapetanakis, P. Normand, D. Tsoukalas, and K. Beltsios, Appl. Phys. Lett. **80**, 2794 (2002).
- $3$ S. Tiwari, F. Rana, H. Hanafi, A. Hartstein, E. F. Crabbé, and K. Chan, Appl. Phys. Lett. **68**, 1377 (1996).
- 4E. Kapetanakis, P. Normand, D. Tsoukalas, K. Beltsios, J. Stoemenos, S. Zhang, and J. van den Berg, Appl. Phys. Lett. **77**, 3450 (2000).
- <sup>5</sup>E. A. Boer, M. L. Brongersma, H. A. Atwater, R. C. Flagan, and L. D. Bell, Appl. Phys. Lett. **79**, 791 (2001).
- ${}^{6}$ S.-H. Choi and R. G. Elliman, Appl. Phys. Lett. **75**, 968 (1999).
- ${}^{7}$ B. Garrido, M. López, O. González, A. Pérez-Rodriguez, J. R. Morante, and C. Bonafos, Appl. Phys. Lett. 77, 3143 (2000).
- 8S. Guha, S. B. Qadri, R. G. Musket, M. A. Wall, and T. Shimizu-Iwayama, J. Appl. Phys. 88, 3954 (2000).

 $\Delta E_g / E_{g0} = 5.1\%$ , Eq. (8) yields the nanocrystal size  $d_0$ =4.7 nm, and for  $\Delta E_g / E_{g0} = 6.3\%$ , Eq. (8) yields  $d_0$  $=$  3.4 nm. Obviously, the two models give similar results. These results are close to the value ( $\sim$  4.6 nm) obtained from XRD measurements shown in Fig. 1, and they also agree with the result reported in Ref. 7 for similar nc-Si formation conditions.

In summary, we have developed an approach to determine the dielectric function of nc-Si embedded in a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix synthesized with Si ion implantation. The approach is based on the effective medium approximation, and appropriate models are developed to simulate the nc-Si depth profiles obtained from the SIMS measurement and the ellipsometric parameters measured in a wide range of wavelengths. The energy gap expansion of the nc-Si due to the size effect has been obtained by modeling the real part of the dielectric function with the single-oscillator model. From the energy gap expansion, the nanocrystal size can be also obtained with two independent models including the phenomenological model based on quantum confinement and the bond contraction model. The results from the two models are similar and are also consistent with the HRTEM and XRD measurements.

- ${}^{9}R$ . Govindaraj, R. Kesavamoorthy, R. Mythili, and B. Viswanathan, J. Appl. Phys. 90, 958 (2001).
- 10E. A. Irene, in *In Situ Real-Time Characterization of Thin Films*, edited by O. Auciello and A. R. Krauss (Wiley, New York, 2001), pp. 57-103.
- 11R. M. A. Azzam and N. M. Bashara, *Ellipsometry and Polarized* Light (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977).
- 12C. C. Katsidis, D. I. Siapkas, A. K. Robinson, and P. L. F. Hemment, J. Electrochem. Soc. 148, G704 (2001).
- 13R. Tsu, D. Babic´, and L. Ioriatti, Jr., J. Appl. Phys. **82**, 1327  $(1997).$
- <sup>14</sup>D. R. Penn, Phys. Rev. **128**, 2093 (1962).
- 15C. Q. Sun, X. W. Sun, B. K. Tay, S. P. Lau, H. T. Huang, and S. Li, J. Phys. D 34, 2359 (2001).
- 16V. Ranjan, M. Kapoor, and V. A. Singh, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, 6647 (2002).