# Magnetic properties of rare-earth metal tritellurides $R \text{Te}_3$ (R = Ce, Pr, Nd, Gd, Dy)

Yuji Iyeiri, Teppei Okumura, Chishiro Michioka, and Kazuya Suzuki

Graduate School of Environment and Information Sciences, Yokohama National University, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan (Received 23 October 2002; published 22 April 2003)

The magnetic properties of RTe<sub>3</sub> (R = Ce, Pr, Nd, Gd, Dy), which has a structure consisting of the alternate stacking of one RTe layer and two Te layers, were investigated using magnetization and electrical resistivity measurements. The magnetic transition temperatures were found at 3.0 K for R = Ce, 3.2 K for Nd, 11.5 K for Gd, and 4.5 K for Dy, respectively, and the Pr compound showed van Vleck paramagnetism. The ordered magnetic moment of RTe<sub>3</sub> lies within the layer. In contrast, the ordered magnetic moments of RTe<sub>2</sub>, having alternate stacking of one RTe and one Te layer, point to the layer-stacking direction, although the point symmetry at the R site and the coordination of the Te atoms to the R atoms are almost the same. The difference of the magnetic anisotropy in RTe<sub>2</sub> and RTe<sub>3</sub> was discussed in terms of the effective charge of the Te atoms in the Te layer with an electron charge transferred from the RTe layer. We propose magnetic compounds designed by charge introduction based on this result.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.67.144417

PACS number(s): 75.30.Gw, 75.25.+z, 71.27.+a

## I. INTRODUCTION

When attempting to synthesize the compounds that have important magnetic properties or phenomena, a "layered structure" is one of the most important keys to be considered, since in some layered compounds the magnetic and electrical properties can be designed and controlled in each unit of the atomic layer. Here, we report a series of compounds with the magnetic anisotropy controlled by the introduction of an electric charge to the target atomic layer.

The rare-earth metal polytellurides  $RTe_2$  and  $RTe_3$  crystallize in the anti-Cu<sub>2</sub>Sb and the NdTe<sub>3</sub> structure, respectively.<sup>1–3</sup> They have a common basic crystal structure consisting of the alternate layer stacking of the tetragonal Te layer, and *R*Te layer as shown in Fig. 1. The *R*Te layer is formed from the distorted two-layer slice of cubic *R*Te with the NaCl-type structure. This two-layer unit of the rare-earth chalcogenide is known in so-called "misfit layer com-



FIG. 1. Crystal structures of (a)  $RTe_3$  and (b)  $RTe_2$ . Black and gray circles denote the R and Te atoms, respectively.

pounds"  $(RX)_x(TX_2)_y$ , where X=S and Se, T=Ti, V, Cr, Nb, and Ta, x = 1.13 - 1.23 being the irrational number due to the lattice mistfit between RX and  $TX_2$ , and y = 1 - 3.<sup>4,5</sup> It is known in the compounds that the  $TX_2$  layer carries electrical conduction, while the RX layer becomes insulating after the charge transfer to the  $TX_2$  layer. Since the magnetic RXlayer and the conducting  $TX_2$  layer are well separated in space, the dominant magnetic interaction among the magnetic R ions is not the Ruderman-kittel-kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction, but direct exchange or superexchange interaction. This is also the case for RTe<sub>2</sub> or RTe<sub>3</sub>. The electronic structure is well described in terms of the rigid-band scheme with one-electron transfer from the RTe layer to the Te layer. Kikuchi showed by a first-principles calculation with the  $DV-X\alpha$  method for LaTe<sub>2</sub> that the energy gap between the conduction and valence bands of the  $(La^{3+}Te^{2-})$ unit is ca. 4 eV, while the conduction band of the  $Te^{1-}$  layer lies in the gap.<sup>6</sup> Since the conduction band of the Te layer is halffilled, the electronic properties are expected to be metallic, but are actually a poorly conducting semimetal. As the  $(2 \times 1 \times 1)$  superstructure reflection is observed in the electron diffraction,<sup>7</sup> the zone holding of the first Brillouin zone by the superstructure makes a very small overlapping of the conduction band at the Fermi level. However, the origin of the superstructure, whether the electronic one (formation of the charge-density waves) or the dimerization of the Te atoms due to the difference in the in-plane lattice constant of the *R*Te layer and the Te layer,<sup>8</sup> is still under discussion.

In contrast, a good metallic behavior was reported on SmTe<sub>3</sub>.<sup>9</sup> An electron-diffraction study by DiMasi *et al.* showed that all the rare-earth tritellurides  $RTe_3$  form an incommensurate superstructure close to  $(4 \times 1 \times 1)$ .<sup>10</sup> The charge transfer from the RTe layer to the two Te layers makes an effective ionic state of  $(Te_2)^-$  and a quarter-filled conduction band in the Te layer. Then the differences in the superstructure formation and the electron density in the Te layer between  $RTe_2$  and  $RTe_3$  give a significant difference in the conducting properties.

The physical properties of RTe<sub>2</sub> were first reported for

TABLE I. Physical parameters of  $RTe_3$ . Q is the distortion wave vector detected from the Laue photograph.  $\rho_{rt}$  and  $\rho_{1.5 \text{ K}}$  are the resistivities at room temperature and 1.5 K, respectively, and RRR is the residual resistivity ratio. The effective magnetic moments and the Weiss temperatures are estimated from the Curie-Weiss fitting above 200 K.  $T_{(\chi)anom}$ , the temperature at which  $d\chi/dT$  is maximum;  $T_{(\rho)anom}$ , the temperature at which the anomaly due to the magnetic transition appears.

R	$\begin{array}{c} Q \\ Q/a^{*} \end{array}$	$ ho_{rt}$ ( $\mu\Omega$ cm)	$ ho_{1.5 \text{ K}}$ ( $\mu\Omega$ cm)	$\frac{\rm RRR}{\rho_{rt}/\rho_{1.5 \rm K}}$	$T_{( ho)anom}$ (K)	$\mu_{ m eff}$ $(\mu_{ m B})$	<i>θ</i> (K)	$T_{(\chi)anom}$ (K)
La ( $  a $ )	0.272	111	0.52	213	-		Pauli paramagnetism	
Ce $(  a )$	0.277	180	4.01	44.9	1.3, 3.0	2.47	-0.9	3.0
$(\ c)$						2.55	-35.3	
Pr ( $  a $ )	0.280	134	1.41 <sup>a</sup>			3.67	-12.0	van Vleck's
$(\ c)$						3.68	-23.7	paramagnetism
Nd ( $  a $ )	0.286	138	1.36	101	3.3	3.59	-13.6	3.2
$(\ c)$						3.69	-27.1	
Gd ( $  a $ )	0.294	183	0.69	265	11.5	7.97	-11.0	11.5
$(\ c)$						8.12	-15.6	
Dy $(  a )$	0.282	59.4	0.276	215	1.4, 2.7	10.70	-5.6	3.5
(  c)						10.62	-12.1	

<sup>a</sup>Measured at 7.1 K.

CeTe<sub>2</sub>.<sup>11</sup> The magnetic properties for the other RTe<sub>2</sub> were recently reported by Shin *et al.* for R=Pr, Sm, and Gd,<sup>12</sup> where antiferromagnetic transition temperatures were found as low as 10 K for magnetic RTe<sub>2</sub> except for R=Pr. A neutron-diffraction study on CeTe<sub>2</sub> (Ref. 13) exhibited that, below  $T_N$ =4.3 K, the magnetic moment orients along the stacking direction (crystallographic *c* axis) with the ferrimagnetic ground state. The precise magnetic measurements for CeTe<sub>2</sub> by Jung *et al.*,<sup>14</sup> however, revealed that the ground state is antiferromagnetic, and that a well-developed shortrange order is present above the transition temperature associated with the low dimensionality of this magnetic system. In contrast to the intensive studies for RTe<sub>2</sub>, the physical properties of RTe<sub>3</sub> have not been known, except for SmTe<sub>3</sub>.

In this paper, we report on the magnetic behaviors of metallic  $RTe_3$  (R = Ce, Pr, Nd, Gd, and Dy) in comparison with those in  $RTe_2$  having a different conduction-carrier density. The difference of the magnetic anisotropy is manifested in these two compounds, although the symmetry at the R site is the same for both compounds. On the basis of the result, we propose designing magnetic structures in some composite layer compounds by the introduction of conducting carriers.

### **II. EXPERIMENT**

Single crystals of  $RTe_3$  were prepared by a flux method using alkaline metal chloride according to DiMasi *et al.*<sup>7</sup> The mixture of the element powder with the total weight of 0.8 g was sealed in an evacuated quartz ample together with 1.6 g of the flux (LiCl:RbCl = 1:1). The ample was kept at 650 °C for two days. Then the temperature was gradually lowered to 540 °C in four days. The crystals had a reddish-yellow color and a metallic luster and were a rectangular shape with a size of up to  $3 \times 3 \times 0.1$  mm<sup>3</sup>. The lattice parameter in the stacking direction was measured by the x-ray powder-diffraction technique, and the in-plane structure was determined by a transmission x-ray Laue photograph using an imaging plate for the bulk crystals, and by electron diffraction for the cleaved fine crystals. All the lattice parameters were well coincident with those reported by DiMasi et al.<sup>10</sup> The distortion wave vectors O associated with the formation of the superstructure are shown in the first column of Table I. The magnitude of Q is incommensurate with the in-plane lattice parameter and ranges from  $0.27a^*$  to  $0.29a^*$ . The magnitude increases with the atomic number to GdTe<sub>3</sub>, but sharply drops at DyTe<sub>3</sub>. The condition of the superstructure formation is considerably different between the Gd and Dy compounds, such as the nesting condition of the Fermi surfaces as well as the lock-in effect to a commensurate value of the distortion wave vector. In any case, the difference of the Q vectors affects the size of the Fermi surfaces remaining after superstructure formation, giving significant difference in the electronic and transport properties.

The in-plane electrical resistivity of  $RTe_3$  was measured by a conventional four-probe method using gold wires as the electrical lead and gold paste as the conducting glue. When a kind of silver paste was used, the contact resistivity increased very rapidly. This fact indicates a chemical reaction such as a kind of intercalation reaction between the sample and glue. The temperature dependence of the resistivity was measured for R = La, Ce, Nd, Gd, and Dy down to 1.3 K.

The magnetic susceptibility and the magnetization curve of  $RTe_3$  were measured by a superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer between 300 and 1.8 K, with the magnetic field up to 5 T applied along the *a* and *c* axes. The magnetic susceptibility was fit to the Curie-Weiss law using the data above 200 K.

## **III. RESULTS**

#### A. Electrical resistivity of RTe<sub>3</sub>

The electrical resistivity of  $RTe_3$  (R=La, Ce, Nd, Gd, Dy) was found to be metallic down to 1.3 K as shown in Fig.



FIG. 2. (a) Temperature dependence of the electrical resitivity of  $RTe_3$  and (b) its low-temperature expansion. Arrows denote the anomalies associated with the magnetic transitions.

2, the behavior of which is the same as SmTe<sub>3</sub>.<sup>9</sup> The room temperature resistivity and the residual resistivity at 1.5 K are summarized in Table I. The room-temperature resistivity ranged from 60 to 180  $\mu\Omega$  cm, and did not show regular dependence on the magnetic moment of the rare-earth element. The large room-temperature resistivity in CeTe<sub>3</sub> is due to the strong paramagnetic scattering because the localized Ce 4f level is relatively closer to the Fermi level than in other  $RTe_3$ . The resistivity of DyTe<sub>3</sub> is about 1/3 that of GdTe<sub>3</sub>, and is even smaller than the resistivity of nonmagnetic LaTe<sub>3</sub>. This fact suggests that the significant difference of the electronic structure closely related to the carrier scattering is present between DyTe<sub>3</sub> and the other RTe<sub>3</sub>. The supersutructure formation makes the size of the Fermi surfaces and the conduction-carrier density reduced in comparison with those of undestorted RTe<sub>3</sub> with a quarter-filled conduction band. As shown in Table I, the distortion wave vector Q of DyTe<sub>3</sub> is significantly different from those of  $RTe_3$ , as mentioned in the preceding section. Presumably, the small room-temperature resistivity in DyTe<sub>3</sub> is attributed to the larger carrier density and the size of the Fermi surfaces after the superstructure formation.

The residual resistivity ratio (RRR) that equals  $\rho_{rt}/\rho_{1.5 \text{ K}}$  reaches up to 265 for GdTe<sub>3</sub>. It is surprising that the residual resitivity ratio is so large despite the fact that the incommensurate lattice modulation due to the superstructure formation mentioned above exists in these compounds. This fact sug-

gests that the lattice modulation is well ordered in the course of crystallization, due to the higher transition temperature of the lattice modulation than the temperature of the crystallization of  $RTe_3$ . Since the residual resistivity is very low, the Shubnikov-de Haas oscillation in the magnetoresistance is found in LaTe<sub>3</sub> and CeTe<sub>3</sub> at lower temperatures. The results, including discussions of the electronic structures, will be reported elsewhere. As shown in Fig. 2 (b), weak anomalies of the resistivity were found for CeTe<sub>3</sub>, NdTe<sub>3</sub>, and GdTe<sub>3</sub> with decreasing temperature, as listed in Table I, suggesting the magnetic ordering in these compounds. The metallic behavior of  $RTe_3$  and the anomalies of the resistivity at the magnetic transition temperature suggest the presence of the RKKY-type interaction between the magnetic R atoms in contrast to semimetallic RTe<sub>2</sub>. However, this interaction is not significant in RTe<sub>3</sub>, except for CeTe<sub>3</sub>, because the drop of the resistivity below the magnetic transition temperature as well as the magnitude of the paramagnetic scattering is quite small in RTe<sub>3</sub>.

#### B. Magnetic properties of CeTe<sub>3</sub>

The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  of CeTe<sub>3</sub> shown in Fig. 3(a) exhibits strong anisotropy due to the crystalline-field effect. The effective magnetic moments and the Weiss temperatures are obtained from the fit to the Curie-Weiss law to be  $\mu_a = 2.47 \mu_B$  and  $\theta_a = -0.9$  K when the magnetic field *H* is applied along the *a* direction, and  $\mu_c = 2.55 \mu_B$  and  $\theta_c = -35.3$  K for *H* applied along the *c* direction, respectively. The effective magnetic moments are close to the theoretical value of  $2.54 \mu_B$  for the free Ce<sup>3+</sup> ion. The susceptibility data are fit to a conventional crystalline-field model using Steven's operator. The point symmetry at the Ce site in CeTe<sub>3</sub> is  $C_4$ , so the Ce 4*f* states with the total angular momentum J = 5/2 split into following three Kramers:

$$|1\pm\rangle = \cos \theta |\pm 5/2\rangle + \sin \theta |\mp 3/2\rangle,$$
$$|2\pm\rangle = \sin \theta |\pm 5/2\rangle - \cos \theta |\mp 3/2\rangle,$$
$$|3\pm\rangle = |\pm 1/2\rangle.$$

As the anisotropy in  $\chi$  is not so large at room temperature, the energy splitting between the ground and the highest exited states are supposed to be smaller than 300 K. Broad humps below 50 K in the inverse susceptibility versus the temperature curves are characteristic of the crystalline-field effect. Keeping these in mind, the susceptibility is fit to the crystalline-field model. The ground state is determined as  $|1\pm\rangle=0.54|\pm5/2\rangle+0.84|\mp3/2\rangle$  and the energy splittings between the ground and the first exited state  $|3\pm\rangle$  are estimated at 130 K, and that between the ground and the highest exited state  $|2\pm\rangle=0.84|\pm5/2\rangle-0.54|\mp3/2\rangle$  at 230 K.

The magnetic susceptibility  $\chi_a$  shows a small kink at 3.0 K, while no anomaly is found in  $\chi_c$ . As shown in Fig. 2(b), the in-plane electrical resistivity of CeTe<sub>3</sub> shows a steep decrease below 3.0 K. Therefore, these anomalies in  $\chi$  and  $\rho$  indicate a magnetic phase transition. The magnetization curve of CeTe<sub>3</sub> up to 5 T shows no anomalies and nearly



FIG. 3. (a) Magnetic susceptibility and (b) inverse magnetic susceptibility of  $CeTe_3$  as a function of temperature, and (c) magnetization curve of  $CeTe_3$ .

paramagnetic behavior [Fig. 3(c)]. When the magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the *c* axis, the magnetization almost saturates at 5 T with the saturation moment of  $0.9\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm Ce}$ . The moment is very close to the calculated moment of  $g_J|\langle 1+|J_x|1-\rangle|=0.87\mu_{\rm B}$  for the ground state  $|1\pm\rangle$ . Since the anomaly in  $\chi$  is very small, the magnetic transition is supposed to be not a simple antiferromagnetic transition, but a transition to a sort of helical or an incommensurate magnetic phase. In addition, the anomaly in  $\rho$ at 1.3 K suggests another magnetic transition at lower temperatures.

## C. Magnetic properties of PrTe<sub>3</sub>, NdTe<sub>3</sub>, GdTe<sub>3</sub> and DyTe<sub>3</sub>

The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility and the inverse magnetic susceptibility, and the magnetization curves of  $PrTe_3$  to  $DyTe_3$  are shown in Figs. 4-7.



FIG. 4. Magnetic properties of  $PrTe_3$ . (a) Magnetic susceptibility at low temperatures, inset: inverse magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature, (b) magnetization curve. Filled and open circles represent the magnetization for  $H_{||}c$  and  $H_{||}a$ , respectively.

The magnetic susceptibility of  $PrTe_3$  follows the Curie-Weiss law with  $\mu_a = 3.67\mu_B$ ,  $\theta_a = -12.6$  K,  $\mu_c = 3.68\mu_B$ ,  $\theta_c = -23.7$  K.  $\mu_c$  and  $\mu_a$  are close to  $3.58\mu_B$  for  $Pr^{3+}$ . At lower temperatures than 100 K, the susceptibility deviates from the Curie-Weiss law and becomes nearly constant below 30 K. This behavior is typical for a magnetic system with the ground state being singlet. The magnetization curves show a straight line up to 5 T, where the magnetization is  $0.22\mu_B$  for  $H_a$  and  $0.19\mu_B$  for  $H_c$ .

The effective magnetic moments and the Weiss temperatures of NdTe<sub>3</sub> are obtained from the Curie-Weiss fitting, where  $\mu_a = 3.59 \mu_B$ ,  $\theta_a = -13.6 \text{ K}$ ,  $\mu_c = 3.69 \mu_B$ ,  $\theta_c =$ -27.1 K. At low temperatures, the magnetic susceptibility deviates from the Curie-Weiss law, and  $\chi_c$  shows a broad maximum at 13 K. This is due to the crystal-field effect, and the fact that the ground-state 4f level has a small component of the magnetic moment along the c axis. However,  $\chi_a$ shows a clear maximum at 3.2 K. At this temperature,  $\chi_c$ also shows a weak anomaly. The magnetization curve for  $H_{\parallel a}$  taken at 1.8 K indicates a change of slope at around 3 T, as shown in Fig. 5(c). The magnetization at 5 T is only  $1.4\mu_{\rm B}$ for  $H_{||}a$  and  $0.1\mu_{\rm B}$  for  $H_{||}c$ . Taking into consideration the anisotropic behaviors of the susceptibility and the magnetization curves, the ordered magnetic moment in NdTe<sub>3</sub> lies within the layer. It is also considered that another metamag-



FIG. 5. Magnetic properties of NdTe<sub>3</sub>.

netic transition may be present at a higher magnetic field because of the small magnetic moment in the easy axis at 5 T.

The magnetic susceptibility of the GdTe<sub>3</sub> at the paramagnetic region shows almost no anisotropy due to the half-filled  $4f^7$  state with zero orbital angular momentum. However, as shown in Fig. 6(a), the anisotropy is present at and below 30 K. This feature is very similar to the behavior of GdTe<sub>2</sub>,<sup>12</sup> and is common in the compounds having the GdX (X represents chalcogen) unit as known in (GdS)<sub>1.20</sub>NbS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>15</sup> The effective magnetic moment is  $7.97\mu_B$ , close to  $7.94\mu_B$  for Gd<sup>3+</sup>, and the Weiss temperature is -11.0 K. The temperature dependence of the susceptibility  $\chi_a$  has a maximum at 11.5 K, which associates with an antiferromagnetic transition. The magnetization curve shows an anomaly when changing its slope at 2.5 T, suggesting a spin-flop transition.

The magnetic susceptibility of DyTe<sub>3</sub> shows different behaviors from those in other rare-earth tritellurides  $RTe_3$ . The effective magnetic moments and the Weiss temperatures are estimated at  $\mu_c = 10.70\mu_B$  and  $\mu_c = 10.62\mu_B$ , very close to  $10.63\mu_B$  of Dy<sup>3+</sup>, and  $\theta_a = -12.1$  K and  $\theta_c = -5.6$  K. As shown in Fig. 6,  $\chi_a$  is slightly larger than  $\chi_c$  at low temperatures, and both show a maximum at 4.5 K and a steep decrease at 3.5 K. This behavior is typical for an antiferromagnet with the component of the ordered magnetic moments present both in the *a* and *c* directions. The magnetization curve for  $H_{\parallel}a$  shows metamagnetic transitions at 0.6 T and 0.9 T, and then shows a saturating behavior up to 5 T with



FIG. 6. Magnetic properties of GdTe<sub>3</sub>.

the moment of  $6\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm Dy}$ . However, the magnetization for  $H_{||}c$  shows two magnetic transitions at 0.9 T and 1.2 T, then the magnetic moment increases linearly and reaches  $6\mu_{\rm B}$  at 5 T. These behaviors imply the presence of many magnetic phases and a complicated *M*-*H* phase diagram below 5 T.

## **IV. DISCUSSION**

First, we will discuss the magnetic anisotropy of  $RTe_3$  in comparison with that in  $RTe_2$ . The common feature of the magnetic properties in  $RTe_3$  is that the magnetic moment is perpendicular to the layer-stacking direction, except for the case of DyTe<sub>3</sub>. This is in contrast to the magnetic anisotropy in  $RTe_2$ , where the magnetic moment lies parallel to the stacking direction. It is naturally considered that the magnetic anisotropy of these compounds is dominated by the crystalline-field effect, especially by the tetragonal crystalline-field parameter  $B_2^0$  in this case. As can be seen in Fig. 1,  $RTe_2$  and  $RTe_3$  have a common basic structure, i.e., the alternate stacking of the RTe layer and the Te layer(s), so the coordination of Te atoms to an R atom is almost the same in  $RTe_3$  and  $RTe_2$ . Therefore, the magnetic anisotropy also seems to be the same at first sight.

Taking the electron transfer from the RTe layer to the Te layer into consideration, however, the effective electric charge of Te in the Te layer becomes different. Figure 8 shows an illustration of the effective charge of Te around an



FIG. 7. Magnetic properties of DyTe<sub>3</sub>.

*R* atom in  $RTe_2$  and  $RTe_3$ , on the basis of one-electron transfer from the *R*Te layer to the Te layer(s). All the *R*-Te bond lengths are nearly the same with the value of about 3.3 Å. Therefore, the electric field at the *R* site yielded by four Te atoms in the Te layer can be roughly estimated as the field made by one Te atom hypothetically located in the tetragonal axis with the same R-Te atomic distance. In the case of  $RTe_3$ , the effective charge of the hypothetical Te atom is -1.0, while it is -2.0 for  $RTe_2$ , using the electric field being proportional to  $r^{-2}$ . Therefore, it can be considered that the electric crystalline field (CF) in  $RTe_2$  is yielded from a distorted octahedron of six equivalent Te<sup>2-</sup> ions. In contrast, in  $RTe_3$ , the reduced effective charge of Te in the Te



FIG. 8. Schematic illustration of the effective electric charge of the Te atoms that coordinate the R atom in RTe<sub>2</sub> and RTe<sub>3</sub>.

layer gives a strongly anisotropic effect on the crystal electric field. This can vary the tetragonal CF parameter  $B_2^0$  significantly, and cause the difference of the single-ion magnetic anisotropy in the 4*f* electrons of *R*.

These findings suggest that the magnetic structure as well as the magnetic anisotropy can be controlled by the introduction of an electric charge through the modification of the crystalline-field effect. It also should be noted that, in such layered compounds, the layer composite of the  $RTe_2$  and  $RTe_3$  units can produce designed magnetic structures with each magnetic moment perpendicularly ordered. Moreover, the direction of the ordered magnetic moment can be controlled by the introduction of other chemical species into the van der Waals gap of  $RTe_3$  using the intercalation technique.

As shown in Table I, the effective magnetic moments estimated from the Curie-Weiss fitting at a higher-temperature region are well coincident with the effective moment of  $R^{3+}$ . It is known that this type of the compound shows nonstoichiometric composition with the deficiency of Te atoms, especially in RTe<sub>2</sub>. However, as the magnetic measurements suggest, the chemical composition in the RTe<sub>3</sub> crystals is very close to the ratio of R:Te=1:3. This fact is also supported by the low in-plane residual resistivity, suggesting that almost no lattice defects or imperfections exist in the RTe<sub>3</sub> crystals.

The magnetic interaction in these compounds is more complicated than in RTe<sub>2</sub> because of the presence of conducting carriers. In the Gd compounds, the transition temperatures are known as 8.6 K for GdTe<sub>2</sub> and 11.5 K for  $GdTe_3$ , respectively. Since the 4*f* electron of the Gd atoms is not affected by the crystalline electric field, the magnetic anisotoropy and behaviors are very similar, and the contribution of the RKKY interaction and the effect of the interlayer separation can be directly compared in these two compounds. Although the increased interlayer distance between the magnetic layers in GdTe3 weakens the interlayer magnetic interaction, the transition temperature of GdTe<sub>3</sub> is higher than that of GdTe<sub>2</sub>. This implies that the conducting carrier plays a role in the magnetic interaction in this  $RTe_3$  in addition to the dominant magnetic dipole interactions and the superexchange interaction through chalcogen atoms.

It is noted that the magnetic transition temperatures are lower in CeTe<sub>3</sub> than in  $RTe_2$ . By the single-ion anisotropy due to the crystalline field, the strong Ising-like behavior is expected for CeTe<sub>2</sub>, while the XY-like behavior with a strong quantum fluctuation is expected for CeTe<sub>3</sub>. It is natural that the increased degree of freedom in the XY system lowers the transition temperature. Therefore, although the RKKY interaction is much more important in CeTe<sub>3</sub> among  $RTe_3$ , the transition temperatures of CeTe<sub>3</sub> are possibly lower than those of  $RTe_2$ .

#### V. CONCLUSION

We have studied the magnetic properties of  $RTe_3$  (R = Ce, Pr, Nd, Gd, Dy) by magnetization and electrical resistivity measurements, and found magnetic transitions at low temperatures. The higher magnetic transition temperature in conducting GdTe<sub>3</sub> than in GdTe<sub>2</sub> represents the role that the

conduction carrier also plays in magnetic interaction. On the other hand, the lower magnetic transition temperature in CeTe<sub>3</sub> than in CeTe<sub>2</sub> is attributed to the difference of the nature of their magnetic systems, *XY*-like for  $RTe_3$  and Ising-like for  $RTe_2$ , originating from the single-ion anisotropy. The different single-ion anisotropy in  $RTe_3$  and in  $RTe_2$  is explained by the effective conduction-carrier density in the conducting Te layer. This result predicts that one can

explore the different type of nanocomposite magnetic materials by introducing conducting carriers to the specific atomic layers. The candidates are, for example,  $R_{\rm m}$ Te<sub>n</sub> where (m,n)=(2,5), (3, 7), etc., where the structure consists of the alternate of the RTe<sub>2</sub> and RTe<sub>3</sub> unit. In these compounds, an independent magnetic order in each unit and perpendicularly ordered magnetic moments in different units can be expected.

- <sup>1</sup>R. Wang, H. Steinfink, and W.F. Bradley, Inorg. Chem. **5**, 142 (1966).
- <sup>2</sup>B.K. Norling and H. Steinfink, Inorg. Chem. 5, 1488 (1966).
- <sup>3</sup>M.P. Pardo, O. Gorochov, J. Flahaut, and L. Domange, Acad. Sci., Paris, C. R. **260**, 1666 (1965).
- <sup>4</sup>A. Meetsma, G.A. Wiegers, R.J. Haange, and J.L. de Boer, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 45, 285 (1989).
- <sup>5</sup>G.A. Wiegers, Prog. Solid State Chem. 24, 1 (1996).
- <sup>6</sup>A. Kikuchi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 1308 (1998).
- <sup>7</sup>E. DiMasi, B. Foran, M.C. Aronson, and S. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 13 587 (1996).
- <sup>8</sup>A. Stowe, J. Solid State Chem. **149**, 155 (2000).
- <sup>9</sup>E. DiMasi, B. Foran, M.C. Aronson, and S. Lee, Chem. Mater. 6, 1867 (1994).

- <sup>10</sup>E. DiMasi, M.C. Aronson, J.F. Mansfield, B. Foran, and S. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 14 516 (1995).
- <sup>11</sup>Y.S. Kwon, T.S. Park, K.R. Lee, J.M. Kim, Y. Haga, and T. Suzuki, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **140-144**, 1173 (1995).
- <sup>12</sup>Y.S. Shin, S.W. Han, B.H. Min, H.J. Lee, C.H. Choi, Y.S. Kim, D.L. Kim and Y.S. Kwon, Physica B **291**, 225 (2000).
- <sup>13</sup>J.G. Park, I.P. Swainson, W.J.L. Buyers, M.H. Jung, and Y.S. Kwon, Physica B **241-243**, 684 (1998).
- <sup>14</sup>M.H. Jung, B.H. Min, Y.S. Kwon, I. Oguro, F. IGa, T. Fujita, T. Ekino, T. Kasuya, and T. Takabatake, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69, 937 (2000).
- <sup>15</sup>O. Pena, P. Rabu, and A. Meerschaut, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3, 9929 (1991).