## Fermi surface of the heavy-fermion superconductor PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>

H. Sugawara,<sup>1</sup> S. Osaki,<sup>1</sup> S. R. Saha,<sup>1</sup> Y. Aoki,<sup>1</sup> H. Sato,<sup>1</sup> Y. Inada,<sup>2</sup> H. Shishido,<sup>2</sup> R. Settai,<sup>2</sup> Y. Ōnuki,<sup>2,3</sup> H. Harima,<sup>4</sup>

and K. Oikawa<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Science, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

<sup>4</sup>The Institute of Scientific and Industrial Research, Osaka University, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan

<sup>5</sup>Neutron Science Laboratory, Institute of Materials Structure Science, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization,

Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan

(Received 26 October 2002; published 31 December 2002)

We have investigated the de Haas-van Alphen effect in the Pr-based heavy-fermion superconductor  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ . The topology of Fermi surface is close to the reference compound  $LaOs_4Sb_{12}$  and well explained by the band-structure calculation based on the FLAPW (full potential linearized augmented-plane-wave)-LDA (local-density approximation) + U method, where the 4f electrons are localized. We have confirmed a highly enhanced cyclotron effective mass  $(2.4-7.6)m_0$ , which is apparently large compared to the usual Pr-based compounds.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.66.220504

PACS number(s): 74.70.Tx, 71.18.+y, 71.27.+a, 75.20.Hr

The Pr-based compounds in the filled skutterudites have attracted much attention because of their exotic properties, such as metal-insulator transition at  $T_{\rm MI} = 60$  K in  $\rm PrRu_4P_{12}^{-1}$  and unusual heavy-fermion (HF) behavior in  $\rm PrFe_4P_{12}$ .<sup>2–4</sup> The quadrupolar interaction is thought to be a key mechanism to explain such anomalous behaviors. Especially for  $\rm PrFe_4P_{12}$ , both the extraordinarily enhanced effective mass  $(m_c^* = 81m_0)$  and the nonmagnetic low-field ordered state below 6.5 K are inferred to originate from the quadrupolar interaction.<sup>3–5</sup>

Recently, PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> was reported to exhibit superconductivity below  $T_{\rm C} = 1.85$  K.<sup>6,7</sup> From the large specific-heat jump at  $T_{\rm C}$ ,  $\Delta C/T_{\rm C} \sim 500$  mJ/K<sup>2</sup> mol, Bauer *et al.* claimed that PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> is the first example of the Pr-based HF superconductor.<sup>6,7</sup> They also inferred that the quadrupolar interaction plays an important role in the HF superconductivity, since the magnetic susceptibility, specific heat C(T), and inelastic-neutron-scattering measurements suggest the crystal electric-field (CEF) ground state to be a non-Kramers doublet carrying quadrupole moments. The recent Sb-NQR (nuclear quadrupole resonance) measurement on PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> have revealed that the temperature T dependence of nuclearspin-lattice-relaxation rate  $1/T_1$  shows neither a coherence peak nor  $T^3$  like power-law dependence below  $T_{\rm C}$ .<sup>8</sup> The muon-spin-relaxation measurements suggest an isotropic superconducting (SC) energy gap,9 while the anisotropy of thermal conductivity against the magnetic-field directions indicates that the SC energy gap has point nodes.<sup>10</sup> Such unusual properties cannot be consistently explained neither by a conventional isotropic nor by any anisotropic gap superconductivity reported until now, suggesting some type of HF superconductivity of this compound.<sup>6-11</sup> In the normal state above  $\sim$  4.5 T, Aoki *et al.* reported an anomalous fieldinduced ordered phase (FIOP) based on the specific-heat measurements; possibly a quadrupolar ordering.<sup>17</sup>

In order to understand these unusual properties, it is essential to determine the electronic structure of this compound and to directly confirm the highly enhanced effective mass. In this paper, we report the de Haas–van Alphen (dHvA) experiment on  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ ,<sup>13</sup> which is the most powerful tool to clarify the Fermi-surface (FS) topology and to determine the cyclotron effective mass. The experimental result is compared with the band-structure calculation based on the FLAPW (full potential linearized augmented-plane-wave)-LDA (local-density approximation) + U method.

Single crystals of PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> and the reference LaOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> were grown by Sb-self-flux method basically same as in Refs. 14 and 15, using high-purity elements, 4N (99.99%) pure)-Pr, 4N-La, 3N-Os, and 6N-Sb. The typical forms of the single crystals were cubic or rectangular shape with a largest dimension of about 3 mm. The crystal structure of filled skutterudite, belonging to the space group  $Im\overline{3}$  ( $T_h^5$ , #204), was verified by the powder-neutron-diffraction experiments performed by VEGA at KEK Tsukuba, Japan. The lattice constant of PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> obtained from the Rietveld refinement is a = 9.30311 Å, which is close to the reported value.<sup>16</sup> Fractional coordinates of Sb at the 24g site are determined as x=0, y=0.3405, z=0.1561, which is used in the bandstructure calculation. The residual resistivity  $\rho_0$  and the residual resistivity ratio (RRR) of the present samples are  $\rho_0$ =8  $\mu\Omega$  cm and RRR=55 for PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>, and  $\rho_0$ = 2.8  $\mu\Omega$  cm and RRR=100 for LaOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>. The dHvA experiments were performed in a top loading dilution refrigerator cooled down to 30 mK with a 17 T superconducting magnet. The dHvA signals were detected by means of the conventional field modulation method with a low frequency  $(f \sim 10 \text{ Hz}).$ 

Figure 1 shows (a) the typical dHvA oscillations and (b) the fast Fourier-transformation (FFT) spectra in  $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$  for the field *H* along  $\langle 100 \rangle$  direction. The oscillations become detectable just above  $H_{C2}=2.2$  T and a faint peak anomaly appears at  $H_A \sim 4.4$  T, indicating a slight slope change in the field dependence of magnetization M(H).<sup>17</sup> This field  $H_A$  almost agrees with the phase boundary of FIOP determined by the C(T) measurement in the magnetic fields.<sup>12</sup> Above  $H_A$  a small spin splitting is observed in the dHvA frequencies reflected as beats in the oscillations, which is frequently observed in the magnetic materials.<sup>18</sup> The three fundamental dHvA branches  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  are identified.



FIG. 1. (a) Typical dHvA oscillations and (b) the FFT spectra in  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ .

Figure 2 shows the angular dependence of dHvA frequency in PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> along with that in LaOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>.  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -branches have been observed over the whole field directions in the cubic symmetry, indicating closed FSs. The branch  $\gamma$  observed only in the limited angular ranges centered at  $\langle 100 \rangle$  suggests a part of the multiply connected one. The striking resemblance of the angular dependence of dHvA frequencies between PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> and LaOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> indicates the closeness of FS topology between the two compounds, and evidences the well localized nature of 4f electrons in PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>.

In order to assign the origin of dHvA branches, the bandstructure calculation is carried out using an FLAPW and LDA+U method, in which the 4f electrons in PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> is treated as localized. Here, we assume the  $\Gamma_1$  singlet to treat the localized 4f<sup>2</sup> electrons with the cubic symmetry. The details of the calculation are described in Refs. 19 and 20. Figures 3 and 4 show the calculated energy-band structure and the FS in PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>, respectively. The FS is composed of the 48th and 49th band hole sheets. The 48th band forms



FIG. 2. Comparison of the angular dependence of the dHvA frequencies between  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  (circles) and  $LaOs_4Sb_{12}$  (dashed lines).



PHYSICAL REVIEW B 66, 220504(R) (2002)

FIG. 3. Energy-band structure in PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>.

an almost spherical sheet, which slightly projects along the  $\langle 100 \rangle$  direction centered at the  $\Gamma$  point. The 49th band gives a round cubic sheet centered at  $\Gamma$  point and a multiply connected one whose main parts are centered at the N points. Figure 5 shows the angular dependence of the calculated dHvA frequencies, which reasonably well explains all the observed dHvA branches. A slight disagreement in the absolute values is probably ascribed to the assumption of the  $\Gamma_1$  singlet ground state and/or the effect beyond the LDA+*U* treatment. Branch  $\beta$  originates from the 48th-band spherical FS, branch  $\alpha$  is due to the 49th-band round cube FS, and branch  $\gamma$  originates from the extremal orbit surrounding the N point of the part of multiply connected one. Many other dHvA branches predicted in the band-structure calculation



FIG. 4. Fermi surface of PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>.



FIG. 5. Angular dependence of the theoretical dHvA branches in  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ . The dHvA branches indicated by the closed marks are identified by the experiments.

have not been observed in the present experiments. That can be understood as a large reduction of the dHvA amplitude due to the large curvature factors A'' of these branches; i.e., for  $H || \langle 100 \rangle$ , |A''| = 0.08 for the  $\gamma$  branch, whereas |A''|= 2.72 for the dHvA branch exhibiting the frequency of F=  $0.71 \times 10^3$  T.

As the most attractive information, we have estimated the cyclotron effective mass  $m_c^*$  from the temperature dependence of the dHvA amplitude. The comparison of dHvA frequencies and  $m_c^*$  between these compounds is given in Table I.<sup>21</sup> In contrast with the closeness of the FS topology, the cyclotron effective masses are up to  $\sim 6$  times enhanced compared to those in LaOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>. The mass enhancement is apparently larger than those in the ordinary Pr-based compounds such as  $PrIn_3$  and PrSb,<sup>18</sup> although it is not so large as that in  $PrFe_4P_{12}$ .<sup>3</sup> For the comparison with the mass enhancement estimated from the specific-heat measurement, we simply estimate the Sommerfeld coefficient from the FS volume and  $m_c^*$  in the present experiments assuming spherical FS's. The estimated value of  $\sim\!150~mJ/K^2\,mol$  is still a factor of 2 or more smaller than that in the specific-heat measurement; Bauer et al. estimated the Sommerfeld coefficient of PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> to be 350–750 mJ/K<sup>2</sup> mol.<sup>6</sup>

We have no definitive explanation for this discrepancy only from the present information. Nevertheless, a possible

## PHYSICAL REVIEW B 66, 220504(R) (2002)

origin may be hidden in the difference of the measuring conditions, such as magnetic field and temperature; the Sommerfeld coefficient in the specific heat was estimated at 0 T, and around  $T_{\rm C}$  close to the Schottky-like peak at 3 K, while the dHvA measurements were made at high fields of 3-17 T and lower temperatures of 30-400 mK. If the peak is associated with the nearby magnetic CEF excited state situated about 8 K above the ground state,<sup>6,12</sup> an additional enhancement of effective mass could be expected from the magnetic instability. As another origin, the spin-splitting effect should not be discarded. In the present experiments, we have estimated  $m_c^*$ only for the larger amplitude spin direction, since the insufficient experimental resolution prevents to determine the masses for the higher frequencies  $\left[\alpha'\right]$  and  $\beta'$  as shown in Fig. 1(b)]. Only for  $\beta$  branch with the large amplitude, we could roughly estimate  $m_c^*$  of the higher-frequency branch  $\beta'$ , which is about 20% enhanced compared with that of the lower one  $\beta$ . The larger mass enhancement for the higherfrequency branch might explain the discrepancy of the mass enhancement between the Sommerfeld coefficient and  $m_c^*$ .

It might be informative to compare PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> with another Pr-based filled skutterudite superconductor PrRu<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> as shown in Table II.<sup>22</sup> The localized character of 4f electrons, namely, the closeness of the FSs with those in LaRu<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>, has been confirmed also in PrRu<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> based on the dHvA experiment.<sup>23</sup> On the contrary, the mass enhancement is quite small in PrRu<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>, which is in sharp contrast to that in  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ . For  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ , the CEF ground state was inferred to be a non-Kramers doublet carrying quadrupole moments.<sup>6,7</sup> On the other hand, Takeda and Ishikawa inferred  $PrRu_4Sb_{12}$  to have the  $\Gamma_1$  singlet ground state.<sup>24</sup> On the  $T_{\rm C}$  compared with the La references, the two compounds have different sense;  $T_{\rm C}$  for  ${\rm PrOs}_4{\rm Sb}_{12}$  is higher than that for La reference, that is unusual if we take into account that PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> contains the magnetic element Pr. It should be also noted that the superconductivity observed in PrRu<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> is the ordinary BCS type.<sup>8,24</sup> These facts naturally indicate the essential role of the mass enhancement associated with 4f electrons in the superconductivity of PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>.

In summary, we have directly confirmed the heavy mass in  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  by the dHvA experiment, indicating  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ to be the first member of HF superconductor as Pr compound. However, the well localized character of 4*f* electrons,

TABLE I. Comparison of the dHvA frequency F and the cyclotron effective mass  $m_c^*$  between PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> and LaOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>.

Field direction	Branch	PrOs <sub>4</sub> Sb <sub>12</sub> (Exper.)		PrOs <sub>4</sub> Sb <sub>12</sub> (Theor.)		$LaOs_4Sb_{12}$	
		$F(\times 10^3 \text{ T})$	$m_{\rm c}^{*}(m_{0})$	$F(\times 10^3 \text{ T})$	$m_{\rm c}^{*}(m_{0})$	$F(\times 10^3 \text{ T})$	$m_{\rm c}^{*}(m_{0})$
$H\ \langle 100 \rangle$	α	2.61	4.1	1.91	0.88	2.79	2.5
	β	1.07	2.5	1.27	0.45	1.02	0.71
	γ	0.71	7.6	0.93	0.79	0.74	2.8
$H\ \langle 110 \rangle$	α	3.24	4.9	2.68	1.82	3.55	4.1
	β	0.89	3.9	1.13	0.39	0.95	0.65
$H \  \langle 111 \rangle$	α	3.00	5.8	2.32	1.27	3.23	2.8
	β	0.86	2.4	1.13	0.38	0.94	0.65

TABLE II. Comparison of the superconducting critical temperature  $T_{\rm C}$ , superconducting specific-heat jump  $\Delta C$  divided by  $T_{\rm C}$  ( $\Delta C/T_{\rm C}$ ), Sommerfeld coefficient, and effective mass  $m_{\rm c}^*$  in RT<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> (R=La, Pr, T=Ru, Os).

	PrOs <sub>4</sub> Sb <sub>12</sub>	LaOs <sub>4</sub> Sb <sub>12</sub>	PrRu <sub>4</sub> Sb <sub>12</sub>	LaRu <sub>4</sub> Sb <sub>12</sub>
	1.85 <sup>a</sup>	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	1.04 <sup>c</sup>	3.58 <sup>c</sup>
$\Delta C/T_{\rm C}  ({\rm mJ/K^2  mol})$	500 <sup>a</sup>	84 <sup>b</sup>	110 <sup>c</sup>	82 <sup>c</sup>
Sommerfeld coefficient (mJ/K <sup>2</sup> mol)	$350 \sim 750^{a}$	36, <sup>d</sup> 56 <sup>b</sup>	59 <sup>c</sup>	37 <sup>c</sup>
$m_{\rm c}^*/m_0$ for $\gamma$ branch	7.6	2.8	1.6 <sup>e</sup>	1.4 <sup>e</sup>
<sup>a</sup> References 6 and 7.	d <sup>b</sup>	Reference 15.		

<sup>b</sup>Reference 22. <sup>c</sup>Reference 14. <sup>e</sup>Reference 23.

evidenced in the closeness of the FS to those in  $LaOs_4Sb_{12}$ , indicates the uniqueness of this compounds compared to the typical HF superconductors such as UPt<sub>3</sub> and CeCoIn<sub>5</sub>,<sup>25,26</sup> where the itinerant *f*-electron model is applicable. From the comparison with another Pr-based filled skutterudite superconductor PrRu<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>, the quadrupolar interaction associated with the non-Kramers doublet CEF ground state is thought to be responsible for the HF superconductivity in  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ .

We thank Dr. H. Kotegawa, Professor Y. Kitaoka, Professor M. B. Maple, and Professor K. Miyake for the helpful discussion. This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

- <sup>1</sup>C. Sekine, T. Uchiumi, I. Shirotani, and T. Yagi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3218 (1997).
- <sup>2</sup>H. Sato, Y. Abe, H. Okada, T.D. Matsuda, K. Abe, H. Sugawara, and Y. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B 62, 15 125 (2000).
- <sup>3</sup>H. Sugawara, T.D. Matsuda, K. Abe, Y. Aoki, H. Sato, S. Nojiri, Y. Inada, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 134411 (2002).
- <sup>4</sup>Y. Aoki, T. Namiki, T.D. Matsuda, K. Abe, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 064446 (2002).
- <sup>5</sup>L. Hao, K. Iwasa, M. Nakajima, D. Kawana, K. Kuwahara, M. Kohgi, H. Sugawara, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Aoki, and H. Sato, in Proceedings of SCES2002, edited by A. Kolodziejczyk *et al.* [Acta Phys. Pol. B (to be published)].
- <sup>6</sup>E.D. Bauer, N.A. Frederick, P.-C. Ho, V.S. Zapf, and M.B. Maple, Phys. Rev. B 65, 100506(R) (2002).
- <sup>7</sup>M.B. Maple, P.-C. Ho, V.S. Zapf, N.A. Frederick, E.D. Bauer, W.M. Yuhasz, F.M. Woodward, and J.W. Lynn, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl. **71**, 23 (2002).
- <sup>8</sup>H. Kotegawa, Y. Imamura, M. Yogi, Y. Kawasaki, Y. Tokunaga, K. Ishida, G.-q. Zheng, Y. Kitaoka, S. Osaki, H. Sugawara, Y. Aoki, and H. Sato, cond-mat/0209106, Phys. Rev. Lett. (to be published).
- <sup>9</sup>D.E. MacLaughlin, J.E. Sonier, R.H. Heffner, O.O. Bernal, Ben-Li Young, M.S. Rose, G.D. Morris, E.D. Bauer, T.D. Do, and M.B. Maple, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 157001 (2002).
- <sup>10</sup> K. Izawa, Y. Nakajima, J. Goryo, Y. Matsuda, S. Osaki, H. Sugawara, H. Sato, P. Thalmeier, and K. Maki, cond-mat/0209553 (unpublished).
- <sup>11</sup>K. Miyake, H. Kohno, and H. Harima, in Proceedings of LT23, edited by H. Fukuyama *et al.* [Physica B (to be published)].
- <sup>12</sup>Y. Aoki, T. Namiki, S. Osaki, S.R. Saha, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71**, 2098 (2002).
- <sup>13</sup>Preliminary results on this work were presented at SCES 2002; H. Sugawara *et al.*, in Proceedings of SCES2002, edited by A.

Kolodziejczyk et al. [Acta Phys. Pol. B (to be published)].

- <sup>14</sup>N. Takeda and M. Ishikawa, Physica B **259-261**, 92 (1999).
- <sup>15</sup>E.D. Bauer, A. Ślebarski, E.J. Freeman, C. Sirvent, and M.B. Maple, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, 4495 (2001).
- <sup>16</sup>D.J. Braun and W. Jeitschko, J. Less-Common Met. **72**, 147 (1980).
- <sup>17</sup>We measured the dHvA effect using a 2f detection, so that the dHvA signal is proportional to the  $d^2M/dH^2$  in the field dependence of magnetization M(H). Recently, Tenya *et al.* found that the dM/dH increases with increasing H at  $H_A$  in the dc magnetization mesurement; K. Tenya *et al.*, in Proceedings of SCES2002, edited by A. Kolodziejczyk *et al.* [Acta Phys. Pol. B (to be published)].
- <sup>18</sup>Y. Onuki and A. Hasegawa, *Hand book on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earth*, edited by K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and L. Eyring (Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1995), Vol. 20, Chap. 135.
- <sup>19</sup>H. Harima, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **48-50**, 83 (2001).
- <sup>20</sup>H. Harima and K. Takegahara, Physica B **312-313**, 843 (2002).
- <sup>21</sup>The dHvA frequencies and  $m_c^*$  plotted in Fig. 2 and listed in Table I are average values over the field ranges 10–12 T for PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> and 8–12 T for LaOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub>.
- <sup>22</sup>We have also found LaOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> to exhibit the superconductivity below T<sub>C</sub>=0.74 K; H. Sugawara, S. Osaki, M. Kobayashi, S. R. Saha, T. Namiki, Y. Aoki, and H. Sato (unpublished).
- <sup>23</sup>T.D. Matsuda, K. Abe, F. Watanuki, H. Sugawara, Y. Aoki, H. Sato, Y. Inada, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, Physica B **312-313**, 832 (2002).
- <sup>24</sup>N. Takeda and M. Ishikawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69, 868 (2000).
- <sup>25</sup>N. Kimura, T. Komatsubara, D. Aoki, Y. Onuki, Y. Haga, E. Yamamoto, H. Aoki, and H. Harima, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 2185 (1998).
- <sup>26</sup>R. Settai, H. Shishido, S. Ikeda, Y. Murakawa, M. Nakashima, D. Aoki, Y. Haga, H. Harima, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, L627 (2001).