## **Superconducting transition and vortex pinning in Nb films patterned with nanoscale hole arrays**

U. Welp, Z. L. Xiao, J. S. Jiang, V. K. Vlasko-Vlasov, S. D. Bader, and G. W. Crabtree *Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439*

J. Liang, H. Chik, and J. M. Xu

## *Division of Engineering and Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912* (Received 28 June 2002; published 27 December 2002)

Nb films containing extended arrays of holes with 45-nm diameter and 100-nm spacing have been fabricated using anodized aluminum oxide as substrate. Pronounced matching effects in the magnetization and Little-Parks oscillations of the superconducting critical temperature have been observed in fields up to 9 kOe. Flux pinning in the patterned samples is significantly enhanced as compared to unpatterned reference samples in applied fields exceeding 5 kOe. Matching effects are a dominant contribution to vortex pinning at temperatures as low as 4.2 K due to the extremely small spacing of the holes.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.66.212507 PACS number(s): 74.60.Ge, 74.76.Db

The behavior of superconducting vortices in the presence of periodic arrays of pinning sites has attracted much recent attention because of technological as well as scientific interest. Tailored pinning arrays that optimize pinning forces at the theoretical pair-breaking limit in specified field ranges can be designed. In addition, the interplay between the periodic attractive pinning forces and the elastic repulsive vortex-vortex interactions generate a variety of novel static and dynamic vortex phases. In these systems pronounced commensurability effects, $1,2$  including large enhancements of the critical current and dips in the magnetoresistance and in the flux creep rate, have been observed at fields corresponding to integer multiples or to simple fractions of the matching field  $H_1$ . At  $H_1$  the number of vortices equals the number of pinning sites.

Numerical simulations<sup>3</sup> predict the stable vortex configurations and possible mechanisms of depinning. These configurations have largely been confirmed in direct vorteximaging experiments. $4,5$  Generally, lithographic techniques have been employed to create periodic pinning arrays of various geometries in the form of arrays of microholes, $<sup>1</sup>$ </sup> magnetic dots, $<sup>2</sup>$  and irradiation damage.<sup>4</sup> Interestingly, com-</sup> mon to all the previous reports on such samples is that commensurability effects are observed only in a very narrow temperature range below the superconducting transition temperature,  $T_c$ , where pinning forces and critical currents are small even though enhanced pinning is present in the patterned samples at low temperatures when compared to unpatterned reference samples.

Here we show that anodized aluminum oxide (AAO) membranes constitute ideal substrates for growing laterally patterned superconducting films. Large-area (several  $cm<sup>2</sup>$ ) Nb films containing triangular lattices of 45-nm diameter holes with a 100-nm period have been prepared. These samples, having the smallest feature size in extended patterns yet reported, show pronounced commensurability effects even at liquid-He temperature in fields up to 9 kOe. Critical current densities of  $10^6$  A/cm<sup>2</sup> in fields of 4 kOe and at reduced temperatures of  $t = \frac{2}{3}$  have been achieved. In contrast, matching effects in previous studies on Nb, Pb, or high- $T_c$  samples have been generally limited to fields below 100

 $\text{Oe}^{1,2}$  The unique feature of these samples is that the zerotemperature coherence length  $\xi(0)$  and penetration depth  $\lambda(0)$ are of the same order as the pattern period, ensuring that even at low temperatures the potential energy of the vortices is dominantly periodic. The superconducting–normal-phase boundary is characterized by pronounced Little-Parks oscillations in the field dependence of  $T_c$ , a feature not seen in Nb, Pb, or high- $T_c$  samples with larger hole spacing prepared lithographically.

The AAO membranes were generated by anodizing highpurity Al foils in an acid solution using a two-step process.<sup>6</sup> The Nb films of 100-nm thickness were directly deposited onto the AAO membranes using magnetron sputtering and were capped with a 10-nm Ag layer. Simultaneously with the Nb/AAO samples continuous reference samples were grown on sapphire substrates.

Figure 1 shows a scanning electron microscopy  $(SEM)$ image of a cleaved edge of the Nb/AAO sample seen under 45°. The walls between the pores in the AAO are visible near the bottom of the image, revealing a lattice constant of the



FIG. 1. SEM image of a cleaved edge of the sample viewed under an angle of 45°.



FIG. 2. Resistive transitions of the sample measured on field cooling in fields from 0 to 7.5 kOe every 250 Oe. The bunching of the transitions in field ranges corresponding to  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $H_3$  is seen.

triangular pore array of  $a=101$  nm. The Nb film grows on the top of the walls between the holes in a columnar fashion as shown by the blocklike structures seen at the corner and by boundaries visible in the film surface. The average hole diameter in the resulting Nb film is  $d=45$  nm. The holes are perfectly ordered in domains of several  $\mu$ m size.

The resistive transitions in fields between 0 and 7.5 kOe measured in field cooling are shown in Fig. 2.  $T_c$  of the Nb/AAO sample is 6.85 K, whereas for the reference sample it is 7.5 K. This reduction in  $T_c$  can be caused in part by the proximity with the Ag cap layer and by contamination and/or interdiffusion at the AAO-Nb interface. A remarkable feature in Fig. 2 is the bunching of the transitions at field values labeled  $H_1$  to  $H_3$ . Choosing the resistive midpoints as criterion for  $T_c(H)$  yields the superconducting phase diagram as shown in Fig. 3. Since with increasing field the transition shifts in an essentially parallel fashion the features of the phase diagram described below are independent of the resistive criterion. The upper critical field,  $H<sub>c2</sub>$ , is characterized by a strongly nonlinear temperature dependence near  $T_c$  on which an oscillatory variation is superimposed. Around  $6K$  a crossover into a linear temperature dependence is observed. For comparison, the conventional linear temperature dependence of  $H_{c2}$  measured on the reference film is included in Fig. 3. The nonlinear temperature variation of  $H_{c2}$  of the perforated film is well described by a parabolic dependence as shown by the dashed line in Fig. 3.

Similar results have been observed on superconducting wire networks<sup>7–9</sup> and can be understood in terms of a onedimensional (1D) nature of superconductivity that occurs at high temperatures when the coherence length becomes larger then the width *w* of the Nb sections between the holes; here  $w \approx 55$  nm. Then the upper critical field is given by the thinfilm expression<sup>10</sup>  $H_{c2}(T) = \sqrt{12} \phi_0 / [2 \pi w \xi(T)]$  with  $\xi(T)$  $= \xi(0)(1-T/T_c)^{-1/2}$  the Ginzburg-Landau coherence length. A fit (dashed line in Fig. 3) yields  $\xi(0) \approx 10$  nm. The same value is obtained from extrapolating the linear  $H_{c2}(T)$ dependence observed below 6 K and using the bulk expres-



FIG. 3. Superconducting phase diagram of the Nb/AAO sample (solid symbols) and of a continuous reference sample (open symbols) as determined from the resistive transitions. The dashed line is a fit of the 1D expression of  $H_c<sub>2</sub>(T)$  to the high-temperature data. The inset shows the oscillatory variation of  $T_c(H)$  taken as the difference between the measured value and that obtained from the parabolic fit. The first three matching fields are indicated. The dotted lines are fits based on a superconducting wire network.

sion for  $H_{c2}$ ,  $H_{c2}(T) = \phi_0 / [2 \pi \xi(T)^2]$ . This value is significantly smaller than the BCS coherence length of Nb,  $\xi_0$  $=$  38 nm,  $^{11}$  indicating that our films are in the dirty limit with a electron mean free path of  $l=1.38\xi(0)^2/\xi_0\approx 5$  nm. The Ginzburg-Landau parameter,  $\kappa = \lambda/\xi$ , can be estimated using the dirty-limit expressions for the penetration depth and the coherence length to  $\kappa=0.72\lambda_L/l \approx 7$ ;  $\lambda_L=39$  nm is the London penetration depth of Nb.<sup>11</sup> Ratios of  $\xi(0)/a \approx 0.1$  and  $\lambda(0)/a \approx 0.7$  are achieved that are substantially larger than in previous reports<sup>1,2</sup> on perforated Nb, Pb, or high- $T_c$  samples.

The oscillatory behavior of the phase boundary is seen in detail when plotting the difference,  $\Delta T_c$ , between the measured  $T_c(H)$  and the parabolic fit as shown in the inset of Fig. 3. Cusps in  $\Delta T_c$  occurring periodically at multiples of the field  $H_1 = 2.3$  kOe are observed. This field value is in very good agreement with the matching field of the triangular unit cell of our pattern,  $\phi_0 / [0.5\sqrt{3}a^2] = 2255$  Oe.

The observed oscillations are a direct consequence of fluxoid quantization in a multiply connected superconductor and have been studied in superconducting wire networks,  $7-9$ Josephson junction arrays, $12$  and perforated Al films.<sup>13</sup> Their microscopic origin is identical to those seen in the Little-Parks oscillations<sup>14</sup> of individual superconducting loops: the kinetic energy associated with the supercurrents flowing around the holes in order to maintain fluxoid quantization causes a periodic suppression of  $T_c$ .  $T_c(H)$  for a variety of network topologies has been calculated using linearized Ginzburg-Landau theory,<sup>15</sup> which in a phenomenological  $model<sup>13</sup>$  can be approximated as a sequence of parabolas:  $\Delta T_c = -T_c [\xi(0)/a]^2 [\frac{1}{4} - (\phi/\phi_0 - n - 1/2)^2]$ . Here,  $\phi$  $= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}a^2H$  is the flux per unit cell, and  $n=0,1,2,...$  is the number of flux quanta per cell.

In contrast to the behavior of networks, though, perforated superconducting samples offer the possibility of interstitial vortices residing in the superconducting segments between the holes. However, since in the field range where the oscillations occur, that is, below 8 kOe, the coherence length at the onset of superconductivity,  $\xi(T_{c2})$ , is larger than the width of the Nb segments, which is supported by the 1D variation of  $H_{c2}$  vortices not nucleating in these sections. Then the supercurrents are governed by the net flux per unit cell, and the above relation is applicable. As the temperature decreases, the coherence length becomes shorter than the width of the Nb sections and interstitial vortices may appear. The low-temperature vortex configuration will be determined by the interplay of the energies of interstitial vortices and multiquanta vortices located in the holes<sup>16</sup> (see below).

A fit of the oscillatory  $T_c$  variation to the above expression for the states with  $n=0,1,2$ , is shown in the inset of Fig. 3 as dotted lines. The data are well described, and a value of 15 nm for the coherence length is obtained, which is in reasonable agreement with the above determination based on the  $H_{c2}$  line. Deviations from the fit occur notably near the bottom of the  $n=0$  parabola. These are indicative of additional cusps in the  $\Delta T_c(H)$  dependence occurring at fractional filling factors<sup>7-9,13,15</sup> that are not accounted for in above model. The amplitude of the  $T_c$  oscillations of about 50 mK is close to the expected value of  $T_c[\xi(0)/a]^2$  $\approx 10^{-2}T_c$ .

Figure 4 shows magnetization loops on a linear scale (top) and the width,  $\Delta m$ , of the loops on a logarithmic scale (bottom) of a square-shaped sample with sides  $L=2$  mm. Also included are  $\Delta m$  data on a continuous reference sample of the same shape as the perforated film. Pronounced stepwise variations of the magnetization occur at multiples of the matching field, extending the useful range of periodic pinning to above 5 kOe.

In contrast to the behavior seen in previous reports, $1,2$ matching is the dominant feature in the magnetization curves even at the lowest temperatures. In comparison to the continuous film, flux pinning in the Nb/AAO sample is dramatically enhanced—by more than two orders of magnitude in the field range of several kOe. (Note that  $T_c$  of the unpatterned sample is 0.7 K higher than that of the Nb/AAO sample.) The Bean critical state model for the thin-film geometry yields (in SI units) a very high critical current density of  $J_c = 6 \Delta m / t L^3 \approx 10^6$  A/cm<sup>2</sup> in an applied field of 4 kOe and at a reduced temperature of  $t = \frac{2}{3}$ .

The observation of matching phenomena at fields  $H_n$  $=n H_1$  with  $n>1$  can be explained in terms of caging of interstitial vortices between the holes<sup>17</sup> or in terms of multiquanta vortices residing in the holes.<sup>18</sup> These considerations, formulated in the London approximation, apply at sufficiently low temperatures when the coherence length becomes shorter than the hole diameter and the width of the intervening segments. For a triangular array of cylindrical cavities it has been shown<sup>18</sup> that doubly quantized vortices are stable for  $d^3 > 8 \xi a^2$ . This condition is not fulfilled for our samples, which indicates the presence of single-quanta vortices in the entire low-temperature range.



FIG. 4. Field dependence of the magnetization (top) and of the magnetization hysteresis (bottom) of the Nb/AAO sample at various temperatures. Hysteresis data on a continous reference sample (open symbols) are included. The inset shows a schematic of the sample and the most favorable locations of interstitial vortices.

Similarly, for an isolated hole it has been shown<sup>19</sup> that the attractive pinning force vanishes if the number of vortices confined to the cavity exceeds the saturation number  $n<sub>s</sub>$  $= d/4 \xi(T)$ , which yields  $n<sub>s</sub> = 1$  for our samples. Thus, at low temperature when the coherence length becomes shorter than the width of the Nb segments single-quanta vortices coexisting with interstitial vortices are the stable configuration. In addition, the magnetization data in Fig. 4 taken mostly at temperatures below the 1D-3D crossover of the  $H_{c2}(T)$  line represent flux-gradient-driven states in which, in contrast to field-cooled states, multiquanta vortices may never appear.

The interstitial vortices are pinned by a confining cage potential exerted by vortices trapped in the holes. This potential is determined by the ratio  $\lambda(T)/a$  and the number of interstitials per unit cell.<sup>17</sup> As  $\lambda(T)/a$  decreases, this potential is rapidly smoothed out, and the periodic pinning potential for interstitial vortices disappears. In our samples  $\lambda(T)/a \approx 1$ , assuring that the periodic caging potential is a dominant contribution to the pinning force over the entire temperature range. In arrays with periods on the  $\mu$ m-scale additional pinning due to the elastic interactions between the vortices trapped in the holes and the interstitial vortices is still expected. However, since the anchored vortices are spaced for apart,  $a \ge \lambda(T)$  at low temperatures, their periodic arrangement does not contribute significantly to the total vortex energy.

As the number of interstitial vortices increases, their interaction energy becomes an important contribution to the total energy and matching effects decrease. In our samples matching effects for  $n > 3$  are strongly suppressed even at the lowest temperature [Fig.  $4(b)$ ]. This is consistent with the presence of two natural interstitial sites per unit cell as indicated in the schematic in Fig. 4. At higher filling factors, less stable and thus less strongly pinned configurations arise.

The magnetization data yield no indication of pinning effects at fractional matching fields. This may arise since in the flux-gradient-driven dynamics the strong single-vortex pinning at the holes precludes the formation of ordered superlattices that would minimize the vortex-vortex interaction energy, whereas in field-cooled measurements (Figs.  $2$  and  $3$ ) these equilibrium states may develop. The strongly nonmonotonic low-field dependence of the magnetization observed at 4.5 K is not due to matching effects but is caused by flux avalanches. This behavior is frequently observed in high-pinning superconductors<sup>20</sup> and has been confirmed directly using magneto-optical imaging.<sup>21</sup>

In conclusion, we have shown that anodized aluminum oxide membranes are ideal patterned substrates for fabricating perforated superconducting films. Large-area (several cm<sup>2</sup>) Nb films containing triangular lattices of 45-nm diameter holes with a 100-nm period have been prepared. These samples have the smallest feature size in extended patterns yet reported, and show pronounced commensurability effects down to 4.2 K in fields up to 0.9 T. Critical current densities of  $10^6$  A/cm<sup>2</sup> in fields of 4 kOe and at reduced temperatures of  $t=\frac{2}{3}$  have been achieved. The unique feature of these sample is that the zero-temperature coherence length  $\xi(0)$ and penetration depth  $\lambda(0)$  are of the same order as the periodic pattern ensuring that even at low temperatures the periodic vortex potential is dominant.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, BES, Materials Science under Contract No. W-31-109- ENG-38 at Argonne National Laboratory, and by the National Science Foundation under Contract No. NSF ECS 0070019 and by the Office of Naval Research under Contract No. N00014 001 0260 at Brown University. The SEM work was performed at the Electron Microscopy Center at ANL.

- <sup>1</sup> A. T. Fiory *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **32**, 73 (1978); M. Baert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3269 (1995); M. Baert *et al.*, Europhys. Lett. **29**, 157 (1995); V. V. Moshchalkov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 54, 7385 ~1996!; **57**, 3615 ~1998!; E. Rosseel *et al.*, *ibid.* **53**, R2983 (1996); A. Castellanos *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **71**, 962 (1997); V. V. Metlushko et al., Europhys. Lett. **41**, 333 (1998); V. V. Metlushko et al., Phys. Rev. B 59, 603 (1999); 60, R12585 (1999); L. Van Look et al., *ibid.* 60, R6998 (1999).
- <sup>2</sup> J. I. Martin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1929 (1997); **83**, 1022 (1999); D. J. Morgan and J. B. Ketterson, *ibid.* 80, 3614 (1998); Y. Jaccard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 58, 8232 (1998); A. Hoffmann *et al., ibid.* **61**, 6958 (2000); A. Terentiev *et al., ibid.* **61**, R9249 (2000); O. M. Stoll *et al.*, *ibid.* **65**, 104518 (2002).
- <sup>3</sup> Ch. Reichhardt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 7937 (1998); **63**, 054510 (2001); **64**, 052503 (2001); **64**, 144509 (2001); V. I. Marconi and D. Dominguez, *ibid.* **63**, 174509 (2001); B. Y. Zhu *et al.*, *ibid.* **64**, 012504 (2001).
- <sup>4</sup>K. Harada *et al.*, Science **274**, 1167 (1996).
- ${}^{5}$ S. B. Field *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 067003 (2002); A. N. Grigorenko et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 052504 (2001); D. M. Silevitch *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **89**, 7478 (2001).
- <sup>6</sup> A. J. Yin *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **79**, 1039 (2001); J. Liang *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. 91, 2544 (2002); H. Masuda and H. Fukuda, Science 268, 1466 (1995).
- $^{7}$ B. Pannetier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1845 (1984).
- $8V$ . V. Moshchalkov *et al.*, Nature (London) **373**, 319 (1995); V. Bruyndoncx *et al.*, Europhys. Lett. **36**, 449 (1996); T. Puig *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* 58, 5744 (1998).
- <sup>9</sup>M. Tinkham *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 6578 (1983); C. W. Wilks *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 43, 2721 (1991); H. S. J. van der Zant *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 340 (1994).
- <sup>10</sup>M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1996), Chap. 4.10.
- 11B. W. Maxfield and W. L. McLean, Phys. Rev. **139**, A1515  $(1965).$
- 12W. J. Elion, H. S. J. Van der Zant, and J. E. Mooij, *Macroscopic Quantum Phenomena and Coherence in Superconducting Networks* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995).
- 13A. Bezryadin and B. Pannetier, J. Low Temp. Phys. **98**, 251  $(1995).$
- $14$ R. D. Parks and W. A. Little, Phys. Rev. A 133, 97 (1964).
- <sup>15</sup> J. Simonin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 944 (1982); H. J. Fink *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 26, 5237 (1982); R. Ramal *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 27, 2820 (1983); S. Alexander, Phys. Rev. B 27, 1541 (1983); F. Nori *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 36, 8338 (1987).
- 16A. Bezryadin and B. Pannetier, J. Low Temp. Phys. **102**, 73  $(1996).$
- $17$  I. B. Khalfin and B. Ya. Shapiro, Physica C  $207$ , 359 (1993).
- $18$  A. I. Buzdin, Phys. Rev. B 47, 11416 (1993).
- 19G. S. Mkrtchyan and V. V. Shmidt, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **61**, 367 (1971) [Sov. Phys. JETP 34, 195 (1972)].
- <sup>20</sup>P. S. Schwartz and C. P. Bean, J. Appl. Phys. **39**, 4991 (1968); R. G. Mints and A. L. Rakhmanov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 551 (1981); E. R. Nowak *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 55, 11 702 (1997); P. Esquinazi et al., *ibid.* **60**, 12454 (1999).
- $21$  V. K. Vlasko-Vlasov *et al.* (unpublished).