Photoemission and x-ray absorption study of $MgC_{1-x}Ni_3$

J. H. Kim,¹ J. S. Ahn,¹ Jinsoo Kim,² Min-Seok Park,³ S. I. Lee,³ E. J. Choi,^{1,2} and S.-J. Oh^{1,*}

¹School of Physics and Center for Strongly Correlated Materials Research, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Republic of Korea

²Department of Physics, University of Seoul, Seoul 130-743, Republic of Korea

³National Creative Research Initiative Center for Superconductivity and Department of Physics,

Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, Republic of Korea

(Received 7 July 2002; published 21 November 2002)

We investigated electronic structure of $MgC_{1-x}Ni_3$ with photoemission and x-ray absorption spectroscopy. Both results show that overall band structure is in reasonable agreement with band structure calculations including the existence of von Hove singularity (vHs) near E_F . However, we find that the sharp vHs peak theoretically predicted near the E_F is substantially suppressed. As for the Ni core level and absorption spectrum, there exist the satellites of Ni 2p which have a little larger energy separation and reduced intensity compared to the case of Ni-metal. These facts indicate that correlation effects among Ni 3d electrons may be important to understand various physical properties.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.66.172507

PACS number(s): 74.25.Jb, 74.70.-b, 71.20.Lp, 79.60.-i

I. INTRODUCTION

Interplay between superconductivity and magnetism is a source of rich solid state physics. In particular, much attention is being paid to the superconductors in close vicinity with ferromagnetism (FM). In Sr_2RuO_4 ,¹ the *p*-wave pairing symmetry is thought to be intimately related with the FM fluctuation of Ru ions. In UGe₂ (Ref. 2) and ZrZn₂,³ the two phases were found to coexist. While it was generally believed that FM and SC are mutually exclusive, these observations suggest that the current understanding needs to be modified.

Recently, following the discovery of superconductivity in MgB_2 ,⁴ intermetallic compound $MgC_{1-x}Ni_3$ (x=0.04-0.1) (Ref. 5) was reported to be superconducting at $T_c \sim 7$ K. MgCNi₃ has a cubic antiperovskite structure where C is surrounded by six Ni ions to form an octahedral cage. Although the material is paramagnetic at $T > T_c$, Ni ions exhibit ferromagnetic (FM) spin fluctuation as shown by ¹³C NMR experiment.⁶ At $T < T_c$, tunneling data⁷ show the zero conductance peak (ZCP) which may suggest a non-s wave superconducting gap. These facts seem to indicate that the SC is closely linked with FM in this system, as also discussed theoretically by Rosner *et al.*⁸ On the other hand, the result from specific heat experiment⁹ is consistent with conventional BCS behavior. Also, Shim et al.¹⁰ showed that the observed T_c is reasonably explained within the BCS electron– phonon interaction mechanism. Thus, the origin of SC in MgCNi₃ is still controversial.

Studying electronic structure of this material, particularly near the Fermi energy, is essential to understand the SC. Band calculations^{8,10,11} show that the Ni 3*d* state is hybridized with C 2*p*, which dominate density of state (DOS) at E_F . In particular, it is predicted that a van Hove singularity (vHs) peak exist very close to the E_F . The vHs peak gives rise to a large DOS at E_F which can be directly related with the superconductive coupling constant. It is thus important to experimentally probe the vHs peak in detail. Photoemission spectroscopy (PES) is a powerful tool to investigate energyband structures and electron correlation effects. In this work, we performed PES and x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements of MgCNi₃. We find that overall electronic structure is in general agreement with band calculation results. The vHs peak is also identified at about 100 meV below E_F . However, its intensity is much weaker than the theoretical predictions. We discuss several possibilities of the suppression and its implication on the SC.

II. EXPERIMENT

Polycrystalline samples used in this experiment were synthesized by the powder metallurgical technique. Powders were mixed in nominal composition of Mg_{1.2}C_{1.45}Ni₃. Here, excess Mg and C were added to maximize carbon incorporation in MgC_{1-x}Ni₃, similar to the previous report.⁵ The powders were pelletized, wrapped in a Ta foil, and then quartz-sealed under vacuum. The sample was reacted for about two hour at 900 °C and quenched. X-ray diffraction (Rigaku RINT d-max) showed that the sample is in a single phase. Small amount of MgO impurity and some unreacted carbon were also identified. Magnetic susceptibility was measured with a dc SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design). As shown in Fig. 1, the superconducting onset temperature of this as-grown sample was 6.8 K and the transition width measured from 10%-90% transition was about 0.3 K. These results were close to the previous reports of $MgC_{1-r}Ni_3$.⁵ Afterward we put the sample in a high pressure cell and annealed under 3 GPa at 900 °C for 30 min (Ref. 12) to make a densified sample for PES and XAS measurements. After this treatment, the pellet density increased significantly and was almost identical to its theoretical value. Figure 1 shows that T_c also increased by about 0.7 K and the transition became sharper.

PES experiments were performed using both the He I $(h\nu=21.2 \text{ eV})$ source at the home laboratory and 120 eV photon at the Pohang Light Source (PLS) in Korea. The Mg K_{α} line $(h\nu=1253.6 \text{ eV})$ was used in x-ray photoemission



FIG. 1. Normalized magnetic susceptibility from the measured low-field magnetization M(T,H=10 Oe) of as-grown (open circles) and sintered at 900 °C under 3 GPa (solid circles).

spectroscopy (XPS). The resolution for He I, 120 eV and Mg K_{α} are 40 meV, 100 meV, and 1 eV, respectively. Also, we carried out the Ni L_3 -edge XAS at PLS. Samples were *in situ* fractured to obtain a clean surface. The base pressure was 2×10^{-10} Torr. For the energy calibration, a bulk palladium was measured at the same time.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The inset in Fig. 2 shows the PES data taken with a 120 eV photon source. The peak centered at 1 eV below E_F corresponds to the Ni 3d derived conduction band. After the high pressure (HP) treatment, the spectrum below 3 eV decreased substantially. In general, photoemission intensity below the conduction band arises from nonintrinsic sources such as grain boundary or surface contamination. A decrease of it, together with the T_c enhancement, suggests that the sample quality is improved by the HP sintering. Note, however, that the band structure above 3 eV is almost independent of the sintering. Afterwards, we show the data of the HP sintered sample. Even the high-pressure sintered sample shows structures around $\sim 6 \text{ eV}$ binding energy which we presume mostly come from remnant MgO precipitates or grain boundaries. It may also be related with the structural inhomogeneity¹³ and the nanoprecipitates¹⁴ which were recently reported in this system.

To see the spectra near E_F more closely, we took spectrum using He I source (Fig. 2, solid circles). For comparison, we also show predicted spectra from the three band calculations by Shim *et al.*¹⁰ (dotted), by Rosner *et al.*⁸ (dashed-dotted), and by Dugdale *et al.*¹¹ (short dotted). To get these lines, the theoretical densities of states were first convoluted by the Fermi-Dirac distribution, followed by broadening procedures: the energy-dependent Lorentzian broadening with $\sim \alpha |E - E_F|$ ($\alpha = 0.3$) and the Gaussian instrumental broadening of 40 meV linewidth.¹⁵ The curves were normalized to give the same integrated spectral weight as the experimental data. The short dashed-dotted line shows the background based on the Shirley method.



FIG. 2. He I UPS spectrum (\bullet) of MgC_{1-x}Ni₃ at room temperature. All lines are theoretical spectra derived from the band-structure calculations. The short dashed-dotted line shows the background. Inset: Valence region photoemission spectra with photon energy 120 eV of as-grown (open circles) and sintered at 900 °C under 3 GPa (solid circles).

In the data, four features are observed at ~ 2.7 eV, 1.2 eV, 0.7 eV, and 0.1 eV. The first three features agree roughly with the theoretical lines, particularly with that by Dugdale.¹¹ In the curve by Shim,¹⁰ the 1.2 eV peak position is deeper than the data. The curve by Rosner⁸ does not properly predict the structure centered at ~ 1.2 eV. According to band calculations, the three features are from nonbonding Ni 3*d* states.

The peak located very close to E_F (0.1 eV) corresponds to the vHs as predicted in the theoretical lines. Note, however, that the peak height is much smaller than the predictions. Rough estimation of the peak intensity shows about 1/2-1/4of the theoretical peaks. The vHs arise from the saddle point near Γ in the band structure. The peak strength is then determined by the band-curvature or the effective mass at this point. Our observation suggests that the calculations are overestimating the peak intensity or equivalently underestimating the curvature. Also note that the height is different significantly among the three theory results, which shows that band structure near the saddle point depends sensitively on the calculation methods. Thus, a correct estimation of the peak strength seems to be nontrivial work.

In spite of the uncertainty in the calculation, the observed peak is smaller than any of the three curves. This may suggest that the peak is suppressed for reasons not accounted for in the band theory.¹⁷ For example, when electron–phonon interaction or electron–electron interaction are present, part of spectral weight will shift to higher energy. YNi₂B₂C, a superconductor which bears some similarity with MgCNi₃,



FIG. 3. Ni 2*p* core-level photoemission spectrum of $MgC_{1-x}Ni_3$. Inset: Ni 2*p* spectra of Ni-metal (Ref. 23) and YNi_2B_2C (Ref. 18) are compared with that of $MgC_{1-x}Ni_3$.

where Ni–B and Ni–C bondings are important, is another system that has vHs close to E_F . There, the observed peak is also suppressed compared with theoretical prediction, and Kobayashi *et al.*¹⁸ interpreted it in terms of electron–electron or electron–phonon interaction.

It is also possible that the spectral weight suppression may be due to matrix element effects.^{19,20} In this case, the peak intensity will depend on the photon energy. We thus performed PES using various photon energies from 40 eV to 150 eV, but the spectra did not change.²¹ The surface effect to which the UPS is somewhat sensitive is another possible source. The vHs is a bulk property which arises from the saddle point (Γ) of the Fermi surface. As one approaches the surface, the band structure will change and the vHs feature may possibly be smeared.²²

Figure 3 shows Ni 2p core-level photoemission spectrum of MgC_{1-x}Ni₃. The main peaks, corresponding to Ni $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$, respectively, are accompanied by the weak satellites at higher binding energies. The existence of this satellite structure signals the presence of the d-d electron correlation effect, since such satellite structure originates from the twohole bound state. For comparison with related compounds, we show the Ni 2p spectra of the Ni-metal²³ and YNi_2B_2C (Ref. 18) together with our data in the inset. Note that in $MgC_{1-x}Ni_3$ the satellite position of Ni $2p_{3/2}$ is a little large and its intensity is largely reduced compared with Ni-metal. In the first order approximation, the relative satellite position and the intensity represent the d-d correlation energy and the number of d holes, respectively. Thus our observations imply that the correlation energy is a little farther apart and the *d* hole number is smaller in $MgC_{1-x}Ni_3$ compared with Ni-metal.

In MgC_{1-x}Ni₃, Ni is strongly covalent bonded with C



FIG. 4. Ni L_3 -edge XAS spectrum of MgC_{1-x}Ni₃ (\bullet). The band calculation result (dashed-dotted line) by Shim *et al.* (Ref. 10) is compared. See text for the convolution.

and then charge transfer from the Ni atoms to C will occur. This will result in the Ni 2p core level shift to higher binding energy. The observed shift in YNi₂B₂C is explained in this manner.²⁴ However, the binding energy of Ni 2p is almost similar to that of Ni metal. This seems to suggest that there is a large reduction of the binding energy due to, probably, a screening of core hole by free carriers. In fact, the CNi₃ cage is fully charged by the two electrons donated by the Mg⁺² ion and thus the Ni hole will be effectively screened.

Figure 4 shows the Ni L_3 -edge XAS spectrum of MgC_{1-x}Ni₃. It is compared with the calculated Ni PDOS above the E_F in the band calculation result by Shim *et al.*¹⁰ To get the theoretical curve (dashed-dotted line), the Ni partial density of states are broadened by convoluting with the similar way as we did in Fig. 2. But in this case we used the Lorentzian broadening with linewidth $\sim \alpha (E - E_F)^2$ ($\alpha = 0.2$) and the Gaussian broadening 1.6 eV. The two curves are normalized to give the same integrated spectral weight. We see that the overall structure is in reasonable agreement with the band calculations. The peak just above E_F is due to the unoccupied part of the Ni 3*d* band. But we also observe the satellite structures around $h\nu = 863$ eV in the experiments, which represents the correlation effects.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have performed XPS, XAS, and UPS measurements to study the electronic structure of $MgC_{1-x}Ni_3$. The satellite structure seen in Ni 2*p* XPS spectrum suggests that the 3*d* electron correlation is substantial. L₃-edge XAS and UPS data show that the position and width

of the Ni 3*d* derived valence band is in reasonable agreement with theoretical calculation results. The vHs peak is found at ~ 0.12 eV below the Fermi energy. Its spectral weight is largely suppressed compared with theoretical predictions and we suggested various possibilities of the suppression including the electron-electron and electron-phonon interaction.

- *Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic address: sjoh@physics.snu.ac.kr. Inquiry for the samples can be made to E. J. Choi at echoi@uoscc.uos.ac.kr
- ¹R. Matzdorf *et al.*, Science **289**, 746 (2000).
- ²S.S. Saxena *et al.*, Nature (London) **406**, 587 (2000).
- ³C. Pfleiderer, M. Uhlarz, S.M. Hayden, R. Vollmer, H. v. Lohneysen, N.R. Bernhoeft, and G.G. Lonzarich, Nature (London) **412**, 58 (2001).
- ⁴J. Nagamatsu, N. Nakagawa, T. Muranaka, Y. Zenitani, and J. Akimitsu, Nature (London) **410**, 63 (2001).
- ⁵T. He, Q. Huang, A.P. Ramirez, Y. Wang, K.A. Regan, N. Rogado, M.A. Hayward, M.K. Haas, J.S. Slusky, K. Inumaru, H.W. Zandbergen, N.P. Ong, and R.J. Cava, Nature (London) **411**, 54 (2001).
- ⁶P.M. Singer, T. Imai, T. He, M.A. Hayward, and R.J. Cava, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 257601 (2001).
- ⁷Z.Q. Mao, M.M. Rosario, K. Nelson, K. Wu, I.G. Deac, P. Schiffer, Y. Liu, T. He, K.A. Regan, and R.J. Cava, cond-mat/0105280.
- ⁸H. Rosner, R. Weht, M.D. Johannes, W.E. Pickett, and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 027001 (2002).
- ⁹J.-Y. Lin, P.L. Ho, H.L. Huang, P.H. Lin, Y.-L. Zhang, R.-C. Yu, C.-Q. Jin, and H.D. Yang, cond-mat/0202034.
- ¹⁰J.H. Shim, S.K. Kwon, and B.I. Min, Phys. Rev. B 64, 180510 (2001).
- ¹¹S.B. Dugdale and T. Jarlborg, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 100508 (2001).
- ¹²C.U. Jung, Min-Seok Park, W.N. Kang, Num-Seog Kim, Kijoon H.P. Kim, S.Y. Lee, and Sung-Ik Lee, Appl. Phys. Lett. **78**, 4157 (2001).
- ¹³J.Q. Li, L.J. Wu, L. Li, and Y. Zhu, Phys. Rev. B 65, 052506 (2002).
- ¹⁴L.D. Cooley et al., Phys. Rev. B 65, 214518 (2002).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank H. C. Kim and H. C. Lee for sample characterization at KBSI. We also appreciate K.-J. Kim for the work at PLS. This work was supported by the KOSEF through the CSCMR. One of us (E.J.C.) was partly supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant. No. KRF-2002-070-C00032.

- ¹⁵For the result by Shim (Ref. 10) different cross sections were taken into account on the PDOS of the elements (Mg, C, and Ni) (Ref. 16), while the PDOS were not available in the result by Rosner (Ref. 8).
- ¹⁶J.-J Yeh and I. Lindau, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 32, 1 (1985).
- ¹⁷Similar discrepancy between band theory and photoemission data regarding intensities of the narrow peak has been observed before for other SC systems as well. For example, band calculations predict a narrow peak close to the *E_F* due to the flat band structure in BaPb_{1-x}Bi_xO₃, Ba_{1-x}K_xBiO₃, A15 compounds, Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+δ}, etc. However, photoemission measurements showed that the peak is much suppressed. See, for example, H. Namatame *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 16917 (1993); M. Grioni *et al. ibid.* **43**, 1216 (1991); J.-M. Imer *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 336 (1989).
- ¹⁸K. Kobayashi, T. Mizokawa, K. Mamiya, A. Sekiyama, A. Fujimori, H. Takagi, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, R.J. Cava, J.J. Krajewski, and W.F. Peck, Jr., Phys. Rev. B 54, 507 (1996).
- ¹⁹A. Bansil and M. Lindroos, Phys. Rev. B 83, 5154 (1999).
- ²⁰Tschang-Uh Nahm et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1419 (1993).
- ²¹ In addition to intrinsic origins, there may be extrinsic factors. Essential inhomogeneity was reported to exist commonly in this superconducting sample (Ref. 13). By analyzing the bulk fluxpinning force curve, Cooley *et al.* (Ref. 14) reported there is a large fraction of precipitates present in MgCNi₃. It is possible that these defect structures affect its normal-state and superconducting properties.
- ²²J.I. Lee (private communication).
- ²³J.F. Moulder, W.F. Stickle, P.E. Sobol, and K.D. Bomben, *Handbook of X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy*, edited by J. Chastain and R.C. King, Jr. (Physical Electronics, Prairie, 1995).
- ²⁴E. Pellegrin, G. Meigs, C.T. Chen, R.J. Cava, J.J. Krajewski, and W.F. Peck, Jr., Phys. Rev. B **51**, 16159 (1995).