# Specific heat of $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$ in zero and applied magnetic field: A rich phase diagram

J. S. Kim, J. Alwood, D. Mixson, P. Watts, and G. R. Stewart

Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611-8440

(Received 20 December 2001; revised manuscript received 23 April 2002; published 23 October 2002)

We report specific-heat results on a single crystal as well as some polycrystalline samples of  $\operatorname{Ce}_{1-x}\operatorname{La}_x\operatorname{RhIn}_5$ . Determination of the magnitude of the specific heat  $\gamma \ (\equiv C/T \text{ as } T \rightarrow 0)$  as a function of concentration is made somewhat uncertain by the structure of the specific heat below 3 K. However, within our error bar, this  $\gamma \ (\leq 100 \text{ mJ/Ce-mol } \text{K}^2)$ —which differs by approximately a factor of 4 with previous estimates—seems consistent with the effective masses observed in recent de Haas–van Alphen measurements. We find, in addition, that (a) there exists a field-induced transition for  $x \ge 0.5$  that increases in temperature with increasing applied magnetic field and (b) although single and polycrystalline materials give approximately the same specific heat for x = 0.15 and 0.95, the second phase (removable via long-term annealing) in the polycrystalline material plays a role for x = 0.5 in both single-crystal and polycrystalline materials, which appears to be intrinsic. The field-induced anomaly, coupled with both the temperature and field dependence of the magnetization data and the temperature dependence of this low-temperature upturn in the zero field C/T (proportional to  $T^{-1+\lambda}$ ) may be evidence for the Griffiths phase non-Fermi-liquid behavior due to the inherent disorder of doped samples.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.66.134418

PACS number(s): 75.30.Mb, 75.40.Cx, 71.10.Hf, 71.27.+a

# I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, a new family of heavy-fermion compounds has been discovered that crystallizes in a layered, tetragonal structure with chemical composition CeMIn<sub>5</sub>, where M= Ir, Co, and Rh. Characteristic of heavy-fermion systems, each member exhibits a large Sommerfeld coefficient  $\gamma$ (=C/T as  $T \rightarrow 0$ ) in the specific heat C. CeIrIn<sub>5</sub> and CeCoIn<sub>5</sub> are bulk superconductors<sup>1,2</sup> with transition temperatures  $T_c = 0.4$  and 2.3 K and normal-state values of  $\gamma \approx 750$ and 1200 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup>, respectively. CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> displays heavyfermion antiferromagnetism with<sup>3</sup>  $T_N = 3.8$  K. A precise value of  $\gamma$  is difficult to establish unambiguously because of the Néel order; a lower limit of  $\approx 400$  mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> has been quoted.<sup>4,5</sup>

In our high-field specific-heat measurements<sup>6</sup> on the CeMIn<sub>5</sub> compounds, we found that the large upturn for M=Rh in C/T above  $T_N$  (C/T is already 1000 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> at  $T_N$ ), as the temperature is lowered, appeared to be primarily due to magnetic interactions above the antiferromagnetic transition since the specific-heat data at a given temperature for  $T > T_N$  in different fields up to 32 T all coincide with one another when the temperature axis was scaled to  $T/T_N$ . Recently Alver et al. have performed<sup>7</sup> de Haas-van Alphen (dHvA) measurements on 12 single-crystal samples spanning the whole composition range of Ce<sub>1-r</sub>La<sub>r</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> and find rather low (i.e., inconsistent by approximately an order of magnitude with a  $\gamma$  of 400 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup>) effective masses from the dilute Ce, large-x end of the phase diagram up to x= 0.1. At this Ce-rich end of the composition range they find an increase in the effective masses (which still remain  $\leq 10m_{e}$ ), which they ascribe to spin-fluctuation effects. Alver et al. conclude that the Ce f electrons remain localized in  $Ce_{1-x}La_{x}RhIn_{5}$  for all x, with the (modest) observed mass enhancement near pure CeRhIn5 due to spin-fluctuation effects. Although comparisons between specific-heat and dHvA data have inherent problems (not the least of which is the possibility of unseen, heavier-mass orbits in the dHvA measurements), an effective mass enhancement of  $\approx 10$  normally corresponds to a specific heat  $\gamma$  of only  $\sim 50$  mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup>. This is a wide discrepancy from the estimate of 400 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> in Refs. 4 and 5; this discrepancy would be consistent with our high-field specific-heat result<sup>6</sup> that the upturn above  $T_N$  in C/T in pure CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> is primarily caused by magnetic interactions, which would not cause a mass enhancement observable, e.g., in dHvA measurements.

In order to help resolve this seeming disagreement, to determine the specific heat  $\gamma$  (also proportional to the effective mass) in a region of the phase diagram away from the antiferromagnetic anomaly, and to look for possible different behavior in the dilute limit we report here on a specific-heat study of both single and polycrystalline samples of  $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$ ,  $0 \le x \le 0.95$ . Certainly, doping studies<sup>8-10</sup> on other heavy-fermion systems, e.g.,  $Ce_{1-x}La_xCu_2Si_2$ ,  $Ce_{1-x}Th_xCu_2Si_2$ , and  $U_{1-x}Th_xBe_{13}$ , have revealed interesting information-both about the respective parent compound as well as different physics in the dilute limit. Polycrystalline samples were originally chosen for the study as being more easily and rapidly prepared. However, specific-heat results for polycrystalline  $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$ , x=0.5 and 0.8, were determined to disagree with specific-heat results for singlecrystal samples, while results agreed for x = 0.15 and 0.95. This disagreement appears due to the presence of a second phase, which we were able to eliminate through long-term annealing of the polycrystalline samples at a relatively low temperature.

#### **II. EXPERIMENT**

Single-crystal samples of  $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$  were prepared using the procedure described in Ref. 6, which was similar to



FIG. 1. Specific heat divided by temperature of single crystal Ce<sub>1-x</sub>La<sub>x</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub>, x=0, 0.15, 0.5, 0.8, and 0.95 and polycrystalline x=0.32. The antiferromagnetic transition at 3.8 K in pure CeRhIn is suppressed for  $x \ge 0.32$ , leaving a round "hump" in *C*/*T* centered at 3 K that is already apparent above the suppressed transition for x=0.15. The inset shows that the agreement between the single-crystalline and polycrystalline data for x=0.15 is fairly good.

that used in Refs. 4 and 7. Excess In was removed from the resulting flat platelet crystals using an H<sub>2</sub>O:HF:H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 4:1:1 etch which was different than the centrifugal method (H<sub>2</sub>O:HCl 4:1 etch) used in Refs. 4 and 7, respectively; however the present work's specific-heat results (which are a measure of bulk properties) should be relatively independent of such surface treatments. The polycrystalline samples in the present work (previous work in the literature has been almost uniformly on single-crystal samples) were prepared by melting together stoichiometric amounts of the appropriate high-purity starting elements (using Ames Laboratory Ce and La 99.95% pure Rh from Johnson Mathey Aesar, and 99.9999% In from Johnson Mathey Aesar-the same starting materials as used for the single crystals) under a purified inert Ar atmosphere. Weight losses after four melts, with a flipping of the arc-melted button between melts to improve homogeneity, were in the range of 1%, primarily due to In loss. Additional In was added in the beginning to correct for this, such that the In concentrations after the last melt were within  $\pm 0.2\%$  of the stoichiometric amount.

Specific heat in fields up to 13 T were measured using established techniques,<sup>11</sup> while magnetic-susceptibility data were measured in a superconducting quantum interface device magnetometer from Quantum Design.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 1 shows the specific heat divided by temperature vs temperature for the single crystal  $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$ , x=0, 0.15, 0.5, 0.8, and 0.95, and the polycrystal  $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$ , x=0.32. All samples were single phase. Results for unannealed polycrystalline  $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$ , x=0.15 and 0.95, and annealed (35 days at 720 °C) polycrystalline  $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$ , x=0.5 and 0.8, were comparable to the single-crystal results (see inset of Fig. 1 for an example); however, unannealed polycrystalline samples for x=0.5 and 0.8 contained a second phase that ordered antiferromagnetically below 1 K. This was taken as a sign of an incipient miscibility gap, which—due to previous work being focused

on single-crystal samples-was heretofore unknown.

From the data shown in Fig. 1, one can follow the suppression of the antiferromagnetic transition with increasing La doping; there is a clear, although reduced in magnitude, transition at 2 K for 15% La doping that is absent by x = 0.32. Although one might expect<sup>12</sup> non-Fermi-liquid behavior when  $T_N$  is suppressed to T=0, the temperature dependence of the C/T data for x=0.32—although the data show an upturn—is only measured for ~0.5 K below the hump. This is too restricted a temperature range to allow conclusions about the temperature dependence.

Before we discuss the behavior of  $\gamma$  as a function of x in  $\text{Ce}_{1-x}\text{La}_x\text{RhIn}_5$ , we will first focus on the upturn at low temperatures for  $x \ge 0.5$ .

### A. Upturn in C/T for $x \ge 0.5$

The upturn in C/T for  $x \ge 0.5$  in Ce<sub>1-x</sub>La<sub>x</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> shown in Fig. 1 is fit in Figs. 2 and 3 for single-crystalline, as well as single-phase polycrystalline, materials. There is certainly no sign in the dHvA results of Alver et al. of a strong, heavyfermion upturn in C/T that would cause large effective masses. Thus, this upturn at low temperatures in C/T likely has a magnetic interaction explanation (see Sec. III C below for the field dependence). The temperature dependence of the upturns in C/T (see Figs. 2 and 3) for the single crystal  $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$ , x=0.5, 0.8, and 0.95, is not at all like the high-temperature side of a Schottky peak  $(C \sim 1/T^2)$  but rather appears (in the somewhat limited temperature range that we have data for) to follow  $C/T \sim T^{-1+\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda_{C/T} = 0.63$  $\pm 0.1, 0.37 \pm 0.1, \text{ and } \sim 0$ , respectively. This is the temperature dependence predicted for the non-Fermi-liquid behavior caused by disorder-induced spin clusters, the so-called Griffiths phase.<sup>12,13</sup> In this theory, the magnetic susceptibility at low temperature should have the same power-law dependence as C/T. The susceptibility at low temperatures for these same compositions of the single crystal  $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$ , see Fig. 4, does indeed fit this  $T^{-1+\lambda}$  temperature dependence, with  $\lambda_{\chi} = \{0.73, 0.90\}, \{0.50, 0.70\},$ 



FIG. 2. Specific heat divided by temperature vs temperature for unannealed and annealed single crystals, as well as an annealed polycrystalline sample, of Ce<sub>0.5</sub>La<sub>0.5</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub>. The three datasets agree rather well. If the data are fit to  $C/T \sim T^{-1+\lambda}$  below 1 K, as shown, the values obtained for  $\lambda$  also agree rather well. Note, however, that these fits-possibly due to the influence of the hump in C/T centered at 3 K (see Fig. 1)-cannot be extended much above 1 K before serious deviations from this functional form become apparent. Not shown for clarity, the data for a pressed pellet of hard ground (i.e., with some amount of strain induced defects) powder of the annealed Ce<sub>0.5</sub>La<sub>0.5</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> lie within the envelope defined by these three sets of data.

 $\{0.14, 0.30\}$  for  $H\{\perp,\parallel\}$  to the c axis, where the absolute error bar for each value is  $\pm 0.1$  with, however, somewhat better precision, useful for intercomparison between values derived from a given measurement technique. For example, 0.14 derived from  $\chi$  for x = 0.95 is certainly less than 0.30 derived for the other field direction, but is comparable to the value of  $\sim 0$  derived for the same composition from the specific heat. Although for a given composition the respective exponents for C/T and  $\chi$  agree within experimental accuracy only for  $\chi(H\perp c)$ , the recent theory<sup>14</sup> of Castro Neto and Jones actually predicts that  $\chi$  and C/T may diverge *differently* at low temperature, relaxing the requirement of the early theory<sup>12,13</sup> that  $\lambda_{\chi} = \lambda_{C/T}$ . It is clear that the disorder requirement for uncompensated spins (which requires that M vs H shows saturation behavior) is fulfilled for all these compositions (see discussion and accompanying figures in Sec. III C below.) In addition, the agreement in  $\lambda_{C/T}$  and  $\lambda_{\gamma}$  found for the upturn in *C*/*T* and  $\chi$  in the present work is comparable to that found by, e.g., DeAndrade *et al.*<sup>15</sup> in their study of Th<sub>1-x</sub>U<sub>x</sub>Pd<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>—even though they measured  $\chi$  down to 0.5 K, i.e., in a temperature range comparable to that for their specific-heat measurements. The anisotropy of the susceptibility-determined  $\lambda$  values is thought to be real, and not related to the discrepancy between  $\lambda_{C/T}$  and  $\lambda_{\chi}$ .

As one possible check for a tendency towards magnetic behavior, the Wilson ratio  $(R \propto \chi/\gamma \mu_{eff}^2)$ —which is used<sup>16</sup> in the study of heavy-fermion systems to track the tendency towards magnetism, with  $R \ge 0.8$  indicating<sup>16</sup> magnetic behavior—for these Ce<sub>1-x</sub>La<sub>x</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> alloys is in the range 1.0–1.8, i.e., they definitely show magnetic character. As a further check for evidence for spin clusters, we investigated these compositions for spin-glass behavior and—to within the limits (±2%) of the accuracy of the measurements—found no difference between field cooled and zero field



FIG. 3. Specific heat divided by temperature vs temperature for single crystal  $Ce_{1-x}La_xRhIn_5$ , x=0.5, 0.8, and 0.95, fit to  $T^{-1+\lambda}$ . The fits to the data below 1.2 K (1 K in Fig. 2) are much better than fits of C/T to either of the other two common (Ref. 12) non-Fermi-liquid temperature dependences (log *T* or  $T^{0.5}$ ); however, the fits to the Griffiths phase power-law functional form are not as good as seen for other systems (Refs. 12 and 15). Scatter appears to play a role for x=0.8, and the hump in the specific heat at higher temperatures certainly also affects the quality of the fit.



cooled data down to 1.8 K. This lack of observable spinglass behavior in the dc magnetic susceptibility in these samples does not rule out a Griffiths phase interpretation.<sup>17</sup>

# **B.** Specific heat $\gamma$ as a function of x

The original goal of this work, besides the hope for physics of interest in the dilute range (already partially fulfilled by the results discussed above for the low-temperature upturn in C/T and  $\chi$ ), was to investigate the specific heat  $\gamma$ (defined as C/T as  $T \rightarrow 0$ ) away from the region of the phase diagram where antiferromagnetism obscures C/T as  $T \rightarrow 0$  in CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> diluted with La. As discussed above, after the antiferromagnetism is suppressed (x > 0.15), a low-temperature upturn in the C/T data (Fig. 1) occurs that, normalized per Ce mole, becomes more pronounced with the increasing dilution of the Ce. This upturn appears not to be related to the effective masses measured by the dHvA measurements. FIG. 4. Magnetic susceptibility vs temperature measured in 1000 G between 1.8 and 10 K fit to  $T^{-1+\lambda}$ . The filled symbols are for field applied perpendicular to the *c* axis, the open symbols are for *H* parallel to the *c* axis. Again, just as for the specific heat, this power-law functional form gives a good, but not in all cases outstanding, fit to the data. Note, however, that other standard non-Fermi-liquid temperature dependences, such as  $\chi \sim \log T$  or  $T^{0.5}$ , do not fit the  $\chi$  data well at all. The error bar for the exponents is  $\pm 0.05$ , i.e., the difference in the exponents for the parallel and perpendicular directions for each composition appears to be outside of the error bars.

A further complication in determining the specific heat  $\gamma$ is the rounded feature in C/T centered at  $\sim 3$  K visible already for x = 0.15 above  $T_N$ . As may be seen from Fig. 5, the C/T data for x=0.5 and 0.8 in Ce<sub>1-x</sub>La<sub>x</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> above the low-temperature upturn show a tendency to curve or bend downwards down to  $\approx 1.5$  K, at which point the upturn discussed in the section above begins. This "hump" in C/Tcentered at  $\sim 3$  K makes extrapolating C/T to T=0 to determine  $\gamma$  a somewhat imprecise procedure. It should be stressed that this rounded feature, or hump, in C/T has its provenance in the f-electron sublattice: such a feature is not present in C/T data for pure LaRhIn<sub>5</sub>.<sup>18</sup> One way of correcting for this feature in order to determine  $\gamma$  is to subtract off both the low-temperature upturn (see Fig. 3 for the fits to the upturns) and a fit<sup>18</sup> to pure LaRhIn<sub>5</sub> and examine the remainder. As shown in the inset to Fig. 5 for x = 0.5, this very rough approximation allows us to assign an approximate<sup>19</sup>  $\gamma$ value per Ce mole of  $\leq 100 \text{ mJ/Ce-mol K}^2$  for  $x \geq 0.5$ . This



FIG. 5. The specific heat divided by temperature normalized per Ce mole of Ce0.5La0.5RhIn5 (triangles) and Ce<sub>0.2</sub>La<sub>0.8</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> (circles) plotted on an expanded scale vs temperature. Note the rounded maximum in both sets of data centered at  $\sim$ 3 K. The inset shows the data for x = 0.5 with the fit (see Fig. 3) for the low-temperature upturn and a fit to the specific heat (Ref. 18) for pure LaRhIn<sub>5</sub> subtracted; clearly this procedure emphasizes the rounded hump in C/T centered at  $\sim$ 3 K. The resultant  $\gamma$  value is apparently smaller than 100 mJ/Ce-mol  $K^2$ . (The apparent negative value below about 1 K is, see Fig. 3, merely a sign that the fit to the upturn-which goes up to over 1000 mJ/Ce-mol K<sup>2</sup> at 0.3 K—is in error as  $T \rightarrow 1 \text{ K.}$ )



FIG. 6. Specific heat divided by temperature vs temperature in magnetic field up to 13 T for single crystal (*H* parallel to the basal plane) Ce<sub>0.05</sub>La<sub>0.95</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub>. The field response of the polycrystalline sample (not shown) is comparable to that of the single-crystal specimen; this is consistent with the fact that data (also not shown) for the single crystal for *H* perpendicular to the basal plane are within 15% of those shown here. The rounded, field-induced anomaly moves to higher temperature with increasing field, and becomes broader and less pronounced. The upturns in *C*/*T* for  $H \ge 6$  T are caused by the applied field splitting the nuclear magnetic-moment energy levels and creating a Schottky peak in the specific heat.

agrees much better with the dHvA results of Alver *et al.* than the estimates of 400 mJ/Ce-mol K<sup>2</sup> estimated<sup>4,5</sup> in the literature. However, as the La dilution is removed, for  $x \le 0.1$ , Alver *et al.* report approximately a factor of 2 increase in effective mass due to spin-fluctuation effects, with an effective mass for pure CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> that would correspond to a  $\gamma$  of  $\approx$ 50 mJ/Ce-mol K<sup>2</sup>. In the dilute limit, the measured effective mass of Alver *et al.* corresponds to a  $\gamma$  of only 25 mJ/Ce-mol K<sup>2</sup>. However, as may be seen in Fig. 5, our *C/T* data at low temperature are much too obscured by the unexpected upturn as well as by the rounded maximum to supply any sort of accurate estimate for  $\gamma$  beyond the dilute,  $x \ge 0.5$ , range of  $\le 100$  mJ/Ce-mol K<sup>2</sup> already quoted above.

#### C. Field-induced anomaly for $x \ge 0.5$

As a final aspect of the unexpected behavior for CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> diluted with La, when we were investigating the field depen-

dence of the upturn in the specific heat divided by temperature using the magnetic field as a probe, we discovered that the applied field suppresses the low-temperature upturn in C/T at rather low field and induces a peak in C/T that, with increasing field, moves up in temperature. This rounded anomaly, shown in Fig. 6 for x = 0.95 (these data are typical of the results for all  $x \ge 0.5$ ), is not that of either a spin glass (where  $C \sim 1/T$  above the peak) or a Schottky anomaly ( $C \sim 1/T^2$  above the peak) but rather seems to be a field-induced anomaly.

Castro Neto and Jones have recently published<sup>14</sup> a theory of how the specific heat and magnetization of materials with non-Fermi-liquid behavior caused by disorder-induced Griffiths phase spin clusters should scale with magnetic field. In general, both the magnetization and specific heat are predicted to exhibit low-field behaviors  $(M \sim H \text{ and } C/T \sim T^{-1+\lambda})$ , which cross over to the respective high-field behaviors  $[M \sim H^{\lambda} \text{ and } C/T \sim (H^{2+\lambda/2}/T^{3-\lambda/2})e^{-\mu_{\text{eff}}H/T}]$  at the



FIG. 7. Magnetization as a function of field for single crystal Ce<sub>0.05</sub>La<sub>0.95</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> for *H* parallel to the basal plane. Fits to the low-field and highfield predicted (Ref. 14) dependences ( $M \sim H$  and  $M \sim H^{0.14}$ , respectively), where the exponent (Ref. 20) was determined from the temperature dependence of  $\chi$ , Fig. 4, are shown by the solid lines as discussed in the text. The crossover field between the low- and high-field behaviors is above  $\approx 0.8$  T.



FIG. 8. Magnetization as a function of field for single crystal Ce<sub>0.05</sub>La<sub>0.95</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> for *H* perpendicular to the basal plane. Fits to the low-field and high-field predicted (Ref. 14) dependences  $(M \sim H \text{ and } M \sim H^{0.41}$ , respectively), where the exponent (Ref. 20) was determined from the temperature dependence of  $\chi$ , Fig. 4, are shown by the solid lines. The crossover field between the low- and high-field behaviors is above  $\approx 0.8$  T. The fit to the higher-field data with the lowest standard deviation actually gives  $\lambda = 0.67$ ; however, the standard deviations are within 8% of one another.

same magnetic field. The prediction for the field and temperature dependence for the high-field specific heat leads to a peak in C/T as a function of increasing temperature—thus qualitatively consistent with the data shown in Fig. 6.

Although the specific-heat data in the field were taken in fairly widely spaced fields, the fact that a peak occurs already in C/T in H=3 T offers a prediction (the equality of the crossover field requires that the crossover field for the magnetization data be perforce below 3 T) that can be checked by examining the M vs H data, where a much more finely spaced sequence of fields was used. In addition, the high-field prediction that  $M \sim H^{\lambda}$  can be checked up to 5.5 T, and this field-dependence determination of  $\lambda$  can then be compared with that independently determined from the *temperature dependence* of  $\chi$  in Fig. 4. Thus, magnetization data for both field directions for the single crystal Ce<sub>0.05</sub>La<sub>0.95</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> are shown fitted to these Griffiths phase low- and high-field predictions in Figs. 7 and 8, with H parallel and perpendicular to the basal plane, respectively. As may be seen, using the values for  $\lambda_{\chi}$  determined from Fig. 4 [0.14 and 0.41 for *H* parallel and perpendicular to the basal plane, respectively] gives a rather good<sup>20</sup> agreement between the predicted  $M \sim H^{\lambda}$  dependence and the high-field magnetization data. Further, the deviation from linear behavior at low fields occurs (see Figs. 7 and 8) above 0.8 T and the deviation from the  $M \sim H^{\lambda}$  power law occurs below 1.2 T. These estimates for the crossover field are not inconsistent with the peak in C/T (where a peak is characteristic of the high-field regime) occurring in 3 T, Fig. 6. (Work under way<sup>21</sup> to more thoroughly characterize the low- and highfield behavior for *M* and C/T for x=0.95 has found that a peak in C/T field data taken in 0.5 T increments down to 0.3 K first appears at 1.5 T.)

Another prediction<sup>14</sup> of the Griffiths phase theory of Castro Neto and Jones, the field and temperature dependence of C/T in the high-field limit, is compared<sup>22</sup> to the 3-T



FIG. 9. Fit of the field-induced anomaly in C/T in single crystal Ce<sub>0.05</sub>La<sub>0.95</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> for H = 3 T parallel to the basal plane fitted to Castro Neto and Jones's theory (Ref. 14). The fit represented by the dashed line assumes  $\lambda_{\chi} = 0.14$  and results in  $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.25 \mu_B$ . To give an idea of how the fit depends on the effective moment, a fit to these 3-T data with  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  constrained to be  $1.0\mu_B$  is shifted to lower temperatures by ~0.2 K from the present fit.

Ce<sub>0.05</sub>La<sub>0.95</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> data (with the fit<sup>18</sup> to pure LaRhIn<sub>5</sub> and the small, <10% at the lowest temperature, contribution due to the field splitting of the nuclear moments, subtracted off), with *H* parallel to basal plane, in Fig. 9. Using only two fit parameters (the amplitude and the effective moment,  $\mu_{eff}$ ) and fixing  $\lambda = 0.14$  (based on  $\lambda_{\chi}$ ) gives the fit (dashed line in Fig. 9) as shown, with the reasonable<sup>14,23</sup> fitted value for  $\mu_{eff}$  (which corresponds to the average moment in the Griffiths phase spin cluster) of  $1.25\mu_B$ . Clearly, fitting *C/T* to  $(H^{2+\lambda/2}/T^{3-\lambda/2})e^{-\mu_{eff}H/T}$  is a fairly good representation of the data.

# **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Despite the difficulty of precisely compensating for the broad peak in C/T in Ce<sub>1-x</sub>La<sub>x</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> centered at about 3 K, the apparent  $\gamma$  per Ce mole for  $x \ge 0.5$ , away from the antiferromagnetic transition in the phase diagram, appears to be less than 100 mJ/Ce-mol K<sup>2</sup>—in disagreement with estimates for  $\gamma$  in the literature,<sup>4,5</sup> but not inconsistent with the dHvA results of Alver *et al.*<sup>7</sup> There is a strong upturn in C/T below 1 K for  $x \ge 0.5$  that, when compared to the temperature dependence of the susceptibility and the nonlinear *M* vs *H* data, is consistent with non-Fermi-liquid behavior due to disor-

- <sup>1</sup>C. Petrovic, R. Movshovich, M. Jaime, P. G. Pagliuso, M. F. Hundley, J. L. Sarrao, Z. Fisk, and J. D. Thompson, Europhys. Lett. **53**, 354 (2001).
- <sup>2</sup>C. Petrovic, P. G. Pagliuso, M. F. Hundley, R. Movshovich, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, and Z. Fisk, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, L337 (2001).
- <sup>3</sup>N. J. Curro, P. C. Hammel, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 6100 (2000); W. Bao, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, Z. Fisk, J. W. Lynn, and R. W. Irwin, *ibid.* **62**, 14 621 (2000); **63**, 219901(E) (2001).
- <sup>4</sup>H. Hegger, C. Petrovic, E. G. Moshopoulou, M. F. Hundley, J. L. Sarrao, Z. Fisk, and J. D. Thompson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4986 (2000).
- <sup>5</sup>A. L. Cornelius, P. G. Pagliuso, M. F. Hundley, and J. L. Sarrao, Phys. Rev. B 64, 144411 (2001).
- <sup>6</sup>J. S. Kim, J. Alwood, G. R. Stewart, J. L. Sarrao, and J. D. Thompson, Phys. Rev. B 64, 134524 (2001).
- <sup>7</sup>U. Alver, R. G. Goodrich, N. Harrison, D. W. Hall, E. C. Palm, T. P. Murphy, S. W. Tozer, P. G. Pagliuso, N. O. Moreno, J. L. Sarrao, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B 64, 180402(R) (2001).
- <sup>8</sup>B. Andraka, C. S. Jee, J. S. Kim, Hauli Li, M. W. Meisel, and G. R. Stewart, Physica B **171**, 384 (1991).
- <sup>9</sup>C. S. Jee, B. Andraka, J. S. Kim, Hauli Li, M. W. Meisel, and G. R. Stewart, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 8630 (1990); J. S. Kim, C. S. Jee, W. W. Kim, B. Andraka, P. Kumar, and G. R. Stewart, *ibid.* **44**, 7473 (1991).
- <sup>10</sup>J. S. Kim, B. Andraka, and G. R. Stewart, Phys. Rev. B 44, 6921 (1991).
- <sup>11</sup>G. R. Stewart, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 54, 1 (1983).
- <sup>12</sup>For a review of non-Fermi-liquid behavior, see G. R. Stewart,

dered spin clusters (Griffiths phases). Applied magnetic field suppresses this upturn in *C*/*T* already by 3 T; above 3 T the *C*/*T* results show a broad anomaly that further broadens and moves to higher temperatures as the field is increased. This field-induced anomaly, together with the field dependence of the magnetization, compares well with the predictions of the Griffiths phase theory<sup>14,24</sup> of Castro Neto and Jones, particularly in the magnetization data as a function of the field, and the agreement of these data with the predicted  $\lambda_{\chi}$  exponent from the temperature dependence of the susceptibility. In summary, the range of behavior observed in Ce<sub>1-x</sub>La<sub>x</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> in zero and applied field is indicative of a phase diagram of unusual richness and variety.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Antonio Castro Neto for quite fruitful discussions. Work at the University of Florida was performed under the auspices of the United States Department of Energy, Contract No. DE-FG05-86ER45268. Partial summer support for J.A. and P.W. from the NHMFL and University of Florida NSF REU programs, respectively, is gratefully acknowledged.

- Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 797 (2001).
- <sup>13</sup>A. H. Castro Neto, G. Castilla, and B. A. Jones, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3531 (1998).
- <sup>14</sup>A. H. Castro Neto and B. A. Jones, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 14 975 (2000).
- <sup>15</sup>M. C. DeAndrade, R. Chau, R. P. Dickey, N. R. Dilley, E. J. Freeman, D. A. Gajewski, M. B. Maple, R. Movshovich, A. H. Castro Neto, G. Castilla, and B. A. Jones, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5620 (1998).
- <sup>16</sup>G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 755 (1984).
- <sup>17</sup>For a discussion of spin cluster, spin glass, and Griffiths phase behavior, see Ref. 12.
- <sup>18</sup>Mike Hundley (private communication). See also Ref. 4.
- <sup>19</sup>Note of course the unavoidable uncertainty is fitting the "hump"—which may very well involve entropy due to Ce-Ce interactions—to data from a more dilute composition and then applying this fit to more concentrated systems.
- <sup>20</sup> The "best-fit" value for the exponent  $\lambda$  from the field dependence of the magnetization for *H* parallel to the basal plane shown in Fig. 7 is within 0.1 of the value  $\lambda_{\chi} = 0.14$  determined from the temperature dependence of  $\chi$  determined in Fig. 4, i.e., within the error bar. For *H* perpendicular to the basal plane, the best fit to the magnetization data shown in Fig. 8 gives  $\lambda = 0.67$  instead of the value determined from the temperature dependence of  $\chi$ , where  $\lambda_{\chi} = 0.41$ . However, the standard deviation for the fit (to 20 data points) using  $\lambda_{\chi} = 0.41$  is less than 8% higher than that for the best fit.
- <sup>21</sup>J. S. Kim, J. Alwood, D. Mixson, and G. R. Stewart (unpublished).
- <sup>22</sup>Fits to the 6- and 9-T data are similar, although the correction for the low-temperature upturn in C/T caused by the nuclear hyper-

fine level splitting due to the applied field is larger and the size of the field-induced anomaly in C/T with increasing field is rapidly decreasing. Since the crossover field between low- and high-field dependences, as determined by the magnetization, is  $\sim 0.8-1.2$  T the 3-T data should be well in the high-field limit. <sup>23</sup>A. H. Castro Neto (private communication).

<sup>24</sup> Although a recent paper [A. J. Millis, D. K. Morr, and J. Schmalian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 167202 (2001)] has questioned the theory of Castro Neto and Jones based on dissipation arguments in the single-impurity limit, an even more recent work by Castro Neto and Jones [cond-mat/0106176 (unpublished)] argues that for concentrated systems the results of Ref. 14 still hold.