Erratum: Magnetoelectric bilayer and multilayer structures of magnetostrictive and piezoelectric oxides [Phys. Rev. B 64, 214408 (2001)]

G. Srinivasan, E. T. Rasmussen, J. Gallegos, R. Srinivasan, Yu. I. Bokhan, and V. M. Laletin (Published 24 June 2002)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.66.029902

PACS number(s): 75.80.+q, 77.55.+f, 77.84.Dy, 99.10.+g

The necessary correction concerns Eq. (2) for the transverse magnetoelectric (ME) coefficient for a bilayer of magnetostrictive and piezoelectric phases. The exact expression given below takes into account the in-plane piezomagnetic coupling q_{12}^m measured perpendicular to the static magnetic field:

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{E},31} = \frac{\delta \mathbf{E}_3}{\delta \mathbf{H}_1} = \frac{-d_{31}^p (q_{11}^m + q_{12}^m) v_m}{(s_{11}^m + s_{12}^m) \varepsilon_{33}^{T,p} v_p + (s_{11}^p + s_{12}^p) \varepsilon_{33}^{T,p} v_m - 2(d_{31}^p)^2 v_m}.$$

The expression reduces to Eq. (2) for $q_{11}^m = q_{12}^m$. Such a condition, however, is not satisfied in nickel ferrite. Our estimation of q_{12}^m from data on magnetostriction for the ferrite indicates a small positive value for q_{12}^m (compared to a large negative value for q_{11}^m). The corrected theoretical values of $\alpha_{E,31}$ are thus a factor of 2 smaller than the estimates in Figs. 6 and 7. The overall good agreement between data and theory claimed in the work, however, is not affected by the correction to calculated values.