# **Magnetovolume effects and the**  $p$ **-***T* **magnetic phase diagram of UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>**

Fuminori Honda and Vladimir Sechovsky´

*Department of Electronic Structures, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Ke Karlovu 5, 121 16 Prague 2, The Czech Republic*

Gendo Oomi

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Ropponmatsu 4-2-1, Fukuoka 810-8560, Japan*

Alexander V. Andreev

*Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Prague 8, The Czech Republic*

Alois A. Menovsky

*Van der Waals*–*Zeeman Institute, University of Amsterdam, Valckenierstraat 65, 1018XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands* (Received 6 February 2001; published 19 October 2001)

The thermal expansion of single-crystalline  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  along the *a* and *c* axes has been measured with high accuracy. The linear thermal expansion is found to be highly anisotropic and to show a spontaneous magnetostriction. In the ground state, the spontaneous volume magnetostriction reaches  $4\times10^{-4}$ . The lattice volume changes abruptly at  $T_1=43$  K and  $T_2=103$  K, where UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> undergoes the magnetic phase transitions. When increasing temperature, a considerable volume expansion (by  $3.6 \times 10^{-8}$  m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) at  $T_1$  and tiny shrinkage (by  $6.5\times10^{-10}$  m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) at  $T_2$  have been observed. On the other hand, no apparent volume effect is observed around the magnetic ordering temperature  $T_N$ =124 K. Thermal expansion data are analyzed using thermodynamic relations in order to elucidate the previously published pressure dependences of temperatures of magnetic phase transitions  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_N$ .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.64.184417 PACS number(s): 75.30.Kz, 72.15.Eb, 75.50.Ee

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

 $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  crystallizes in the tetragonal ThCr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>-type structure shown in Fig. 1, which consists of basal-plane layers of U atoms alternating with  $Si-Ni<sub>2</sub>-Si$  slabs along the *c* axis.<sup>1</sup> The lattice parameters are  $a=3.99$  Å and  $c$  $=$  9.53 Å at room temperature. The distance between the nearest U neighbors is  $d_{\text{ULU}} = a = 3.99$  Å. The tetragonal crystal symmetry and the anisotropic layout of ligands of the U atoms are expected to cause anisotropy of electronic properties.

Below  $T_N$ =124 K, this compound exhibits magnetic order, which is characterized by several magnetic phases consecutively appearing with decreasing temperature.<sup>2</sup> The first ordered phase that is stable down to 103 K is an incommensurate longitudinal spin-density wave (ILSDW). At  $T_2$  $=103$  K, UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> undergoes a magnetic phase transition to a simple body-centered tetragonal antiferromagnetic structure (AF-I). Finally, below  $T_1 = 53$  K ( $T_1 \approx 43$  K in Ref. 3) it exhibits an uncompensated antiferromagnetic (UAF) structure with  $q=(0,0,2/3)$ .<sup>2</sup> The value of  $T_1$  is somewhat sample dependent, which may be connected with stoichiometry variations. For the crystal used in our study,  $T_1 = 43 \text{ K}^{4-6}$  In all three magnetically ordered phases, the moments (only the U atoms carry detectable magnetic moments) are aligned along the *c* axis and coupled ferromagnetically within the basal plane. The coupling along the *c* axis determines the type of a magnetically ordered state; e.g., the  $(+ - + -)$ coupling defines the AF-I phase whereas the UAF phase is characterized by the  $(+ + -)$  coupling. The latter phase is then characterized by a spontaneous magnetic moment that

amounts to 1/3 of the uranium moment. The magnetic phase transitions at  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_N$  are accompanied by distinct anomalies in the temperature dependence of electrical resistivity and thermal expansion.7,8

From neutron-diffraction experiments a magnitude of the



FIG. 1. A schematic picture of the crystal structure of  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$ .

U magnetic moment of 2.2  $\mu_B$  has been determined in the ground-state phase. In this view,  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  has been classified as a material with rather well-localized 5f electrons.<sup>2</sup> However, *c*-axis magnetization data obtained on the same crystal as used in our study point to a considerably lower value of 1.6  $\mu_B$  than that given in Ref. 2.

Electrical resistivity studies of  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  exerted to an external hydrostatic pressure revealed that the value of  $T_1$  ( $T_2$ ) becomes strongly increased (weakly decreased), whereas  $T_N$  seems to be pressure invariant.<sup>5,6,9</sup> As a result of pressure effects on  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , the temperature range of the stability of AF-I is narrowing and vanishes above 3.2 GPa.<sup>6</sup> To discuss these phenomena from a thermodynamic point of view, highly accurate thermal expansion data of  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  at ambient pressure were strongly desired. Therefore, we measured the precise thermal expansion and estimated the spontaneous magnetostriction on a single crystal along the *a* and *c* axes.

In our previous paper,<sup>5</sup> we estimated the spontaneous magnetostriction using a slightly higher Debye temperature  $\Theta_{\text{D}}$ =370 K available from specific-heat measurement data in Ref. 10. In the present paper, we use recently obtained  $\Theta_{\text{D}}$ =283 K,<sup>11</sup> which can explain the paramagnetic part of the thermal expansion much better.

## **II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

The sample used for our experiment has been cut by spark erosion from the same single crystal of  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  as reported in Refs. 4–6. The crystal was grown by means of the tri-arc Czochralski technique. The linear thermal expansion along the *a* and *c* axes was measured simultaneously by two strain gauges, having a resistance of  $120\Omega$  each (type KFL-02-120-C1-11 made by the Kyowa Electric Institute, Japan). They were glued by strain gauge cement  $(PC-6)$  on the clean surface of the  $a-c$  plane in  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$ . In order to increase a measurement accuracy, we adopt the two gauge (active and dummy) method. In this method, we use dummy material as a standard, whose strain with respect to the temperature (thermal expansion) has already known exactly. Here we used a copper (99.999%) disk as a standard material. The thermal expansion coefficient of copper at ambient pressure has been investigated in detail by Kroeger and Swenson.<sup>12</sup> We put them in the circular of a Wheatstone bridge with other two constant resistors (120  $\Omega$ ). The signal related to the difference between the relative change of the electrical resistance of strain gauges glued on the sample and dummy is amplified by a strain amplifier (type  $DPM-711B$  made by the Kyowa Electric Institute, Japan).

In such a way, these strain gauges provide data of the relative length change with an error smaller than  $\pm 1$  $\times 10^{-6}$ . We used a gold-Chromel thermocouple as a thermometer. The experimental error of the temperature is less than 0.1 K.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The relative length change  $(\Delta L/L)$ <sup>*i*</sup> ( $i=a,c$ ) along the *a* and *c* axes, respectively, with respect to increasing tempera-



FIG. 2. The linear thermal expansion of UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> along the *a* and *c* axes at ambient pressure for heating process. The dotted lines represent the phonon contribution to the thermal expansion estimated using the Debye temperature value  $\Theta_{\text{D}}$ =283 K.

ture is shown in Fig. 2. Due to technical difficulties, we only are able to measure thermal expansion on the heating process. It is clearly seen that the linear thermal expansion is strongly anisotropic and shows several distinct anomalies accompanying the magnetic phase transitions, which is consistent with previously published thermal expansion results along the  $c$  axis.<sup>8</sup> With increasing temperature, the lattice expands along the *a* axis discontinuously at  $T_1$ ,  $(\Delta L/L)$ <sub>*a*</sub>  $\approx 0.4 \times 10^{-4}$ , and shrinks along the *c* axis,  $(\Delta L/L)_{c} \approx -0.3$  $\times 10^{-4}$ . On the other hand, a lattice shrinkage is observed along the *a* axis ( $\approx -0.3 \times 10^{-4}$ ) and expansion along the *c* axis ( $\approx 0.4 \times 10^{-4}$ ) at  $T_2$ . These results indicate the first order magnetic phase transitions at  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . The magnetic phase transition at  $T_N$  is accompanied only by a change of slope of the  $(\Delta L/L)$ *i* vs *T* curves, where  $i = a, c$ , without showing any discontinuity in  $(\Delta L/L)$ <sup>2</sup>, Above  $T_N$ , the lattice expands along the *a* axis with increasing temperature, while along the *c* axis it is nearly temperature independent.

The volume thermal expansion  $\Delta V/V = 2(\Delta L/L)$ <sub>a</sub>  $+(\Delta L/L)_c$  below 150 K is shown in Fig. 3 (solid line). Pronounced anomalies are observed at  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ : at  $T_1$ , the volume increases discontinuously by  $\approx 0.5 \times 10^{-4}$ , and at  $T_2$ , it decreases discontinuously ( $\approx -0.2 \times 10^{-4}$ ) with increasing temperature. From these results it is found that the volume of the AF-I phase is larger than that of the other phases UAF and ILSDW. Practically complete compensation of linear strains along the *a* and *c* axes results in the absence of a volume anomaly at  $T_N$ .

The temperature dependence of the linear thermal expansion coefficients  $\alpha_i = d(\Delta L/L)_i / dT$  at ambient pressure is shown in Fig. 4. The linear thermal expansion coefficients along the *a* and *c* axes are extremely anisotropic,  $\alpha_a = 12$  $\times 10^{-6}$  K<sup>-1</sup> at room temperature, whereas  $\alpha_c$  is less than  $1\times10^{-6}$  K<sup>-1</sup>. Sharp peaks reflecting the first-order mag-



FIG. 3. The volume thermal expansion of  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  at ambient pressure for heating process.

netic phase transition are observed at  $T_1=43$  K and  $T_2$  $=103$  K. These values are in good agreement with specificheat data.<sup>11</sup> At  $T_1$ , a pronounced hysteresis behavior is found in the electrical resistivity along the  $c$  axes.<sup>6,7</sup> The value of  $T_1$  is also in good agreement with the averaged temperature of the resistivity anomaly between the cooling and heating processes. It is suggested that thermal hysteresis in the thermal expansion is narrower than that in the resistivity along the *c* axis. The transition at  $T_N=124$  K is of the secondorder character, which is connected with a discontinuous change of the linear thermal expansion coefficient  $\Delta \alpha_a = 4$  $\times 10^{-6}$  K<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta \alpha_c = -8 \times 10^{-6}$  K<sup>-1</sup>. Then the change in the volume thermal expansion coefficient  $\Delta \alpha_V$  at  $T_N$ , where  $\Delta \alpha_V = 2\Delta \alpha_a + \Delta \alpha_c$ , is negligibly small.

In order to determine the spontaneous magnetostriction, the  $(\Delta L/L)$ *i* vs *T* and  $\Delta V/V$  vs *T* curves were extrapolated from the paramagnetic region  $(T>T_N)$  into the magnetically ordered region  $(T < T_N)$ . The dotted lines in Figs. 2 and 3 represent the Debye function with a characteristic tempera-



FIG. 4. The linear thermal expansion coefficients along the *a* and *c* axes for heating process. Arrows indicate magnetic phase transition temperatures.



FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of linear ( $\lambda_a$  and  $\lambda_c$ ) and volume  $(\omega_s)$  spontaneous magnetostrictions at ambient pressure.

ture  $\Theta_{\text{D}}$ =283 K, which has been derived from specific-heat data measured on the crystal used in the present work.<sup>11</sup> (It should be noticed that the previously used value  $\Theta_{\text{D}}$  $=$  370 K taken from Ref. 10 seems to be overestimated. The new value of  $\Theta_{\text{D}}$  does not practically affect the extrapolation along the *c* axis, but leads to considerable corrections along the  $a$  axis compared to Ref. 5.) The related differences between the measured and extrapolated values correspond to the linear ( $\lambda_a$  in the basal plane,  $\lambda_c$  along the *c* axis) and the volume  $\omega_s = 2\lambda_a + \lambda_c$  spontaneous magnetostrictions that are displayed in Fig. 5 as functions of temperature. One can see that  $\lambda_a$  is always positive,  $\lambda_c$  is negative, and both linear strains vary nonmonotonously with temperature due to additional contributions of opposite sign in the intermediate AF-I phase. In the ground state,  $\lambda_a$  reaches  $4.6 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $\lambda_c$  $=$  -4.8×10<sup>-4</sup> which results in  $\omega_s$ =4.4×10<sup>-4</sup>.

Since the magnitudes of linear strain are of the order of  $10^{-4}$  and moreover they are partly compensated due to their opposite sign, the spontaneous volume magnetostriction found in  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  is relatively small. The compensation of linear strains having opposite sign, seems to be a common feature of uranium intermetallic compounds. For example, in the hexagonal UNiGa  $\lambda_a = -0.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $\lambda_c$  $=1.8\times10^{-4}$ , and  $\omega_s = 0.2\times10^{-4}$ ,<sup>13</sup> in UNiAl,  $\lambda_a$  $=$  -0.4 \times 10<sup>-4</sup>,  $\lambda_c$  = 1.2 \times 10<sup>-4</sup>, and  $\omega_s$  = 0.5 \times 10<sup>-4</sup>,<sup>14</sup> and in UPtAl,  $\lambda_a = -3 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $\lambda_c = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ , and  $\omega_s = -4$  $\times 10^{-4}$ <sup>16</sup>

Figure 6 shows the pressure dependence of magnetic phase transition temperatures derived from data obtained on UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> under various pressures up to 4 GPa.<sup>6</sup> The phase transition from the AF-I phase to the UAF structure exhibits a large temperature hysteresis. The value of  $T_1$  represents the average value of the transition temperatures observed during the cooling and heating processes. One can see that  $T_1$  is rapidly enhanced with applying pressure, *dT*<sup>1</sup> /*dp*  $= 8.6$  K GPa<sup>-1</sup>. This means that the UAF phase becomes stabilized with applying pressure on account of the AF-I phase, which is consistent with the fact that the volume of the UAF phase is reduced with respect to AF-I. On the other



FIG. 6. Pressure dependence of magnetic phase transition temperatures of  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  under high pressure up to 4.0 GPa.

hand,  $T_2$  decreases with pressure at a rate of  $-1.3$  K GPa<sup>-1</sup> the transition becomes gradually broadened. The AF-I phase is completely suppressed in pressures above  $3.2$  GPa. $<sup>6</sup>$ </sup>

Our thermal expansion data allow us to discuss the pressure effects on the magnetic phase transition temperatures of UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> from a thermodynamical point of view. The value of the Néel temperature is found to be almost pressure independent. For the second-order phase transition,  $dT_N/dp$  is directly proportional to the discontinuous change of the volume thermal expansion coefficient,  $\Delta \alpha_V$ . As discussed above, there is no volume effect at  $T_N$ ,  $\Delta \alpha_V = 2\Delta \alpha_a + \Delta \alpha_c$  $\approx 0 \,$  K<sup>-1</sup> within the experimental error. This result is then in good agreement with the pressure invariance of  $T_N$ ,  $dT_N/dp \approx 0$ . The transition at  $T_2$  from the phase AF-I to the ILSDW phase should be a first-order transition because it is accompanied by a discontinuous change of volume,  $\Delta V$  $=$  -6.5 $\times$ 10<sup>-10</sup> m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. We can estimate the change in entropy,  $\Delta S_{T_2}$ , at the transition by substituting these values in the Clausius-Clapeyron equation,

$$
\Delta S_{T_2} = \frac{\Delta V}{(dT_2/dp)} \approx 0.5 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}. \tag{1}
$$

It is expected that the latent heat at this transition is large,  $\approx$  50 J mol<sup>-1</sup>. This value is consistent with previous specific-heat data.<sup>11,15</sup>

The transition from the UAF phase to the AF-I phase is also the first-order transition accompanied by a discontinuous volume change,  $\Delta V = 3.6 \times 10^{-8}$  m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> at  $T_1$ . As can be seen in Fig. 6, pressure dependence of  $T_1$  at the lowest-pressure limit ( $p \approx 0$ ) is not more than 8.6 K/GPa. Based on Eq.  $(1)$ , the expected entropy change at the transition amounts, at least, to  $\Delta S = 3.7 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$  at ambient pressure. This value is about one order of magnitude larger than the entropy change at  $T<sub>2</sub>$ . But in reality, only a tiny anomaly is observed in the specific-heat data at  $T_1$  which yields an entropy change of the order of 0.1  $\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ .<sup>11</sup>

From the thermodynamic point of view, the highest stability of the UAF phase is qualitatively in good agreement with our thermal expansion data obtained at ambient pressure. Note that the UAF phase has the smallest volume among all magnetic phases of this compound and the external pressure usually stabilizes a low-volume (high-density) state.

#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Here we would like to conclude our study on  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$ . The distinct anomalies in the thermal expansion of  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$ were observed at  $T_1$ =43 K,  $T_2$ =103 K, and  $T_N$ =124 K, reflecting magnetic phase transitions.  $T_1$  increases and  $T_2$ decreases with increasing pressure; i.e., the intermediate magnetic phase is suppressed by pressure.  $T_N$  is almost independent of pressure up to 4.0 GPa. The thermal expansion of  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$  is highly anisotropic. In the paramagnetic range, the thermal expansion coefficient along the *a* axis is one order larger than that along the *c* axis. The values of the *a* and *c* linear spontaneous magnetostriction are of the order of  $10^{-4}$  and have opposite sign, which leads to tiny volume effects. The pressure dependence of the critical temperatures  $T_2$  and  $T_N$  of magnetic phase transitions can be explained within the thermodynamic point of view, applying the Clausius-Clapeyron equation with knowledge of thermal expansion and specific-heat data. However, questions about the small specific-heat anomaly at  $T_1$ , the complex magnetic phase diagram, and the large difference of the linear thermal expansion coefficients in the paramagnetic region remain still open. Measurements of the thermal expansion under high pressure, compressibility, and the theoretical approach are strongly desirable in order to get more information about  $UNi<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>$ .

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

F.H. would like to thank JSPS for financial support. This work (partly) has been supported by the JSPS Japan  $+$  Europe Research Cooperative Program and by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (Grant No. 106/99/0183).

- <sup>1</sup>L. Chelmicki, J. Leciejewicz, and A. Zygmunt, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 46, 529 (1985).
- 2H. Lin, L. Rebelsky, M.F. Collins, J.D. Garrett, and W.J.L. Buyers, Phys. Rev. B 43, 13 232 (1991).
- 3T. Takeuchi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, T. Kuwai, A. Yamagishi,
- and Y. Miyako, Physica B **201**, 243 (1994).
- <sup>4</sup>F. Honda, G. Oomi, T. Kagayama, A.V. Andreev, V. Sechovský, L. Havela, M.I. Bartashevich, T. Goto, and A.A. Menovsky, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 177-181, 49 (1998).
- <sup>5</sup>F. Honda, G. Oomi, T. Kagayama, A.V. Andreev, V. Sechovský,

L. Havela, and A.A. Menovsky, Physica B 259-261, 256 (1999).

- <sup>6</sup>F. Honda, N. Matsuda, G. Oomi, N. Môri, N. Takeshita, V. Sechovsky´, A.V. Andreev, and A.A. Menovsky, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 11 267 (2000).
- 7Y.B. Ning, J.D. Garrett, and W.R. Datars, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 8780  $(1990).$
- 8S.W. Zochowski, S.A. Creeger, and M.F. Collins, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **140-144**, 1399 (1995).
- <sup>9</sup>G. Quirion, F.S. Razavi, M.L. Plumer, and J.D. Garrett, Phys. Rev. B 57, 5220 (1998).
- 10Y.B. Ning, J.D. Garrett, and W.R. Datars, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3, 4399 (1991).
- <sup>11</sup>P. Svoboda, P. Javorský, M. Diviš, V. Sechovský, F. Honda, and

A.A. Menovsky, Phys. Rev. B 63, 212408 (2001).

- $12$ F.R. Kroeger and C.A. Swenson, J. Appl. Phys. **48**, 853 (1977).
- 13F. Honda, T. Kagayama, G. Oomi, A.V. Andreev, L. Havela, V. Sechovský, K. Prokeš, and E. Brück, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1904  $(1997).$
- <sup>14</sup>K. Prokeš, A. de Visser, A.A. Menovsky, E. Brück, F.R. de Boer, V. Sechovsky´, and T.J. Gortenmulder, J. Appl. Phys. **79**, 6358  $(1996).$
- 15T. Startseva, F.S. Razavi, H. Salamati, G. Quirion, and J.D. Garrett, Phys. Rev. B 58, 113 (1998).
- <sup>16</sup> A.V. Andreev, J. Kamarád, F. Honda, G. Oomi, V. Sechovský, and Y. Shiokawa, J. Alloys Compd. 314, 51 (2001).