

Erratum: Incommensurate magnetic structure of CeRhIn₅
[Phys. Rev. B 62, R14 621 (2000)]

Wei Bao, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, Z. Fisk, J. W. Lynn, and R. W. Erwin
(Published 26 April 2001)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.63.219901 PACS number(s): 75.25.+z, 75.30.Mb, 75.30.Cr, 71.27.+a, 99.10.+g

The formulas for magnetic cross sections, σ^I and σ^{II} , have an extra factor of 2, and the square root in the equation for σ^{II} on page R14 623 should not be there. Therefore, Eq. (3) on page R14 622 should be replaced by

$$\sigma^I(\mathbf{q}) = \left(\frac{\gamma r_0}{2}\right)^2 \langle M \rangle^2 |f(q)|^2 (1 + |\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{c}}|^2).$$

The equation on page R14 623 and the two sentences after it should be corrected as follows:

$$\sigma^{II}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma r_0}{2}\right)^2 \langle M \rangle^2 |f(q)|^2 (1 + |\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{c}}|^2).$$

Thus $\sigma^{II}(\mathbf{q}) = \sigma^I(\mathbf{q})/2$, and model I and model II cannot be distinguished in the diffraction. However, we prefer model I since a collinear magnetic modulation (model II) usually squares up with lowering temperature, generating higher-order harmonics.¹²

The staggered moment at 1.4 K should be $0.374(5)\mu_B$ per Ce ($\langle M \rangle^2$ increases by a factor of 2) instead of $0.264(4)\mu_B$ per Ce. Other conclusions of the paper are not affected.