# Itinerant to localized electronic transition in $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6$

R. I. Dass and J. B. Goodenough

Texas Materials Institute, ETC 9.102, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712-1063

(Received 8 August 2000; published 22 January 2001)

Structural, transport, and magnetic measurements on the system  $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6$  ( $0 \le x \le 1$ ) have been used to monitor the transition from itinerant-electron ferromagnetism in  $Sr_2FeMoO_6$  to localized-electron antiferromagnetism in  $Sr_2FeWO_6$ . An anomalous expansion of the cell volume with increasing *x* reflects the progressive localization of the minority-spin electrons. The percolation threshold for itinerant  $\pi^*$  electrons occurs in the interval  $0.75 \le x \le 0.85$ , and compositions in the range  $0.8 \le x \le 1.0$  exhibit spin-glass behavior. For  $x \le 0.5$ , antiferromagnetic coupling across antiphase boundaries produces an *M*-*H* hysteresis loop that, although having a low remanence and coercivity, nevertheless saturates by 10 kOe. A peculiar field dependence of the Weiss constant of the paramagnetic molar susceptibility disappears for  $x \ge 0.25$ . Transport and structural data are consistent with a relative stabilization of the  $d_{xy} \pi^*$  band relative to the  $d_{yz\pm izx} \pi^*$  bands at lower temperatures and higher values of *x*.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.63.064417

PACS number(s): 75.30.Cr, 75.30.Et, 75.30.Kz, 71.30.+h

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Ordering of the Fe and Mo or W atoms on the octahedral B sites of the perovskite structure occurs in both Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMoO<sub>6</sub> and Sr<sub>2</sub>FeWO<sub>6</sub>.<sup>1-4</sup> Sr<sub>2</sub>FeWO<sub>6</sub> contains well-ordered Fe<sup>2+</sup> and W<sup>6+</sup> ions; it is an antiferromagnetic insulator with a localized high-spin configuration at the Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions. Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMoO<sub>6</sub>, on the other hand, is a half-metallic ferri- or ferromagnet as a result of overlapping Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Mo<sup>6+</sup>/Mo<sup>5+</sup> redox couples.<sup>5</sup> The itinerant electrons occupy a minority-spin  $\pi^*$  band resulting from Fe-O-Mo interactions; the majority-spin electrons give a localized spin S = 5/2 at the Fe atoms. In this compound, the saturation magnetization depends on the degree of order of the Fe and Mo atoms.<sup>6,7</sup> Moreover, the *M*-*H* curves exhibit little hysteresis, a feature that we have attributed to antiferromagnetic Fe-O-Fe interactions across antiphase boundaries.8 We also observed a remarkable increase with applied magnetic field H in the paramagnetic Weiss constant from  $\theta < T_c$  to  $\theta > T_c$ , which we interpreted to signal a shift of the itinerant-electron density away from the Mo toward the Fe atoms. However, this anomalous susceptibility may also be accounted for by a ferromagnetic impurity with a  $T_c' > T_c$ .<sup>9</sup> A candidate chemical inhomogeneity in disordered samples would be a layer of three (111) all-Fe planes rather than the double iron layer of an antiphase boundary.

Here we report measurements on the system  $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6$  ( $0 \le x \le 1$ ) that were motivated by five questions concerning the evolution from itinerant to localized behavior of the minority-spin electrons: (1) Do the changes with *x* in the lattice parameters and cell volume reflect a larger cell volume for localized versus itinerant electrons as anticipated from the virial theorem? (2) How do the transport properties change with *x* and temperature *T* from electronic conduction in a  $\pi^*$  band to hole conduction via the Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> couple? (3) As the  $\pi^*$  band narrows with increasing *x*, is an increase in the orbital angular momentum of the minority-spin electrons reflected in the *M*-*H* hysteresis loop? (4) How does the field dependence of the paramagnetic molar susceptibility depend on *x*? and (5) what is the char-

acter of the *T*-*x* phase diagram?

While the work was in progress, Kobayashi et al.<sup>10</sup> published an investigation of the evolution with x of the magnetoresistance in the  $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6$  system. They reported (1) an increase with x in the degree of order of the B-site atoms, (2) the evolution of the *M*-*H* curves (not discussed) to obtain the magnetization  $M_s(5 \text{ K})$  versus x, (3) the variation of the resistivity  $\rho(T)$  with x, but not of the thermoelectric power  $\alpha(T)$ , (4) the magnetic susceptibility of antiferromagnetic  $Sr_2FeWO_6$ , and (5) the change with x in the electronic specific heat. Our data are in essential agreement with theirs, but we did not obtain quite as high a degree of B-site order in our Mo-rich samples. Like them, we found a percolation threshold for metallic conduction and a spin-glass behavior beyond percolation  $(x \ge 0.80)$  where they found, at x =0.85, a large, low-temperature negative magnetoresistance associated with inducing percolation of the ferromagnetic regions in a large H. They did not address the specific questions that motivated our study.

An early Mössbauer-spectroscopy study by Nakagawa *et al.*<sup>11</sup> was interpreted to reflect only Fe<sup>3+</sup> for  $x \le 0.5$ , both Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>2+</sup> at x=0.7, and only Fe<sup>2+</sup> in Sr<sub>2</sub>FeWO<sub>6</sub>. However, the isomer shift for their "Fe<sup>3+</sup>," ions is too high for that valence state<sup>12</sup> and would be better interpreted in an itinerant-electron model for the minority-spin electrons that give an effective iron valence Fe<sup>2.6+</sup>. Indeed, Lindén *et al.*<sup>13</sup> have interpreted their recent Mössbauer data for Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMoO<sub>6- $\delta$ </sub> as indicating rapid (relative to 10<sup>-8</sup> s) valence fluctuations with a mean valence Fe<sup>2.5+</sup>. The early data are consistent with the minority-spin electrons remaining itinerant to x=0.5 with a progressive increase of localized Fe<sup>2+</sup> configurations appearing in the range  $0.50 < x \le 0.70$  to coexist within a matrix containing itinerant minority-spin electrons.

# EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES, RESULTS, AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Synthesis

Compounds of the system  $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6$  ( $0 \le x \le 1$ ) were prepared from powders of SrCO<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MoO<sub>3</sub>, and

TABLE I. The synthesis conditions and structural properties of the system  $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6(0 \le x \le 1)$ .

	a (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	$c/\sqrt{2a}$	$V(\text{\AA}^3)$
1225 °C (0.1 h)	5.576(1)	7.904(1)	1.0023(3)	245.73(12)
1225 °C (0.1 h),	5.576(1)	7.906(1)	1.0026(3)	245.81(12)
1250 °C (0.1 h)				
1275 C (8 h)	5.591(1)	7.891(1)	0.9980(3)	246.67(12)
1275 °C (8 h)	5.610(1)	7.901(1)	0.9959(3)	248.67(12)
1300 °C (18 h)	5.631(1)	7.917(2)	0.9942(4)	251.03(15)
1300 °C (40 h)	5.632(1)	7.919(1)	0.9942(3)	251.19(12)
1300 °C (40 h)	5.634(1)	7.921(1)	0.9941(3)	251.43(12)
1300 °C (40 h)	5.633(1)	7.925(1)	0.9948(3)	251.47(12)
1300 °C (24 h)	5.638(1)	7.932(1)	0.9948(3)	252.14(12)
1325 °C (36 h)	5.641(1)	7.931(1)	0.9942(3)	252.37(12)
1325 °C (38 h)	5.643(1)	7.936(1)	0.9944(3)	252.71(12)
1325 °C (38 h)	5.642(1)	7.934(1)	0.9944(3)	252.56(12)
1325 °C (48 h)	5.639(1)	7.935(1)	0.9950(3)	252.32(12)
1325 °C (100 h)	5.645(1)	7.940(1)	0.9946(3)	253.02(12)
1325 °C (124 h)	5.647(1)	7.942(1)	0.9945(3)	253.26(12)
1325 °C (76 h)	5.646(1)	7.943(1)	0.9948(3)	253.20(12)
1325 °C (148 h)	5.648(1)	7.942(1)	0.9943(3)	253.35(12)
1325 °C (124 h)	5.646(1)	7.944(1)	0.9949(3)	253.23(12)
1325 °C (148 h)	5.647(1)	7.945(2)	0.9949(4)	253.36(15)
1325 °C (76 h)	5.648(1)	7.942(2)	0.9943(4)	253.35(15)
	1225 °C (0.1 h) 1225 °C (0.1 h), 1250 °C (0.1 h), 1275 °C (8 h) 1275 °C (8 h) 1300 °C (18 h) 1300 °C (40 h) 1300 °C (40 h) 1300 °C (40 h) 1300 °C (24 h) 1325 °C (36 h) 1325 °C (38 h) 1325 °C (38 h) 1325 °C (48 h) 1325 °C (100 h) 1325 °C (124 h)	$1225 \ ^{\circ}C \ (0.1 \ h) 5.576(1)$ $1225 \ ^{\circ}C \ (0.1 \ h), 5.576(1)$ $1225 \ ^{\circ}C \ (0.1 \ h), 5.576(1)$ $1275 \ ^{\circ}C \ (8 \ h) 5.591(1)$ $1275 \ ^{\circ}C \ (8 \ h) 5.610(1)$ $1300 \ ^{\circ}C \ (18 \ h) 5.631(1)$ $1300 \ ^{\circ}C \ (40 \ h) 5.632(1)$ $1300 \ ^{\circ}C \ (40 \ h) 5.632(1)$ $1300 \ ^{\circ}C \ (40 \ h) 5.633(1)$ $1325 \ ^{\circ}C \ (36 \ h) 5.641(1)$ $1325 \ ^{\circ}C \ (38 \ h) 5.642(1)$ $1325 \ ^{\circ}C \ (124 \ h) 5.645(1)$ $1325 \ ^{\circ}C \ (124 \ h) 5.646(1)$ $1325 \ ^{\circ}C \ (124 \ h) 5.6446(1)$ $1325 \ ^{\circ}C \ (124 \ h) 5.647(1)$ $1325 \ ^{\circ}C \ (124 \ h) 5.647(1)$ $1325 \ ^{\circ}C \ (148 \ h) 5.647(1)$ $1325 \ ^{\circ}C \ (148 \ h) 5.647(1)$ $1325 \ ^{\circ}C \ (148 \ h) 5.648(1)$	1225 °C (0.1 h)5.576(1)7.904(1)1225 °C (0.1 h)5.576(1)7.906(1)1250 °C (0.1 h)5.576(1)7.906(1)1275 °C (8 h)5.610(1)7.901(1)1300 °C (18 h)5.631(1)7.917(2)1300 °C (40 h)5.632(1)7.919(1)1300 °C (40 h)5.632(1)7.921(1)1300 °C (40 h)5.633(1)7.925(1)1300 °C (40 h)5.633(1)7.925(1)1300 °C (24 h)5.638(1)7.932(1)1325 °C (36 h)5.641(1)7.936(1)1325 °C (38 h)5.642(1)7.936(1)1325 °C (100 h)5.645(1)7.940(1)1325 °C (124 h)5.646(1)7.942(1)1325 °C (124 h)5.646(1)7.942(1)1325 °C (124 h)5.646(1)7.944(1)1325 °C (124 h)5.647(1)7.942(2)1325 °C (76 h)5.648(1)7.942(2)	1225 °C (0.1 h)5.576(1)7.904(1)1.0023(3)1225 °C (0.1 h)5.576(1)7.906(1)1.0026(3)1250 °C (0.1 h)1275 °C (8 h)5.591(1)7.891(1)0.9980(3)1275 °C (8 h)5.610(1)7.901(1)0.9959(3)1300 °C (18 h)5.631(1)7.917(2)0.9942(4)1300 °C (40 h)5.632(1)7.919(1)0.9942(3)1300 °C (40 h)5.634(1)7.921(1)0.9948(3)1300 °C (40 h)5.633(1)7.925(1)0.9948(3)1300 °C (24 h)5.638(1)7.932(1)0.9948(3)1300 °C (36 h)5.641(1)7.931(1)0.9944(3)1325 °C (36 h)5.642(1)7.936(1)0.9944(3)1325 °C (38 h)5.642(1)7.935(1)0.9944(3)1325 °C (100 h)5.645(1)7.940(1)0.9945(3)1325 °C (124 h)5.647(1)7.942(1)0.9948(3)1325 °C (124 h)5.646(1)7.944(1)0.9943(3)1325 °C (124 h)5.647(1)7.942(1)0.9943(3)1325 °C (124 h)5.647(1)7.942(1)0.9949(3)1325 °C (148 h)5.647(1)7.942(1)0.9949(3)1325 °C (148 h)5.647(1)7.942(2)0.9949(4)1325 °C (76 h)5.648(1)7.942(2)0.9943(4)

WO<sub>3</sub> ground together in stoichiometric ratios and calcined at 900 °C in air for 3 h; the products were gray for  $0 \le x \le 0.5$  and red-brown for  $0.5 \le x \le 1.0$ . These products were ground and pelletized into half-inch-diameter pellets 3 to 5 mm thick. The pellets were then annealed in a flowing mixture of 1% H<sub>2</sub>/Ar at the temperatures and for the times indicated in Table I. All samples were then cooled in this atmosphere to room temperature at a rate of 180 °C/h; the pellets of the compositions  $0 \le x \le 0.75$  were blue-black in color while they were reddish-brown gray for  $0.75 \le x \le 1$ .

#### **B.** Structure

The identification of all the phases and the determination of room-temperature lattice constants were accomplished with a Philips APD 3520 powder x-ray diffractometer and Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54059$  Å); Mo was the internal standard. The data were collected in steps of 0.020° over the range  $10^{\circ} \le 2\theta \le 100^{\circ}$ . Lattice constants were refined by a least-squares method developed by Novak and Colville.<sup>14</sup> The lattice constants for the different compositions are found in Table I.

All samples were single-phase and exhibited a series of superstructure reflections due to ordering of the Fe and Mo/W atoms on the octahedral *B* sites of the perovskite structure. In this study, tetragonal crystal symmetry with space group I4/mmm (Z=2) was observed for all compositions  $0 \le x \le 1$ . As shown in Fig. 1, the *a* lattice parameter increases monotonically with *x* to  $x \approx 0.92$  whereas the *c* parameter passes through a minimum near  $x \approx 0.3$  and the axial

ratio  $c/\sqrt{2a}$  decreases from a value  $1.0023 \pm 0.0003$  at x = 0 to an almost constant value of  $0.9945 \pm 0.0004$  for  $x \ge 0.75$ . The change of cell volume with x shows a sharp deviation from Végard's law that can be interpreted as a progressive change from itinerant to localized electronic behavior of the minority-spin electrons in the interval 0.3 < x < 0.93, see Fig. 1(d). According to the virial theorem, which states

$$2\langle T \rangle + \langle V \rangle = 0$$

for central-force fields, an increase in mean kinetic energy  $\langle T \rangle$  as more minority-spin electrons become localized requires an increase in the magnitude  $|\langle V \rangle|$  of the mean potential energy; for antibonding electrons, an increase in  $|\langle V \rangle|$  is accomplished by an increase in the mean equilibrium Fe-O-Mo/W bond length. The tetragonal distortion is due to a cooperative rotation of the octahedral sites about an [001] axis; this distortion leads to an axial ratio  $c/\sqrt{2}a > 1$ . Therefore, the decrease in  $c/\sqrt{2}a$  with increasing x to a value less than unity must signal a lowering of the  $d_{xy}$  relative to the  $d_{yz\pm izx}\pi^*$  states as would occur for a cooperative Jahn-Teller deformation at localized Fe<sup>2+</sup> with high-spin configurations; in the paramagnetic phase, spin-orbit coupling would suppress a cooperative Jahn-Teller distortion of opposite sign that optimizes the orbital angular momentum. The minimum in the c versus x curve of Fig. 1(b) reflects the anomalous increase in cell volume with x for x > 0.3.

The degree of *B*-site ordering on the octahedral sites was not determined by Rietveld refinement of the powder x-ray diffraction patterns. Instead, a qualitative estimate of *B*-site ordering was determined by observing the experimental relative intensity of the most intense superstructure reflection, which is the (101) reflection for I4/mmm. A monotonic increase in the relative intensity of this reflection with increasing *x* is consistent with the observation of Kobayashi *et al.*<sup>10</sup> of increasing order as *x* increases; the Coulomb forces responsible for order would be greater for W<sup>6+</sup> and Fe<sup>2+</sup> compared to Mo<sup>(5+ $\delta$ )+</sup> and Fe<sup>(3- $\delta$ )+</sup>.

## **C.** Transport properties

Since resistivity measurements on polycrystalline samples contain a grain-boundary component whereas thermoelectric-power measurements reflect the intragrain properties, we measured the Seebeck coefficient  $\alpha(T)$  for the entire solid-solution range, Fig. 2. The measurements were performed with a laboratory-built apparatus as described elsewhere.<sup>15</sup> Data for insulating samples such as Sr<sub>2</sub>FeWO<sub>6</sub> became unreliable at low temperatures due to the impedance limits of the apparatus. A correction was applied to compensate for the small contribution to  $\alpha$  from the copper leads.

The x=0 sample showed typical *n*-type metallic behavior with a linear temperature dependence. The metallic component remained dominant in the x=0.25 sample, but a transition from *n*-type to *p*-type conductivity was found at  $T_{np} \approx 190$  K in the x=0.50 sample that cannot be attributed to a phonon drag enhancement;  $T_{np}$  increases with *x* and a crossover from *n*-type to *p*-type conduction at room temperature occurs in the range 0.80 < x < 0.85. For  $x \ge 0.85$ , the conduc-



FIG. 1. The variation with W content x of (a) a, (b) c, (c) axial ratio  $c/\sqrt{2a}$ , and (d) cell volume V for the tetragonal system  $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6$ .

tion is *p*-type at all temperatures; for  $0.89 \le x \le 1.00$ , a minimum in  $\alpha(T)$  develops near 150 K that becomes sharper with increasing *x*.

To interpret these data, we consider the evolution of the  $\pi^*$  band states with increasing *x*. Perfectly ordered

 $Sr_2FeMoO_6$  would have threefold-degenerate minority-spin  $\pi^*$  bands one-sixth filled; therefore, we can expect *n*-type metallic behavior. The tungsten enters as W<sup>6+</sup>, which removes *x* states per formula unit per  $\pi^*$  band and (x-y) more are removed to form localized configurations at Fe<sup>2+</sup>



FIG. 2. The variation of the thermoelectric power  $\alpha(T)$  for different x in the system Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMo<sub>1-x</sub>W<sub>x</sub>O<sub>6</sub>.

ions such that y decreases to zero as x increases to x=1. Stabilization of the minority-spin  $d_{xy}$  band relative to the  $d_{yz\pm izx}$  bands would reduce the effective number of states in the conduction band to (2-2x+y)/formula unit whereas the number of electrons in the band would be (1-y)/formula unit. Conduction in the  $d_{xy} \pi^*$  band would become *p*-type for (1-y)/(2-2x+y) > 0.5 or x > 1.5y. Stabilization of the  $d_{xy} \pi^*$  band can be expected to increase with decreasing temperature as well as with decreasing bandwidth as *x* increases. Since the itinerant electrons would dominate  $\alpha(T)$  so long as the percolation threshold for  $\pi^*$ -band formation is not exceeded, the evolution of the  $\alpha(T)$  curves with increas-

ing x can be understood for x < 0.75 from this qualitative argument. The change from metalliclike to semiconducting behavior in the range 0.75 < x < 0.85, as also noted by Kobayashi *et al.*<sup>10</sup> from resistivity data, is consistent with a crossing of the percolation threshold for perovskites.

Beyond threshold for percolation of  $\pi^*$ -band states, i.e., for  $x \ge 0.85$ , the thermoelectric power becomes increasingly dominated by hole conduction on the Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> couple. At lower temperatures, the mobile holes are progressively trapped out. At higher temperatures, thermal excitation of electrons from trap states to Fe<sup>3+</sup> appears to reduce the number of mobile charge carriers, particularly for x > 0.89. At x= 0.85, variable-range hopping between itinerant-electron clusters is probably the dominant conduction mechanism.

### D. Magnetic data

Magnetic data were taken with a Quantum Design dc-SQUID magnetometer in the temperature range  $5 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 700 \text{ K}$  and in applied magnetic fields from -50 kOe to 50 kOe. All samples in the range  $0 \leq x \leq 0.80$  had magnetization curves typical of a ferromagnet. The *M*-*H* curves taken at 5 K were similar to those reported by Kobayashi *et al.*<sup>10</sup> As shown in Fig. 3(a), the curves for  $0 \leq x \leq 0.50$  showed little hysteresis whereas the x=0.75 and 0.80 samples had hysteresis loops typical of that for a hard ferromagnet. Whereas saturation is achieved by 10 kOe for  $x \leq 0.50$ , it is not obtained even at 50 kOe for x=0.75 and 0.80, Fig. 3(b).

We<sup>8</sup> have attributed the low remanence  $M_r$  and coercivity  $H_{ci}$  in the x=0 sample to the presence of antiphase boundaries. Woodward et al.<sup>16</sup> have observed antiphase boundaries in two double-perovskite systems. Antiferromagnetic Fe-O-Fe interactions across an antiphase boundary would couple ferromagnetic regions on either side antiferromagnetically in low applied fields; but the ferromagnetic regions are large enough for the magnetic energy in 10 kOe to overcome the exchange energy across a boundary. Moreover, the orbital angular momentum of itinerant electrons is strongly suppressed, so the magnetocrystalline anisotropy is small. However, an increasing population of localized-electron Fe<sup>2+</sup> configurations and the introduction of antiferromagnetic regions as x approaches the percolation threshold would introduce local anisotropies and make the M-H hysteresis loops appear more typical of a hard magnet that does not saturate even in a field of 50 kOe. For  $x \ge 0.85$ , where the ferromagnetic clusters do not percolate in zero magnetic field, M-H hysteresis loops at 5 K are still found, Fig. 4; they change smoothly into the anhysteretic straight line of the antiferromagnetic phase at x = 1.0. The magnetic properties of the system at T=5 K are summarized in Table II.

Our magnetic susceptibility data for antiferromagnetic  $Sr_2FeWO_6$  were identical to those given by Kobayashi *et al.*<sup>10</sup> Figure 5 shows the molar magnetic susceptibility  $\chi_{mol}(T)$  in a magnetic field of 100 Oe (FC) or in zero field (ZFC) for samples  $0.85 \le x \le 0.95$ . Before any measurements were made, the samples were heated to room temperature to remove any previous magnetic history. The data show spinglass behavior typical of ferromagnetic clusters coupled through an antiferromagnetic matrix. The spin-freezing tem-



FIG. 3. The *M*-*H* hysteresis loops of the ferromagnetic compositions (a) x = 0.25 and 0.50 and (b) x = 0.75 and 0.80 of the system Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMo<sub>1-x</sub>W<sub>x</sub>O<sub>6</sub> at T = 5 K. Insets (i) and (ii) in (a) show the low coercivities and low remanences for x = 0.25 and x = 0.50, respectively.

perature  $T_f$  was taken as the maximum in the ZFC  $\chi_{mol}(T)$  curves. A Curie temperature  $T_c^* > T_f$  for the clusters decreases with the cluster size as x increases, as can be seen in the phase diagram of Fig. 6. An abrupt drop from  $T_c \approx 400$  K for the percolating matrix to  $T_c^*$  for the isolated clusters occurs in the interval  $0.75 \le x \le 0.80$ , Table III.

Although saturation was achieved in the *M*-*H* loops for  $x \leq 0.50$ , the saturation magnetization increased with *x* to



FIG. 4. The *M*-*H* hysteresis loops of different spin-glass compositions of  $0.85 \le x < 1.00$  in the system  $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6$  at T = 5 K.

only about  $3.1\mu_B$ /formula unit, significantly below the theoretical spin-only moment  $M_s(0)=4.0\mu_B$ /formula unit for ideal *B*-site ordering. The *B*-site ordering clearly increased with *x* in this interval as was also found by Kobayashi *et al.*<sup>10</sup>

The field dependence of the paramagnetic inverse molar susceptibility  $\chi_{\text{mol}}^{-1}(T)$  of Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMoO<sub>6</sub> is shown in Fig. 7 for 300 K $\leq$ *T* $\leq$ 700 K. The Weiss constant  $\theta$  is seen to shift, for x=0, from  $\theta < T_c$  to  $\theta > T_c$ . Since the magnetic energy for a shift of the density of minority-spin electrons from Mo to Fe

TABLE II. The magnetic properties of the system  $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6$  at T=5 K. M(50 kOe),  $M_r$ , and  $H_{ci}$  are the magnetization at 50 kOe, the remanent magnetization, and the coercivity, respectively.

x	$\frac{M(50 \text{ kOe})}{(\mu_B/\text{f.u.})}$	$M_r$ ( $\mu_B$ /f.u.)	H <sub>ci</sub> (Oe)
0.00	2.69(2)	0.37	75(5)
0.25	3.02(2)	0.48	65(5)
0.50	3.09(2)	0.69	170(5)
0.75	2.57(2)	1.15	1460(5)
0.78	2.44(2)	1.07	2230(5)
0.79	2.33(2)	1.00	2740(5)
0.80	2.23(2)	0.92	3050(5)
0.85	1.76(2)	0.50	3890(5)
0.86	1.58(2)	0.38	3960(5)
0.87	1.42(2)	0.29	3900(5)
0.88	1.30(2)	0.20	3100(5)
0.90	1.00(2)	0.074	1830(5)
0.91	0.89(2)	0.046	1400(5)
0.92	0.80(2)	0.029	1040(5)
0.94	0.59(2)	0.010	550(5)
0.95	0.52(2)	0.005	340(5)
0.96	0.36(2)	0.001	90(5)
0.97	0.43(2)	0.003	260(5)
0.98	0.31(2)	0	60(5)
1.00	0.23(2)	0	15(5)

is small compared to the bandwidth, this finding is quite remarkable. The magnitude of the effect implies, for a homogeneous system, a feedback mechanism that amplifies a shift in electron density. Such a feedback may reside in the sensitivity of the equilibrium Fe-O bond length to the degree of localization of the electrons via the virial theorem. However, it could only happen with overlapping redox energies and a bandwidth near the transition from localized to itinerant electronic behavior. This anomalous behavior is retained in the Sr<sub>2-x</sub>Ca<sub>x</sub>FeMoO<sub>6</sub> system, but it is suppressed by x=0.25 in the Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMo<sub>1-x</sub>W<sub>x</sub>O<sub>6</sub> system.

Alternatively, the anomalous character illustrated in Fig. 7 can be attributed to a chemical inhomogeneity (we found no evidence for an impurity phase) associated with the lack of ideal long-range order of the Fe and Mo atoms. If the chemical inhomogeneity introduces a local ferrimagnetic moment M' having a Curie temperature  $T_c > T_c$ , then the measured molar susceptibility  $\chi_{\text{meas}} = \chi_{\text{mol}} + (M'/H)$ , where  $\chi_{\text{mol}}$  is the intrinsic molar magnetic susceptibility. For a small M' and a large applied field H, (M'/H) is negligible compared to  $\chi_{\rm mol}$ , so a measurement in 50 kOe approaches the intrinsic  $\chi_{\rm mol}$ . In a lower field ( $H = 2.5 \,\mathrm{kOe}$ ), an  $M' = 8.21 \,\mathrm{emu/mol}$  $(0.0015 \mu_B/\text{formula unit})$  would add an (M'/H) term capable of giving  $\chi_{\text{meas}}$  the character of the 2.5 kOe curve in Fig. 7. Measurement of M versus H at 600 K from 0 to 50 kOe and back gave a straight line that passed through zero within our experimental error, but an M' $\approx 0.0015 \mu_B$ /formula unit is at the limit of our resolution.



FIG. 5. The variation of the ZFC and FC (H=100 Oe) molar susceptibility  $\chi_{mol}(T)$  for different compositions of  $0.85 \le x \le 0.95$  in the system Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMo<sub>1-x</sub>W<sub>x</sub>O<sub>6</sub>. The spin-glass freezing transition is indicated for some of the compositions.



TABLE III. The type of magnetic behavior and magnetic transition temperatures of compositions in the system  $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6(0 \le x \le 1)$ . F, SG, SP, and AF refer to ferromagnetism, spin glass, superparamagnetic clusters, and antiferromagnetism, respectively.  $T_f$ ,  $T_c^*$ ,  $T_c$ , and  $T_N$  are the spin-glass freezing temperature, the Curie temperature of ferromagnetic clusters, the Curie temperature of long-range ferromagnetic order, and the Néel temperature, respectively.

x	Type of magnetic behavior	<i>T<sub>r</sub></i> (K) (100 Oe)	$T_C^*(\mathbf{K})$	<i>T<sub>C</sub></i> (K)	$T_N(\mathbf{K})$
0.00	F			412(2)	
0.25	F			398(2)	
0.50	F			398(2)	
0.75	F			392(2)	
0.78	F			238(2)	
0.79	F			230(2)	
0.80	F			202(2)	
0.85	SG+SP	50(1)	192(2)		
0.86	SG+SP	51(1)	195(2)		
0.87	SG+SP	46(1)	192(2)		
0.88	SG+SP	42(1)	188(2)		
0.90	SG+SP	34(1)	180(2)		
0.91	SG+SP	34(1)	178(2)		
0.92	SG+SP	34(1)	168(2)		
0.94	SG+SP	36(1)	154(2)		
0.95	SG+SP	36(1)	140(2)		
0.96	SG	38(1)			
0.97	SG	38(1)			
0.98	SG	38(1)			
1.00	AF				39(1)

A candidate chemical inhomogeneity would be the following. At an antiphase boundary, two (111) all-Fe planes may be nearest neighbors; they would be negatively charged with respect to the local charge neutrality. The exchange of adjacent (111) Mo and Fe layers on one side would produce three (111) all-Fe planes; the added negative charge in the triple Fe layers would be more than compensated by a positive double all-Mo layer. Such an exchange would increase the electrostatic Madelung energy associated with the defect. Strong 180° Fe-O-Fe antiferromagnetic superexchange interactions between the three all-Fe layers (LaFeO<sub>3</sub> has a  $T_N$ = 750 K) would give a local ferrimagnetic moment M'. Such a chemical inhomogeneity would not show up as a structural second-phase impurity, but it could influence the paramagnetic susceptibility.

# CONCLUSIONS

The five questions that motivated this work have been answered as follows.

(1) The transition from itinerant to localized minority-spin electrons produces an anomalous expansion with x of the cell volume as predicted from the virial theorem.

(2) The evolution of the thermoelectric power  $\alpha(T)$  with

FIG. 6. The electronic and magnetic phase diagram of the tetragonal system  $Sr_2FeMo_{1-x}W_xO_6$  ( $0 \le x \le 1$ ). FM=ferromagnetic metal, PM=paramagnetic metal, PI=paramagnetic insulator, SP=superparamagnetic, SGI=spin-glass insulator, and AFI=antiferromagnetic insulator.



FIG. 7. The variation of the paramagnetic inverse molar susceptibility  $\chi_{mol}^{-1}(T)$  with temperature for Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMoO<sub>6</sub> (1225 °C) in *H* = 2500 Oe and 50 kOe.

*x* shows a change from *p*-type to *n*-type with increasing temperature at a  $T_{np}$  that increases monotonically with *x* throughout the compositional range  $0.2 \le x \le 0.75$  where the  $\pi^*$  electrons percolate through the structure. This change signals a stabilization of the  $d_{xy} \pi^*$  band relative to the  $d_{yz\pm izx} \pi^*$  bands with decreasing temperature and as the band narrows with increasing *x*. This relative band stabilization also reduces the axial ratio  $c/\sqrt{2}a$  to a value less than unity.

(3) For all  $x \le 0.5$ , the *M*-*H* curves attain saturation by 10 kOe, but they exhibit low remanence  $M_r$  and coercivity  $H_{ci}$ .

However, with the development of antiferromagnetic inclusions and localized Fe<sup>2+</sup> configurations as *x* increases to the percolation threshold for itinerant  $\pi^*$  electrons, a strong anisotropy develops and saturation is not achieved by 50 kOe. The *M*-*H* curves evolve smoothly to that of an antiferromagnet only at *x*=1.0, and a spin-glass freezing temperature  $T_f$  extrapolates smoothly to the Néel temperature  $T_N \approx 39$  K of the *x*=1.0 compound.

(4) The field dependence of the paramagnetic inverse molar susceptibility  $\chi_{mol}^{-1}(T)$  found at x=0 is suppressed by x = 0.25, which contrasts with the  $\text{Sr}_{2-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{FeMoO}_6$  system. This observation is consistent with a shift of the minorityspin electron density toward the Fe subarray with the introduction of W<sup>6+</sup> near neighbors and with the Mössbauer data of Nakagawa *et al.*,<sup>11</sup> which showed an increase of 0.19  $\pm 0.05$  mm/s in the isomer shifts between x=0 and x=0.2. If the anomalous paramagnetic susceptibility of Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMoO<sub>6</sub> is due to chemical inhomogeneities, it may be suppressed by the greater degree of atomic order in the samples containing tungsten.

(5) The phase diagram of Fig. 6 shows retention of the ferromagnetic Curie temperature  $T_c$  near 400 K to x=0.75 and spin-glass behavior for 0.8 < x < 1.0, which indicates a percolation threshold for itinerant minority-spin electrons near x=0.80. The spin-glass compositions exhibit *p*-type conductivity on the Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> couple of the matrix of localized-electron configurations; a change from *n*-type to *p*-type itinerant-electron conduction with increasing *x* and decreasing temperature can be interpreted as a relative stabilization of the  $d_{xy}$  relative to the  $d_{yz\pm izx}\pi^*$  electrons. Only the x=1.0 composition showed antiferromagnetic behavior with the spin-freezing temperature  $T_f$  of the spin-glass phase extrapolating appropriately to  $T_N$  of the x=1.0 sample.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the National Science Foundation and the Robert A. Welch Foundation of Houston, TX, for financial support.

- <sup>1</sup>A. W. Sleight and R. Ward, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 1088 (1961).
- <sup>2</sup>G. Blasse, Philips Res. Rep. **20**, 327 (1965).
- <sup>3</sup>G. Blasse, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **27**, 993 (1965).
- <sup>4</sup>H. Kawanaka, I. Hase, S. Toyama, and Y. Nishihara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **68**, 2890 (1999).
- <sup>5</sup>A. W. Sleight and J. F. Weiher, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **33**, 679 (1972).
- <sup>6</sup>K.-I. Kobayashi, T. Kimura, H. Sawada, K. Terakura, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) **395**, 677 (1998).
- <sup>7</sup>A. S. Ogale, S. B. Ogale, R. Ramesh, and T. Venkatesan, Appl. Phys. Lett. **75**, 537 (1999).
- <sup>8</sup>J. B. Goodenough and R. I. Dass, Int. J. Inorg. Mat. 2, 3 (2000).
- <sup>9</sup>J. Fontcuberta is thanked for independently pointing this out.

- <sup>10</sup>K.-I. Kobayashi, T. Okuda, Y. Tomioka, T. Kimura, and Y. Tokura, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **218**, 17 (2000).
- <sup>11</sup>T. Nakagawa, K. Yoshikawa, and S. Nomura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 27, 880 (1969).
- <sup>12</sup>C. Gleitzer and J. B. Goodenough, Struct. Bonding (Berlin) **61**, 1 (1985).
- <sup>13</sup>J. Lindén, T. Yamamoto, M. Karppinen, H. Yamauchi, and T. Pietari, Appl. Phys. Lett. **76**, 2925 (2000).
- <sup>14</sup>G. A. Novak and A. A. Colville, Am. Mineral. 74, 488 (1989).
- <sup>15</sup>J. B. Goodenough, J.-S. Zhou, and J. Chan, Phys. Rev. B 47, 5275 (1993).
- <sup>16</sup>P. Woodward, R. D. Hoffmann, and A. W. Sleight, J. Mater. Res. 9, 2118 (1994).