Dynamic theory of deformable solids with quasiparticle excitations in the presence of electromagnetic fields

Dimitar I. Pushkarov*

Institute of Solid State Physics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia 1784, Bulgaria
(Received 29 October 1997; revised manuscript received 13 October 1999)

A full self-consistent set of equations is deduced to describe the kinetics and dynamics of charged quasi-particles (electrons, holes, etc.) with arbitrary dispersion law in crystalline solids subjected to time-varying deformations. The set proposed unifies the nonlinear elasticity theory equation, a Boltzmann kinetic equation for quasiparticle excitations, and Maxwell's equations supplemented by the constitute relations. The kinetic equation used is valid for the whole Brillouin zone. It is compatible with the requirement for periodicity in k space and contains an essential new term compared to the traditional form of the Boltzmann equation. The theory is exact in the frame of the quasiparticle approach and can be applied to metals and semiconductors, as well as to other crystalline solids including quantum crystals and low-dimensional lattice structures. Instructive examples concerning the form of the Fokker-Plank equation as well as the pinning of effective magnetic induction lines in deformable metals are considered.

I. INTRODUCTION

There are two fundamental problems when dealing with quasiparticles in crystalline structures. The first one is related to the fact that the quasimomentum $\mathbf{k}$ and the dispersion law $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$ of a quasiparticle are well defined only in an ideal periodic lattice. In such a lattice the dispersion law and all other physical quantities are periodic functions in the reciprocal space (k space). However, in any real system the crystal lattice is deformed (e.g., by impurities, elastic deformations, external fields, etc.). The most complicated problems concern the quasiparticle dynamics in a time-varying deformed crystal lattice. In an exact description all physical characteristics of a quasiparticle have to be periodic functions of the quasimomentum with periods which are functions of the coordinates and the time. This leads to a dependence of the Brillouin zone boundaries not only on the deformation at a given instant, but also on the local lattice velocity.[1,2]

As for the stationary (or quasistationary) case, this difficulty has usually been passed over by introducing a local dispersion law $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, u_{ik})$ and further expansion in powers of the small deformation tensor components u_{ik}:

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, u_{ik}) = \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) + \lambda_{ik}(\mathbf{k}) u_{ik}, \qquad (1)$$

where λ_{ik} are the deformation potential components,

$$u_{ik}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_k}\right), \qquad (2)$$

and $\mathbf{u}$ is the deformation vector. Such an approach (known as the local lattice approach) is based on the assumption that the deformations are small and smooth functions of position and time and possesses all shortcomings of any linearized theory. In addition, the Boltzmann transport equation becomes incompatible with the requirement of periodicity. To avoid any misunderstandings, note that Eqs. (1) and (2) are written in the comoving frame.

The second problem is related to the fact that the crystal lattice plays the role of a privileged coordinate frame and no Galilean transformations for quasiparticle characteristics exist. The lack of transformation laws for such quantities as the energy, Hamiltonian, and quasimomentum means, in fact, that there is no consistent quasiparticle mechanics. The most fundamental quantity, the dispersion law, is known in a co-moving frame attached to the lattice and this frame is even not inertial at time-varying deformations. On the other hand, all fundamental physical equations, such as conservation laws, variational principles, kinetic equations, etc., take place in the laboratory frame (L system). However, the concept of the dispersion law does not exist in the L system. Hence, in principle, even if the mechanics equations for a quasiparticle were known in the C system, they remain unknown in the L system.

These problems are as old as the quasiparticle approach itself. They are well known, for example, in the theory of metals.[3–6] Many attempts have been made to derive a complete system of dynamic equations, consisting of equations from the theory of elasticity, the Boltzmann kinetic equation for the electron gas, and Maxwell's equations (cf. Refs. 7 and 8 and references cited therein).

The attempts to deduce the equations of motion for a quasiparticle in an L system can be divided into two groups corresponding to the twofold role of the dispersion law. In the comoving frame it coincides with both the energy and Hamiltonian. Therefore transformations typical of the energy and Hamilton function have been proved.

When considering $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, u_{ik})$ as the Hamiltonian a transformation by a substitutional function of the form

$$\bar{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, t) = [\mathbf{r}' + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}', t)]\mathbf{p} \qquad (3)$$

has been used and the following relations have been obtained (see, e.g., Refs. 7 and 8):

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{up}), \quad \tilde{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, t) = \tilde{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}', t) + \dot{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{p},$$

where $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}' + \mathbf{u}$ are the coordinates in the L system and $\mathbf{p}$ is supposed to be the corresponding quasimomentum. However, $\widetilde{\Phi}$ does not depend on the bare mass m of the quasiparticle and therefore does not take into account any inertial effects (e.g., the Stewart-Tolman effect in metals, centrifugal forces in rotating bodies, etc.).

If $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, u_{ik})$ is considered as quasiparticle energy, then the Galilean transformation applies as a consequence of the requirement that the energy density $\int \varepsilon d^3 k$ (as a macroscopic quantity) obey Galilean transformations. This yields

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} = \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) + \lambda_{ik}(\mathbf{k}) u_{ik} + m \dot{\mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_0}{\partial \mathbf{k}}. \tag{4}$$

It has been shown by many authors that such a transformation is incompatible with the Boltzmann equation. That is why some artificial ways have been used, the most successful being that of Landau (cf. the footnote in Ref. 7). He has suggested that (in order to take into account the noninertial properties of the lattice frame) one has first to add to the dispersion law (1) the term $-m \dot{\mathbf{u}} \, \partial \varepsilon / \partial \mathbf{k}$, setting

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, u_{ik}) = \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) + \lambda_{ik}(\mathbf{k}) u_{ik} - m \dot{\mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \mathbf{k}}, \tag{5}$$

and then to apply the transformation by the substitutional function (3). The result is

$$\widetilde{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, t) = \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) + \lambda_{ik}(\mathbf{k}) u_{ik} + \left(\mathbf{p} - m \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right) \dot{\mathbf{u}}. \tag{6}$$

This procedure has been used in most recent works. However, it cannot be well grounded due to its internal inconsistency. In fact, if we consider the expression (5) as energy and take a constant velocity $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$, then we come to the wrong conclusion, that the energy in an inertial frame could depend on the frame velocity. The same confusion follows for the energy density which must strictly obey the Galilean principle. Note that we may not consider Eq. (3) as a Hamiltonian, because the Hamilton function in a C system coincides with the dispersion law in accordance with the Hamilton equation $\dot{\mathbf{r}}' = \mathbf{v} = \partial \varepsilon / \partial \mathbf{k}$.

These shortcomings have been removed in our previous works[1,2,9] (see also Refs. 10 and 11) where transformations to replace the Galilean ones have been deduced and the quasiparticle mechanics equations in Hamiltonian form have been presented. This enabled us to derive a Boltzmann-like kinetic equation valid in the whole Brillouin zone. We have deduced a self-consistent set of equations for electrons in metals, taking into account some special features of the problem as the quasineutrality condition and neglecting the displacement current in Maxwell's equations. The magnetic permeability μ has also been taken to be constant ($\mu = 1$). These approximations were good enough to develop the electron plasma hydrodynamics in crystalline metals as well as to consider magnetohydrodynamic effects.[12]

The problem is more complicated in bad conductors and semiconductors, as well as at higher frequencies, when the displacement current cannot be neglected, the quasineutrality condition does not hold, and ϵ and μ are functions of the deformation. We give in this work the solution of this general case. The only assumption is that the constitute relations are linear, i.e., $D_i = \hat{\epsilon}_{ik} E_k$, $B_i = \hat{\mu}_{ik} H_k$.

In the present work we shall consider electrons, having in mind that the theory is valid for arbitrary quasiparticles. We suppose for simplicity that the crystal considered is isotropic in its undeformed state. This means that ϵ and μ are taken as scalars, but their derivatives with respect to coordinates are matrices and depend on the lattice symmetry. It is easy to generalize all the results for the case where ϵ and μ are tensors of the second rank. No essentially new results should be obtained for this case, but the corresponding relations are more cumbersome.

Finally, we would like to note that the problem considered is related to some old questions about the electromagnetic forces acting to the body, some specific features of electrodynamics in moving media, the form of the electromagnetic stress tensor in condensed matter, the role of momentum and quasimomentum, etc. Since we derive the full set of dynamics equations, all the forces are taken into account in a self-consistent way.

The paper is organized in the following way. In Sec. II. we introduce the variables (discrete coordinates and invariant quasimomentum), write evolution equations for lattice vectors in real and reciprocal spaces, and express metrical tensors, deformation tensor, etc., in the new notation. In Sec. III we reproduce briefly some of our previous results on the Hamilton equations and Boltzmann equation we need for this work. In Sec. IV, we deduce the full set of equations which describes the behavior of quasiparticles in deformable solids in electromagnetic fields. In Sec. V two simple applications are considered which cannot be done in the frame of previous theories.

II. NOTATION

Following our previous works[1,2] (see also Refs. 10,11 and 9) we consider a lattice with primitive vectors $\mathbf{a}_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, and introduce discrete coordinates N^α as the number of steps (each being equal to $\mathbf{a}_\alpha$) in the lattice from the origin to a given point. In this notation the differential coordinates $d\mathbf{r}$ which are considered as physically infinitesimal (i.e., large compared to the lattice constants but small compared to any macroscopic distance of interest) can be written in the form

$$d\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a}_\alpha dN^\alpha + \dot{\mathbf{u}} dt$$

or

$$dN^\alpha = \mathbf{a}^\alpha d\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a}^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} dt,$$

where $\mathbf{a}^\alpha$ are the reciprocal lattice vectors which satisfy the relations

$$\mathbf{a}_\alpha \mathbf{a}^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad a_{\alpha i} a_k^\alpha = \delta_{ik}. \tag{7}$$

It is seen from the above equations that

$$\mathbf{a}^\alpha = \nabla N^\alpha, \quad \mathbf{a}_\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial N^\alpha}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{u}} = -\mathbf{a}_\alpha \dot{N}^\alpha. \tag{8}$$

The time-evolution equations for the vectors $\mathbf{a}_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{a}^\alpha$ can be deduced from plain geometrical considerations (Appendix A) and written as follows:[1]

$$\dot{\mathbf{a}}_\alpha + (\dot{\mathbf{u}}\nabla)\mathbf{a}_\alpha - (\mathbf{a}_\alpha\nabla)\dot{\mathbf{u}} = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{a}}^\alpha + \nabla(\mathbf{a}^\alpha\dot{\mathbf{u}}) = 0. \tag{10}$$

In the notation used the metrical tensors in real and reciprocal space are, respectively,

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{a}_\alpha\mathbf{a}_\beta, \quad g^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{a}^\alpha\mathbf{a}^\beta. \tag{11}$$

Then the lattice cell volume equals $g^{1/2}$, where $g = \det g_{\alpha\beta}$.

The relations between the components of the metrical tensors and the deformation tensor u_{ik} follow from the expression for the interval:

$$ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta}dN^\alpha dN^\beta. \tag{12}$$

The squared interval between the same points in the undeformed crystal is

$$ds_0^2 = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta}dN^\alpha dN^\beta.$$

(Symbols with circles correspond to the undeformed lattice.) Hence

$$ds^2 - ds_0^2 = 2w_{\alpha\beta}dN^\alpha dN^\beta, \tag{13}$$

where

$$w_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\alpha\beta} - \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \tag{14}$$

plays the role of the deformation tensor in our notation. The invariance of the interval yields

$$w_{\alpha\beta}dN^\alpha dN^\beta = w_{ik}dx^i dx^k. \tag{15}$$

Taking into account relations (7) and (8) one obtains

$$w_{ik} = w_{\alpha\beta}a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta. \tag{16}$$

In order to obtain the components u_{ik} of the tensor of small deformations (2) as well as to find the relation between the deformation vector $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0$ and the discrete coordinates N^α introduced, let us note that the quantities $\partial u_i/\partial x_k$ obviously coincide with the matrix elements α_{ik} which describe the coordinate transformations

$$x_i = \mathring{x}_i + \alpha_{ik}\mathring{x}_k \tag{17}$$

and, consequently, the lattice vector transformations

$$a_{\beta i} = \mathring{a}_{\beta i} + \alpha_{ik}\mathring{a}_{\beta k}. \tag{18}$$

If the deformations are small, then

$$\mathbf{a}_\alpha = \mathring{\mathbf{a}}_\alpha + \delta\mathbf{a}_\alpha, \quad \mathbf{a}^\beta = \mathring{\mathbf{a}}^\beta + \delta\mathbf{a}^\beta. \tag{19}$$

Multiplying these two equations and taking into account relations (7) and (17) yields in a linear approximation with respect to $\delta\mathbf{a}^\beta$

$$\delta a_{\gamma i} = -(\mathring{a}_{\beta i}\delta a_k^\beta)\mathring{a}_{\gamma k} = \alpha_{ik}\mathring{a}_{\gamma k}. \tag{20}$$

On the other hand, the change of the discrete coordinates owing to the deformation can be written in the form

$$N^\alpha = N_0^\alpha - w^\alpha, \tag{21}$$

where w^α is the deviation from the value N_0^α in the ideal undeformed lattice. Taking into account Eq. (8) one obtains

$$\mathbf{a}^\alpha = \nabla N^\alpha = \mathring{\mathbf{a}}^\alpha - \nabla w^\alpha \tag{22}$$

and, hence,

$$\delta a_i^\alpha = -\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x_i}. \tag{23}$$

It follows from Eqs. (20) and (23) that

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} = \alpha_{ik} = \mathring{a}_{\alpha i}\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial \mathring{a}_{\alpha i}w^\alpha}{\partial x_k} \tag{24}$$

and therefore the deformation vector $\mathbf{u}$ and the deformation tensor u_{ik} in this linear case are related to their discrete coordinate analogues, w^α and $\mathring{w}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial w_\alpha/\partial N^\beta + \partial w_\beta/\partial N^\alpha)$, in the following way:

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathring{\mathbf{a}}_\alpha w^\alpha, \quad u_{ik} = \mathring{w}_{\alpha\beta}\mathring{a}_i^\alpha \mathring{a}_k^\beta, \tag{25}$$

where $w_\alpha = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta}w^\beta$ are the covariant components of $\mathbf{w}$.

In the same way one can obtain the full deformation tensor components:[10]

$$w_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathring{\mathbf{a}}_\alpha\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial N^\beta} + \mathring{\mathbf{a}}_\beta\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial N^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial N^\alpha}\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial N^\beta}\right). \tag{26}$$

III. DYNAMICS AND KINETICS OF QUASIPARTICLES

The starting point when deriving Hamilton equations for quasiparticles is that in the comoving frame (C system) the dispersion law $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, g^{\alpha\beta})$ coincides with both the Hamiltonian and energy. Hence, the equations of motion in the C system can be written in the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}' = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \mathbf{k}}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \mathbf{r}'}. \tag{27}$$

We have to determine Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, t)$ as a function of the coordinates $\mathbf{r}$ and quasimomentum $\mathbf{p}$ in the L system in a way to have canonical equations

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{p}} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{r}}. \tag{28}$$

According to the general theory of Hamilton mechanics, the Hamiltonian and the momentum can be obtained as derivatives of the action $S(\mathbf{r}, t)$ with respect to the time t and the coordinates $\mathbf{r}$. Equations (27) show that the variables $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ are canonically conjugate. However, if one considers $\mathbf{r}'$ as a continuous variable, then the corresponding quantity $\mathbf{k}$ has to be considered as momentum. Quasimomentum has to be conjugate to some discrete coordinate. Such coordinates $\mathbf{N}$ (with components N^α) were introduced in Sec. II. Hence, we are able to take the action $S(\mathbf{N}, t)$ as a function of

these coordinates and the time. Then we can determine a Hamiltonian $H(\boldsymbol{\kappa},\mathbf{N},t)$ and a new quasimomentum $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ as follows:

$$H(\boldsymbol{\kappa},\mathbf{N},t)=-\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}\right)_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \kappa_{\alpha}=\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial N^{\alpha}}\right)_{t}. \quad (29)$$

We call $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ the *invariant quasimomentum*, because all physical quantities, written as functions of $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$, are periodic with a constant period 2π (not $2\pi\mathbf{a}^{\alpha}$).

Let us consider the local dispersion law $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\kappa},g^{\alpha\beta})$ as a function of the invariant quasimomentum and the metrical tensor $g^{\alpha\beta}$. Since it coincides with the Hamiltonian, one has

$$\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\kappa},g^{\alpha\beta},t)=-\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}\right)_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \kappa_{\alpha}=\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial N^{\alpha}}\right)_{t}. \quad (30)$$

Now let us consider a real electron which is performing a quasiclassical motion. Its wave function in the new variables has the form

$$\psi(\mathbf{N},t)\sim\exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_0(\mathbf{N},t)\right\}, \quad (31)$$

where $S_0(\mathbf{N},t)$ is the classical action. The transformation law for the action follows from the transformation properties of the phase of the wave function under Galilean transformations:[13]

$$S=S_0+m\dot{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{r}-\frac{m\dot{u}^2}{2}t, \quad (32)$$

where m is the mass of a free particle.

The Hamiltonian and the quasimomentum in the laboratory frame can now be obtained as follows:[2]

$$\mathbf{p}=\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\right)_{t}=\mathbf{a}^{\alpha}k_{\alpha}+m\dot{\mathbf{u}}, \quad (33)$$

$$H(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r},t)=-\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}\right)_{\mathbf{r}}=\varepsilon+\mathbf{p}\dot{\mathbf{u}}-\frac{m\dot{u}^2}{2}, \quad (34)$$

where $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{p}-m\dot{\mathbf{u}}),g^{\alpha\beta})$ is a periodic function of $\mathbf{p}$ with periods $2\pi\hbar\mathbf{a}^{\alpha}$ determined by the reciprocal lattice vectors corresponding to the deformed local lattice. This is the reason to call $\mathbf{p}$ the *quasimomentum of the quasiparticle in the L system*.

It follows from Eq. (33) that

$$k_{\alpha}=\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{p}-m\dot{\mathbf{u}})=\mathbf{k}\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}. \quad (35)$$

Hence, the invariant quasimomentum components are equal to the scalar product of the usual quasimomentum $\mathbf{k}$ in the C system and the primitive vectors of the locally deformed lattice.

The energy $\mathcal{E}$ of a quasiparticle in the L system obeys the Galilean law

$$\mathcal{E}=\frac{m\dot{u}^2}{2}+m\dot{\mathbf{u}}\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\mathbf{p}}+\varepsilon=\frac{m\dot{u}^2}{2}+\mathbf{p}_0\dot{\mathbf{u}}+\varepsilon, \quad (36)$$

where $\mathbf{p}_0=m\partial\varepsilon/\partial\mathbf{p}$ is the average momentum (the mass flow) in the C system.

Equations (33)–(36) replace the Galilean transformations, which are not valid for quasiparticles because of the privileged role of the crystal lattice frame.

We are able now to write the Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{r}}-\mathbf{F}\right)=\hat{I}f. \quad (37)$$

where $\hat{I}$ is the collision operator. Note that this equation becomes well defined for quasiparticles only after obtaining the Hamiltonian and the Hamilton equations.

It has been shown in Ref. 2 that this equation is compatible with the requirement of periodicity. This can be seen also from its form if $f(\boldsymbol{\kappa},\mathbf{r},t)$ is taken as a function of the invariant quasimomentum $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ and the quantities $\mathbf{p}$ and H are substituted from Eqs. (33) and (34). This yields

$$\frac{df}{dt}+\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\mathbf{r}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}-\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\kappa_{\alpha}}\left\{m\frac{d\dot{\mathbf{u}}}{dt}+\left(\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\mathbf{r}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}\right.$$
$$\left.-m\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_{\beta}}\mathbf{a}_{\beta}\times\mathrm{curl}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}}-\mathbf{F}\right\}=\hat{I}f, \quad (38)$$

where $d/dt=\partial/\partial t+(\dot{\mathbf{u}}\nabla)$ and all quantities are differentiated with respect to the coordinates and the time at constant $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$.

The term $md\dot{\mathbf{u}}/dt$ takes into account noninertial properties of the local frame. This is the term which is responsible for the Stewart-Tolman effect in metals.

The term

$$m\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_{\beta}}\mathbf{a}_{\beta}\times\mathrm{curl}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}} \quad (39)$$

is of an *essentially new kind* and cannot be obtained in linear theories. It is proportional to the bare mass m and, hence, is also responsible for noninertial effects.

The Lorentz force in our notation has the form

$$\mathbf{F}=-e\mathbf{E}-\frac{e}{c}\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_{\alpha}}\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}\times\mathbf{B}-\frac{e}{c}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\times\mathbf{B}, \quad (40)$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the strengths of the electric field and magnetic induction, respectively. Substituting Eq. (40) into Eq. (38) yields the transport equation for charged quasiparticles with a charge $-e$ $(e>0)$:

$$\frac{df}{dt}+\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\mathbf{r}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_{\alpha}}-\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\kappa_{\alpha}}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\mathbf{r}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}+e\tilde{\mathbf{E}}+\frac{e}{c}\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_{\beta}}\mathbf{a}_{\beta}\times\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\right\}$$
$$=\hat{I}f, \quad (41)$$

where

$$e\tilde{\mathbf{E}}=e\mathbf{E}+\frac{e}{c}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\times\mathbf{B}+m\frac{d\dot{\mathbf{u}}}{dt}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{B}-\frac{mc}{e}\mathrm{curl}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}}. \quad (42)$$

The first expression in Eqs. (42) consists of two parts — the electrical force $e\mathbf{E}'$, where $\mathbf{E}'=\mathbf{E}+(1/c)\dot{\mathbf{u}}\times\mathbf{B}$ is the field in the comoving frame, and the inertial force $md\dot{\mathbf{u}}/dt$.

The second relation in Eqs. (42) can also be written in the form $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathrm{curl}[\mathbf{A} - (c/e)m\dot{\mathbf{u}}]$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is the vector potential in an agreement with the well-known rule of replacement of the particle momentum in a magnetic field $\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}$ where now $\mathcal{P} = m\dot{\mathbf{u}}$.

Taking into account that

$$m\frac{d\dot{\mathbf{u}}}{dt} = m\ddot{\mathbf{u}} + m(\dot{\mathbf{u}}\nabla)\dot{\mathbf{u}} = m\ddot{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla\frac{m\dot{\mathbf{u}}^2}{2} - m\dot{\mathbf{u}}\times\mathrm{curl}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}},$$

one can write the Boltzmann equation (41) in the form

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \left(\dot{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{a}_\alpha\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}\right)(\nabla f)_\kappa - \mathbf{a}_\alpha\frac{\partial f}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}\left\{[\nabla(\varepsilon + m\dot{\mathbf{u}}^2/2)]_\kappa + e\mathbf{E}\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{e}{c}\left(\dot{\mathbf{u}} + \frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_\beta}\mathbf{a}_\beta\right)\times\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} + m\ddot{\mathbf{u}}\right\} = \hat{I}f,$$

where the derivatives with respect to $\mathbf{r}$ are taken at constant κ. The quantity $\mathbf{V} = \dot{\mathbf{u}} + (\partial\varepsilon/\partial\kappa_\beta)\mathbf{a}_\beta$ is the velocity of the quasiparticle in the laboratory frame and, therefore,

$$\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}\left(\dot{\mathbf{u}} + \frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_\beta}\mathbf{a}_\beta\right)\times\mathbf{B}$$

is the electric field in the quasiparticle frame. The effective magnetic field $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}$, however, is changed by the inertial term $(mc/e)\mathrm{curl}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}}$.

We shall use also another form of the transport equation. The reason is that further on we need to integrate some physical quantities over the Brillouin zone and take integrals by parts as well as differentiate with respect to the coordinates and the time. However, the Brillouin zone boundaries are functions not only of the deformation at a given instant, but also of the local lattice velocity. The integration over the Brillouin zone does not commute with the differentiation with respect to the time and the coordinates. As a result some fluxes appear through the zone boundaries. This effect is important for nonequilibrium systems and open Fermi surfaces as well as for other cases when the partition function or its derivatives do not vanish on the zone boundaries. This inconvenience can be passed over by introducing a renormalized partition function

$$\varphi(\kappa,\mathbf{r},t) = f/\sqrt{g}. \tag{43}$$

The Boltzmann kinetic equation for $\varphi(\kappa,\mathbf{r},t)$ has the form

$$\dot{\varphi} + \mathrm{div}\left\{\left(\left(\dot{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{a}_\alpha\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}\right)\varphi\right\} - \mathbf{a}_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}\varphi\left\{\nabla\left(\varepsilon + \frac{m\dot{\mathbf{u}}^2}{2}\right)\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left. - m\left(\dot{\mathbf{u}} + \frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_\beta}\mathbf{a}_\beta\right)\times\mathrm{curl}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}} + m\ddot{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{F}\right\} = \hat{I}\varphi, \tag{44}$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is the acting force (say, Lorentz force). It is worth noting that the sum $\varepsilon + m\dot{u}^2/2$ in Eqs. (41) and (44) is not the quasiparticle energy $\mathcal{E}$. Eq. (36), in the L system. It can be expressed in terms of the energy or Hamiltonian. Relations (33)–(36) yield

$$\varepsilon + \frac{m\dot{u}^2}{2} = \mathcal{E} - \mathbf{p}_0\dot{\mathbf{u}} = H - \mathbf{k}\dot{\mathbf{u}},$$

where $\mathbf{p}_0 = m\mathbf{a}_\alpha\partial\varepsilon/\partial\kappa_\alpha$ is the average momentum in the C system and $\mathbf{k}$ is the corresponding quasimomentum.

The differentiation in Eq. (44) with respect to t and $\mathbf{r}$ is carried out at constant κ and hence commutes with $\int d^3\kappa$. Results obtained by such a procedure can easily be rewritten in the previously adopted variables by the following substitutions:

$$\mathbf{a}_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa_\alpha} \leftrightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{k}} \leftrightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{p}},$$

$$\langle f\cdots\rangle \equiv \int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi\hbar)^3}f(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r},t)\cdots = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\int\frac{d^3\kappa}{(2\pi\hbar)^3}f(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r},t)\cdots$$

$$= \int\frac{d^3\kappa}{(2\pi\hbar)^3}\varphi(\kappa,\mathbf{r},t)\cdots \equiv \langle\langle\varphi\cdots\rangle\rangle, \tag{45}$$

$$\int d^3r\cdots = \int d^3N^\alpha g^{1/2}\cdots. \tag{46}$$

IV. CONSERVATION LAWS AND DYNAMICS EQUATIONS

In order to avoid cumbersome expressions we shall make our consideration in three steps. First we write the conservation laws and consider the problem in a general form without taking into account the explicit form of Maxwell's equations and electromagnetic forces. Then we consider Maxwell's equations and constitute relations for moving media and finally we combine the results and obtain the full self-consistent set of equations.

We start with the conservation laws.

The continuity equation for quasiparticles is

$$m\dot{n} + \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{j}_0 = 0, \tag{47}$$

where

$$n = \langle\langle\varphi\rangle\rangle = \langle f\rangle, \quad \mathbf{j}_0 = m\left\langle\frac{\partial H}{\partial\mathbf{p}}f\right\rangle = m\left\langle\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\mathbf{p}}f\right\rangle + mn\dot{\mathbf{u}}. \tag{48}$$

This equation follows directly from the Boltzmann equation.[1]

The total mass current is

$$\mathbf{J}_0 = \rho\dot{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{j}_0', \tag{49}$$

where

$$\mathbf{j}_0' = m\left\langle\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\mathbf{p}}f\right\rangle \tag{50}$$

is the quasiparticle mass current with respect to the lattice and $\rho = \rho_0 + mn$ is the full mass density written as a sum of the lattice mass density ρ_0 and the quasiparticle mass density.

The quantities ρ and $\mathbf{J}_0$ satisfy the mass continuity equation

$$\dot{\rho}+\operatorname{div}\mathbf{J}_0=0. \tag{51}$$

The full momentum $\mathbf{J}$ is a sum of $\mathbf{J}_0$ and the field momentum $\mathbf{g}$:

$$\mathbf{J}=\mathbf{J}_0+\mathbf{g}. \tag{52}$$

Note that in this case *the full momentum does not coincide with the mass flow.*

Our aim is to determine momentum and energy fluxes Π_{ik} and $\mathbf{Q}$ in such a way as to satisfy the continuity equation (51), the momentum conservation law

$$\dot{J}_i+\nabla_k\Pi_{ik}=0, \tag{53}$$

and the energy conservation law

$$\dot{E}+\operatorname{div}\mathbf{Q}=0. \tag{54}$$

The energy in the L system is given by the expression

$$E=\frac{1}{2}\rho_0\dot{\mathbf{u}}^2+E_0(g^{\alpha\beta})+\langle\langle\mathcal{E}\varphi\rangle\rangle+W, \tag{55}$$

where $E_0(g^{\alpha\beta})$ is the strain energy in the C system, and W is the field energy.

The time derivative of the energy, Eq. (55), is then

$$\dot{E}=\rho\dot{\mathbf{u}}\ddot{\mathbf{u}}+\frac{1}{2}\dot{\rho}\dot{u}^2+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle\langle\varepsilon\varphi\rangle\rangle+m\ddot{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{a}_\alpha\left\langle\left\langle\varphi\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial k_\alpha}\right\rangle\right\rangle+\dot{E}_0$$
$$+m\dot{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\mathbf{a}}_\alpha\left\langle\left\langle\varphi\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial k_\alpha}\right\rangle\right\rangle+m\dot{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{a}_\alpha\left\langle\left\langle\varphi\frac{\partial\dot{\varepsilon}}{\partial k_\alpha}\right\rangle\right\rangle$$
$$+m\dot{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{a}_\alpha\left\langle\left\langle\dot{\varphi}\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial k_\alpha}\right\rangle\right\rangle+\dot{W}. \tag{56}$$

The time derivative of the elastic energy $E_0(g^{\alpha\beta})$ can be taken in the following way:

$$\dot{E}_0=\frac{\partial E_0}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}\dot{g}^{\alpha\beta}=-\sigma_{\alpha\beta}\dot{a}_i^\alpha a_i^\beta, \tag{57}$$

where

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}=-2\frac{\partial E_0}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}. \tag{58}$$

Substituting $\dot{a}_i^\alpha$ from the evolution equation (10) in Eq. (57) yields

$$\dot{E}_0=\sigma_{\alpha\beta}a_i^\beta\left(\frac{\partial a_i^\alpha}{\partial x_k}\dot{u}_k+a_k^\alpha\frac{\partial\dot{u}_k}{\partial x_i}\right). \tag{59}$$

On the other hand,

$$\nabla_k E_0=\frac{\partial E_0}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}\nabla_k g^{\alpha\beta}=-\sigma_{\alpha\beta}a_i^\beta\frac{\partial a_i^\alpha}{\partial x_k}. \tag{60}$$

It follows from Eqs. (59) and (60) that

$$\dot{E}_0=\sigma_{\alpha\beta}a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta\frac{\partial\dot{u}_i}{\partial x_k}-\dot{\mathbf{u}}\nabla E_0. \tag{61}$$

The time derivative of the full momentum, Eq. (52), gives

$$0=-\dot{\mathbf{J}}+\dot{\rho}\dot{\mathbf{u}}+\rho\ddot{\mathbf{u}}+m\dot{\mathbf{a}}_\alpha\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial k_\alpha}\varphi\right\rangle\right\rangle+m\mathbf{a}_\alpha\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial k_\alpha}\dot{\varphi}\right\rangle\right\rangle$$
$$+m\mathbf{a}_\alpha\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\partial\dot{\varepsilon}}{\partial k_\alpha}\varphi\right\rangle\right\rangle+\dot{\mathbf{g}}. \tag{62}$$

Multiplying Eq. (62) by $-\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ and adding the result to the right-hand side of Eq. (56) yields

$$\dot{E}=\dot{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\mathbf{J}}-\frac{1}{2}\dot{\rho}\dot{u}^2+\sigma_{\alpha\beta}a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta\frac{\partial\dot{u}_i}{\partial x_k}-m\ddot{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{a}_\alpha\left\langle\left\langle\varphi\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial k_\alpha}\right\rangle\right\rangle-\dot{\mathbf{u}}\nabla E_0$$
$$+\dot{W}-\dot{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\mathbf{g}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle\langle\varepsilon\varphi\rangle\rangle. \tag{63}$$

The last term is considered in Appendix B. Substituting the time derivatives $\dot{\mathbf{J}}$ and $\dot{\rho}$ by means of Eqs. (53) and (51) one obtains after cumbersome calculations

$$\dot{E}+\nabla_k\left\{\frac{1}{2}\rho u^2\dot{u}_k+\dot{u}_i(\Pi_{ik}-\rho\dot{u}_i\dot{u}_k+E_0\delta_{ik}+\langle\langle\varepsilon f\rangle\rangle\delta_{ik})\right.$$
$$\left.-\frac{1}{2}m\dot{u}^2\left\langle\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial p_k}f\right\rangle+\left\langle\varepsilon\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial p_k}f\right\rangle\right\}$$
$$=\frac{\partial\dot{u}_i}{\partial x_k}\{\Pi_{ik}-\rho\dot{u}_i\dot{u}_k+\sigma_{ik}-\langle\lambda_{ik}f\rangle+E_0\delta_{ik}-\dot{u}_i j_{0i}-\dot{u}_k j_{0i}\}$$
$$+\langle\varepsilon\hat{I}f\rangle+\left\langle\mathbf{F}\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\mathbf{p}}f\right\rangle+\dot{W}-\dot{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\mathbf{g}}, \tag{64}$$

where

$$\lambda_{ik}=2\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta, \quad \sigma_{ik}=-2\frac{\partial E_0}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta. \tag{65}$$

The last three terms in Eq. (64) describe the change of the field energy and field momentum and the effect of external forces. They depend on the concrete type of interaction.

If there are no external fields, then the last three terms in Eq. (64) should be omitted and the energy and momentum fluxes are

$$Q_i=E\dot{u}_i+\left\langle\varepsilon\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}f\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\dot{u}^2 J_i+\Pi_{ik}\dot{u}_k, \tag{66}$$

$$\Pi_{ik}=-(\sigma_{ik}+E_0\delta_{ik})+\rho\dot{u}_i\dot{u}_k+\langle\lambda_{ik}f\rangle-m\left\langle f\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial p_k}\right\rangle$$
$$+m\left\langle f\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k}\right\rangle. \tag{67}$$

The momentum flux tensor consists of two parts L_{ik} and P_{ik}, which correspond to the contributions of the lattice and quasiparticles, respectively:

$$L_{ik}=-(\sigma_{ik}+E_0\delta_{ik})+\rho_0\dot{u}_i\dot{u}_k, \tag{68}$$

$$P_{ik} = \langle \lambda_{ik}^0 f \rangle + m \left\langle f \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k} \right\rangle, \qquad (69)$$

where

$$\langle \lambda_{ik}^0 f \rangle = \langle \lambda_{ik} f \rangle - m \left\langle f \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial p_k} \right\rangle$$

is the quasiparticle momentum flux tensor in the system of center of mass while $\langle \lambda_{ik} f \rangle$ corresponds to the comoving frame. It can be shown (Appendix C) that the sum $\sigma_{ik} + E_0 \delta_{ik}$ corresponds (but coincides in linear approximation only) to the stress tensor of the linear elasticity theory and turns into pressure for isotropic media.

Finally, the equation of the elasticity theory for an elastic crystalline body with quasiparticle excitations in the absence of external fields takes the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \dot{u}_i) = -\frac{\partial \Pi_{ik}}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial j_{0i}}{\partial t}. \qquad (70)$$

The last term on the right-hand side describes the force which appears when varying the quasiparticle mass current with respect to the lattice.

Let us now consider the effect of the electromagnetic field. Maxwell's equations are

$$\text{curl } \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \qquad \text{curl } \mathbf{H} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\mathbf{j}_e + \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}, \qquad (71)$$

$$\text{div } \mathbf{D} = 4\pi q, \qquad \text{div } \mathbf{B} = 0, \qquad (72)$$

where $\mathbf{j}_e = \mathbf{j}_e' + q\dot{\mathbf{u}}$, $q = q_0 - en$ is the charge density (q_0 being the lattice charge), and

$$\mathbf{j}_e' = -\frac{e}{m}\mathbf{j}_0', \qquad e > 0, \qquad (73)$$

is the electron current density in the comoving frame.

We have now to take into account the field terms in Eq. (64) containing the densities of the field energy W, the field momentum $\mathbf{g}$, and the Lorentz force $\mathbf{F}$.

Before going on we would like to mention that the extraneous charges have to be considered as a separate system. They are not accounted for in the Boltzmann equation as well as in the Lorentz force (40). Therefore, one needs some additional equations. In metals, this additional equation is the quasineutrality condition[2] $q_0 = en$. This is a good approximation also for semiconductors at low frequencies. The behavior of the systems of electrons and other charges in the crystal depends on the problem considered. This is not the aim of our work. The presence of extraneous charges makes the whole system open and conservation laws (47)–(55) do not present a full system. One has to take into account both the mechanical work and that of the induced forces. If the only current carriers are electrons, then one has to put $q = 0$. However, in order to keep the general form of Maxwell's equations we shall compensate the missing contribution[14] to the time derivative of the field energy by a term $\dot{w} = -\dot{\mathbf{u}}[q\mathbf{E} + (1/c)\mathbf{j_e} \times \mathbf{B}]$.

Therefore, the full field contribution is

$$\dot{W}_f = \left\langle \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \mathbf{p}} f \right\rangle + \dot{W} - \dot{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\mathbf{g}} + \dot{w}. \qquad (74)$$

Maxwell's equations (71) and (72) are written in the L system. They have to be supplemented by constitute relations. However, one has to keep in mind that these relations have their known simple form only in the comoving frame. In that frame one has

$$\mathbf{D}' = \epsilon \mathbf{E}', \qquad \mathbf{B}' = \mu \mathbf{H}', \qquad (75)$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}' = \mathbf{D} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}, \qquad \mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}, \qquad (76)$$

$$\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}, \qquad \mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{D}, \qquad (77)$$

and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\mathbf{u}}$ is introduced for convenience.

It is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{vD} = \mathbf{vD}' = \epsilon \mathbf{vE}' = \epsilon \mathbf{vE}, \qquad \mathbf{vB} = \mathbf{vB}' = \mu \mathbf{vH}' = \mu \mathbf{vH}. \qquad (78)$$

Relations (75)–(78) are exact although Eqs. (76) and (77) coincide to the letter to the field transformations with an accuracy to v/c.[16]

When taking the time derivative of the field energy $\dot{W}$ in Eq. (74) one has to keep in mind that the permeabilities μ and ϵ in a nonstationary deformed media are functions of space and time. For example, the variation of the electrical part of the field energy in the lattice frame is

$$\delta W_E' = \frac{1}{4\pi}\mathbf{E}'\,\delta \mathbf{D}' = \frac{1}{4\pi}\{\mathbf{E}'^2\,\delta \epsilon + \epsilon \mathbf{E}'\,\delta \mathbf{E}'\} = \frac{\mathbf{E}'^2}{8\pi}\delta \epsilon + \delta \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{E}^2}{8\pi}.$$

Therefore, the full variation of W in time can be written in the form

$$\dot{W} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(\mathbf{E}\dot{\mathbf{D}} + \mathbf{H}\dot{\mathbf{B}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\mathbf{E}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B}\right)\left(\dot{\mathbf{D}}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \dot{\mathbf{H}}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\mathbf{H}' + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{D}\right)\left(\dot{\mathbf{B}}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \dot{\mathbf{E}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\epsilon \mathbf{E}'^2 + \mu \mathbf{H}'^2}{8\pi} + \frac{\mathbf{E}'^2}{8\pi}\dot{\epsilon} + \frac{\mathbf{H}'^2}{8\pi}\dot{\mu} + \mathbf{v}(\dot{\mathbf{g}} + \dot{\mathbf{G}})$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\epsilon(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}')^2 + \mu(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}')^2}{8\pi c^2} + \frac{(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}')^2}{8\pi c^2}\dot{\epsilon}$$

$$+ \frac{(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}')^2}{8\pi c^2}\dot{\mu} + 0(v^3/c^3), \qquad (79)$$

where

$$\mathbf{g} = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}}{4\pi c}, \qquad \mathbf{G} = \frac{\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi c}. \qquad (80)$$

From here on we shall restrict our consideration within an accuracy to v/c [neglecting terms $O(v^2/c^2)$]. Then

$$\dot{W}-v\dot{\mathbf{g}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\epsilon E'^{2}+\mu H'^{2}}{8\pi}+\frac{E'^{2}}{8\pi}\dot{\epsilon}+\frac{H'^{2}}{8\pi}\dot{\mu}+v\dot{\mathbf{G}}. \quad (81)$$

The quantities ϵ and μ are functions of the metrical tensor $g^{\alpha\beta}$. So their time derivatives $\dot{\epsilon}$ and $\dot{\mu}$ can be treated in the same way as the derivative of E_0 [cf. Eqs. (57)–(61)]. This yields

$$\dot{\epsilon}=-\epsilon_{ik}\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k}-v\nabla\epsilon, \quad \dot{\mu}=-\mu_{ik}\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k}-v\nabla\mu, \quad (82)$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ik}=2\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta, \quad \mu_{ik}=2\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta. \quad (83)$$

Substituting Eqs. (82) and (83) into Eq. (81) and making use of the Poynting theorem one obtains

$$\dot{W}-v\dot{\mathbf{g}}=-\operatorname{div}\mathbf{S}'-\mathbf{j}_e'\mathbf{E}'-\left(\frac{E'^{2}}{8\pi}\epsilon_{ik}+\frac{H'^{2}}{8\pi}\mu_{ik}\right)\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k}$$
$$-v\left(\frac{E'^{2}}{8\pi}\nabla\epsilon+\frac{H'^{2}}{8\pi}\nabla\mu\right)+v\dot{\mathbf{G}}. \quad (84)$$

Neglecting terms of the order $O(v^2/c^2)$ in Eq. (79) means that one can replace $\dot{\mathbf{G}}$ by $\dot{\mathbf{G}}'$. The time derivative $\dot{\mathbf{G}}'$ can be transformed using Maxwell's equations in the comoving frame. This yields

$$v\dot{\mathbf{G}}'=-v\left(q\mathbf{E}'+\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{j}_e'\times\mathbf{B}'\right)+v_i\nabla_k t_{ik}'$$
$$+v\left(\frac{E'^{2}}{8\pi}\nabla\epsilon+\frac{H'^{2}}{8\pi}\nabla\mu\right), \quad (85)$$

where

$$t_{ik}'=\frac{\epsilon}{4\pi}\left(E_i'E_k'-\frac{E'^{2}}{2}\delta_{ik}\right)+\frac{\mu}{4\pi}\left(H_i'H_k'-\frac{H'^{2}}{2}\delta_{ik}\right) \quad (86)$$

is Maxwell's stress tensor in the comoving frame. It is easy to show using Eqs. (76)–(78) that

$$v\left(q\mathbf{E}'+\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{j}_e'\times\mathbf{B}'\right)=v\left(q\mathbf{E}+\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{j}_e\times\mathbf{B}\right)=\mathbf{j}_e\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{j}_e'\mathbf{E}'.$$

The term which contains Lorentz force in Eq. (74) can be calculated by means of Eq. (40):

$$\left\langle \mathbf{F}\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\mathbf{p}}f\right\rangle=\mathbf{E}\left\langle-e\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\mathbf{p}}f\right\rangle-\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{B}\left\langle\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\mathbf{p}}\times\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\mathbf{p}}f\right\rangle$$
$$+\frac{1}{c}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\times\mathbf{B}\left\langle-e\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\mathbf{p}}f\right\rangle$$
$$=\mathbf{j}_e'\left(\mathbf{E}+\frac{\dot{\mathbf{u}}}{c}\times\mathbf{B}\right)=\mathbf{j}_e'\mathbf{E}'. \quad (87)$$

The same term, but with a negative sign exists also in Eq. (84). Therefore, the total work related to the Lorentz force (the mechanical one and that of the electromotive forces) equals zero as it should. The terms related to the extraneous charges cancel for the same reason. Finally,

$$\dot{W}_f=-\operatorname{div}\mathbf{S}'+\nabla_k v_i t_{ik}'-T_{ik}'\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k}, \quad (88)$$

where

$$T_{ik}'=\frac{1}{4\pi}\left\{\epsilon E_i'E_k'+\frac{E'^{2}}{2}(\epsilon_{ik}-\epsilon\delta_{ik})\right.$$
$$\left.+\mu H_i'H_k'+\frac{H'^{2}}{2}(\mu_{ik}-\mu\delta_{ik})\right\}. \quad (89)$$

Hence, one has to add the term

$$Q_i^f=S_i'-v_k t_{ik}'=S_i-v_i W \quad (90)$$

to the energy flux density in Eq. (66), as well as the term $-T_{ik}'$ to the momentum flux tensor Π_{ik} in Eq. (67).

The elasticity theory equation takes then the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho\dot{u}_i)=-\frac{\partial L_{ik}}{\partial x_k}-\frac{\partial P_{ik}}{\partial x_k}+\frac{\partial T_{ik}'}{\partial x_k}+\frac{m}{e}\frac{\partial j_{ei}'}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial t}. \quad (91)$$

The current and the electromagnetic stress tensor $\hat{T}'$ are written in the lattice frame. Note that the term with the electrical current represents, in fact, the electron mass flow (the momentum, associated with the current). That part of the electron mass current, which moves together with the lattice, is included in ρ on the left-hand side of the equation. The electromagnetic momentum flux tensor in the L system has the form

$$T_{ik}=\frac{1}{4\pi}(\epsilon E_i E_k+\mu H_i H_k)+(\epsilon_{ik}-\epsilon\delta_{ik})\frac{E^2}{8\pi}$$
$$+(\mu_{ik}-\mu\delta_{ik})\frac{H^2}{8\pi}+v_i G_k+v_k G_i-v_i g_k-v_k g_i. \quad (92)$$

Obviously, for small velocities one can replace T_{ik}' by the corresponding tensor T_{ik} in the L system.

If electrons in a good conductor (metal) are considered, then the quasineutrality condition holds and the displacement current as well as the field momenta $\mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{G}$ have to be put to zero. Actually, the two terms on the right-hand side of Ampére's law can be considered as expansion with respect to the electric field frequency:

$$\mathbf{j}+\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}\approx\sigma\mathbf{E}+\frac{\omega\epsilon}{4\pi}\mathbf{E}.$$

In metals $\sigma\gg\omega\epsilon/(4\pi)$, and the displacement current can be neglected. As a result, one has

$$T_{ik}^{metal}=\frac{\mu}{4\pi}H_iH_k+(\mu_{ik}-\mu\delta_{ik})\frac{H^2}{8\pi}. \qquad (93)$$

This tensor contains an additional term $(H'^2/8\pi)\mu_{ik}$ compared to our previous works,[2,10–12] in which the magnetic permeability has been taken constant. As shown in Appendix C in the case of a noncrystalline body (e.g., fluid) the quantities μ_{ik} and ϵ_{ik} have to be replaced by $(\rho\,\partial\mu/\partial\rho)_T\delta_{ik}$ and $(\rho\,\partial\epsilon/\partial\rho)_T\delta_{ik}$, correspondingly (compare Ref. 15, Sec. 56).

V. PARTICULAR CASES

Let us consider some simple cases in order to show the influence of the new terms in Boltzmann equation as well as to compare our results with those obtained in linear approximations.

Having in mind applications to transport phenomena we transform the Boltzmann equation (41), substituting the partition function as a sum $f=f_0+f_1$ where $f_0(\varepsilon-\zeta)$ (ζ being the chemical potential) corresponds to a local equilibrium, and f_1 is the nonequilibrium part. We assume for simplicity $T=$const. Then the time derivative of f_0 is

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial\varepsilon}(\dot\varepsilon-\dot\zeta). \qquad (94)$$

The derivative $\dot\varepsilon$ is calculated in Appendix B and has the form

$$\dot\varepsilon=-\lambda_{ik}\frac{\partial\dot u_i}{\partial x_k}-\dot{\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol\nabla\varepsilon)_{\boldsymbol\kappa},$$

where $\lambda_{ik}=\lambda_{\alpha\beta}a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta$. In the same way

$$\dot\zeta=-\zeta_{ik}\frac{\partial\dot u_i}{\partial x_k}-\dot{\mathbf{u}}\boldsymbol\nabla\zeta, \quad \zeta_{ik}=\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta,$$

$$\nabla f_0=\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial\varepsilon}\nabla(\varepsilon-\zeta), \quad \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}=\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial\varepsilon}\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}.$$

The transport equation takes, therefore, the form

$$\dot f_1+\mathbf{V}\cdot\nabla f_1-\mathbf{a}_\alpha\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}\left\{(\nabla\varepsilon)_{\boldsymbol\kappa}+e\mathbf{E}+\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{V}\times\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}+m\ddot{\mathbf{u}}+\nabla\frac{m\dot{\mathbf{u}}^2}{2}\right\}$$

$$=\hat I f_1+\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial\varepsilon}\left\{\Lambda_{ik}\frac{\partial\dot u_k}{\partial x_i}+\mathbf{v}\mathbf{q}\right\}, \qquad (95)$$

where $\Lambda_{ik}=\lambda_{ik}-\zeta_{ik}$, $\mathbf{V}=\dot{\mathbf{u}}+\mathbf{v}$, $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{a}_\alpha\partial\varepsilon/\partial k_\alpha$, and

$$\mathbf{q}=e\mathbf{E}+\frac{e}{c}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\times\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}+m\ddot{\mathbf{u}}+\nabla\left(\zeta+\frac{m\dot u^2}{2}\right). \qquad (96)$$

As long as f_1 is not supposed to be small the transport equation (95) is equivalent to Eq. (41). For a small deviation from equilibrium one has to replace $f_0(\varepsilon-\zeta)\to f_0(\varepsilon_0-\zeta_0)$, $\partial\varepsilon/\partial\kappa_\alpha\to\partial\varepsilon_0/\partial\kappa_\alpha$.

Equation (95) can be considered as a generalization of the linearized transport equation used when considering the electromagnetic generation of sound (cf., e.g., Refs. 7 and 17).

A. Rotating bodies: Fokker-Planck equation

Let us consider, first, the diffusion in a rotating body. Having the diffusion coefficient one can find the mobility and conductivity by means of the Einstein relation. We assume that quasiparticles obey Boltzmann statistics with $f_0\sim e^{(\zeta-\varepsilon)/T}$ and take the collision integral in the Fokker-Planck approximation.[18] In our notation it has the form

$$\hat I f=\frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}\left(f_0\frac{B_{\alpha\beta}}{T}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\kappa_\beta}\right), \qquad (97)$$

where $f=f_0(1+\chi/T)$, $f_1=f_0\chi/T=(-\partial f_0/\partial\varepsilon)\chi$, and $B_{\alpha\beta}$ is the diffusion coefficient in κ space. We assume, for simplicity, that $B_{\alpha\beta}$ is a function of temperature only. If the body rotates with a constant velocity $\boldsymbol\omega$, then curl $\dot{\mathbf{u}}=2\boldsymbol\omega$. To obtain the diffusion coefficient one needs only the odd part of χ under the inversion $\kappa_\alpha\to-\kappa_\alpha$. The corresponding transport equation (for small χ but not small velocities) takes then the form

$$\dot\chi-\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}\mathbf{a}_\alpha\nabla\zeta=\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\kappa_\beta}\left(\frac{1}{T}B_{\alpha\beta}+2m\boldsymbol\omega(\mathbf{a}_\alpha\times\mathbf{a}_\beta)\right). \qquad (98)$$

It is seen, therefore, that the new inertial term

$$m\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}\mathbf{a}_\alpha\times\text{curl}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}}=2m\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\kappa_\alpha}\mathbf{a}_\alpha\times\boldsymbol\omega$$

is directly added to the diffusion coefficient in κ space. The diffusion coefficient in real space can be obtained from the relation

$$mT^2D_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}=B_{\alpha\beta}+2mT\boldsymbol\omega(\mathbf{a}_\alpha\times\mathbf{a}_\beta), \quad D_{ik}=a_{\alpha i}D_{\alpha\beta}a_{\beta k}.$$

If, for simplicity, $B_{\alpha\beta}=B_0\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ where $B_0\sim T^n$ and $\boldsymbol\omega$ is directed along the z axis, then the diffusion coefficient components are

$$D_{xx}=D_{yy}\sim\frac{bT^n}{b^2T^{2n-2}+\omega^2}, \quad D_{zz}\sim T^{-n}$$

(where $b=$const). This means that at large enough frequencies ω the diffusion in the xy plane can change its temperature dependence from $D\sim T^{-n}$ to $D\sim T^{n-2}$. The uniform rotation of a metal cannot give a significant effect (the frequency ω has to be of the order of the cyclotron frequency $\Omega=eB/m_{eff}c$). However, the term $m\mathbf{v}\times\text{curl}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ may come also from the transverse sound waves with high enough frequencies. The effect of rotating is observable for delocalized vacancies (vacancions),[19] where $n=9$. Therefore, in a rotating quantum crystal diffusion can decrease along the z axis with increasing temperature and increase in a radial direction.

B. Pinning of magnetic lines in metals: Magnetoacoustic effects

The magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ enters in the transport equation only in a combination $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{B} - (mc/e)\,\mathrm{curl}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}}$. As a result, the current density in a deformed metal takes the form[2]

$$\mathbf{j} = \hat{\sigma}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}) \left\{ \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}\dot{\mathbf{u}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{B}} + \frac{1}{e}\nabla\left(\zeta + \frac{m\dot{u}^2}{2} \right) + m\ddot{\mathbf{u}} \right\}, \quad (99)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}$ is the conductivity tensor of a uniformly deformed immobile metal as a function of magnetic field. Let us substitute this expression in Maxwell's equation (71) and neglect the displacement current [cf. the text before Eq. (93)]:

$$\mathrm{curl}\,\mathbf{H} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\sigma\left\{ \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}\dot{\mathbf{u}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{B}} + m\ddot{\mathbf{u}} \right\}. \quad (100)$$

Applying the curl operator to both sides of this equation and substituting $\mathrm{curl}\,\mathbf{E}$ from Eq. (71) yields

$$\dot{\mathbf{B}} = \mathrm{curl}(\dot{\mathbf{u}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{B}}) + \frac{mc}{e}\mathrm{curl}\,\ddot{\mathbf{u}} - \frac{c^2}{4\pi\sigma}\mathrm{curl}\,\mathrm{curl}\,\mathbf{H}. \quad (101)$$

The left-hand side of this equation is of the order of $\omega\mu H$, while the last term on the right-hand side is of the order of

$$\frac{c^2 k^2}{4\pi\sigma}H \approx \omega\frac{\omega}{4\pi\sigma}H \ll \omega H.$$

Hence, the last term in Eq. (101) must be neglected and Eq. (101) takes the form

$$\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}} = \mathrm{curl}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{B}}. \quad (102)$$

Let us consider the mass continuity equation

$$\dot{\rho} + \mathrm{div}\,(\rho\dot{\mathbf{u}}) = 0, \quad (103)$$

where ρ is the full mass density of ions and electrons. We introduce now the effective magnetic field $\mathbf{B}^{eff} = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}/\rho$. It is easy to see that Eqs. (102) and (103) yield the following equation for $\mathbf{B}^{eff}$:

$$\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{eff} + (\dot{\mathbf{u}}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{B}^{eff} - (\mathbf{B}^{eff}\cdot\nabla)\dot{\mathbf{u}} = 0. \quad (104)$$

This equation coincides with the evolution equation (9) for the lattice vectors $\mathbf{a}_\alpha$. Therefore, if the effective magnetic field vector lines in a given instant are along the $\mathbf{a}_\alpha$ lines, they will move together with them all the time (pinned). The situation is similar to the pinning of magnetic field $\mathbf{H}/\rho$ in plasma (see Ref. 15). It is worth noting that Eq. (104) does not depend on the properties of the magnetic permeability and its dependence on deformations. Equation (104) shows a new kind of magnetoacoustic interaction. This effect concerns transverse sound waves only. Other magnetoacoustic effects are described by Eq. (96) and elasticity theory equation (91).

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work we have considered the dynamics and kinetics of charged quasiparticles with arbitrary dispersion relations in deformable crystalline structures. We have chosen the most general case of time-varying deformations when the quasimomentum is not a good quantum number and energy does not coincide to the Hamiltonian. We have derived a full self-consistent set of equations which consists of the nonlinear elasticity theory equation (91), the Boltzmann equation (41) or (44), and Maxwell's equations supplemented by constitute relations. The Boltzmann kinetic equation (41) is valid for the whole Brillouin zone and contains a new term responsible for some inertial effects. The elasticity theory equation is derived from the conservation laws written in the most general form. The only approximation is that the electromagnetic field transformations are taken with an accuracy to $O(v^2/c^2)$. Any higher accuracy for the solid-state theory now would be pointless. In such a way the theory presented is exact in the frame of the quasiparticle approach. It can be used for any material (metal, semiconductor, quantum crystal, low-dimensional structures, etc.) with linear relations between electromagnetic fields. It is easy to write the corresponding set of equations for more than one type of quasiparticles (e.g., electrons and holes).

In the case of electrons in metals the results obtained fit well to those of our previous works.[2,10]

APPENDIX A

The evolution equation (9) for the primitive vectors $\mathbf{a}_\alpha$ can be deduced from the following considerations (we shall omit the subscript α for convenience). The lattice vector $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r},t)$ at instant t is defined by the two lattice sites $\mathbf{r}_1(\mathbf{t})$ and $\mathbf{r}_2(\mathbf{t})$: $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1$. After a time interval δt the lattice vector changes to $\mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{r}',t+\delta t) = \mathbf{r}_2' - \mathbf{r}_1'$. The new positions of the lattice sites are obviously $\mathbf{r}_1' = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_1)\delta t$ and $\mathbf{r}_2' = \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_2)\delta t$, where the velocity of the lattice site $\mathbf{r}$ in the moment t is denoted by $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) = \dot{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r})$. It follows from the last two equations that

$$\mathbf{a}' - \mathbf{a} = [\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_2) - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_1)]\delta t.$$

Taking into account that $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_2) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_1) + (\mathbf{a}\nabla)\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{v}\delta t, t + \delta t) = \mathbf{a} + [\dot{\mathbf{a}} + (\mathbf{v}\nabla)\mathbf{a}]\delta t$ one obtains

$$\dot{\mathbf{a}} + (\mathbf{v}\nabla)\mathbf{a} - (\mathbf{a}\nabla)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (A1)$$

This equation coincides with Eq. (9) in Sec. II. It conserves automatically the lattice vectors lines. In fact, the $\mathbf{a}$-vector line conservation condition consists in collinearity of $\mathbf{a}$ and the left-hand side Eq. (A1),[20] i.e., in

$$[\dot{\mathbf{a}} + (\mathbf{v}\nabla)\mathbf{a} - (\mathbf{a}\nabla)\mathbf{v}] \times \mathbf{a} = 0. \quad (A2)$$

Hence, Eq. (A1) describes deformations which do not break or cross crystalline lines with equal α. This means that in a crystal lattice free of dislocations the three functions $N^\alpha(\mathbf{r},t)$ are single valued, and Eq. (A1) describes completely the evolution of the lattice configuration.

The evolution equation for the reciprocal lattice vectors $\mathbf{a}^\alpha$ can be obtained from Eq. (A1) and the relation

$$\mathbf{a}^\alpha = \frac{\partial N^\alpha}{\partial \mathbf{r}}. \tag{A3}$$

The latter follows directly from the expression for the physically infinitesimal differential coordinates at a given instant $d\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a}_\alpha dN^\alpha$ and relations (7). Multiplying Eq. (A1) by a_k^α yields

$$a_k^\alpha \dot{a}_{\alpha i} + a_k^\alpha (\dot{\mathbf{u}} \nabla) a_{\alpha i} - a_k^\alpha a_{\alpha s} \nabla_s \dot{u}_i = 0. \tag{A4}$$

Taking into account relations (7) one has

$$a_{\alpha i}(\dot{a}_k^\alpha + (\dot{\mathbf{u}} \nabla) a_k^\alpha) + \nabla_k \dot{u}_i = 0. \tag{A5}$$

Multiplying this equation with a_i^β yields

$$\dot{\mathbf{a}}^\alpha + \nabla(\mathbf{a}^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}}) = \mathbf{0}. \tag{A6}$$

In obtaining Eq. (A6) we have taken into account that $\nabla_i a_k^\alpha - \nabla_k a_i^\alpha = 0$ as a consequence of Eq. (A3).

Substituting Eq. (A3) into Eq. (A6) gives

$$\nabla(\dot{N}^\alpha + \mathbf{a}^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}}) = 0$$

and, hence,

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}} = -\mathbf{a}_\alpha \dot{N}^\alpha, \quad \dot{N}^\alpha = -\dot{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{a}^\alpha. \tag{A7}$$

It follows from Eqs. (A3) and (A7) that

$$dN^\alpha = \frac{\partial N^\alpha}{\partial \mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{r} + \frac{\partial N^\alpha}{\partial t} dt = \mathbf{a}^\alpha d\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a}^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} dt. \tag{A8}$$

This expression coincides with that given in Sec. II. It can be written also in the form used in the text:

$$d\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a}_\alpha dN^\alpha + \dot{\mathbf{u}} dt.$$

APPENDIX B

To obtain the time derivative of the quasiparticle energy density one can use the transport equation (44) for $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mathbf{r}, t)$. Since the fluxes through the Brillouin zone boundaries in this notation equal zero, it can be written in its integrated by parts form

$$\dot{\varphi} + \mathrm{div} \left\{ \left(\dot{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{a}_\alpha \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \kappa_\alpha} \right) \varphi \right\}$$

$$+ \mathbf{a}_\alpha \left\{ \nabla \epsilon + m \frac{d\dot{\mathbf{u}}}{dt} - m \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \kappa_\beta} \mathbf{a}_\beta \times \mathrm{curl}\, \dot{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{F} \right\} \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_\alpha} = \hat{I} \varphi. \tag{B1}$$

We have to transform the expression

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \epsilon f \rangle = \langle \langle \dot{\epsilon} \varphi \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \epsilon \dot{\varphi} \rangle \rangle. \tag{B2}$$

As the derivative with respect to t is taken at constant $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ one can use the same procedure, as when obtaining Eq. (61). This yields

$$\dot{\epsilon} = \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} \right)_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \dot{g}^{\alpha\beta} = -\lambda_{\alpha\beta} a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta \frac{\partial \dot{u}_i}{\partial x_k} - \dot{\mathbf{u}}(\nabla \epsilon)_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}, \tag{B3}$$

where

$$\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = 2 \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}} = \lambda_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{B4}$$

Multiplying Eq. (B3) by φ and Eq. (B1) by ϵ one obtains after substituting into Eq. (B2)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \epsilon f \rangle = \langle \langle \epsilon \hat{I} \varphi \rangle \rangle - \mathrm{div} \left(\dot{\mathbf{u}} \langle \langle \epsilon \varphi \rangle \rangle + \mathbf{a}_{\alpha\beta} \left\langle \left\langle \epsilon \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial k_\alpha} \varphi \right\rangle \right\rangle \right)$$

$$+ \mathbf{a}_\alpha \left\langle \left\langle \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial k_\alpha} \varphi \right\rangle \right\rangle - m \ddot{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{a}_\alpha \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial k_\alpha} \varphi \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$- \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} \left(m \dot{u}_k a_{\alpha i} \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial k_\alpha} \varphi \right\rangle \right\rangle + a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta \langle \langle \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \varphi \rangle \rangle \right)$$

$$= \langle \epsilon \hat{I} f \rangle - \mathrm{div} \left(\dot{\mathbf{u}} \langle \epsilon f \rangle + \left\langle \epsilon \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mathbf{p}} f \right\rangle \right) + \left\langle \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mathbf{p}} f \right\rangle$$

$$- m \ddot{\mathbf{u}} \left\langle \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mathbf{p}} f \right\rangle - \frac{\partial \dot{u}_i}{\partial x_k} \left(m \dot{u}_k \left\langle \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial p_i} f \right\rangle + a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta \langle \lambda_{\alpha\beta} f \rangle \right),$$

where the rules (45) are used to replace the double brackets by single ones. This expression is used when obtaining Eq. (64).

We would like here to point out how easily this formula has been obtained. For comparison, the expression which corresponds to Eq. (B3) in variables $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, t$ has the form

$$\left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} \right)_{\mathbf{p}} = -\lambda_{\alpha\beta} a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta \frac{\partial \dot{u}_i}{\partial x_k} - m \ddot{\mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \dot{\mathbf{u}}(\nabla \epsilon)_{\mathbf{p}}$$

$$+ \left(p_i \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial p_k} - m \ddot{u}_k \right) \frac{\partial \dot{u}_i}{\partial x_k}.$$

This expression is both cumbersome and nonperiodical which creates additional difficulties.

APPENDIX C

The lattice contribution L_{ik} to the momentum flux density tensor Π_{ik} is given by Eq. (68). The term in the brackets can be written in the form

$$\sigma_{ik} + E_0 \delta_{ik} = (\sigma_{\alpha\beta} + E_0 g_{\alpha\beta}) a_i^\alpha a_k^\beta, \tag{C1}$$

where $E_0(g^{\alpha\beta})$ is the strain energy per unit volume.

In an isotropic medium the dependence of E_0 on $g^{\alpha\beta}$ is reduced to a dependence on $g = \det g_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = -2 \frac{\partial E_0}{\partial g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}.$$

By the well-known formula

$$dg = -g g_{\alpha\beta} dg^{\alpha\beta},$$

one obtains easily

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = 2g \frac{\partial E_0}{\partial g} a_{\alpha l} a_{\beta l}$$

and, hence,

$$\sigma_{ik} = 2g \frac{\partial E_0}{\partial g} a_{\alpha l} a_{\beta l} a_i^{\alpha} a_k^{\beta} = 2g \frac{\partial E_0}{\partial g} \delta_{ik}. \qquad (C2)$$

Taking into account that g is the squared volume of a unit cell ($g = V^2$) and that $V = 1/\rho$ one obtains

$$\sigma_{ik} = -\rho \frac{\partial E_0}{\partial \rho} \delta_{ik}. \qquad (C3)$$

Hence, it is seen, that in an isotropic case,

$$2a_i^{\alpha} a_k^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}} \rightarrow \delta_{ik} \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}. \qquad (C4)$$

Let now $\tilde{E}_0$ and s be the internal energy and entropy per unit mass ($\tilde{E}_0 = E_0 V$). Making use of the thermodynamic relation

$$d\tilde{E}_0 = Tds - PdV + \mu dN, \qquad (C5)$$

one can define the pressure P as

$$P = -\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{E}_0}{\partial V}\right)_{s,N} = -\left(\frac{\partial E_0 V}{\partial V}\right)_{s,N} = -E_0 + \rho\left(\frac{\partial E_0}{\partial \rho}\right)_{s,N}. \qquad (C6)$$

It follows from Eqs. (C3) and (C6) that in an isotropic medium

$$\sigma_{ik} + E_0 \delta_{ik} = -P\delta_{ik}. \qquad (C7)$$

It is supposed in our consideration that the only contribution to the entropy is due to quasiparticles and this contribution comes from the transport equation. Therefore, the derivatives of E_0 with respect to the metrical tensor components $g^{\alpha\beta}$ are assumed as taken at constant entropy.

An alternative approach can be based on the free energy thermodynamic potential per unit volume $F(T,P,N)$. In that case one obtains

$$-P = F - \rho\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho}\right)_T. \qquad (C8)$$

---

*Electronic address: dipushk@issp.bas.bg

[1] D.I. Pushkarov, J. Phys. C **19**, 6873 (1986); preprint P17-85-224, Joint Inst. Nucl. Res., Dubna, Russia, 1985 (unpublished).

[2] A.F. Andreev and D.I. Pushkarov, Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz. **89**, 1883 (1985) [Sov. Phys. JETP **62**, 1087 (1985)].

[3] A.B. Pippard, Philos. Mag. **2**, 1147 (1957); Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **191**, 385 (1947); **216**, 547 (1953); **272**, 192 (1963).

[4] E.I. Blount, Phys. Rev. **114**, 418 (1959); Phys. Rev. Lett. **4**, 114 (1969).

[5] C. Kittel, *Quantum Theory of Solids* (Wiley, New York, 1963), Chap. 17.

[6] T. Holstein, Phys. Rev. **113**, 479 (1959).

[7] V.M. Kontorovich, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **142**, 265 (1984) [Sov. Phys. Usp. **27**, 134 (1984)]; Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz. **59**, 2116 (1970) [Sov. Phys. JETP **32**, 1146 (1971)].

[8] V. M. Kontorovich, in *Elektroni Provodimosti*, edited by M. I. Kaganov and V. S. Edelman (Nauka, Moscow, 1985).

[9] D. I. Pushkarov, DSc thesis, Joint Inst. Nucl. Res., Dubna, Russia, 1986.

[10] D. I. Pushkarov, *Quasiparticle Theory of Defects in Solids* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).

[11] D. I. Pushkarov, *Defectons in Crystals: Quasiparticle Approach to Defects in Solids* (Nauka, Moscow, 1993).

[12] D.I. Pushkarov, R.D. Atanasov, and K.D. Ivanova, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 7374 (1992).

[13] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1974) (problem in Sec. 17).

[14] Actually, if one is only interested in stress-tensor components, then charges and current can be omitted (cf. Ref. 15, Sec. 34).

[15] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media* (Nauka, Moscow, 1978), Sec. 65 (in Russian) [English translation: (Pergamon, New York, 1963)].

[16] The exact field transformations are

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}} = \gamma\mathbf{E} + (1-\gamma)(\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} + \gamma\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c}\times\mathbf{B},$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \gamma\mathbf{B} + (1-\gamma)(\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} - \gamma\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c}\times\mathbf{E},$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}} = \gamma\mathbf{D} + (1-\gamma)(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} + \gamma\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c}\times\mathbf{H},$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}} = \gamma\mathbf{H} + (1-\gamma)(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} - \gamma\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c}\times\mathbf{D},$$

where

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{v}, \quad \gamma = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{-1/2}.$$

These fields obviously satisfy relations (78). Then from the constitute relations in the comoving frame $\tilde{\mathbf{D}} = \epsilon\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mu\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$, one obtains immediately relations (75)–(77) in the form

$$\mathbf{D} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{H} = \epsilon\left\{\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}\right\}, \quad \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{E} = \mu\left\{\mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{D}\right\}.$$

[17] I.E. Aronov and V L. Fal'ko, Phys. Rep. **221**, 81 (1992).

[18] E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevski, *Fizicheskaya Kinetika* (Nauka, Moscow, 1979), Sec. 21.

[19] D.I. Pushkarov, Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz. **68**, 1471 (1975) [Sov. Phys. JETP **41**, 735 (1976)].

[20] N. E. Kochin, *Vector Calculus and the Rudiments of Tensor Calculus* (Nauka, Moscow, 1965).