## **Maximum metallic conductivity in Si-MOS structures**

V. M. Pudalov

*P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninsky Prospect 53, Moscow 117924, Russia and Institut fu¨r Halbleiterphysik, Johannes Kepler Universita¨t, Linz A-4040, Austria*

G. Brunthaler, A. Prinz, and G. Bauer

*Institut fu¨r Halbleiterphysik, Johannes Kepler Universita¨t, Linz A-4040, Austria*

(Received 23 December 1998)

We found that the conductivity of the two-dimensional electron system in Si metal-oxide-semiconductor structures is limited to a maximum value,  $G_{\text{max}}$ , as either density increases or temperature decreases. This value  $G_{\text{max}}$  is weakly disorder dependent and ranges from 100 to 140*e*<sup>2</sup>/*h* for samples whose mobilities differ by a factor of 4. [S0163-1829(99)51528-2]

According to the conventional theory of metals, $<sup>1</sup>$  the con-</sup> ductivity of the two-dimensional carrier system should vanish in the limit of zero temperatures. Recently, an unconventional strong raise in conductivity at low temperatures, *T*  $< 0.3E_F / k_B$ , was found in two-dimensional (2D) systems<sup>2,3</sup> for carrier densities above a critical value,  $n_c$ . As temperature decreases, the conductivity *G* increases exponentially for  $n>n_c$  (Ref. 4) [by about one order of magnitude in Si metaloxide-semiconductor (MOS) structures where the effect is most pronounced<sup>2</sup>, whereas for  $n < n_c$  the conductivity exponentially decreases. The mirror-reflection symmetry in the conductivity behavior around  $n_c$  is now considered as a signature of a quantum phase transition,<sup>5,6</sup> and the phases for  $n \leq n_c$  (where  $dG/dT > 0$ ) and for  $n > n_c$  (where  $dG/dT$  $(0)$  are called, correspondingly, "insulating" and "metallic'' ones. The origin of the effect remains under discussion and is intimately related to a question on the ground-state conductivity in the  $T=0$  limit. The existing experiments are taken at finite temperatures (though much less than  $E_F / k_B$ ) and it is not absolutely clear whether or not the observed ''metalliclike'' temperature behavior of *G* corresponds to the ground-state conductivity. Since for the Fermi liquid the only possibility is  $G=0$ , it was suggested that the twodimensional strongly interacting carrier system can become a perfect metal with infinite conductivity *G*, at  $T=0^6$  but exhibiting non-Fermi-liquid behavior. It was even suggested that the 2D interacting system could become a superconductor.<sup>7</sup>

In order to verify these possibilities, we have extended the measurements to carrier densities about 100 times higher than the critical conductivity  $n_c$ , at which the exponential decrease of the resistivity sets in. $2,3$  Our investigations are motivated by the fact that as density increases, the Drude conductivity increases and "disorder"  $(1/k<sub>F</sub>l)$  decreases. From the measurements at high density, we expected to verify whether or not the metalliclike conductivity survives at high *G* values, to probe the role of Coulomb interaction effects (where the ratio of the Coulomb to Fermi energy decreases proportionally to  $n^{-1/2}$ ) and of spin-related effects (which should persist as density increases).

We have found that the conductivity in  $(100)$  Si-MOS structures shows a *maximum* as a function of carrier density. The maximum value  $G_{\text{max}} \approx 100 - 140$  is weakly dependent on the mobility of the sample (conductivity throughout this paper is in units of  $e^2/h = 1/25813 \Omega^{-1}$ , and the resistivity  $\rho=1/G$ ). The strong exponential dependence of  $G(T)$  (with  $dG/dT$ <0) which exists at relatively high temperatures *T*  $\leq 0.3E_F/k_B$  was found to persist up to the highest density studied. However, at low temperatures,  $T < 0.007E_F / k_B$  (and at least for high densities), in the vicinity of  $n = n_{\text{max}}$ , this metalliclike dependence transforms into a weak ln *T* dependence with a positive derivative,  $dG/dT$ . thus indicating the onset of a weakly localized state.

The ac and dc measurements of the conductivity were performed on  $(100)$  Si-MOS structures at low dissipated power. Four samples were studied in the density range 0.8  $-100\times10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>; their relevant parameters are listed in Table I. In order to adjust the biasing current so as not to destroy the phase coherence in the carrier system, we determined the phase breaking time,  $\tau_{\phi}$ , from the weak negative magnetoresistance<sup>8</sup> in low magnetic fields. Measurements were taken in the temperature range 0.29–45 K, and, partly, 0.018–4 K, by sweeping slowly the temperature during several hours. The data taken on all four samples were qualitatively similar.

A typical density dependence of the conductivity in the "metallic" range,  $n = (6-100) \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, is shown in Fig. 1 for different temperatures, 0.3–41 K. The conductivity *G* first increases with density, reaches a maximum at *n*  $=(35-43)\times10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, and then decreases again. Shubnikov–de Haas data taken on a few high mobility samples show the onset of a second frequency at  $n \ge 55$ 

TABLE I. The parameters of the studied samples. Density is in units of 10<sup>11</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>.  $\mu_{peak}$  is the peak mobility at *T*=0.3 K. *n<sub>c</sub>* and *Gc* are, correspondingly, the critical density and conductivity values at the metal-insulator transition.

Sample	$\mu_{\rm peak}$ (m <sup>2</sup> /V s)	$n_{c}$	$G_c$	$n_{\text{max}}$	$G_{\text{max}}$
$Si-22$	3.3	0.83	0.5	39.3	140
$Si-15a$	3.2	0.82	0.4	32.1	133.7
$Si-43b$	1.96	1.4	1.5	35	124.5
$Si-4/32$	0.9	2.0	1.72	61	101.2





FIG. 1. Density dependence of the conductivity for the sample Si-22 at 17 different temperatures, *T* = 0.29, 1.5, 3.9, 4.8, 5.5, 7.9, 8.5, 10.5, 12.5, 16, 19, 21.5, 23.5, 26, 32, 36, and 41 K. The upper arrow shows the density,  $n_{max}$ , corresponding to the maximum conductance, the lower arrow is for the critical density,  $n_c$ . Dotted lines show schematically convergence of the *G*(*n*,*T*) curves at the critical density  $n_c$ .

 $\times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, which is due to population of the second subband. The reversal of the density dependence of the conductivity may be caused by an increase of the scattering rate as  $E_F$  approaches the bottom of the next subband. Table I shows that the maximum conductivity value is weakly dependent on disorder,  $G = 100 - 140$  for the studied samples. At the same time, the density values  $n_{\text{max}}$ , corresponding to the maximum conductivity, increase by a factor 2 as the mobility decreases by a factor 4.

In Fig. 2, the temperature dependence of the conductivity is shown for high densities,  $(8-80)\times10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. As density increases, the conductivity first increases (the curves 1 to 6), reaches a maximum (the curve 6) at a density  $n_{\text{max}}$  (which is  $32 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> for Si-15a), and, finally, decreases with density (curves  $7-12$ ). This leads to a crossing of the  $G(T)$ curves taken at different densities  $n > n_{\text{max}}$ . Such a crossing has also been reported to occur for *p*-GaAs/AlGaAs in Ref. 9. However, in our measurements, the *G*(*n*) curves for different temperatures do not intercept at a single density.

In Fig. 2, the triangles depict for each curve the temperature  $T^* = 0.007E_F / k_B$  for the corresponding density. In the region confined between  $T=0.05E_F/k_B$  and  $0.007E_F/k_B$ , the exponential dependence seems to ''saturate,'' but in fact, it crosses over, below  $\approx T^* = 0.007E_F / k_B$ , to a weaker dependence. The "high-temperature behavior" (for  $T>T^*$ ) of the conductivity remains metalliclike for all curves in Fig. 2, up to the highest density studied. However, the curves taken for high densities (close to the maximum conductance), at low temperatures clearly show the onset of a localizing ln *T* dependence with *dG*/*dT*.0. The localizing low-temperature dependence is shown in an expanded scale in Fig.  $3(a)$ . As the temperature is varied, it persists for one order of magnitude, and does not saturate at low temperatures. Its slope  $dG/d \ln T \approx 0.35$  is consistent with the conventional theory of the weak localization.<sup>8</sup> Since the ''low-temperature'' localizing *T* dependence develops on the background of the strong



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the conductivity for the sample Si-15a in the range 0.29–45 K at 12 density values: 1: 8.10, 2: 10.3, 3: 15.7, 4: 21.2, 5: 26.6, 6: 32.1, 7: 42.94, 8: 48.4, 9: 53.8, 10: 64.7, 11: 75.6,  $12:86.5 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. Continuous curves are for the densities  $n > n_{max}$ , dotted curves for  $n < n_{max}$ . The empty triangles depict  $T^*$  for the dotted curves  $1-5$ , full triangles are for the continuous curves 6–12.

exponential increase in conductivity at ''high temperatures,'' we conclude that *the exponential raise in G*(*T*) *cannot be considered as a proof of the metallic character of the ground-state conductance*, at least for high densities *n*  $\geqslant n_c$ .

The change of sign of  $dG/dT$  shown in Fig. 3(a) for *T*  $<$ 3 K (for  $n \approx n_{\text{max}}$ ) is not caused by significant changes in disorder for densities around  $n_{\text{max}}$ . The conductance *G* (which is  $2k_Fl$  in the Drude approximation for the two valley system) is of the order of 100 ( $k_F$  is the Fermi wave vector



FIG. 3. Expanded low-temperature part of the conductivity for the sample Si-43b in the range 0.29–7 K for three different density values indicated on each panel. Arrows mark the temperature *T*\*  $=0.007E_F/k_B$ .

and *l* is the mean free path). Also, for the spin-orbit parameter in the chiral model,<sup>10</sup>  $2\Delta\tau/\hbar \approx 4-8$  holds ( $\Delta$  is the zero magnetic field "spin-splitting" at  $E = E_F$ ). Therefore, the above parameters seem to be not important at  $n \sim n_{\text{max}}$ .

The picture is less clear for lower densities,  $n \sim (1-15)$  $\times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, where the slope decreases, disappears, and finally changes sign to the negative ''delocalizing'' one  $dG/dT \le 0$ .<sup>11</sup> If the above scenario would persist to much lower temperatures, the conductivity *G*(*T*) data taken for different densities would cross each other at finite temperatures (but at much lower temperature than shown here). This possibility seems to be unphysical and means that at least a part of the data taken for lowest temperatures (most probable, the lower density ones) do not correspond to the ground-state conductivity. One cannot exclude, therefore, that the low-temperature data may be affected by the tail of the strong metalliclike exponential ''high-temperature'' dependence, extending down to low temperatures.

Anyhow, on the basis of the data shown, it seems rather unlikely that the conductivity will grow to infinity in the *T*  $\rightarrow$  0 limit, both for high and for low carrier densities. In order to reach a more definite conclusion, the measurements have to be taken down to temperatures  $T \ll T^*$  $\sim 0.007 E_F / k_B$ .

In summary, we have found that the conductivity value in  $(100)$  Si-MOS structures is limited to a finite value,  $G_{max}$  $\sim$  140 as density or temperature vary. We found that the strong metalliclike increase in the conductivity as *T* decreases (visible at ''high temperatures''  $T > 0.01E_F / k_B$ ) and the "low-temperature" behavior (for  $T < 0.01 E_F / k_B$ ) are rather independent of each other. Despite the observation that the maximum conductivity value is nearly the same for different Si-MOS samples, we do not have evidence that this value is related to a many-body ground state.<sup>5</sup> The fact that the maximum in  $G(T)$  appears at a finite temperature  $(*T**=0.007E<sub>F</sub> / k<sub>B</sub>)$  indicates actually a single-particle origin. Such a maximum of *G* could be the result of a superposition of a temperature dependent scattering mechanism and weak localization effects. The behavior of the conductivity for lower temperatures requires further studies.

V.M.P. acknowledges discussions with B. Altshuler, M. Baranov, A. Finkel'stein, V. Kravtsov, S. V. Kravchenko, D. Maslov, A. Mirlin, and I. Suslov. This work was supported by Contract No. RFBR 97-02-17387 of the programs ''Physics of Solid-State Nanostructures'' and ''Statistical Physics,'' by INTAS, NWO, and by Grant Nos. FWF P13439, ONB 6333 and GME Austria.

- <sup>1</sup>E. Abrahams, P.W. Anderson, D. C. Licciardello, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 673 (1979).
- $2$ S. V. Kravchenko, G. V. Kravchenko, J. E. Furneaux, V. M. Pudalov, and M. D'Iorio, Phys. Rev. B 50, 8039 (1994); S. V. Kravchenko, W. E. Mason, G. E. Bowker, J. E. Furneaux, V. M. Pudalov, and M. D'Iorio, *ibid.* **51**, 7038 (1995).
- 3D. Popovic´, A. B. Fowler, and S. Washburn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1543 (1997); D. Popović, A. B. Fowler, and S. Washburn, Physica B 249-251, 701 (1998); P. T. Coleridge, R. L. Williams, Y. Feng, and P. Zawadzki, Phys. Rev. B 56, R12 764 (1997); M. D'Iorio, D. Brown, and H. Lafontain, *ibid.* **56**, 12 741 (1997); Y. Hanein, U. Meirav, D. Shahar, C. C. Li, D. C. Tsui, and H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1288 (1998); M. Y. Simmons, A. R. Hamilton, M. Pepper, E. H. Linfield, P. D. Rose, and D. A.
- Ritchie, *ibid.* **80**, 1292 (1998). <sup>4</sup>V. M. Pudalov, Pis'ma Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz. **66**, 168 (1997) [JETP Lett. 66, 175 (1997)].
- 5S. Chakravarty, L. Yin, and E. Abrahams, Phys. Rev. B **58**, R559 ~1998!; C. Castellani, C. DiCastro, and P. A. Lee, *ibid.* **57**, R9381 (1998); A. M. Finkel'stein, Sov. Sci. Rev., Sect. A 14, 3

~1990!; C. Castellani, C. DiCastro, H. Fukuyama, P. A. Lee, and M. Ma, Phys. Rev. B 33, 7277 (1986); S. Chakravarty, S. Kivelson, C. Nayak, and K. Völker, cond-mat/9805383 (unpublished).

- <sup>6</sup>V. Dobrosavljević, E. Abrahams, E. Miranda, and S. Chakravarty, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 455 (1997).
- <sup>7</sup>P. Phillips, Y. Wan, I. Martin, S. Knysh, and D. Dalidovich, Nature (London) 395, 253 (1998); D. Belitz and T. R. Kirkpatrick, Phys. Rev. B 58, 8214 (1998).
- 8For a review, see B. L. Altshuler and A. G. Aronov, in *Electron-Electron Interaction in Disordered Systems*, edited by A. L. Efros and M. Pollak (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985).
- <sup>9</sup> A. R. Hamilton, M. Y. Simmons, M. Pepper, E. H. Linfield, P. D.
- Rose, and D. A. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1542 (1999). <sup>10</sup>M. A. Skvortsov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **67**, 118 (1998) [JETP Lett. 67, 133 (1998)]; Y. Lyanda-Geller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4273 (1998); I. V. Gornyi et al., Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **68**, 314 (1998) [JETP Lett. **68**, 338 (1998)].
- 11V. M. Pudalov, G. Brunthaler, A. Prinz, and G. Bauer, Pis'ma Zh.  $\acute{E}$ ksp. Teor. Fiz. 68, 497 (1998) [JETP Lett. 68, 534 (1998)].