Series expansions for a Heisenberg antiferromagnetic model for $\text{SrCu}_2(\text{BO}_3)_2$

Zheng Weihong,* C. J. Hamer, \dagger and J. Oitmaa^{\ddagger}

School of Physics, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

(Received 3 November 1998)

We use a variety of series expansion methods at both zero and finite temperature to study an antiferromagnetic Heisenberg spin model proposed recently by Miyahara and Ueda for the quasi-two-dimensional material $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$. We confirm that this model exhibits a first-order quantum phase transition at $T=0$ between a gapped dimer phase and a gapless Néel phase when the ratio $x = J'/J$ of nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor interactions is varied, and locate the transition at $x_c = 0.691(6)$. Using longer series we are able to give more accurate estimates of the model parameters by fitting to the high-temperature susceptibility data. $[$ S0163-1829(99)09225-5 $]$

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of high-temperature superconductivity has stimulated an enormous amount of activity in the study of two-dimensional antiferromagnetism, which may be connected with the superconductivity phenomenon. The pseudo spin-gap behavior observed in the high- T_c cuprates has also stimulated intense interest in systems with spin gaps. Several new spin gap systems have been found experimentally. Among them some of the compounds which have two-dimensional character include the coupled spin ladder systems $SrCu₂O₃$,¹ CaV₂O₅,² (VO₂)P₂O₇,³ C_{U₂(C₅H₁₂N₂)₂Cl₄,⁴ and} the plaquette RVB system CaV_4O_9 .⁵

Recently a new two-dimensional spin gap system $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$ has been found by Kageyama *et al.*⁶ It has a spin-singlet ground state with a finite spin gap \sim 20 K. They also found that the peak of the susceptibility is much suppressed compared with standard dimer models, and observed two plateaus in the magnetization at 1/4 and 1/8 of the full moment.

Miyahara and Ueda⁷ showed that these observations could be understood on the basis of a simple two-dimensional Heisenberg antiferromagnet model with nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor couplings. The copper ions in the $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$ compound are all located at crystallographically equivalent sites, forming a distinctive pattern. Miyahara and Ueda⁷ show that, remarkably enough, a singlet dimer state forms an exact eigenstate of this Hamiltonian at all couplings, and is the ground-state in a region where the nearest-neighbor coupling dominates. There is a first order phase transition to a Ne^el ordered state at $J'/J = 0.7 \pm 0.01$. They find that the $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$ system lies close to this transition, which explains the unusual temperature dependence of the magnetization. The plateaus observed in the magnetization curve can also be understood, on the basis that the triplet excitations from the ground state are almost localized. Their conclusions were reached on the basis of exact diagonalization calculations for lattices of up to 20 sites, and low order dimer expansions in (J'/J) , and high-temperature expansions in J/T and J'/T .

In this paper, we reinforce these conclusions by carrying out a more extensive series study of the model. These include high-temperature expansions, Ising expansions at zero

temperature, and dimer expansions both at zero and finite temperature. The model is presented in Sec. II, and the framework for the various series expansions is outlined. The results are presented in Sec. III. It is shown that the temperature dependence of the susceptibility can be fitted accurately and in detail by this method.

II. SERIES EXPANSIONS

The magnetic properties of $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$ may be described by the two-dimensional spin $S=1/2$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic model with nearest-neighbor (NN) and nextnearest-neighbor (NNN) interactions^{6,7}

$$
H = J \sum_{\text{NN}} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J' \sum_{\text{NNN}} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j. \tag{1}
$$

The system is illustrated in Fig. $1(a)$. We denote the ratio of the couplings as *x*, that is, $x = J'/J$. In the present paper, we study only the case of antiferromagnetic coupling, where both *J* and *J'* are positive. In the large J'/J limit, the model is topologically equivalent to the two-dimensional nearestneighbor square lattice Heisenberg model. In the small *J*8/*J* limit, every pair of spins along nearest-neighbor bonds interact only weakly with each other, and the dominant configu-

FIG. 1. (a) Lattice structure of the Cu²⁺ spins of $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$. The nearest-neighbor bonds are expressed by solid lines and the next-nearest-neighbor bonds by dashed lines. (b) Elementary unit for interaction between a pair of nearest-neighbor bonds. The dotted lines denote the additional coupling (J_2) which still allow the perfect dimer state as eigenstate.

ration in the ground state is the product state with the spins along nearest-neighbor bonds forming a spin singlet. In fact, it has been proved 8.7 that this state is an eigenstate of the system for any *x*, and it is the ground state for small enough values of *x*. We can also prove that this system still has this perfect dimer state as an eigenstate even if we include the coupling J_2 denoted by dotted lines shown in Fig. 1(b).

We have studied this system by using various linkedcluster expansion methods including dimer expansions at both zero temperature and finite temperature, Ising expansions at zero temperature, and high-temperature expansions. The linked-cluster expansion method has been previously reviewed in several articles, $9-13$ and will not be repeated here. Here we only summarize the expansion methods used, and the results derived from them are presented in the next section.

A. Dimer expansions at $T=0$

At temperature $T=0$, we can construct an expansion in *x* by taking the first term of *H* as the unperturbed Hamiltonian and the second term in *H* as a perturbation. That is, the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) can be rewritten as

$$
H = H_0 + xV,\t\t(2)
$$

where

$$
H_0 = \sum_{NN} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j,
$$

$$
V = \sum_{NNN} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j,
$$
 (3)

and where we have set $J=1$ for convenience. The unperturbed ground state is then a product state of nearestneighbor singlet dimers and the perturbation couples these among themselves and with the pair triplet states. As mentioned above, the unperturbed ground state is also an eigenstate of the full Hamiltonian, but is not the true ground state for $x > x_c$.

Dimer expansions can be developed for all ground state properties as well as for the triplet excitation spectrum. Here, because of the trivial nature of the ground state in the dimer phase, we concentrate on the lowest triplet excitations. We have calculated the dispersion relation $\Delta(k_x, k_y)$ to order x^{15} , extending the calculation of Miyahara and Ueda by 11 terms. This calculation involves 11 586 linked clusters up to eight sites. The resulting series coefficients are available on request.

B. Ising expansions at $T=0$

In the limit that $J' \ge J$, the model is topologically equivalent to the two-dimensional square lattice Heisenberg model, so we expect that the system has Nee^l order: an order in which every pair of spins along a horizontal nearest-neighbor bond (denoted as A) has spin up, while every pair of spins along a vertical nearest-neighbor bond (denoted as B) has spin down.

To construct a $T=0$ expansion about the Ising limit for this system, one has to introduce an anisotropy parameter λ , and write the Hamiltonian for the Heisenberg-Ising model as where

$$
H'_{0} = \sum_{NN} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z} + x \sum_{NNN} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z} + t \left[\sum_{i \in B} S_{i}^{z} - \sum_{i \in A} S_{i}^{z} \right],
$$

\n
$$
V' = \sum_{NN} (S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}) + x \sum_{NNN} (S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y})
$$

\n
$$
- t \left[\sum_{i \in B} S_{i}^{z} - \sum_{i \in A} S_{i}^{z} \right].
$$
 (5)

 $H = H'_0 + \lambda V',$ (4)

The last term in both H'_0 and V' is a local staggered field term, which can be included to improve convergence. The limits $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ correspond to the Ising model and the isotropic Heisenberg model, respectively. The operator H'_0 is taken as the unperturbed Hamiltonian, with the unperturbed ground state being the usual Ne^{el} state. The operator V' is treated as a perturbation. It flips a pair of spins on neighboring sites.

Ising series have been calculated for the ground state energy per site, E_0/N and the staggered magnetization *M*, for several ratios of couplings x and (simultaneously) for several values of *t* up to order λ^9 . The series are available upon request.

At the next stage of the analysis, we try to extrapolate the series to the isotropic point $(\lambda = 1)$ for those values of the exchange coupling parameters which lie within the Néelordered phase at $\lambda=1$. For this purpose, we first transform the series to a new variable

$$
\delta = 1 - (1 - \lambda)^{1/2},\tag{6}
$$

to remove the singularity at $\lambda=1$ predicted by the spin-wave theory. This was first proposed by $Huse^{14}$ and was also used in our earlier work on the square lattice case.¹⁵ We then use both integrated first-order inhomogeneous differential approximants¹⁶ and Padé approximants to extrapolate the series to the isotropic point $\delta=1$ ($\lambda=1$). The results of the Ising expansions will be presented in the next section.

C. High-temperature series expansions

We now turn to the finite-*T* thermodynamic properties. We have developed high-temperature series expansions β $=1/(k_BT)$ for the uniform magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ and the specific heat $C(T)$, for the system with arbitrary *x*,

$$
T\chi(T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{\text{Tr} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z} e^{-\beta H}}{\text{Tr} e^{-\beta H}},
$$

$$
C(T) = \frac{\partial U}{\partial T}, \qquad (7)
$$

where *N* is the number of sites, and the internal energy *U* is defined by

$$
U = \frac{\text{Tr}He^{-\beta H}}{\text{Tr}e^{-\beta H}}.\tag{8}
$$

The series for $\chi(T)$ and $C(T)$ were computed to order β^7 for arbitrary *x*. The expansions have the following general form:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{i} c_{i,j} x^{j} \right] \beta^{i}, \tag{9}
$$

where $c_{i,j}$ are the numerical coefficients. The series coefficients are not presented here, but are available upon request. Some of the coefficients can be obtained by using the dimer expansion series at finite temperature discussed in the next subsection. The high-temperature series expansions were first carried out by Miyahara and Ueda^{\prime} up to order β^2 . Our results agree with these previous results, and extend the series by five terms.

D. Dimer expansions at finite temperature

The study of finite-temperature properties via series expansions is usually done by the high-temperature expansion method as mentioned above, where we expand in powers of β for given ratio of exchange couplings. This method often performs poorly at low temperatures for many parameter regimes of interest. To overcome this difficulty, one can develop the dimer expansion at finite temperature, where we expand the thermodynamic quantities in power of *x* for arbitrary temperatures. This method has been used by one of us previously for the Hubbard model¹² and more recently also by Elstner and Singh^{13} for spin models. This method has shown excellent convergence for a wide range of coupling constants at all temperatures for the bilayer Heisenberg model¹³ and for alternating spin-chains and spin ladders.¹⁷

To get an expansion for thermodynamic quantities, such as the susceptibility and the specific heat, in x at arbitrary temperature for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) , one basically needs to expand

$$
e^{-\beta(H_0 + xV)} \tag{10}
$$

in powers of *x*. This can be obtained by using the following relation:

$$
e^{-\beta(H_0 + xV)} = e^{-\beta H_0} \sum_n (-x)^n I_n, \qquad (11)
$$

where I_n are the integrals given by

$$
I_n = \int_0^\beta dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \widetilde{V}(t_1) \widetilde{V}(t_2) \cdots \widetilde{V}(t_n),\tag{12}
$$

with

$$
\widetilde{V}(t) = e^{tH_0}Ve^{-tH_0}.
$$
\n(13)

The integrations needed in this expansion are of the type

$$
t^k e^{lt},\tag{14}
$$

and can easily be integrated analytically.

The expansions for the susceptibility χ and the logarithm of the partition function $\ln Z$ per site take the general form¹³

$$
T\chi(x,\beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_n^{(\chi)}(\beta)}{12n!} \left(\frac{x}{12}\right)^n,
$$
\n(15)

$$
\ln Z(x,\beta) = 3\beta/4 + \ln Z_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n^{(Z)}(\beta)}{12n!} \left(\frac{x}{12}\right)^n,
$$

where $Z_0 = 1 + 3 \exp(-\beta)$, and the coefficient $f_n(\beta)$ for both χ and $\ln Z$ have the general form

$$
f_n(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} c_{k,l}^{(n)} \beta^k Z_0^{-l},
$$
 (16)

where $c_{k,l}^{(n)}$ are expansion coefficients. The series were computed to order $n=6$, the results for $\chi(x,\beta)$ are given in Table I, and the results for $\ln Z(x, \beta)$ are available on request. From this expansion, one can recover the results of the hightemperature expansion and dimer expansions if we reexpand in powers of β , or *x* at $T=0$.

III. RESULTS

Having obtained the series for the various expansions above we present in this section the results of series analysis. We use integrated first-order inhomogeneous differential approximants¹⁶ and Padé approximants to extrapolate the series.

A. Phase diagram

The ground state energy per site E_0/N is shown in Fig. 2. The full points in the large J'/J region are obtained from the Ising expansion, and the horizontal line corresponds to the eigenenergy of the perfect dimer state (which is E_0 $=3NJ/8$ exactly). These curves cross at a transition point x_c , which corresponds to a first order ground state phase transition, resulting from a level crossing. The numerical estimate of $x_c = 0.691(6)$ is a more precise estimate of the result $x_c = 0.70(1)$ of Miyahara and Ueda⁷ discussed above.

The staggered magnetization *M* for those values of the exchange coupling parameters which lie within the Néelordered phase at $\lambda = 1$ is shown in Fig. 3. We can see that *M* decreases as we turn on *J*, and appears to vanish at around $J/J' \approx 1.4$, near the transition point determined from the ground state energies. The errors are too large to determine whether or not where is a finite discontinuity at the transition.

B. Triplet excitation spectrum

From the dimer expansions, one can estimate the triplet excitation spectrum for those values of the exchange coupling parameters which lie within the dimer phase. The triplet excitation spectra for $x=0.6$, 0.65 and 0.678 are shown in Fig. 4. We can see that the minimum energy gap is at $(k_x, k_y) = (0,0)$ (and the equivalent point (π, π)). The bandwidth is quite small, indicating that the triplet excitations are highly localized, but increases as x_c is approached.

Figure 5 shows the triplet excitation gap $\Delta = \Delta(0,0)$ as a function of *x*. At $J' = 0$, Δ/J is equal to 1 exactly, corresponding to a single dimer excited to a triplet state. At the first order transition point x_c , the gap is $\Delta = 0.14(5)$, where the uncertainty is largely associated with the uncertainty of x_c .

TABLE I. Series coefficients for the dimer expansion at finite temperature of the magnetic susceptibility χ . Nonzero coefficients $c_{k,l}^{(n)}$ in Eq. (16) up to order $n=6$ are listed.

(n,k,l)	$c_{k,l}^{(n)}$	(n,k,l)	$c_{k,l}^{(n)}$	(n,k,l)	$c_{k,l}^{(n)}$	(n,k,l)	$c_{k,l}^{(n)}$
(0, 0, 0)	4	(4, 3, 1)	-20689920	(5, 2, 3)	-6519859200	(6, 5, 2)	126045573120
(0, 0, 1)	-4	(4, 4, 1)	-3239424	(5, 3, 3)	28138690560	(6, 6, 2)	5370983424
(1, 1, 0)	-64	(4, 1, 2)	23417856	(5, 4, 3)	1845657600	(6, 1, 3)	-386640691200
(1, 1, 1)	128	(4, 2, 2)	50377728	(5, 5, 3)	-56417280	(6, 2, 3)	-2967429427200
(1, 1, 2)	-64	(4, 3, 2)	56881152	(5, 1, 4)	-1577410560	(6, 3, 3)	-1905248194560
(2, 1, 0)	640	(4, 4, 2)	1916160	(5, 2, 4)	6189373440	(6, 4, 3)	-2606694359040
(2, 2, 0)	1344	(4, 1, 3)	-22579200	(5, 3, 4)	-36834969600	(6, 5, 3)	9843886080
(2, 1, 1)	-4224	(4, 2, 3)	-109221888	(5, 4, 4)	1241210880	(6, 6, 3)	-5610921984
(2, 2, 1)	-3456	(4, 3, 3)	-68871168	(5, 5, 4)	33269760	(6, 1, 4)	412034826240
(2, 1, 2)	7680	(4, 4, 3)	1858560	(5, 1, 5)	188743680	(6, 2, 4)	3178633052160
(2, 2, 2)	2880	(4, 1, 4)	7593984	(5, 2, 5)	-2117468160	(6, 3, 4)	4207696773120
(2, 1, 3)	-4096	(4, 2, 4)	105916416	(5, 3, 5)	23898193920	(6, 4, 4)	3593735331840
(2, 2, 3)	-768	(4, 3, 4)	37969920	(5, 4, 5)	-2151383040	(6, 5, 4)	-331372339200
(3, 1, 0)	16128	(4, 4, 4)	-2433024	(5, 5, 5)	36126720	(6, 6, 4)	15752116224
(3, 2, 0)	-39168	(4, 2, 5)	-37355520	(5, 3, 6)	-6102712320	(6, 1, 5)	-160650362880
(3, 3, 0)	-36864	(4, 3, 5)	-7667712	(5, 4, 6)	747110400	(6, 2, 5)	-1772148326400
(3, 1, 1)	-103680	(4, 4, 5)	712704	(5, 5, 6)	-21626880	(6, 3, 5)	-5437322035200
(3, 2, 1)	359424	(5, 1, 0)	-190402560	(6, 1, 0)	-3039897600	(6, 4, 5)	-2693322178560
(3, 3, 1)	99072	(5, 2, 0)	-75018240	(6, 2, 0)	35239587840	(6, 5, 5)	441996410880
(3, 1, 2)	179712	(5, 3, 0)	-157562880	(6, 3, 0)	24536862720	(6, 6, 5)	-22042312704
(3, 2, 2)	-933120	(5, 4, 0)	-142878720	(6, 4, 0)	17376768000	(6, 1, 6)	9059696640
(3, 3, 2)	-78336	(5, 5, 0)	-43966464	(6, 5, 0)	8583413760	(6, 2, 6)	402165596160
(3, 1, 3)	-92160	(5, 1, 1)	1436820480	(6, 6, 0)	1929157632	(6, 3, 6)	3619867852800
(3, 2, 3)	944640	(5, 2, 1)	-283760640	(6, 1, 1)	-2405099520	(6, 4, 6)	1007563898880
(3, 3, 3)	6912	(5, 3, 1)	2067609600	(6, 2, 1)	-386275184640	(6, 5, 6)	-240553820160
(3, 2, 4)	-331776	(5, 4, 1)	1148129280	(6, 3, 1)	-162191831040	(6, 6, 6)	13220904960
(3, 3, 4)	9216	(5, 5, 1)	119927808	(6, 4, 1)	-204870942720	(6, 3, 7)	-958440407040
(4, 1, 0)	1290240	(5, 1, 2)	-3521018880	(6, 5, 1)	-63168215040	(6, 4, 7)	-140236554240
(4, 2, 0)	1256448	(5, 2, 2)	2806732800	(6, 6, 1)	-5717993472	(6, 5, 7)	48625090560
(4, 3, 0)	2377728	(5, 3, 2)	-11009249280	(6, 1, 2)	131641528320	(6, 6, 7)	-2901934080
(4, 4, 0)	1185024	(5, 4, 2)	-2687846400	(6, 2, 2)	1509814702080		
(4, 1, 1)	-9722880	(5, 5, 2)	-67313664	(6, 3, 2)	611100979200		
(4, 2, 1)	-10973184	(5, 1, 3)	3663267840	(6, 4, 2)	1026448035840		

C. Thermodynamic properties

Let us now discuss the thermodynamic properties. Fig. 6 shows the internal energy per site *E*/*NJ* vs temperature $k_B T/J$ obtained from the high-temperature expansion and from the dimer expansion at finite temperature for $x=0.5$. We can see that the direct sum to the dimer expansion series at finite temperature converges extremely well down to *T* $=0$, and it can recover the exact ground state energy $E_0/NJ = -3/8$ at $T=0$. The results obtained from the integrated differential approximants to the high-temperature series expansion only converge well down to $k_B T/J \approx 0.3$.

The results for the specific heat at $x=0.4$ obtained from the high-temperature expansion and from the dimer expansion at finite temperature are shown in Fig. 7. We choose *x* $=0.4$ rather than $x=0.5$ because the specific heat series converges poorly for larger *x*.

Finally, the results for the susceptibility χ at $x=0.5$ are shown in Fig. 8. Again, we can see that the results from a direct sum of the dimer expansion series at finite temperature converges well down to $T=0$ (it converges less well near the peak position), while the integrated differential approximants to the high-temperature expansion series only converge to the position of the peak.

It is clear that the dimer expansion at finite temperature gives much better results than the high-temperature expansion for those values of the exchange coupling parameters which lie within the dimer phase. It is interesting to explore this also for ratios of the couplings lying within the Nee^lordered phase at $T=0$ ($x>0.691$). In Figs. 9 and 10, we present the results for internal energy and susceptibility for $x=1.5$, well outside the dimer phase at $T=0$, and one can see that dimer expansions at finite temperature still give good convergence in the high-temperature region. Evidently at high temperature the system is highly disordered and both methods include contributions from all states.

D. Compound $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$

Finally we compare the experimental results for the compound $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$ with the theoretical calculations to get

FIG. 2. The ground-state energy per site E_0/NJ as function of J'/J . The solid horizontal line is the energy of the perfect dimer state, while the solid points with error bars are the estimates from the Ising expansion.

an estimate of the exchange constants. Kageyama *et al.*⁶ determined the excitation gap Δ = 25 K from the NMR relaxation rate. They also measure the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility, and this implies a slightly smaller gap: $\Delta = (19 \pm 1)$ K. Since there is some uncertainty in the energy gap, to determine the exchange constants we begin with the magnetic susceptibility. To convert the theoretical $\chi(T)$ into units emu/Cu mol in experiment, we multiply our χ (calculated with $J=1$) by $N_A g^2 \mu_B^2 / k_B$, with μ_B

FIG. 3. The staggered magnetization M vs J/J' . The solid points with error bars are the estimates from the Ising expansions.

FIG. 4. Plot of triplet excitation spectrum $\Delta(k_x, k_y)$ (derived from the dimer expansions) along high-symmetry cuts through the Brillouin zone for coupling ratios $x=0.6$, 0.65, 0.678.

the Bohr magneton, k_B the Boltzmann constant, N_A Avogadro's number, and *g* the effective *g* factor. There are three fitting parameters: *J* and *x* (or J') and *g*. Our goal is to try to find a proper parameter set (J, x, g) which gives the minimum value of

$$
P = \sum_{T_i} \left[\chi^{\exp}(T_i) - \chi^{\text{theo}}(T_i) \right]^2, \tag{17}
$$

where $\chi^{\text{exp}}, \chi^{\text{theo}}(T_i)$ are the experimental and theoretical susceptibilities, respectively, and the summation is over all experimental points T_i . As the theoretical susceptibility from the sixth order dimer expansion at finite temperature converges well above temperatures corresponding to a physical temperature $T_0 \sim 100$ K, we restrict the minimization

FIG. 5. The triplet excitation gap $\Delta = \Delta(0,0)$ as a function of coupling ratios *x* derived from the dimer expansion. Several different integrated differential approximants to the series are shown. The error bar indicates the gap at the critical point.

FIG. 6. Internal energy per site E/NJ vs temperature k_BT/J for $x=1/2$. The solid and dashed lines are the direct sum of the dimer expansion series at finite temperature to orders from 2 to 6, while the dotted lines are several different integrated differential approximants to the high-temperature series.

FIG. 8. Susceptibility χ per site χ vs temperature $k_B T/J$ for x $=1/2$. The solid and dashed lines are direct sum of the dimer expansion series at finite temperature to orders from 2 to 6, while the dotted lines are several different integrated differential approximants to the high-temperature series.

 Ω

 -0.5 -1 $\rm E/NJ$ -1.5 -2 з -2.5 \overline{c} $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf{1}$ 3 4 $k_B T / J$

FIG. 7. Specific heat per site *C* vs temperature $k_B T/J$ for *x* $=0.4$. The solid and dashed lines are the direct sum of the dimer expansion series at finite temperature to orders from 2 to 6, while the dotted lines are several different integrated differential approximants to the high-temperature series.

FIG. 9. Internal energy per site E/NJ vs temperature k_BT/J for $x=3/2$. The solid and dashed lines are direct sum of series of dimer expansion at finite temperature to order from 2 to 6, while the dotted lines are several different integrated differential approximants to high-temperature series.

FIG. 10. Susceptibility χ per site χ vs temperature $k_B T/J$ for $x=3/2$. The solid and dashed lines are the direct sum of the dimer expansion series at finite temperature to orders from 2 to 6, while the dotted lines are several different integrated differential approximants to the high-temperature series.

function to temperatures $T>T_0$. We then scan the values of *P* around the expected parameter region for $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$ to locate the minimum $P_{\text{min}}=1.14\times10^{-9}$ (emu/CuMol)² at *J* $= 92.0$ K, $x = 0.563$ and $g = 2.104$. This is shown as the full point in Fig. 11

Since there is some error in both the experimental and theoretical χ , we cannot expect that the true parameters for $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$ are located exactly at the minimum point of *P* (in fact, the location of the minimum point depends on the chosen value of T_0), we need to consider the energy gap also. In the three-dimensional parameter space (J, x, g) , there is a long tubelike region which has $P \le 5P_{\text{min}}$. The intersection with the plane $g=2.108$ is shown as the dashed lines in Fig. 11(a). If we fix the value of *J* and vary *x* and *g*, we obtain curves $x(J)$ and $g(J)$ which give near minimum values of *P*. These curves $x(J)$ and $g(J)$ and the corresponding *P* are given in Fig. 11. We can see that along *x*(*J*), *P* only changes slightly by about 10%, and *g* is about 2.11 almost independent of *J*. To further determine the parameters for $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$, we need to consider the energy gap [which relates to the low-temperature behavior of $\chi(T)$. From Fig. 5, we can get two curves in (J, x) space where the energy gap is 19 and 25 K, respectively, as shown in Fig. 11. We see that they cross with the optimal $x(J)$ curve obtained above at

$$
J=82.0K
$$
, $x=0.678$, $g=2.108$

if the energy gap is 19 K, or at

$$
J=83.2
$$
K, $x=0.664$, $g=2.108$

FIG. 11. The two nearly horizontal solid lines in the lower window indicate where the energy gap is 19 and 25 K, respectively. The other solid lines in both upper and lower windows indicate for a given value of *J*, the values of (x, g) which give a minimum value of *P*, and the corresponding value of *P* is also given in the upper window. The region bounded by two dashed lines in the lower window indicates the range in parameter space $(J, x, g = 2.108)$ that *P* is less than or equal to $5P_{\text{min}}$, where P_{min} is the minimum of *P* in the whole parameter space, indicated by the solid box point in the lower window. The dotted line in the lower window indicates where the Weiss constant $\theta = (J+4J')/4 = 92.5$ K.

if the energy gap is 25 K. We believe this should be the best fit to both $\chi(T)$ and the energy gap.

If we take the energy gap to be 19 K, the comparison of the experimental data with theoretical calculations is shown in Figs. 12 and 13. We can see the fit is extremely good in the high-temperature region. We note that the dimer expansion sums tend to show sharp peaks, which are not visible in either the experimental data or the high-temperature expansions. We suspect that these are an artifact, as the results will become more sensitive to the truncation of the dimer expansion at finite order near the transition point in *x*. The ratio of couplings *x* obtained here is similar to that obtained by Miyahara and Ueda,⁷ but they found $J=100$ K which is nearly 20% larger than our estimate. They obtained their results by considering the paramagnetic Curie-Weiss constant θ . The Curie-Weiss constant given by the hightemperature expansions is $\theta = (J+4J')/4$. From experimental data, the susceptibility at high temperature can be fitted with a Curie-Weiss constant θ =92.5 K and an effective *g* factor $g=2.14$.⁶ The result curve for $(J+4J')/4=92.5$ K is shown as a dotted line in Fig. $11(a)$. The discrepancy between the two estimates is perhaps due to uncertainty in extracting the Curie-Weiss constant from experimental data at finite temperature. Our estimates imply the Curie-Weiss constant to be θ =76.1 K.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Several different series expansions have been calculated to high order for this model: high-temperature expansions, an

FIG. 12. Comparison of the calculated temperature dependence of the susceptibility with experimental data (Ref. 6) (open points). The solid and dashed lines are direct sums of the dimer expansion series at finite temperature to orders from 2 to 6 for Heisenberg model with parameters $J=82$ K, $x=0.678$, and $g=2.108$.

Ising expansion at zero temperature, and dimer expansion at both zero and finite temperature. The first-order transition from the dimer phase to a Néel-ordered phase has been found to occur at $J'/J = 0.691(6)$, in good agreement with the original estimate $0.70(1)$ of Miyahara and Ueda.⁷ The ground-state energy shows a sharp and distinct break in slope at that point, indicative of a first-order transition, and the triplet spin gap undergoes a small but definite discontinuity: the triplet spin gap is Δ =0.14(5) at the transition point. A discontinuity in the Néel phase magnetization is less certain, but is not excluded by our results.

The model has been fitted to the experimental susceptibility data for $SrCu_2(BO_3)_2$, with parameters $g=2.108$, *J* $= 82.0$ K, and $x = 0.678$ if the energy gap is 19 K or *g* $=$ 2.108, *J* = 83.2 K, and *x* = 0.664 if the energy gap is 25 K. A detailed and accurate fit can be obtained at high temperature, and a reasonable fit at low temperatures is also obtained. The fitted value of $x = (J'/J)$ is about 0.67, which is indeed very close to the transition point 0.691.

The Ising expansion has been developed for this model and this allows a more accurate determination of the phase transition point. We have also estimated the spontaneous magnetization in the Neél phase. The finite-temperature dimer expansion has been computed; this provides the most

FIG. 13. Same as Fig. 12, but the experimental data are compared with the estimates from high-temperature expansions (the solid lines representing various integrated differential approximants to the high-temperature series.)

reliable theoretical estimate of the high-temperature susceptibility and is used to estimate the experimental parameters of the real system. Apart from this we have also substantially increased the length of the dimer series at $T=0$ and the conventional high-temperature expansion. The former has allowed us to present a calculation of the full triplet excitation spectrum for this system.

Note added in proof. We were informed recently of the work by Albrecht and Mila, 18 who study this system by using the exact diagonalization of small clusters, linear spinwave theory, and Schwinger-boson mean-field theory, and argue there is an intermediate phase with helical long-range order between the Néel phase and the dimer phase. We hope to consider the possibility of helical long-range order in a future calculation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work forms part of a research project supported by a grant from the Australian Research Council. The computation has been performed on Silicon Graphics Power Challenge and Convex machines, and we thank the New South Wales Center for Parallel Computing for facilities and assistance with the calculations. We would like to thank Professor Ueda and Dr. Kageyama for providing the experimental data on $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$.

- ‡ Electronic address: otja@newt.phys.unsw.edu.au
- ¹M. Azuma *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3643 (1994).
- 2 H. Iwase *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 2397 (1996).
- ³ A. W. Garret *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 745 (1997).
- 4 G. Chaboussant *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 55, 3046 (1997); Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 925 (1997).
- ⁵ S. Taniguchi et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **64**, 2758 (1995).
- ⁶H. Kageyama et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3168 (1999).

^{*}Electronic address: w.zheng@unsw.edu.au

[†] Electronic address: c.hamer@unsw.edu.au

- 7 S. Miyahara and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3701 (1999).
- ⁸B. S. Shastry and B. Sutherland, Physica B 108, 1069 (1981).
- 9H. X. He, C. J. Hamer, and J. Oitmaa, J. Phys. A **23**, 1775 $(1990).$
- 10M. P. Gelfand, R. R. P. Singh, and D. A. Huse, J. Stat. Phys. **59**, 1093 (1990).
- 11 M. P. Gelfand, Solid State Commun. 98, 11 (1996).
- ¹² J. A. Henderson, J. Oitmaa, and M. C. Ashley, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 6328 (1992).
- 13 N. Elstner and R. R. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. B 57 , 7740 (1998).
- 14 D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 37 , 2380 (1988).
- 15W. H. Zheng, J. Oitmaa, and C. J. Hamer, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 8321 $(1991).$
- 16A. J. Guttmann, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, edited by C. Domb and J. Lebowitz (Academic, New York, 1989), Vol. 13.
- 17 N. Elstner and R. R. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. B 58, 11 484 (1998).
- 18 M. Albrecht and F. Mila, Europhys. Lett. **34**, 145 (1996).