show that (a) the phonon-induced structure in  $N_s$  is enhanced in the Pb(+) bias and suppressed in the Pb(-) bias, and (b) this enhancement and suppression effect increases with decreasing electron concentration of the Si electrodes, i.e., with increasing asymmetry in the normal conductance.

In normal metal-oxide-superconductor junctions, the normal conductance seldom varies by more than 5% over the phonon energy range of the superconductor. The asymmetry effect discussed here is, therefore, negligible and  $(dI/dV)_s/(dI/dV)_w$ 

<sup>1</sup>D. C. Tsui, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>27</u>, 574 (1971). <sup>2</sup>J. R. Schrieffer, in *Tunneling Phenomena in Solids*, edited by E. Burstein and S. Lundqvist (Plenum, New York, 1969), Chap. 21. measures  $N_s$  directly. In contrast, the normalconductance asymmetry observed in Si-Pb junctions is common to most degenerate semiconductorsuperconductor contacts. Since the asymmetry can be controlled by properly choosing the carrier concentration of the semiconductor electrode, it should be possible to utilize this enhancement effect to study the fine structure in  $N_s$  of the more weakly coupled superconductors, e.g., Al and Zn, which has not been possible with metal-oxide-metal junctions.

<sup>3</sup>W. L. McMillan and J. M. Rowell, in *Superconductivity*, edited by R. D. Parks (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1969), Chap. 11, and private communication.

PHYSICAL REVIEW B

VOLUME 6, NUMBER 3

1 AUGUST 1972

## Electron Spin Resonance of $Mn^{2+}$ in $BaF_2$ , $SrF_2$ , $CdF_2$ , and $CaF_2^{\dagger}$

R. J. Richardson, Sook Lee, \* and T. J. Menne McDonnell Douglas Research Laboratories, McDonnell Douglas Corporation, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 (Received 12 April 1972)

Electron-spin-resonance investigations of the  $Mn^{2+}$  center in BaF<sub>2</sub>, CdF<sub>2</sub>, and SrF<sub>2</sub> are reported and spin-Hamiltonian parameters are given. Previously reported values for the  $Mn^{2+}$  center in CaF<sub>2</sub> are given for comparison.

We have recently reported<sup>1</sup> a critical analysis of the ESR spectra obtained from the  $Mn^{2*}$  center present in dilute concentrations in CaF<sub>2</sub>. As a result, several uncertainties associated with earlier investigations have been resolved and accurate values for the spin-Hamiltonian constants of this system have been determined. This addendum reports the results of our subsequent ESR investigations of the  $Mn^{2*}$  center in the isomorphic hosts  $BaF_2$ ,  $SrF_2$ , and  $CdF_2$ . Single-crystalline samples of  $BaF_2$ ,  $SrF_2$ , and  $CdF_2$  were obtained from Optovac, Inc. The ESR data obtained from the samples of  $SrF_2$  and  $CdF_2$ , both containing nominally  $10^{-3}$  wt%  $Mn^{2*}$ , exhibited fluorine superhyperfine structure similar in resolution and appearance to that observed in the  $CaF_2$  system. These data were analyzed in a manner closely following that employed for  $CaF_2$ , which was described in detail in Ref. 1. The best-fitted spin-Hamiltonian parameters to the experimental data are shown in Table I, in which we include the results of  $CaF_2$ for comparison.

The ESR spectra observed in the  $BaF_2$  crystal with a  $10^{-3}$ -wt%- $Mn^{2+}$  concentration also displayed

TABLE I. Room-temperature spin-Hamiltonian parameters, lattice constants (r), observing ESR microwave frequencies (f), and linewidths  $(\Delta H)$  for  $Mn^{2*}$  in  $CaF_2$ ,  $BaF_2$ ,  $SrF_2$ , and  $CdF_2$ .

Host	CaF <sub>2</sub>	BaF <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{SrF}_2$	CdF <sub>2</sub>
A (G)	$-100.8 \pm 0.1$	$-97.7 \pm 0.1$	$-100.9 \pm 0.1$	$-100.1\pm0.1$
g	$2.0010 \pm 0.0005$	$2.0013 \pm 0.0005$	$2.0010 \pm 0.0005$	$2.0012 \pm 0.0005$
T <sub>11</sub> (G)	$15.3 \pm 0.1$	$11.9 \pm 0.5$	$13.1 \pm 0.1$	$15.65 \pm 0.1$
T <sub>1</sub> (G)	$6.3 \pm 0.1$	$5.4 \pm 0.5$	$5.35 \pm 0.1$	$6.6 \pm 0.1$
<i>a</i> (G)	$0 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm0.5$	$0 \pm 0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$
$\Delta H$ (G)	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	5-7	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.1 \pm 0.1$
f (GHz)	$9.51192 \pm 0.00005$	$9.50951 \pm 0.00005$	$9.50660 \pm 0.00005$	$9.41580 \pm 0.00005$
r (Å) <sup>a</sup>	5.46295	6.2001	5.7996	5.3880

<sup>a</sup>R. W. G. Wyckoff, Crystal Structures, 2nd ed. (Interscience, New York, 1963), Vol. I, p. 241.

resolved fluorine superhyperfine structure, but the resolution of this structure was noticeably poorer than that observed for the other fluorite crystals. In an attempt to observe the superhyperfine structure with improved resolution, we investigated a series of  $BaF_2$  crystals with lower  $Mn^{2+}$  concentrations. However, the ESR spectra from these crystals did not display any better resolution. This fact is reflected in Table I by the relatively large error limits for the  $BaF_2$  system.

We have also found that the linewidths of the best-fitted theoretical spectra for  $BaF_2$  varied with crystal orientation, i.e., the linewidths for the [100], [110], and [111] spectra were found to be 7, 5, and 6 G, respectively. As shown in Table I, the other systems all have a best-fitted line-

width of 2.1 G, independent of the crystal orientation.

This behavior of the  $BaF_2$  data can be explained if it is assumed that the  $Mn^{2*}$  ions tend to cluster in the  $BaF_2$  lattice, which has a larger lattice parameter than the other fluorite lattices. The major contribution to the observed linewidth would then be due to the dipole-dipole interaction between  $Mn^{2*}$  ions in this cluster. As a test of this hypothesis, we calculated the second moment for the  $Mn^{2*}$  ESR line assuming all the nearby  $Ba^{2*}$  sites were occupied by  $Mn^{2*}$  ions up to the tenth shell. The resultant second moment displayed an angular variation which is consistent with the trend exhibited by the measured best-fitted linewidth for the three crystallographic directions.

<sup>†</sup>Research conducted under the McDonnell Douglas Independent Research and Development Program. \*Consultant, McDonnell Douglas Research Labora-

tories. Permanent address: Department of Physics,

Saint Louis University, St. Louis, Mo. 63103.  $^1\mathrm{R}$ . J. Richardson, Sook Lee, and T. J. Menne, Phys. Rev. B <u>4</u>, 3837 (1971).

## PHYSICAL REVIEW B

VOLUME 6, NUMBER 3

1 AUGUST 1972

## Differences in the Temperature Dependence of the Hyperfine Field and Magnetization of Ferromagnetic Metals

I. Vincze and J. Kollár Central Research Institute for Physics, Budapest, Hungary (Received 7 February 1972)

The temperature dependences of the magnetization and of the hyperfine field in ferromagnetic metals such as Fe or Ni are different even after transformation to constant volume. It is suggested that the effect of lattice vibrations can explain the observed explicit temperature dependence of the hyperfine field at constant volume and that the deviation of the latter from the temperature dependence of the magnetization at constant pressure is well described by considering the thermal expansion also. Some applications of this model to related problems are discussed.

In a pure ferromagnetic metal at constant pressure, the temperature dependence of the hyperfine field is not precisely the same as that of the spontaneous magnetization. The first observation of this effect was the nuclear-magnetic-resonance (NMR) measurement of Robert and Winter<sup>1</sup> in iron. The agreement between the temperature dependence of the hyperfine field measured by NMR and the Mössbauer effect (ME) indicates<sup>2</sup> that the deviation of the hyperfine field and bulk magnetization with increasing temperature is not connected with the domain structure of the metal, because the NMR measurements are associated with nuclei within the domain walls, while the ME measurements involve chiefly nuclei within the domains themselves.

When the temperature dependence of the reduced hyperfine field h is compared with the reduced magnetization  $\sigma$ , transforming both to constant volume

to eliminate any differential effects of thermal expansion, it is found<sup>2,3</sup> that even at constant volume there still remains an explicit temperature dependence of the hyperfine coupling constant A, i.e.,

$$h(T) = A(T)\sigma(T). \tag{1}$$

The explicit temperature dependence of A for pure iron between 0 and 300 °K can be fitted within experimental accuracy to

$$A(T) = \mathbf{1} - aT^2, \tag{2}$$

where  $a = 0.77 \times 10^{-7} \text{ }^{\circ}\text{K}^{-2}$  (Ref. 3) or  $0.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ }^{\circ}\text{K}^{-2}$  (Ref. 2) is obtained, depending on the magnetization data used.

The situation is similar<sup>4</sup> for Ni, except that at constant pressure the deviation between the temperature dependence of the relative hyperfine field and the relative magnetization is approximately

1066