Specific heat and critical fields of the organic superconductor β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₂SF₅CH₂CF₂SO₃

S. Wanka, J. Hagel, D. Beckmann, and J. Wosnitza Physikalisches Institut, Universität Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

J. A. Schlueter and J. M. Williams

Chemistry and Materials Science Divisions, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

P. G. Nixon, R. W. Winter, and G. L. Gard Department of Chemistry, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon 97207 (Received 16 June 1997)

We report on specific-heat, magnetization, and ac-susceptibility measurements of β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₂SF₅CH₂CF₂SO₃, an organic superconductor with $T_c = 4.5$ K, where BEDT-TTF stands for bis(ethylenedithio)-tetrathiafulvalene. The jump of the specific heat C at T_c and the exact form of the specificheat difference between C in the superconducting (B=0 T) and in the normal (B=3.5 T) state can be well described by BCS theory with strong coupling. We estimate an electron-phonon coupling parameter $\lambda \approx 1.1$. From measurements of C in magnetic fields we extract the upper critical field $B_{c2}(T)$. Low-field dcmagnetization measurements were used to determine the temperature dependence of the lower critical field B_{c1} . From T_c down to ~ 3 K the characteristic suppression of $B_{c1}^{\perp}(T)$ below the sensitivity limit is observed. [S0163-1829(98)00305-1]

I. INTRODUCTION

The majority of superconducting organic salts are based on the electron donor molecule bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene (BEDT-TTF) with stochiometry $(BEDT-TTF)_2X$, where $X^$ is a monovalent chargecompensating anion. Since the discovery of $(BEDT-TTF)_2ReO_4$ in 1983 (Ref. 1) the number of organic superconductors in this class has been constantly growing. In these materials, highly conducting BEDT-TTF^{+0.5} cation layers alternate with separating X^- anion layers. The packing motifs of the BEDT-TTF molecules depend on the anion X^{-} and result in different crystallographic phases.^{2,3} Well investigated is the κ phase with polymeric anions such as $Cu(NCS)_2^-$, $Cu[N(CN)_2]Br^-$, or $Cu[N(CN)_2]Cl^{-4}$. The last of these has the highest superconducting transition temperature to date, with $T_c \approx 13$ K at ~ 0.3 kbar applied pressure.⁵ Currently, the nature of the superconducting state in these materials is still an open question. The experimental situation is controversial and inconclusive.^{6,7}

A promising recent development is the crystallization of BEDT-TTF with large discrete ions such as $M(CF_3)_4^-$ (M = Cu, Ag, or Au) to form superconducting salts, of the general formula κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂ $MCF_3(1,1,2$ -trihaloethane), which have similar superconducting transition temperatures.⁸ In analogy to these perfluorinated organometallic complex anions another step to create new organic superconductors was the use of large polyfluorinated anions, i.e., $SF_5CH_2CF_2SO_3^-$.⁹ The β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₂SF₅CH₂CF₂SO₃ salt has a superconducting onset temperature of ~5.2 K according to ac-susceptibility measurements.⁹ To date only limited information on the thermodynamic properties of this first entirely organic superconductor is known.

One important thermodynamic property, which is frequently used to characterize a sample is the specific heat C, which yields information on the electronic and the phononic excitation spectra. In addition, the jump height ΔC at T_c and the temperature dependence of C in the superconducting state can be compared to the BCS prediction. We investiof a high-quality single crystal gated Cof β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₂SF₅CH₂CF₂SO₃ from ~0.7 to 7 K in zero and different magnetic fields up to 3.5 T. We determined the Sommerfeld coefficient γ of the electrons in the normal state and the temperature dependence of the upper critical field B_{c2} . To further characterize this organic superconductor we measured the low-field magnetization and the ac susceptibility. From these measurements we were able to extract the lower critical field B_{c1} and the temperature and angular dependence of the irreversibility field, B_{irr} .

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The investigated platelike single crystal was prepared by an electrochemical process described in detail in Ref. 9. The specific heat was measured with the standard heat-pulse technique in a ³He cryostat that was equipped with a superconducting magnet for fields up to 4 T. The sample consisted of a single crystal with a total mass of 2.4 mg that was broken into four pieces during our investigations. On one piece of the sample we were able to detect Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations, which proves the high quality of the investigated crystal.¹⁰ The pieces were glued with a small amount of Apiezon N grease onto a sapphire plate. On the other side of this sample holder an evaporated Au film serves as a sample heater and a calibrated RuO₂-SMD (surface mounted device) resistor that is glued to the sapphire is used as a thermometer. The temperature was measured with a high-resolution

3084



FIG. 1. Specific heat of β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₂SF₅CH₂CF₂SO₃ in zero magnetic field and in B=3.5 T applied perpendicular to the highly conducting BEDT-TFF planes. The superconducting transition temperature at T_c is marked by an arrow. The solid line is a fit of the form $C = \gamma T + \beta T^3$ for the data up to 2 K.

ac resistance bridge that allows a relative temperature resolution of $\delta T/T \approx 10^{-5}$. The heat capacity of the empty sample holder was measured separately in different magnetic fields to obtain a reliable value of the addenda contribution. For B=0 the addenda contributed $\sim 80\%$ at low temperatures ($T \approx 0.9$ K), which prohibited a reliable determination of *C* below this value. At T_c the sample contributed approximately 50% to the total heat capacity, which allowed a determination of *C* with a relative accuracy $\Delta C/C$ of $\sim 0.5\%$.

The magnetization M was measured in a ⁴He croystat down to 2 K in a low-field superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer. The cryostat is shielded by μ metal to reduce external fields to below 1 μ T. The ac susceptibility was measured down to 0.45 K in a ³He cryostat where magnetic fields up to 15 T can be applied. The ac signal was detected by a compensated pickup coil system with the usual lock-in technique. A modulation-field frequency of 782 Hz with an amplitude below 0.2 mT was used. For more details see Ref. 11.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

specific С of Figure 1 shows the heat β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₂SF₅CH₂CF₂SO₃ in B=0 and 3.5 T over the whole investigated temperature range in a doublelogarithmic scale. Because of the large phonon background the anomaly in C at T_c (marked by an arrow in Fig. 1) is hardly visible. The field of B = 3.5 T applied perpendicular to the highly conducting BEDT-TTF planes is large enough to suppress the superconducting transition well below the shown temperature range (see below). The low-temperature dependence of the normal-state specific heat up to 2 K in B = 3.5 T was approximated by the usual low-temperature fit of the form $C = \gamma T + \beta T^3$, where γ is the Sommerfeld coefficient of the electronic specific heat and β is the coefficient of the low-temperature Debye approximation for the phonon contribution. The coefficients of the fit shown in Fig. 1 are mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² $\beta = (12.2 \pm 1)$ $\gamma = (18.7 \pm 1)$ and



FIG. 2. Plot of the temperature dependence of *C* divided by T^3 , which allows a better resolution of the specific-heat anomalies and the strong deviation from the simple Debye T^3 law. The solid line is a fit with the γ and β as in Fig. 1 plus two Einstein modes (1) as described in the text. The inset shows the temperature dependence of the upper critical field B_{c2} (solid circles) and the irreversibility field B_{irr} (open circles) determined by specific-heat and acsusceptibility measurements, respectively.

mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻⁴. Above ~ 2 K a clear upward deviation of the data from the simple Debye T^3 law is observed that will be discussed later in detail.

The value of the Sommerfeld coefficient γ is well within reported the range for other **BEDT-TTF** superconductors.¹²⁻¹⁵ For a two-dimensional (2D) Fermi liquid the linear coefficient of the specific heat is given by $\gamma^{2D} = (\pi/2)(k_B^2/\hbar^2)m^*V_M^{2D}$, where k_B is the Boltzmann factor, m^* is the renormalized effective mass, and V_M^{2D} is the 2D molar volume in m^2/mol . With the known room-temperature lattice parameters⁹ and the effective mass from band-structure calculations $m_{\text{bare}} = 1.07m_e$, ¹⁰ $\gamma^{2D} \approx 5.4 \text{ mJ/mol K}^2$ is expected. Comparison with the experimental value hints to an average effective mass enhancement by a factor of \sim 3.5. This is somewhat larger than the enhancement found in our SdH experiment where a cyclotron effective mass of m_c $=(1.9\pm0.05)m_e$ for one small 2D orbit was found (see also discussion below).¹⁰ However, besides the closed orbit seen in the SdH experiment quasi-one-dimensional open bands are predicted that also contribute to the electronic specific heat. Nevertheless, the specific-heat gives additional evidence for a strong mass enhancement due to many-body effects in the title compound.

From the coefficient β of the cubic term we obtain a Debye temperature $\theta_D = (\frac{12}{5}\pi^4 Rn/\beta)^{1/3} = (221\pm7)$ K, where *R* is the gas constant and n=68 is the number of atoms per formula unit. This value is well within the range of Θ_D reported for many other BEDT-TTF compounds.^{12–15} Similar to what was found for κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃ (Ref. 12), α -(BEDT-TTF)₂*M*Hg(SCN)₄ (*M*=K, Rb, and NH₄) (Ref. 14), and other BEDT-TTF salts¹⁵ an upward deviation from the simple T^3 law is observed already at low temperatures (see Fig. 1). From Fig. 2 the strong deviation from the Debye law can be seen more clearly (a T^3 dependence would yield a constant value for C/T^3). The additional phononic contribution with a maximum in C/T^3 at ~6 K resembles very closely the behavior seen for κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃.¹² These low-lying phonon excitations are presumably due to librational optical modes which have been observed also by resonant Raman scattering.^{3,16,17} The corresponding excess phonon contribution, $C_{\rm exc}$, can roughly be described by Einstein-like excitation spectra¹⁸

$$C_{\rm exc} = N_E k_B \left[\frac{\Theta_E}{T}\right]^2 \frac{\exp(\Theta_E/T)}{\left[\exp(\Theta_E/T) - 1\right]^2},\tag{1}$$

where Θ_E is the characteristic Einstein temperature and N_E is the number of Einstein modes per mole. With γ and β as given above and with only one optical mode the best fit to the data gives $\Theta_E = 28$ K and $N_E = 1 \times 10^{24}$ mol⁻¹. However, a much better description is possible by the use of two modes with $\Theta_{E1} = 23$ K, $N_{E1} = 4 \times 10^{23}$ mol⁻¹ and $\Theta_{E2} = 44$ K, $N_{E2} = 1.6 \times 10^{24}$ mol⁻¹ (solid line in Fig. 2). These Einstein temperatures correspond to mode energies in the range 2 meV to 3.8 meV (16 cm⁻¹ to 31 cm⁻¹) in rough agreement with the Raman modes found between 20 cm⁻¹ and 50 cm⁻¹.^{3,16,17}

The specific-heat anomaly of the title compound at T_c is rather broad already at B=0 (see Fig. 2).¹⁹ With increasing field the anomaly gets broader and strongly reduced in amplitude. This behavior is known for strongly type-II layered superconductors such as organic metals or cuprates and reflects strong fluctuation effects due to the low dimensionality and the short coherence lengths. From a plot C/T vs T (not shown here) with the usual equal entropy (= area) condition we extract for B=0, $T_c=4.4$ K and $\Delta C/\gamma T_c=2.1\pm0.1$, where ΔC is the specific-heat jump at T_c . This latter value is considerably larger than the BCS weak-coupling value of 1.43. We discuss this point later in detail. The specific-heat measurements in different fields allow the extraction of the field dependence of $T_c(B)$, i.e., the determination of the upper critical field B_{c2} for B perpendicular to the BEDT-TTF planes. The result is shown in the inset of Fig. 2. Although some points have rather large error bars due to the weak and broadened C anomalies in these fields we can extrapolate a quite accurate upper critical field at T=0 of $B_{c2}(0)=(3.4)$ ± 0.4) T. This results in an in-plane coherence length of ξ_{\parallel} $=\sqrt{\Phi_0/(2\pi B_{c2})} \approx 10 \text{ nm} (\Phi_0 = 2.07 \cdot 10^{-15} \text{ Tm}^2 \text{ is the flux})$ quantum), which is well within the range observed for the superconducting BEDT-TTF salts.^{2,6}

The inset of Fig. 2 also shows the data for the irreversibility field B_{irr} determined from field-dependent acsusceptibility measurements. The reversible range between B_{c2} and B_{irr} is very large, even for this class of materials. The extrapolated irreversibility field for T=0 is of the order 1.5 T. However, neither one of the frequently observed algebraic nor exponential temperature dependences of B_{irr} can be fitted to the whole temperature range.^{4,11,20} In previous work on other BEDT-TTF materials^{11,20} we found that a rough estimate of the anisotropy can be obtained by the angular dependence of B_{irr} . We, therefore, measured B_{irr} vs angle at T=0.4 K (data not shown). With the use of the Tinkham formula for two-dimensional superconducting thin films²¹ we get a good description of the data with $B_{irr}^{\perp}=0.93$ T and $B_{irr}^{\parallel}=9.5$ T for fields applied perpendicular and parallel to the BEDT-TTF planes, respectively. The resulting anisotropy ra-



FIG. 3. Specific-heat difference ΔC between superconducting and normal *C* for different magnetic fields applied perpendicular to the BEDT-TTF planes. The solid line is a fit of the B=0 data according to the BCS theory with strong coupling (Ref. 22).

tio $B_{irr}^{\parallel}/B_{irr}^{\perp} \approx 10$ is rather low. However, additional work is necessary to obtain more information on the superconducting magnetic phase diagram.

In Fig. 3 we have plotted $\Delta C(T) = C_{S}(T) - C_{N}(T)$, the specific-heat difference between C in the superconducting and normal state. In order to get the most reliable estimate for $C_N(T)$ the data in B=3.5 T were approximated by a polynomial. The field dependences of the C anomalies can be seen now in more detail. As was mentioned, the value of $\Delta C/\gamma T_c = 2.1 \pm 0.1$ in B = 0 hints to strong coupling. Another indication for that is the rapidly diminishing electronic contribution to C, i.e., the temperature where $\Delta C = 0$ is at ~ 2.5 K whereas weak-coupling BCS theory predicts a temperature at approximately $T_c/2$. In order to describe $\Delta C(T)$ completely we follow a suggestion of Ref. 22. The temperature dependence of the energy gap in the superconducting state $\Delta(T)$ is assumed to be BCS like scaled with only one adjustable parameter, the gap ratio $\alpha = \Delta(0)/k_B T_c$, which is $\alpha_{\rm BCS} = 1.76$ in the weak-coupling case. With $\alpha = 2.15$ we get the $\Delta C(T)$ dependence shown by the solid line in Fig. 3, which describes the experimental data perfectly. The deviation of the fit close to T_c is because we have not taken into account possible fluctuations. In the weak-coupling case well-known analytic relations between T_c , $\Delta(0)$, and $\Delta C/\gamma$ are available. For strong-coupling superconductors these relations are determined by the particular phonon spectrum of the material. The approximative analytic expression $\Delta C/\gamma T_c = 1.43 + f(T_c/\omega_{\rm ln})$ has been used to describe this relation.²³ The function f was determined by a phenomenological fit to exact numerical results from Eliashberg theory where ω_{ln} is the average phonon energy. Based on this theory and with the use of the modified McMillan equation²⁴

$$T_c = \frac{\omega_{\ln}}{1.2} \exp\left(-\frac{1.04(1+\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu^*(1+0.62\lambda)}\right)$$
(2)

we can estimate the electron-phonon coupling parameter $\lambda = 1.1 \pm 0.1$ with the usual assumption of an effective Coulomb repulsion $\mu^* = 0.1$. This fits nicely with the SdH results of the title substance¹⁰ where a cyclotron effective mass of



FIG. 4. Electron-phonon coupling constant λ for different organic superconductors extracted from specific-heat measurements (Refs. 12–14) as explained in the text.

 $m_c = (1.9 \pm 0.05) m_e$ was found. This value is roughly a factor 1.8 larger than the calculated band-structure cyclotron mass where no many-body effects are incorporated (m_{bare}) $=1.07m_e$).¹⁰ For the cyclotron mass we cannot disentangle the effect of electron correlations from electron-phonon mass enhancement. From our specific-heat results, however, we may try to estimate the effect of electron-electron interaction λ_{ee} . As stated above the Sommerfeld coefficient gives a total effective mass enhancement of 3.5 that may be set equal to $(1+\lambda)(1+\lambda_{ee})$. With $\lambda = 1.1$ we estimate an electronelectron interaction $\lambda_{ee} \approx 0.7$. These results give strong evidence for large many-body effects in β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₂SF₅CH₂CF₂SO₃.

To date only a few high-precision measurements of C of organic superconductors are reported that allow a reliable determination of ΔC at T_c . In Fig. 4 the λ values for the title substance and three additional superconductors¹²⁻¹⁴ function T_c . are shown as а of For α -(BEDT-TTF)₂NH₄Hg(SCN)₄ the unmodified McMillan equation²⁵ was used, otherwise λ was extracted from $\Delta C / \gamma T_c$ as described above. For the four different organic superconductors with T_c 's between 0.91 K and 9.1 K (Refs. 12–14) we find a systematic monotonic increase of λ with T_c . This seems to suggest that the superconductivity in these materials is closely related to the coupling mechanism observed in conventional superconductors. In a recent paper a quasiparticle specific heat quadratic in temperature was reported for the superconducting state of κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu[N(CN)₂]Br.²⁶ Thereby the authors assumed that the temperature dependence of the phonon specific heat is equal to that of a nonsuperconducting deuterated salt. In contrast, our results for β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₂SF₅CH₂CF₂SO₃ as well for as κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃ (Ref. 12) show an exponential temperature dependence of the electronic specific heat, as expected for a BCS superconductor with isotropic gap.²⁷ This leaves open the question as to whether the superconducting pairing state might be different within the same class of materials.

As the last point we want to discuss the lower critical field B_{c1} . The usually extremely low B_{c1} values of organic superconductors require a very sensitive magnetic probe and a good external-field reduction. The inset of Fig. 5 shows the



FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the lower critical field B_{c1} for *B* perpendicular to the BEDT-TTF planes. The inset shows the temperature dependence of the magnetization *M* for different applied fields B_a .

temperature dependence of the zero-field cooled (= shielding mode) magnetization for the smallest applied field of 0.5 μ T and other selected fields (all applied perpendicular to the BEDT-TTF planes). The deviation from ideal diamagnetic behavior, i.e., the first flux penetration into the sample has been used as criterion for the determination of B_{c1} . For details of the procedure see Ref. 11. The main panel of Fig. 5 shows the temperature dependence of B_{c1} where the demagnetization effect of the platelike sample has been properly taken into account. The most obvious point in this figure is the suppression of $B_{c1}(T)$ below the detection limit of our apparatus between $T = 0.7T_c$ and T_c . This behavior seems to be a unique feature of layered superconductors.^{11,20,28} A theoretical explanation based on fluctuations of individual vortices,²⁹ which may explain the suppression of B_{c1} qualitatively, gives wrong quantitative results. Specifically, the theory predicts a scaling of the suppression range with T_c which is not observed for the organic superconductors.^{11,28}

By a rough extrapolation of the B_{c1} data to T=0 we obtain $B_{c1}(0) = (2 \pm 0.5)$ mT. For fields applied parallel to the BEDT-TTF layers the lower critical field was found to be smaller than 6 μ T down to 2 K. By the use of the relation $B_{c1}(0)/B_{c2}(0) = \ln \kappa/2\kappa^2$ we calculate for B perpendicular to the planes a Ginzburg–Landau parameter $\kappa \approx 59$ and a thermodynamic critical field $B_{\rm cth} = B_{c2}/(\kappa \sqrt{2}) \approx 37$ mT. The large κ value is in the upper range reported for organic superconductors. The thermodynamic critical field can be compared with $B_{\rm cth}$ determined from the specific-heat anomaly. From $\Delta C(T_c) = (V_{\text{mol}}T_c/\mu_0)(dB_{\text{cth}}/dT)^2$ and by a linear extrapolation of the slope of the thermodynamical critical field $dB_{\rm cth}/dT$ to T=0 we find within error bars perfect agreement ($B_{cth} \approx 40$ mT) with above stated value. $V_{mol} = 5.42$ $\times 10^{-4}$ m³ is the molar volume and μ_0 is the permeability of vacuum.

IV. CONCLUSION

We presented a study of the thermodynamic properties of the organic superconductor β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₂SF₅CH₂CF₂SO₃. From specific-heat mea-

<u>57</u>

surements we found evidence for low-lying optical modes observed frequently in BEDT-TTF-based compounds that are most probably due to optical modes of the BEDT-TTF molecule. The temperature dependence of $\Delta C(T)$ can be very well described by strong-coupling BCS theory. With the modified McMillan formula we estimate a coupling parameter $\lambda \approx 1.1$ that compares well with values obtained for other organic superconductors with different T_c 's. We determined the temperature dependence of the upper, lower, and irreversibility field. The title compound is characterized by a very large reversible range in the magnetic phase diagram

- ¹S. S. P. Parkin, E. M. Engler, R. R. Schumaker, R. Lagier, V. Y. Lee, J. C. Scott, and R. L. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 270 (1983).
- ²T. Ishiguro and K. Yamaji, *Organic Superconductors* (Springer, Berlin, 1990).
- ³J. M. Williams, J. R. Ferraro, R. J. Thorn, K. D. Carlson, U. Geiser, H.H. Wang, A. M. Kini, and M.-H. Whangbo, *Organic Superconductors: Synthesis, Structure, Properties, and Theory* (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1992).
- ⁴M. Lang, Supercond. Rev. 2, 1 (1996).
- ⁵J. M. Williams, A. M. Kini, H. H. Wang, K. D. Carlson, U. Geiser, L. K. Montgomery, G. J. Pyrka, D. M. Watkins, J. M. Kommers, S. J. Boryschuk, A. V. Strieby Crouch, W. K. Kwok, J. E. Schriber, D. L. Overmyer, D. Jung, and M.-H. Whangbo, Inorg. Chem. **29**, 3272 (1990).
- ⁶J. Wosnitza, *Fermi Surfaces of Low-Dimensional Organic Metals and Superconductors* (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
- ⁷ See, e.g., Proceedings of the International Conference of Science and Technology of Synthetic Metals, Snowbird, 1996, edited by Z. V. Vardeny and A. J. Epstein [Synth. Met. 85, 1443–1636 (1997)].
- ⁸J. A. Schlueter, J. M. Williams, U. Geiser, J. D. Dudek, M. E. Kelly, S. A. Sirchio, K. D. Carlson, D. Naumann, T. Roy, and C. F. Campana, Adv. Mater. 7, 634 (1995).
- ⁹U. Geiser, J. A. Schlueter, H. H. Wang, A. M. Kini, J. M. Williams, P. P. Sche, H. I. Zakowicz, M. L. VanZile, and J. D. Dudek, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **118**, 9996 (1996); J. A. Schlueter, U. Geiser, J. M. Williams, J. D. Dudek, M. E. Kelly, J. P. Flynn, R. R. Wilson, H. I. Zakowicz, P. P. Sche, D. Naumann, T. Roy, P. G. Nixon, R. W. Winter, and G. L. Gard, Synth. Met. **85**, 1453 (1997).
- ¹⁰D. Beckmann, S. Wanka, J. Wosnitza, J. A. Schlueter, J. M. Williams, P. G. Nixon, R. W. Winter, G. L. Gard, J. Ren, and M.-H. Whangbo, Euro. Phys. J. B (to be published).
- ¹¹S. Wanka, D. Beckmann, J. Wosnitza, E. Balthes, D. Schweitzer, W. Strunz, and H. J. Keller, Phys. Rev. B 53, 9301 (1996).
- ¹²J. Wosnitza, X. Liu, D. Schweitzer, and H. J. Keller, Phys. Rev. B 50, 12 747 (1994).
- ¹³B. Andraka, J. S. Kim, G. R. Stewart, K. D. Carlson, H. H. Wang, and J. M. Williams, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 11 345 (1989); J. E. Graebner, R. C. Haddon, S. V. Chichester, and S. H. Glarum, *ibid.* **41**, 4808 (1990).
- ¹⁴Y. Nakazawa, A. Kawamoto, and K. Kanoda, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 12 890 (1995).
- ¹⁵K. Andres, H. Schwenk, and H. Veith, Physica B 143, 334

and by a large Ginzburg-Landau parameter κ , i.e., a strongly type-II behavior.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work at Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Divisions of Chemistry and Materials Sciences, under Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Work at Portland State University was supported by NSF (Grant No. Che-9632815) and the Petroleum Research Fund (ACS-PRF Grant No. 31099-AC1).

- (1986); G. R. Stewart, J. O'Rourke, G. W. Crabtree, K. D. Carlson, H. H. Wang, J. M. Williams, F. Gross, and K. Andres, Phys. Rev. B 33, 2046 (1986); G. R. Stewart, J. M. Williams, H. H. Wang, L. N. Hall, M. T. Perozzo, and K. D. Carlson, *ibid.* 34, 6509 (1986); B. Andraka, J. S. Kim, G. R. Stewart, K. D. Carlson, H. H. Wang, and J. M. Williams, *ibid.* 40, 11 345 (1989); B. Andraka, G. R. Stewart, K. D. Carlson, H. H. Wang, M. D. Vashon, and J. M. Williams, *ibid.* 42, 9963 (1990); B. Andraka, C. S. Jee, J. S. Kim, G. R. Stewart, K. D. Carlson, H. H. Wang, A. V. S. Crouch, A. M. Kini, and J. M. Williams, Solid State Commun. 79, 57 (1991); V. N. Kopylov and A. V. Palnichenko, J. Phys. I 3, 693 (1993).
- ¹⁶D. Schweitzer, P. Bele, H. Brunner, E. Gogu, U. Haeberlein, I. Hennig, I. Klutz, R. Swietlik, and H. J. Keller, Z. Phys. B **67**, 489 (1987); K. I. Pokhodia, A. Graja, M. Weger, and D. Schweitzer, *ibid.* **90**, 127 (1993).
- ¹⁷D. Pedron, G. Visentini, P. Ingenito, R. Bozio, J. M. Williams, and J. A. Schlueter, Synth. Met. **85**, 1509 (1997); D. Pedron, G. Visentini, R. Bozio, J. M. Williams, and J. A. Schlueter, Physica C **276**, 1 (1997).
- ¹⁸See also Ref. 12, where in Eq. (3) the square for $(\Theta_E/T)^2$ is missing.
- ¹⁹Since the specific-heat cryostat was not shielded against earth's and remnant fields it cannot be excluded that the broadened specific-heat transition at nominal B=0 might be due to stray fields (cf. the magnetization curves in the inset of Fig. 5).
- ²⁰J. Hagel, S. Wanka, D. Beckmann, J. Wosnitza, D. Schweitzer, W. Strunz, and M. Thumfart, Physica C (to be published).
- ²¹M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. **129**, 2913 (1963); M. Tinkham, *Intro*duction to Superconductivity (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975).
- ²²H. Padamsee, J. E. Neighbor, and C. A. Schiffman, J. Low Temp. Phys. **12**, 387 (1973).
- ²³F. Marsiglio and J. P. Carbotte, Phys. Rev. B 33, 6141 (1986).
- ²⁴P. B. Allen and R. C. Dynes, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 905 (1975).
- ²⁵W. L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. 167, 331 (1968).
- ²⁶Y. Nakazawa and K. Kanoda, Phys. Rev. B 55, R8670 (1997).
- 27 Note that the strong-coupling fit implies that *C* is vanishing exponentially towards low temperatures, much faster than any power law or even weak-coupling BCS behavior would do.
- ²⁸J. Wosnitza, S. Wanka, D. Beckmann, J. Hagel, E. Balthes, D. Schweitzer, W. Strunz, and H. J. Keller, Synth. Met. **85**, 1557 (1997).
- ²⁹G. Blatter, B. Ivlev, and H. Nordborg, Phys. Rev. B 48, 10 448 (1993).