Spectroscopic study of bound magnetic polaron formation and the metal-semiconductor transition in EuB_6

P. Nyhus, S. Yoon, M. Kauffman, and S. L. Cooper

Department of Physics and Frederick Seitz Materials Research Laboratory, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801

Z. Fisk and J. Sarrao

Department of Physics and National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306 (Received 27 February 1997)

We present a Raman-scattering study of the metal-semiconductor transition in EuB_6 . The metalsemiconductor (MS) transition in this compound manifests itself as a change from a diffusive electronic scattering response in the high-temperature paramagnetic phase, to a flat continuum scattering response in the low-temperature ferromagnetic metal phase which is characteristic of a strongly correlated metal. Most interesting is evidence that the MS transition in EuB_6 is precipitated by the formation of bound magnetic polarons involving carriers bound to defects. [S0163-1829(97)02930-5]

Recently there has been a resurgence of interest in "colossal magnetoresistance" behavior exhibited by magnetic semiconductors such as $La_{1-x}(Ca,Sr,Ba)_xMnO_3$ (Ref. 1) and Eu-based compounds EuO (Ref. 2) and EuB₆ (Refs. 3,4). A number of remarkable features are exhibited by these materials. At high temperatures, they are paramagnetic (PM) semiconductors with activation energies of $E_A \sim 0.1$ eV in EuB₆ (Ref. 3), $E_A \sim 0.3$ eV in EuO (Ref. 2), and $E_A \sim 0.1$ eV in $La_{1-r}(Ca,Sr,Ba)_rMnO_3$ (Ref. 1), while at low temperatures they develop short-range magnetic correlations before becoming ferromagnetic metals at $T_c \sim 12$ K in EuB₆, and $T_c \sim 200 - 350$ K $T_c \sim 69$ K in EuO, in $La_{1-r}(Ca,Sr,Ba)_rMnO_3$. Unfortunately, many details regarding the phase diagram of these magnetic semiconductors remain unresolved, including the nature of the short-range magnetic correlations near the Curie temperature, and the specific mechanism(s) causing the metal-semiconductor (MS) transition. For example, it is generally believed that the diverse properties exhibited by the Eu-based magnetic semiconductors derive primarily from the interaction between the itinerant d electrons and the $Eu^{2+} 4f$ local moments, which leads to a complex interplay between the direct d-f exchange interaction $[J_{df} \sim 0.1 \text{ eV} (\text{Refs. } 2,5)]$ and the direct f-f exchange coupling between the moments. However, the nature of the MS transition in these materials is controversial, and has been variously attributed to (i) a trap level that crosses the conduction band,⁶ (ii) exchange-induced splitting of an impurity level in a He-like model,⁷ and (iii) an exchangeinduced Mott transition involving the development of ferromagnetic spin clusters (magnetic polarons).^{2,5,8} Uncertainty regarding the MS transition in the magnetic oxides is even more profound, in part due to the complex structural phases present in these materials.

In this paper we present temperature- and magnetic-fielddependent Raman-scattering measurements of EuB_6 with which we have been able to study the different regimes of behavior in this system, and in particular, those regimes just above and below the Curie temperature T_c . Our aim in this study is not only to clarify the nature of the MS transition in EuB₆, but also to use this structurally simple material to study "colossal magnetoresistance" behavior absent the diverse structural phases that complicate analysis of the magnetic oxides. Among other results, our data provide direct spectroscopic evidence that the metal-semiconductor transition in EuB₆ is precipitated by the formation of bound magnetic polarons, i.e., ferromagnetic clusters of Eu²⁺ ions within the Bohr orbits of defect-bound carriers. This observation provides insight into the origin of the MS transition in EuB₆, and perhaps affords clues as to the nature of "colossal magnetoresistance" in higher T_c magnetic semiconductors such as EuO and La_{1-x}(Ca,Sr,Ba)_xMnO₃.

Raman-scattering measurements were performed on the (100) surfaces of cubic $(O_h^1 - Pm3m)$, singlecrystalline EuB₆ prepared from an aluminum flux. Some zero-field measurements were performed using a Spex Triplemate spectrometer equipped with a nitrogencooled charge-coupled device (CCD) array detector. The magnetic-field measurements were performed in a true backscattering geometry using a modified subtractive triple spectrometer with a Photometrics liquid nitrogencooled CCD, while the sample was cooled to temperatures as low as 1.5 K in a pumped Oxford flow cryostat which was mounted in the bore of an Oxford superconducting magnet. Spectra were obtained with the incident and scattered light polarized in the following configurations in order to identify the symmetries of the excitations studied: $(\mathbf{E}_{i},\mathbf{E}_{s}) = (\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}):A_{1g} + E_{g}; \ (\mathbf{E}_{i},\mathbf{E}_{s}) = (\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}):T_{2g} + T_{1g}; (\mathbf{E}_{i},\mathbf{E}_{s})$ $= (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}): A_{1g} + \frac{1}{4}E_g + T_{2g}; \qquad (\mathbf{E}_i, \mathbf{E}_s) = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}):$ $\frac{3}{4}E_{g} + T_{1g}$; where \mathbf{E}_{i} and \mathbf{E}_{s} are the incident and scattered electric-field polarizations, respectively, \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are the [100] and [010] crystal directions, respectively, and where $A_{1g}(\Gamma_1^+)$, $E_g(\Gamma_3^+)$, and T_{2g}/T_{1g} (Γ_5^+/Γ_4^+) are the singly, doubly, and triply degenerate irreducible representations of the EuB₆ space group $(O_h^1 - Pm3m)$, respectively. The magnetic-field spectra were obtained in (LCP,LCP) and

2717



FIG. 1. Raman spectra of EuB₆ in the (RCP,RCP) polarization geometry for various temperature regimes, showing: (top) a diffusive response [Eq. (1)] in the high-temperature, paramagnetic (PM) regime, (middle) two inelastic peaks, ω_{01} and ω_{02} , in the intermediate temperature, short-range magnetic order (SRMO) regime, and (bottom) a flat continuum response in the low-temperature, ferromagnetic (FM) regime. The dashed line in the top spectrum is a fit to a simple relaxational response function [Eq. (1)], while the dashed line in the middle spectrum is a fit to two simple Lorentzians. Inset: Polarization dependence of the room-temperature diffusional scattering response.

(LCP,RCP) geometries, where RCP and LCP are right and left circularly polarized, respectively.

Figure 1 illustrates the low-frequency $(0 \le \omega \le 250 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ Raman-scattering spectrum of EuB₆ as a function of temperature and symmetry (inset). The high-temperature scattering response ($T \ge 60 \text{ K}$) associated with the paramagnetic semiconductor phase (top spectrum and inset of Fig. 1) is typical of that observed for single-particle excitations in degenerate or doped semiconductors (e.g., *n*-type Si),⁹ and is well described by a simple relaxational scattering response (top dashed line),

$$S(\omega) = [1 - \exp(-\hbar \omega / k_B T)]^{-1} \frac{A \omega \Gamma}{\omega^2 + \Gamma^2}, \qquad (1)$$

where $[1 - \exp(-\hbar \omega/k_B T)]^{-1} = [1 + n(\omega)]$ is the Bose-Einstein thermal factor, and Γ is the carrier scattering rate. The strong, polarization-dependent scattering response in the PM phase of EuB₆ (inset of Fig. 1) can be best appreciated by first noting that the scattering intensity of single-particle excitations is expected to be unobservably small in metals and degenerate semiconductors with spherical Fermi surfaces, since in such systems the associated density fluctuations are screened by the long-range Coulomb interaction. On the other hand, systems with anisotropic Fermi surfaces exhibit neutral charge density fluctuations which are not screened by the Coulomb interaction; consequently, scattering from such fluctuations can be substantial. The electronic Raman-scattering intensities associated with neutral charge density fluctuations are given by,⁹

$$I \propto \left\langle \left[\mathbf{e}_{S} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{m}^{*}} - \left\langle \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}^{*}} \right\rangle \right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{I} \right]^{2} \right\rangle ,$$

where \mathbf{e}_{S} and \mathbf{e}_{I} are the polarization vectors of the scattered and incident electric fields, respectively, and \mathbf{m}^* is the effective-mass tensor. In the case of cubic EuB_6 , the neutral charge-density fluctuation model, applied to a simple tightbinding description that incorporates only the transfer integrals of Eu d orbitals between nearest-neighbor sites, predicts the strongest scattering intensity in the E_g geometry, a small scattering intensity in the A_{1g} geometry, and zero intensity in the T_{2g} geometry. By contrast, the observed diffusive electronic scattering in the PM phase of EuB₆ has comparable E_g and A_{1g} scattering intensities and a small T_{2g} intensity. We believe this symmetry dependence reflects the substantial hybridization present between the $Eu^{2+} 5d$ and the sp bands from the boron octahedra;¹⁰ a more detailed discussion of this is beyond the scope of this paper and will be presented in a future publication. Figure 2 shows that the scattering rate Γ associated with the simple-relaxational scattering response decreases precipitously with temperature in the high-temperature PM phase, but levels off, and then rises again, upon entering the short-range magnetic order (SRMO) regime. Additionally, Fig. 3 shows that the simplerelaxational scattering response exhibits a slight magneticfield dependence near T_0 , presumably reflecting the development of a small field-dependent scattering rate [Eq. (1)] due to the increasing importance of spin scattering at these temperatures.

The transition to the ferromagnetic metal (FM) regime in EuB₆ is rather dramatically revealed in the Raman-scattering spectra of Figs. 1 and 3 with the development of a flat electronic Raman continuum below $T_c(H)$ (bottom spectra). Unlike the PM and SRMO regimes, this flat continuum is totally field independent, consistent with the complete saturation of the spins in the FM regime. Interestingly, the flat spectral response in the FM phase of EuB₆ is typical of spectra observed in a number of strongly correlated metals, including the high- T_c cuprates and the doped titanates such as $La_{1-x}Sr_xTiO_3$.¹¹ While the microscopic origin of this response in strongly correlated metals is still the subject of debate, it is generally believed to reflect the presence of a

_ (cm⁻¹

above T_c .

100

10

H = 0 T

РM

1 10 100 Temperature (K) FIG. 2. (filled circles) Carrier scattering rate, Γ, as a function of temperature (zero field) deduced from fits of Eq. (1) to the E_g symmetry diffusional response in the PM and SRMO regimes. Also plotted is the zero-field temperature dependence of the inelastic peaks, ω_{01} (filled diamonds) and ω_{02} (filled triangles), observed just

SRMO

BMP

 ω_{o2}

 ω_{01}

FΜ

strongly correlated metallic phase which is dominated by inelastic scattering from some spectrum of excitations. Indeed, the flat continua observed in strongly correlated metals are commonly described phenomenologically using the simple relaxational form in Eq. (1) with a frequency- and temperature-dependent scattering rate.¹¹ Therefore, while specific details concerning the ferromagnetic metal state are difficult to extract from the featureless continuum in EuB₆, these Raman data suggest that the metal-insulator transition in this compound is associated with a crossover from a hightemperature regime characterized by diffusive scattering of the carriers, to a strongly correlated metal regime in which inelastic (spin) scattering of the carriers predominates.

Perhaps the most interesting behavior is exhibited in the SRMO regime of EuB_6 , which is characterized in the Raman spectra by (i) an increase in the diffusive scattering rate with decreasing temperature (see Fig. 2), and (ii) by the development just above $T_c(H)$ of two inelastic peaks which appear exclusively in the $\mathbf{E}_i \perp \mathbf{E}_s$ scattering geometry (T_1 symmetry): (a) a field-independent peak at ω_{01} which persists to some extent even into the FM phase, and (b) a strongly fielddependent peak at ω_{02} (middle spectra, Figs. 1 and 3) which disappears completely in the FM phase. Based upon their symmetry, temperature, and field dependences, we can identify these excitations as spin-flip processes arising from the development of bound magnetic polarons, i.e., spin-polarized clusters of Eu²⁺ ions formed within the Bohr orbits of carriers bound to local "defects." In particular, the strongly field-dependent peak at ω_{02} is consistent with spin-flip transitions of the bound carriers, while the peak at ω_{01} can be attributed to spin-flip transitions between adjacent levels of the Eu²⁺ ground-state Zeeman multiplet.¹² Importantly, the large energy and field dependence of the spin-flip Ramanscattering (SFRS) peak at ω_{02} reflects the substantial ferro-



FIG. 3. Field dependences of the Raman spectra in the (top) PM regime, (middle) SRMO regime, and (bottom) across the FM transition. Inset: The field dependences of the temperature $T_{\rm SF}$ at which the spin-flip Raman-scattering peaks at ω_{01} and ω_{02} are observed, and of the Curie temperature T_c at which the flat continuum response is observed.

magnetic exchange interaction J_{df} between the bound carriers and the Eu²⁺ spins in EuB₆.

The field dependence of the Raman spectra provide the most compelling evidence that the inelastic peaks which appear just above T_c are associated with SFRS due to the formation of magnetic polarons. In the presence of strong d-f exchange, the energy shift associated with SFRS from the bound carriers is expected to be dominated by the Eu²⁺ magnetization according to^{12,13}

$$\hbar \,\omega_0 = \bar{x} \alpha N_0 \langle S_z \rangle, \tag{2}$$

where \overline{x} is the concentration of "defects" contributing to the magnetization, αN_0 is the exchange constant, $\langle S_z \rangle = (7/2)B_{7/2}[gm_BH/k_B(T-T_c)]$ is the thermal average of the Eu²⁺ spin, and $B_{7/2}$ is the Brillouin function B_J for J=7/2. Equation (2) ignores the Zeeman contribution to the spin-flip energy, since the exchange field associated with the Eu²⁺

<u>56</u>



FIG. 4. Field dependence of the spin-flip Raman-scattering energy of the bound carrier ω_{02} at various temperatures. The dashed lines for $T \ge 35$ K are fits to Eq. (2), illustrating the functional dependence of a Brillouin function B_J for J = 7/2. The solid line for T = 25 K is a linear fit. Note the finite zero-field splitting for 18 and 25 K, revealing the presence of bound magnetic polarons at these temperatures.

ions is substantially larger than the applied field $(H_{exch} > 50)$ T) in EuB_6 . Figure 4 clearly shows that the field dependence of the SFRS peak at ω_{02} follows a Brillouinlike functional form $B_{7/2}$ for T>25 K (dashed lines), confirming that the SFRS energy associated with the bound carriers is governed by the Eu²⁺ magnetization. Assuming that ω_{02} is associated with a single spin flip, $\Delta m_s = 1$, fits of the 35 K data in Fig. 3 (dashed lines) give very reasonable values of $g_{\rm eff} \sim 10$ and $\overline{x}\alpha N_0 = 3.65$ meV. The latter corresponds to an exchange constant of $\alpha N_0 \sim 0.1$ eV for defect concentrations of \bar{x} $\sim 3\%$. Significantly, the nature of the defects which help stabilize the BMP state by binding the carriers is uncertain. However, two possibilities are worth noting: (i) Eu²⁺ vacancies, which may exist in concentrations as high as 10% in EuB_6 (see Refs. 4 and 7); (ii) "dynamical defects" such as local polaronic distortions. Two additional features of the fits to the data in Fig. 4 are also worth noting. First, the effective g factor deduced from these data is quite large, reflecting the enhanced field-dependent splitting of the carrier spin states due to the magnetization of the Eu^{2+} ions. Second, Fig. 4 shows that the size of the splitting at high fields ($\sim \langle S_{\tau} \rangle$) increases with decreasing temperature, suggesting that the average size of the magnetic clusters (polarons) increases as T approaches T_c . This is consistent with theoretical calculations of magnetic polaron formation in magnetic semiconductors.^{14,15}

Most significantly, Figs. 2–4 illustrate that *zero-field* SFRS excitations at ω_{01} and ω_{02} develop just above the MS transition $[T_c(H=0) < T \le 25 \text{ K}]$ in EuB₆, revealing the spontaneous formation of bound magnetic polarons. Bound magnetic polarons are energetically favored at sufficiently

low temperatures in magnetic semiconductors because the impurity-bound carriers gain magnetic exchange energy $(J_{df}S \sim 0.35 \text{ eV} \text{ in } \text{EuB}_6 \text{ and } \text{EuO})$ by spontaneously aligning their spins parallel to the spins within their Bohr radii.^{2,6,13} Indeed, the linear field dependence of ω_{02} at 25 K indicates that the net magnetization of Eu²⁺ spins within the bound carrier's Bohr radius is already fully saturated at H=0, and that the average size of the polarons increases with increasing magnetic field, in agreement with theoretical predictions.^{14,15}

These results provide direct spectroscopic evidence for magnetic polaron formation at the MS transition of a magnetic semiconductor, corroborating a possibility which has been suggested previously for several "colossal magnetoresistance'' compounds including EuB₆ and EuO.^{2,6} Indeed, our results strongly favor interpreting the MS transition in EuB₆ as an "exchange-induced Mott transition" similar to that described previously for EuO.^{2,8} In this description, carriers become bound by the defect potential of the Eu^{2+} vacancies, or some other defect, at temperatures below T_0 , nucleating small ferromagnetic clusters of Eu²⁺ spins via the strong (ferromagnetic) d-f exchange interaction between the bound carriers and the defects. The formation of these ferromagnetic clusters results in a spin splitting of the valence and conduction bands, and a concomitant large increase in the carrier density which induces a Mott-type transition at $T_{c}(H)$. Notably, zero-field Hall effect measurements of EuB₆ confirm that there is a fivefold increase in the carrier density between 77 and 4.2 K.^{3,5} Furthermore, in this description, transport in the SRMO regime involves activated hopping of bound carriers between polaron sites, and the dramatic increase in conductivity with increasing field near T_c reflects the increased size, and consequent increased overlap, of the polarons with field. Our observation that $T_c(H)$ in EuB₆ increases roughly linearly with field (inset, Fig. 3) supports this interpretation.

To summarize, we find that the MS transition in EuB₆ is characterized by a transition from a diffusive regime at high temperatures to a frequency-dependent scattering regime below T_c which is likely associated with strong inelastic spin scattering of the carriers. Most importantly, we observe strong evidence that the ferromagnetic transition in EuB₆ is precipitated by the formation of bound magnetic polarons arising from the mutual ferromagnetic alignment of bound carries and Eu²⁺ spins within their orbits. These results provide additional impetus to search for similar evidence for magnetic polarons near the MS transition of magnetic semiconductors such as EuO and La_{1-x}(Ca,Sr,Ba)_xMnO₃.

This work was supported by the Department of Energy under Grant No. DEFG02-96ER4539 (P.N., S.Y., M.K., and S.L.C.), by the National Science Foundation (P.N. and S.L.C.), and by the NHMFL through Grant No. NSF DMR 90-16241 (Z.F. and J.S.). We acknowledge use of the MRL Laser Lab Facility and we also thank Miles Klein, Girsh Blumberg, and Moonsoo Kang for the use of their magneticfield cryostat in portions of this work. Z.F. and J.S. also acknowledge support of the Japanese New Energy and Industrial Development Organization.

- ¹A. Asamitsu, Y. Moritomo, Y. Tomioka, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) **373**, 407 (1995).
- ²J. B. Torrance, M. W. Shafer, and T. R. McGuire, Phys. Rev. Lett. **29**, 1168 (1972).
- ³C. N. Guy, S. von Molnar, J. Etourneau, and Z. Fisk, Solid State Commun. **33**, 1055 (1980).
- ⁴Z. Fisk, D. C. Johnston, B. Cornut, S. von Molnar, S. Oseroff, and R. Calvo, J. Appl. Phys. **50**, 1911 (1979).
- ⁵T. Kasuya, K. Takegahara, M. Kasaya, Y. Isikawa, and T. Fujita, J. Phys. (France) Colloq. **41**, C5-161 (1980).
- ⁶M. Oliver, J. Dimmock, A. McWhorter, and T. Reed, Phys. Rev. B **5**, 1078 (1972).
- ⁷S. von Molnar and T. Kasuya, in *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on the Physics of Semiconductors, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1970*, edited by S. P. Keller, J. C. Hensel, and F. Stern (U.S. AEC Division of Technical

Information, Springfield, VA, 1970), p. 233.

- ⁸P. Leroux-Hugon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **29**, 939 (1972).
- ⁹M. Chandrasekhar, M. Cardona, and E. O. Kane, Phys. Rev. B 16, 3579 (1977).
- ¹⁰A. Hasegawa and A. Yanase, J. Phys. F. 7, 1245 (1977).
- ¹¹T. Katsufuji and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 49, 4372 (1994).
- ¹²Notably, ω_{01} and ω_{02} correspond to the ω_{PM} and ω_{SF} excitations, respectively, which have been observed in diluted magnetic semiconductors such as $Cd_{1-x}Mn_xTe$; see for example, A. K. Ramdas and S. Rodriguez, in *Light Scattering in Solids VI*, edited by M. Cardona and G. Güntherodt (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991), p. 137.
- ¹³T. Dietl and J. Spalek, Phys. Rev. B 28, 1548 (1983).
- ¹⁴M. S. Hillery, D. Emin, and N. H. Liu, Phys. Rev. B 38, 9771 (1988).
- ¹⁵C. B. à la Guillaume, Physica B&C 146, 234 (1987).