Longitudinal current dissipation in Bose-glass superconductors

David R. Nelson

Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Leo Radzihovsky

Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309

(Received 5 June 1996)

A scaling theory of vortex motion in Bose-glass superconductors with currents parallel to the common direction of the magnetic field and columnar defects is presented. Above the Bose-glass transition, the longitudinal dc resistivity $\rho_{\parallel}(T) \sim (T - T_{BG})^{\nu'z'}$ vanishes much faster than the corresponding transverse resistivity $\rho_{\perp}(T) \sim (T - T_{BG})^{\nu'z'}$, thus *reversing* the usual anisotropy of electrical transport in the normal state of layered superconductors. In the presence of a current **J** at an angle θ_J with the common field and columnar defect axis, the electric field angle θ_E approaches $\pi/2$ as $T \rightarrow T_{BG}^+$ Scaling also predicts the behavior of penetration depths for the ac currents as $T \rightarrow T_{BG}^-$ and implies a *jump discontinuity* at T_{BG} in the superfluid density describing transport parallel to the columns. [S0163-1829(96)51234-8]

Recently there have been many efforts to understand the nature of vortex states and dissipation in disordered high-temperature superconductors.¹ These efforts have led to predictions^{2,3} that the linear resistivity does in fact vanish at a finite transition temperature to a glassy vortex state, in contrast to the traditional Anderson-Kim picture which always admits small but finite linear resistivity. There is now general agreement on the possibility of a vortex state with vanishing linear resistivity, and the theory continues in a state of active development.

Pinning in superconductors comes in the form of point disorder such as oxygen vacancies and interstitials as well as correlated disorder such as screw dislocations, twin planes, and artificially introduced columnar defects. It was originally proposed² that pointlike disorder would lead to a vortex glass phase, while the theory in the presence of columnar defects (correlated disorder) predicted an anisotropic "Bose-glass" phase,³ so called because of an analogy with the theory of bosons in superfluids on disordered substrates.⁴ Although the general phenomena of divergent pinning barriers for vanishing currents underlies both the vortex glass and the Boseglass theories, the two theories can and have been qualitatively distinguished experimentally via their predictions for the transverse field H_{\perp} response,³ i.e., tilting of the applied magnetic field. While the vortex glass hypothesis predicts isotropic response functions that are nonsingular as $H_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$, Bose-glass theory predicts a transverse Meissner effect, with a divergent tilt modulus c_{44} and a cusplike phase boundary in the $T-H_{\perp}$ phase diagram.³ More recently the very existence of the three-dimensional vortex glass phase has been called into question, by computer simulations with finite screening⁵ and by experiments that find a first-order transition in the detwinned samples⁶ removing the natural source of correlated disorder. Moreover, experiments that use electron irradiation to inject point centers in sufficient quantities to destroy this first-order transition find no evidence for a sharp phase transition with universal exponents.⁷ Nevertheless, establishing whether the correlated or point disorder controls the low-temperature physics in a given sample remains an open and important question.⁸

Most experimental work on glassy vortex states has focussed on current transport perpendicular to the magnetic field and in the case of Bose glass, perpendicular to the columnar defect axis. An exception is the work by Seow et al.,⁹ which measures electrical transport parallel to the field direction in $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ single crystals, irradiated with heavy ions to produce columnar defects, also along the field direction. In this note we analyze the dissipation in the Bose glass superconductor, generalizing the scaling theory to include both longitudinal and transverse currents. Thus, measurements in the simultaneous presence of both longitudinal and transverse currents also provide a clear qualitative distinction between the vortex-glass and Bose-glass scenarios. When the theory is applied to ac conductivity below T_{BG} , we find finite penetration depths parallel and perpendicular to the columns. Scaling predicts a *discontinuous jump* to zero of the condensate superfluid density describing transport parallel to the columns as $T \rightarrow T_{BG}^-$

We assume point disorder can be neglected at high temperatures and consider a current J at a finite angle θ_I with the || axis defined by the columnar defects and the magnetic field B. Following the usual assumption of a scaling theory that near a continuous transition the diverging correlation length is the only important length scale we determine the temperature dependence and the relation between all the physical quantities and in particular the IV characteristics. Near a Bose-glass transition dominated by columnar defects there are two divergent correlation lengths $l_{\perp} \sim |T - T_{\rm BG}|^{-\nu'}$ and $l_{||} \sim |T - T_{\rm BG}|^{-\nu'_{||}}$ and a correlation time $\tau \sim l_{\perp}^{z'} \sim |T - T_{BG}|^{-z'\nu'}$, where within the Bose-glass phase l_{\parallel} and l_{\parallel} measure the corresponding localization lengths of the vortex lines. Following Ref. 3 dimensional analysis allows us to relate physical quantities to these correlations lengths. In three dimensions the free energy density scales as $f \sim 1/(l_{\perp}^2 l_{\parallel})$. Analogously gauge invariance of the Ginzburg-Landau theory implies that the fluctuating vector potential scales according to

R6845

$$A_{\perp} \sim \frac{1}{l_{\perp}(T)},\tag{1a}$$

$$A_{\parallel} \sim \frac{1}{l_{\parallel}(T)}.$$
 (1b)

The definitions of the current $\mathbf{J} = \partial f / \partial \mathbf{A}$ and the electric field $\mathbf{E} = -\partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t$ and Eqs. (1) allow us also to express **J** and **E** in terms of correlations lengths and time,

$$J_{\perp} \sim \frac{1}{l_{\perp} l_{\parallel}},\tag{2a}$$

$$J_{||} \sim \frac{1}{l_{\perp}^2} \tag{2b}$$

and

$$E_{\perp} \sim \frac{1}{l_{\perp}^{1+z'}},\tag{3a}$$

$$E_{\parallel} \sim \frac{1}{l_{\parallel} l_{\perp}^{z'}},\tag{3b}$$

where the relation between the correlation time and length $\tau \sim l_{\perp}^{z'}$ was used. Given the above dependences of **E** and **J** we can construct a relation between them, the IV curve, by equating the appropriate dimensionless quantities. Upon first considering separately currents parallel and perpendicular to the field direction, we have

$$E_{\perp}l_{\perp}^{1+z'} \sim F_{\pm}^{\perp}(l_{\perp}l_{\parallel}J_{\perp}\phi_o/cT), \qquad (4a)$$

$$E_{\parallel}l_{\parallel}l_{\perp}^{z'} \sim F_{\pm}^{\parallel}(l_{\perp}^2 J_{\parallel} \phi_o / cT), \qquad (4b)$$

where $\phi_0 = 2\pi\hbar c/2e$ is the flux quantum and we have set $k_B = 1$. The dimensionless arguments of the scaling functions F_{\pm}^{\perp} and F_{\pm}^{\parallel} are the ratios of the work done by the corresponding current to depin the vortex line from the columnar defect to the thermal energy. The difference in the arguments can be understood microscopically. For a transverse current J_{\perp} dissipation arises due the vortex line depinning, which proceeds via a vortex loop of a typical area $l_{\perp} \times l_{\parallel}$ lying in the $z - r_{\perp}$ plane. In contrast, for a longitudinal current J_{\parallel} the dissipation is due to depinning of vortex helices whose projections span a typical area l_{\perp}^2 lying in the \mathbf{r}_{\perp} plane.

The scaling functions above F_{+} and below F_{-} the transition are very different. For $T > T_{BG}$ we expect linear resistivities $E_{\perp} = \rho_{\perp}J_{\perp}$ and $E_{\parallel} = \rho_{\parallel}J_{\parallel}$ characteristic of a normal metal. It follows that the positive branches of these scaling functions must vanish linearly, $F_{+}(x) \sim x$, i.e.,

$$\rho_{\perp} \sim l_{\parallel} / l_{\perp}^{z'} , \qquad (5a)$$

$$\rho_{\parallel} \sim 1/(l_{\perp}^{z'-2}l_{\parallel}).$$
(5b)

There is excellent theoretical^{4,3} and numerical^{10,11} evidence that vortices in the liquid phase (i.e., the "superfluid" state of the bosons) "diffuse" as they wander along the average field direction. This implies an important relation between the localization lengths near Bose-glass³ transition

 $l_{\parallel} \approx (TB^2/c_{11}\phi_o^2)l_{\perp}^2$ where $c_{11} \approx B^2/8\pi$ $(B \gg H_{c1})$ is the bulk modulus of the vortex liquid. Using these relations together with the temperature dependence of l_{\perp} in Eqs. (5) we find,

$$\rho_{\perp}(T) \sim |T - T_{\rm BG}|^{\nu'(z'-2)},$$
(6a)

$$\rho_{\parallel}(T) \sim |T - T_{\rm BG}|^{\nu' z'}.$$
(6b)

Close to the transition, $\rho_{\parallel} \ll \rho_{\perp}$, which is *opposite* to the usual normal-state resistivity anisotropy in layered superconductors.

Consider a current **J** at an angle θ_J with the *B* field and columnar defect axis. There is now a matrix relating **E** to **J**,

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{\perp} \\ E_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{\perp} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_{\perp} \\ J_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix},$$
(7)

where the off-diagonal elements are zero if we neglect the very small and poorly understood Hall effect. The electric field **E** (in this single parameter scaling theory) will be at a temperature-dependent angle $\theta_E(T) = \tan^{-1}(E_{\perp}/E_{\parallel})$, given by

t

$$\operatorname{an}(\theta_E) \propto \operatorname{tan}(\theta_I) / (T - T_{BG})^{2\nu'}, \qquad (8)$$

where $\tan(\theta_J) = J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel}$. Equation (8) predicts that near T_{BG} the angle θ_E for Bose-glass superconductor has a universal temperature dependence controlled by the Bose-glass transverse localization length exponent ν' , estimated to be $\nu' \approx 1.^{10,11}$ Besides providing a direct measurement of ν' , Eq. (8) predicts the electric-field direction $\theta_E(T \rightarrow T_{\text{BG}}^+) \approx \pi/2 - (T - T_{\text{BG}})^{2\nu'} \cot(\theta_J) \rightarrow \pi/2$, for any current direction $\theta_J \neq 0$, independent of microscopic details such as the intrinsic resistivity anisotropy of the normal state. Because vortex-glass dissipation is isotropic (aside from the intrinsic material anisotropy) the corresponding expression for vortex glass predicts a θ_E that is asymptotically temperature independent as $T \rightarrow T_{\text{VG}}$ and depends continuously on the direction of the current θ_I .

The significantly faster vanishing of longitudinal resistivity, predicted by Eq. (6) as $T \rightarrow T_{BG}$ has already been observed in recent experiments by Seow *et al.*,⁹ which finds $\nu' z' = 8.5 \pm 1.6$, consistent with other estimates of $\nu' = 1$ (Refs. 10 and 11) and $z' = 6.0 \pm 0.5$.¹¹ However, as is evident from Eq. (8), an additional check on the Bose-glass theory can be made by testing to see if $\lim_{T \rightarrow T_{BG}^+} \theta_E(T) = \pi/2$ for any $\theta_J \neq 0$. Equivalently, the measurement of the vanishing ratio $\rho_{\parallel}(T)/\rho_{\perp}(T)$ as $T \rightarrow T_{BG}$ allows a direct determination of ν' .

The scaling Eq. (4) predicts nonlinear IV characteristics *at* the Bose-glass transition, $T = T_{BG}$.^{2,3} The requirement that there is a well defined IV characteristics demands that the divergent correlation lengths cancel on both sides of these equations, which can only be satisfied by a specific power-law behavior of $F^{\perp}(x)$ and $F^{\parallel}(x)$ as $x \to \infty$, leading to

$$E_{\perp}(J_{\perp}) \sim J_{\perp}^{(1+z')/3},$$
 (9a)

$$E_{\parallel}(J_{\parallel}) \sim J_{\parallel}^{(2+z')/2}$$
. (9b)

The longitudinal dissipation is thus weaker and more nonlinear than the transverse one.

Below the Bose-glass transition the dissipation is highly nonlinear and is characterized by potential barriers that diverge in the limit of vanishing current,

$$E_{\perp}(J_{\perp}) \sim e^{-(J_{\perp}^0/J_{\perp})^{\mu_{\perp}}},$$
 (10a)

$$E_{\parallel}(J_{\parallel}) \sim e^{-(J_{\parallel}^0/J_{\parallel})^{\mu_{\parallel}}},$$
 (10b)

where $\mu_{\perp} \rightarrow 1/3$ as $J_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$ in bulk samples³ and the calculation in Sec. II E of Ref. 3(b) suggests that $\mu_{\parallel} = 1$.

The scaling theory can be further generalized to a finite frequency ω by an addition to the scaling functions in Eqs. (4) of another dimensionless variable $\omega l_{\perp}^{z'}$ At finite frequency there is linear dissipation at all finite temperatures characterized by linear conductivities^{2,12,13}

$$\sigma_{\perp}(\omega,T) \sim l_{\perp}^{z'-2} f_{\pm}^{\perp}(\omega l_{\perp}^{z'}), \qquad (11a)$$

$$\sigma_{\parallel}(\omega,T) \sim l_{\perp}^{z'} f_{\pm}^{\parallel}(\omega l_{\perp}^{z'}).$$
(11b)

Requiring that the conductivities are finite *at* the Bose-glass transition, the scaling theory together with the Kramers-Kronig relation lead to

$$\sigma_{\perp}(\omega, T_{\rm BG}) \sim \left(\frac{1}{-i\omega}\right)^{1-2/z'},$$
 (12a)

$$\sigma_{\parallel}(\omega, T_{\rm BG}) \sim \frac{1}{-i\omega},$$
 (12b)

predicting a universal phase lag between current and voltage, which in the case of σ_{\parallel} is $\pi/2$, independent of critical exponents. For $T < T_{\text{BG}}$, $\sigma_{\perp,\parallel} \sim n_s^{\perp,\parallel}/(-i\omega)$, implying scaling for the superfluid number densities describing charge transport by Cooper pairs perpendicular and parallel to the columns,

$$n_s^{\perp} \sim 1/l_{\parallel} \sim 1/l_{\perp}^2$$
, (13a)

$$n_s^{\parallel} \sim l_{\parallel}/l_{\perp}^2 = \text{const.},$$
 (13b)

as $T \rightarrow T_{BG}^-$ consistent with the corresponding Josephson relations for superfluid densities in an anisotropic superconductor.

More precisely, for n_s^{\parallel} we expect the relationship

$$\lim_{T \to T_{BG}^{-}} n_{s}^{\parallel}(T) = \lim_{T \to T_{BG}^{-}} \frac{mT}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{l_{\parallel}(T)}{l_{\perp}^{2}(T)} = \text{const}, \quad (14)$$

where we take *m* to be the mass of a Cooper pair, and $l_{\parallel}(T)$ and $l_{\perp}(T)$ are defined in the usual way in terms of the decay of the transverse BCS order parameter correlation function.¹⁴ We have assumed in the spirit of scaling that $l_{\parallel}(T)$ and $l_{\perp}(T)$ are the only diverging length scales near $T_{\rm BG}$. The lengths $l_{\parallel}(T)$ and $l_{\perp}(T)$ must then diverge as

 $T \rightarrow T_{BG}^-$ in the same way as the corresponding correlation lengths above T_{BG} . Since $\lim_{T \rightarrow T_{BG}^+} l_{\parallel}(T)/l_{\perp}^2(T) \approx T_{BG}B^2/c_{11}\phi_0^2 = \text{const.}$, required by finiteness of the boson compressibility c_{11} (vortex line compression modulus) at T_{BG} ,^{3,4} we are led to Eq. (14). In the likely event that for short-range interaction both superfluid densities vanish in the vortex liquid state for $T > T_{BG}$, our analysis therefore implies a striking result: In contrast to n_s^{\perp} which vanishes smoothly as $T \rightarrow T_{BG}^-$ (similar to a conventional superconductor), n_s^{\parallel} has a *jump discontinuity* at $T = T_{BG}$ analogous to a stiffness of a system at a Kosterlitz-Thouless transition. The n_s^{\parallel} jump discontinuity is consistent with Eq. (12b), predicting that σ_{\parallel} 's ω dependences at and below T_{BG} are identical.

Using the above results for the ac conductivities together with Maxwell's equations, we find the effective penetration lengths $\lambda_{eff} \sim 1/\sqrt{\omega} |\sigma(\omega)|$ (Ref. 2) for the ac currents J_{\perp} and J_{\parallel} (for $\omega \rightarrow 0$) to be $\tilde{\lambda}_{\perp} \sim \sqrt{l_{\parallel}} \sim l_{\perp}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{\parallel} \sim l_{\perp}^2/l_{\parallel} = \text{const.}$, respectively. While $\tilde{\lambda}_{\perp}$ diverges as $T \rightarrow T_{BG}^- \tilde{\lambda}_{\parallel}$ remains finite at the transition and discontinuously jumps to infinity for $T > T_{BG}$.

The scaling theory for longitudinal currents can be further generalized to include the response to the transverse magnetic field H_{\perp} , previously analyzed for J_{\perp} in Ref. 3. For simplicity assuming purely longitudinal current, E_{\parallel} from Eq. (4) becomes

$$E_{||}l_{\parallel}l_{\perp}^{z'} \sim F_{\pm}^{\parallel}(l_{\perp}^{2}J_{\parallel}\phi_{o}/cT, \ H_{\perp}l_{\perp}l_{\parallel}/\phi_{0}).$$
(15)

which by arguments similar to those above predicts a cusplike phase boundary in the T- H_{\perp} plane between the Bose glass where $\rho_{\parallel}[H_{\perp} < H_{\perp}^{c}(T)] = 0$ and the vortex liquid phase with $\rho_{\parallel}[H_{\perp} > H_{\perp}^{c}(T)] > 0$. This boundary, given by

$$H^{c}_{\perp}(T) \sim \pm |T - T_{\rm BG}|^{3\nu'},$$
 (16)

is consistently identical to the phase boundary obtained based on the criterion of the vanishing of the transverse resistivity $\rho_{\perp}(T)$, as must be the case if there is a single transition to the Bose-glass phase.

Equation (15) can also be used to predict how $\rho_{\parallel}(H_{\perp})$ vanishes as $H_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$, with $T = T_{BG}$,

$$\rho_{\parallel}(T = T_{\rm BG}, H_{\perp}) \sim |H_{\perp}|^{z'/3}.$$
 (17)

This result is to be contrasted with the more slowly vanishing transverse linear resistivity $\rho_{\perp}(T=T_{\rm BG},H_{\perp}) \sim |H_{\perp}|^{(z'-2)/3}$ found in Ref. 3.

We are grateful to the authors of Ref. 9 for a copy of their work prior to publication. D.R.N. acknowledges financial support by National Science Foundation, in part by the MRSEC program through Grant No. DMR-9400396 and through Grant No. DMR-9417047. L.R. was supported by the National Science Foundation through Grant No. DMR-9625111.

- ¹G. Blatter *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 1125 (1994); D. Huse and L. Radzihovsky, in *Fundamental Problems in Statistical Mechanics VIII*, Proceedings of 1993 Altenberg Summer School, edited by H. van Beijeren and M. H. Ernst (Elsevier, Netherlands, 1993); D. R. Nelson, in *Phenomenology and Applications of High-Temperature Superconductors*, edited by K. Bedell *et al.* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1991).
- ² M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1415 (1989); D. S. Fisher, M. P. A. Fisher, and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 43, 130 (1991).
- ³(a) D. R. Nelson and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2398 (1992); (b) Phys. Rev. B 48, 13 060 (1993).
- ⁴M. P. A. Fisher, P. B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 546 (1989).
- ⁵C. Wengel and A. P. Young (unpublished).
- ⁶H. Safar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 824 (1992); W. K. Kwok *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 3370 (1992).
- ⁷J. A. Fendrich *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1210 (1995).
- ⁸We do not consider here a *third* possible low-temperature phase, the "Bragg glass," which is a topologically perfect Abrikosov flux lattice pinned by point disorder. See, T. Giamarchi and P.

Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 1242 (1995) and M. J. P. Gingras and D. A. Huse, *ibid.* **53**, 15 193 (1996).

- ⁹W. S. Seow, R. A. Doyle, A. M. Campbell, G. Balakrishnan, K. Kadowaki, and G. Wirth, Phys. Rev. B 53, 14 611 (1996).
- ¹⁰W. Krauth, T. Trivedi, and D. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2307 (1991); E. S. Sorensen *et al.*, *ibid*. **69**, 828 (1992).
- ¹¹M. Wallin and S. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B 47, 14 642 (1993).
- ¹²A. T. Dorsey, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 7575 (1991).
- ¹³W. Jiang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 550 (1994).
- ¹⁴See, e.g., P. C. Hohenberg, A. Aharony, B. I. Halperin, and E. D. Siggia, Phys. Rev. B **13**, 2986 (1976). Here, the phase fluctuations in the BCS order parameter are assumed to be described by an anisotropic free energy $\delta F \equiv \hbar^2/2m\int d^3r [n_s^{\parallel}(\partial_z \theta_{BCS} 2\pi A_z/\phi_0)^2 + n_s^{\perp}(\nabla_{\perp} \theta_{BCS} 2\pi A_{\perp}/\phi_0)^2]$, where we take *m* to be the mass of a Cooper pair in this *definition* of $n_s^{\parallel,\perp}$ The BCS phase θ_{BCS} and the gauge field **A** are measured relative to the corresponding random background fields determined by a quenched set of vortex lines trapped on columnar pins. This free energy is a coarse-grained description valid on scales large compared to the vortex spacing.