PHYSICAL REVIEW B

CONDENSED MATTER

THIRD SERIES, VOLUME 54, NUMBER 16

15 OCTOBER 1996-II

RAPID COMMUNICATIONS

Rapid Communications are intended for the accelerated publication of important new results and are therefore given priority treatment both in the editorial office and in production. A Rapid Communication in **Physical Review B** may be no longer than four printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract. Page proofs are sent to authors.

Experimental and theoretical studies of the electronic structure of TiS₂

Z. Y. Wu, F. Lemoigno, P. Gressier, G. Ouvrard, P. Moreau, and J. Rouxel Institut des Matériaux de Nantes, CNRS UMR 110, Laboratoire de Chimie des Solides, 2 rue de la Houssinière, 44072 Nantes Cedex 03, France

C. R. Natoli

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, P.O. Box 13, 00044 Frascati, Italy 11 June 1996

Sulfur K- and titanium $L_{2,3}$ -edge absorption spectra of transition-metal disulfide, TiS₂, were measured using x-ray-absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and electron-energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS), respectively. All features in the experimental spectra are very well reproduced by full multiple-scattering-theory calculations. Combined analysis of theoretical and experimental XAS and EELS spectra, and linear muffin-tin orbital band-structure calculations, tend to demonstrate that TiS₂ is a semimetal. [S0163-1829(96)51932-6]

There has currently been considerable interest in the structural, electronic, and optical properties of the transitionmetal dichalcogenides and their intercalation compounds.^{1,2} These compounds have the formula MX_2 (X=S,Se; M=Ti,Zr,Hf,V,Nb,Ta,Mo,W). They are highly anisotropic solids and they can be intercalated by various electron donors or Lewis bases such as alkali metals and many organic molecules between the layers.^{1–3}

Detailed studies on the electronic structure of TiS₂, however, have produced conflicting conclusions.^{4–19} On one hand, some band-structure calculations⁹ conclude to an indirect p/d band overlap ranging from 0.2 to 1.5 eV. Others instead tend to prove that TiS₂ is a narrow-gap semiconductor. The experimental evidence gathered in photoemission have been interpreted as indicating either a semimetallic (Williams and Sheperd^{9b}) or a semiconducting (Chen *et al.*^{9a}) behavior. Moreover, resistivity measurements in the highly stoichiometric titanium disulfide show at all temperatures metallic behavior.¹⁹

In order to bring new arguments into this discussion, we present in this paper both experimental and theoretical analyses on S *K*-edge x-ray-absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and Ti $L_{2,3}$ -edge electron-loss near-edge structure (ELNES) spectra. As is well known, these spectroscopies provide direct information not only on the structural arrange-

ment around a selected atomic species but also on the local electronic structure.^{20,21}

TiS₂ has been prepared as described in Ref. 22 and is as stoichiometric as possible, even if a slight excess of titanium in the van der Waals gap cannot be avoided (less than 2×10^{-3}).²² In order to prevent degradation, samples were handled in glove boxes and transferred to the different systems for analysis via appropriate air-tight containers.

The electron-energy-loss spectroscopy experiments (titanium $L_{2,3}$ -edges) have been carried out on a CM30 Philips transmission electron microscope, operated at 200 kV and equipped with a Gatan 666 multichannel spectrometer. The experimental conditions led to an energy resolution of 0.9 eV full width at half maximum for the zero loss peak. XANES spectra were recorded using the French synchrotron radiation source at LURE (Orsay). The sulfur *K* edge was recorded on the Super ACO storage ring, with a Si(111) two-crystal monochromator, and 0.4 eV resolution.

All our calculations of the XANES spectra are based on the one-electron multiple-scattering (MS) theory of Lee and Pendry,²³ as implemented and developed by several authors.^{21,23–28} In this theory the absorption coefficient is proportional to a smoothly varying atomic dipole transition matrix element times a factor describing the local projected density of states at the energy of the excited photoelectron. It

R11 009



FIG. 1. (a) The experimental S *K*-edge XANES spectrum for TiS₂ compound. The transitions of $1s \rightarrow 3d^1(t_{2g})\underline{L}$ and $1s \rightarrow 3d^1(e_g)\underline{L}$ (sulfur 3p-titanium 3d antibonding states) are indicated by *C* and *C'*, respectively. (b) MS calculation of the sulfur *K*-edge spectrum. The "twin peak" is well reproduced.

is a fact that MS theory has been widely and successfully used to interpret and determine the local geometrical and electronic structure properties of many systems, especially for the metallic and covalent ones.^{21,23–31}

We use Mattheiss's prescription³² to construct the cluster density and we obtain the Coulomb part of the potential by superposition of neutral atomic charge densities using Clementi and Roetti tables.³³ In order to simulate the charge relaxation around the core hole, we use the well-screened Z+1 approximation (final-state rule).³⁴ For the exchangecorrelation part of the potential we use the energy- and position-dependent complex Hedin-Lundquist (H-L) selfenergy $\Sigma(\vec{r}, E)$.³⁵ The calculated spectra are further convoluted with a Lorentzian-shaped function with a full width $\Gamma_h \approx 0.59$ eV for the S K edge and 0.1 eV for the Ti $L_{2,3}$ edge^{36,37} to account for the core hole lifetime. We have chosen the muffin-tin radii according to the criterion of Norman,³⁸ and allowed a 10% overlap between contiguous spheres to simulate the atomic bond.

In Fig. 1 we present the experimental sulfur *K*-edge absorption spectra of TiS₂ (curve a) which is comparable to previous results¹² with improved experimental resolution. Since the first electron-addition states in TiS₂ are Ti 3*d* states³⁹ antibonding with S 3*p* orbitals, the first two peaks labeled *C* and *C'* observed in the S *K* edge are identified as being these states, which can be assigned approximately to transitions to t_{2g} and e_g bandlike states. Their energy separation ($t_{2g} - e_g$ splitting) is about 2.0(1) eV and agrees with that found by Fischer⁷ and other studies based on a molecular-orbital treatment.^{12,16,40–48} Following the authors of Ref. [39], in a configuration interaction (CI) approach these peaks get their transition probability from the hybridization of the ground state.^{39,44} In fact, in a cluster with Ti in an octahedron of S ions, the ground state is well described by the admixture of configurations

 $\Phi_G = \alpha |t_{2g}^1 L\rangle + \beta |e_g^1 L\rangle + \gamma |3d^0\rangle, \qquad (1)$

where L denotes a hole in ligand sulfur. The first two terms in the right-hand side of Eq. (1) are ligand-metal chargetranfer low-energy hybridization states (or antibonding levels in molecular-orbital theory): due to covalency the d^0 state mixes with states in which an electron has jumped from the ligand to titanium 3d orbitals and in a first approximation the transition probability to states of t_{2g} and e_g symmetry is proportional to α^2 and β^2 , respectively. These states are probed in the Ti L_{2,3} edges and S K-edge ELNES/XANES spectra. Clearly, in the solid these levels broaden into two narrow bands. Moreover, it is well known that a connection can be established between the band picture and the CI approach. It is sufficient to perform a unitary transformation on the occupied Bloch states in the Slater determinant representing the uncorrelated ground-state wave function to Wannier states, thereby obtaining a description of the extended system in terms of localized orbitals and ultimately Oxin terms of occupancy of atomic orbitals on atomic sites. This latter description is the same as that used in the CI picture. The only difference in the two approaches is the value of the admixture coefficients in Eq. (1), which control the amplitude probabilities in the absorption measurements.

Returning to our spectrum, the peaks *D* and *D'*, at about 10 eV above threshold, reflect a *p*-type projected density of states due to metal 4*s* and 4*p* states hybridized with sulfur 3*p* states, of approximately t_{1u} symmetry.⁴⁴

The curve *b* in Fig. 1 is a MS calculation of a S *K*-edge XANES spectrum. Calculations were carried out on atomic clusters containing increasing numbers of atoms up to the eighth shell, until convergence was reached. The final cluster has C_{3v} symmetry⁴⁹ and includes 81 atoms within 7.0 Å from the central sulfur atom. The two main prepeaks, which have been clarified as arising from $1s \rightarrow |t_{2g}^1 \underline{L}\rangle$ and $1s \rightarrow |e_g^1 \underline{L}\rangle$ transitions, and the features in MS region are very well reproduced both in relative amplitude and energy position. The fact that a simple MS approach, based on a one-electron picture in an effective potential, is capable of giving such a good agreement with the S *K*-edge absorption spectrum, is already an indication that the admixture coefficients in Eq. (1) are those relative to the band picture.

In order to verify this conclusion we have examined the Ti $L_{2,3}$ absorption edges, which are very sensitive to interatomic electron correlation effects. It is a well-known fact indeed that in a transition-metal compound the 2p hole in the final state can couple with the localized 3d excited electron to give rise to a multiplet structure, due to interatomic correlations, which manifests itself in the absorption spectra. In Fig. 2 we show both the experimental ELNES spectrum and the theoretical calculation of Ti $L_{2,3}$ edges. The most obvious feature of the experimental $L_{2,3}$ spectrum is the spin-orbit splitting of the $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ lines: this is about 5.75 eV, roughly the same as in the case of TiO_2 (5.44 eV).⁴⁵ Furthermore, the L_3 and L_2 lines are split in two peaks with about 2.0(2) eV energy separation. This is attributed to the crystalfield splitting of the t_{2g} and e_g orbitals as mentioned above. Apparently, no other feature is present that could be related to as arising from multiplet structure. This is at variance with the TiO₂ case,⁴⁵ where a three-peak structure is observed, and shows that TiS₂ is a weak-electron-correlated system. The theoretical calculation reproduces this behavior as



FIG. 2. (a) Experimental ELNES spectrum at Ti $L_{2,3}$ edges for TiS₂ compound. Peaks *C* and *C'* correspond to transitions of 2*p* to t_{2g} and e_g , respectively. (b) MS computation of total cross-section. The "twin peak" is well reproduced.

shown by curve b in Fig. 2. Since in our theory the absorption coefficient is nearly independent from the initial spinorbit split edge we only show the result for the L_3 edge.

Moreover, we observe that the intensity of the first peak corresponding to transition to t_{2g} bandlike state in both S *K*-edge XANES and Ti $L_{2,3}$ -edge ELNES spectra is lower than the second one, quite at variance once again with the case of TiO₂,^{44,45} where the amplitudes of the two peaks are almost identical both in O *K*-edge and Ti $L_{2,3}$ -edge spectra and interatomic correlations are clearly present.⁴⁵ It is difficult to justify such low intensities for the first peaks as compared to that of the second ones in TiS₂ by a simple covalency effect as it was used to explain the complementarity between the $L_{2,3}$ edge of a transition metal and the O *K*-edge peak intensities in some manganese and titanium oxides.⁵⁰ As demonstrated above, a bandlike approach seems to be more appropriate for the description of the experimental observations. This is especially valuable for the TiS₂ in



FIG. 3. Energy band structure of TiS_2 .



FIG. 4. (a) S *p*-projected density of states and (b) Ti d-projected density of states of TiS₂ as derived from the LMTO band-structure calculation.

which Ti has a d^0 configuration in an octahedral symmetry. In order to gain further insight into the electronic structure of TiS₂ we have performed tight-binding linear muffin-tin orbital (TB-LMTO) band-structure calculations,⁵¹⁻⁵³ which are presented in Fig. 3 and are very similar to linear augmentedplane-wave (LAPW) ones.⁵⁴ We obtain from the band structure an indirect overlap of about 0.4 eV between the top of the valence band and the bottom of the conduction band. This overlap could affect strongly the hybridized lowest S 3p and Ti 3d states, since if one electron occupies t_{2g} band, then the final state corresponding to the transition $1s \rightarrow |t_{2g}^1L\rangle$ in Eq. (1) will involve two 3d electrons. From a CI point of view, this is an argument that can explain why the intensity of the first peak in both S K-edge and Ti $L_{2,3}$ -edge spectra is lower than the second one. In a bandlike one-electron picture the effect comes out automatically from the calculated DOS.

In order to make contact with MS calculations, we present in Fig. 4 the *p*-projected density of states (DOS) of sulfur [panel (a)] and *d*-projected DOS of titanium [panel (b)]. Taking into account that effects of the matrix elements are not included, reasonable agreement is achieved with MS cluster calculation: there are two peaks in the first region above the Fermi level (E_f) in the *p*-projected DOS that correspond to peaks *C* and *C'* in the S *K* edge; similar agreement is observed between the DOS structure at about 8.5–14 eV and features *D* and *D'* at the same edge.

Our theoretical calculations performed for a perfectly stoichiometric compound fit rather well the experimental data All the evidence coming from the preceding arguments the absence of multiplet structures in the Ti $L_{2,3}$ edge, the striking difference of the C and C' peak intensity, and the calculated DOS, which has been shown to be very appropriate in this case and does not go to zero near E_f —indicate that TiS₂ is a semimetal.

In conclusion, we have been able to interpret the S K-edge XANES and Ti $L_{2,3}$ -edge ELNES spectra in terms of a one-electron picture. This fact, together with experimental indications about the purity of our samples and the results of our TB-LMTO band-structure calculations, places the TiS₂

system on the semimetal side of the Zaanen, Sawatzky, and Allen diagram,⁵⁷ as predicted by these authors. In this first paper, we have demonstrated that the combination of XANES and ELNES spectroscopies, MS simulations, and band-structure calculations is a very powerful tool in understanding the behavior of lamellar transition-metal dichalcogenides. Similar studies are in progress to investigate TiS₂ parent phases and intercalation process.

We thank Dr. C. Felser for her help in LMTO band-structure calculation and Professor J.L. Mansot for his support in EELS experiments. This work was supported by a Human Capital and Mobility EC grant (ZW).

- ¹R. H. Friend and A. D. Yoffe, Adv. Phys. 36, 1 (1987).
- ²Progress in Intercalation Research, edited by W. Muller-Warmuth and R. Schollhorn (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1994).
- ³W. Y. Liang, Intercalation in Layered Materials, Vol. 148 of NATO Advanced Study Institute Series B: Physics, edited by M. S. Dresselhaus (Plenum, New York, 1986).
- ⁴R. B. Murray and A. D. Yoffe, J. Phys. C 5, 3038 (1972).
- ⁵A. Zunger and A. J. Freeman, Phys. Rev. B 16, 906 (1977).
- ⁶H. Isomaki, J. von Boehm, and P. Krusius, J. Phys. C **12**, 3239 (1979).
- ⁷D. W. Fischer, Phys. Rev. B 8, 3576 (1973).
- ⁸A. H. Thompson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1786 (1975).
- ⁹(a) C. H. Chen, W. Fabian, F. C. Brown, K. C. Woo, B. Davies, and B. DeLong, Phys. Rev. B **21**, 615 (1980), and references therein; (b) F. R. Shepherd and P. M. Williams, J. Phys. C **7**, 4416 (1974).
- ¹⁰J. J. Barry, H. P. Hughes, P. C. Klipstein, and R. H. Friend, J. Phys. C 16, 393 (1983).
- ¹¹M. Scharli, J. Brunner, H. P. Vaterlaus, and F. Levy, J. Phys. C 16, 1527 (1983).
- ¹²Y. Ohno, K. Hirama, S. Nakai, C. Sugiura, and S. Okada, Phys. Rev. B 27, 3811 (1983).
- ¹³F. Antonangeli and M. Piacentini, Phys. Rev. B 32, 6644 (1985).
- ¹⁴T. Yamasaki, N. Susuki, and K. Motizuki, J. Phys. C 20, 395 (1987).
- ¹⁵A. Fujimori, S. Suga, H. Negishi, and M. Inoue, Phys. Rev. B 38, 3676 (1988).
- ¹⁶C. Sugiura, S. Shoji, and S. Kojima, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **30**, 1742 (1991).
- ¹⁷W. M. Temmerman, CCP9 Newsletters No. 2 (Daresbury Laboratory, City, 1982), p. 15.
- ¹⁸G. A. Benesh, A. M. Wooley, and C. Umrigar, J. Phys. C 18, 1595 (1985).
- ¹⁹E. M. Logothetis, W. J. Kaiser, Carl A. Kukkonen, S. P. Faile, R. Colella, and J. Gambold, Physica B & C **99B**, 193 (1980); Carl A. Kukkonen, W. J. Kaiser, E. M. Logothetis, B. J. Blumenstock, P. A. Schroeder, S. P. Faile, R. Colella, and J. Gambold, Phys. Rev. B **24**, 1691 (1981).
- ²⁰Core-level Spectroscopy in Condensed Systems, edited by J. Kanamori and A. Kotani (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988).
- ²¹P. J. Durham, in X-ray Absorption: Principles, Applications,

Techniques of EXAFS, SEXAFS, XANES, edited by R. Prinz and D. Koningsberger (Wiley, New York, 1988).

- ²²M. J. Mckelvy and W. S. Glaunsinger, J. Solid State Chem. 66, 181 (1987).
- ²³P. A. Lee and J. B. Pendry, Phys. Rev. B **11**, 2795 (1975).
- ²⁴C. R. Natoli, D. K. Misemer, S. Doniach, and F. W. Kutzler, Phys. Rev. A 22, 1104 (1980).
- ²⁵P. J. Durham, J. B. Pendry, and C. H. Hodges, Comput. Phys. Commun. **25**, 193 (1982).
- ²⁶C. R. Natoli and M. Benfatto, J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. 47, C8-11 (1986).
- ²⁷C. R. Natoli, M. Benfatto, and S. Doniach, Phys. Rev. B 34, 4682 (1986).
- ²⁸C. R. Natoli, M. Benfatto, C. Brouder, M. Z. Ruiz Lopez, and D. L. Foulis, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 1944 (1990).
- ²⁹Z. Y. Wu, M. Benfatto, and C. R. Natoli, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 531 (1992); Z. Y. Wu, M. Benfatto, and C. R. Natoli, Solid State Commun. **87**, 475 (1993).
- ³⁰S. Gota, R. Gunnella, Z. Y. Wu, G. Jezeguel, C. R. Natoli, D. Sebilleau, E. L. Bullock, F. Proix, C. Guillot, and A. Quemerais, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3387 (1993).
- ³¹E. L. Bullock, R. Gunnella, L. Patthey, T. Abukawa, S. Kono, C. R. Natoli, and L. S. O. Johansson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2756 (1995).
- ³²L. Mattheiss, Phys. Rev. A **134**, 970 (1964).
- ³³E. Clementi and C. Roetti, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 14, (1974).
- ³⁴P. A. Lee and G. Beni, Phys. Rev. B 15, 2862 (1977).
- ³⁵(a) H. Chou, J. J. Rehr, E. A. Stern, and E. R. Davison, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 2604 (1987); (b) T. A. Tyson, K. O. Hodgson, C. R. Natoli, and M. Benfatto, *ibid.*, **46**, 5997 (1992).
- ³⁶J. Chem. Ref. Data **8**, 2 (1979).
- ³⁷J. C. Fuggle and J. E. Inglesfield, Unoccupied Electronic States, Topics in Applied Physics (Springer, Berlin, 1992), Appendix B, p. 347.
- ³⁸J. G. Norman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **96**, 3327 (1974).
- ³⁹P. Kuiper, G. Kruizinga, J. Ghijsen, and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 221 (1989).
- ⁴⁰D. W. Fischer, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, **32**, 2455 (1971); Phys. Rev. B **5**, 4219 (1972).
- ⁴¹L. A. Grunes, R. D. Leapman, C. N. Wilker, R. Hoffmann, and A. B. Kunz, Phys. Rev. B **25**, 7157 (1982).
- ⁴²L. A. Grunes, Phys. Rev. B 27, 2111 (1983).

- ⁴³C. Sugiura, M. Kitamura, and S. Muramatsu, J. Chem. Phys. 84, 4824 (1986).
- ⁴⁴F. M. F. de Groot, M. Grioni, J. C. Fuggle, J. Ghijsen, G. A. Sawatzky, and H. Petersen, Phys. Rev. B 40, 5715 (1989); F. M. F. de Groot, J. C. Fuggle, B. T. Thole, and G. A. Sawatzky, *ibid.*, 41, 928 (1990).
- ⁴⁵R. Brydson, H. Sauer, W. Engel, J. M. Thomas, E. Zeitler, N. Kosugi, and H. Kuroda, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 1, 797 (1989), 45
- ⁴⁶G. L. Glen and C. G. Dodd, J. Appl. Phys. **39**, 5372 (1968).
- ⁴⁷B. Sonntag and F. C. Brown, Phys. Rev. B **10**, 2300 (1974).
- ⁴⁸C. Webb and P. M. Williams, Phys. Rev. B **11**, 2082 (1975).
- ⁴⁹ F. Hulliger, *Structure Chemistry of Layer-type Phases*, edited by F. Levy (D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland, 1976).
- ⁵⁰L. A. J. Garvie and A. J. Craven, Phys. Chem. Minerals 21, 191

(1994); F. M. F. de Groot, Ph.D. thesis, University of Nijmegen, 1991.

- ⁵¹O. K. Andersen, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 3060 (1975).
- ⁵²O. K. Andersen and O. Jepsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2571 (1984).
- ⁵³O.K. Andersen, O. Jepsen, and M. Sob, in *Electronic Band Structure and Its Applications*, edited by M. Yussouff (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986).
- ⁵⁴C. Umrigar, D. E. Ellis, D. Wang, H. Krakauer, and M. Posternak, Phys. Rev. B 26, 4935 (1982).
- ⁵⁵M. S. Whittingham and A. H. Thompson, J. Chem. Phys. **62**, 1588 (1975); F. A. S. Al-Alamy, A. A. Balchin, and M. White, J. Mater. Sci. **12**, 2039 (1977).
- ⁵⁶G. A. Wiegers, Physica B & C **99B**, 151 (1980).
- ⁵⁷ J. Zaanen, G. A. Sawatzky, and J. M. Allen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 418 (1985).