Substitutional site of Co²⁺ **ions in single-crystalline AgGaS** $_2$: Co²⁺

Yongmin Park, Hidong Kim, Insun Hwang, Jae-Eun Kim, and Hae Yong Park *Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Taejon 305-701, Korea*

Moon-Seog Jin

Department of Physics, Dongshin University, Naju, Chonnam, 520-714, Korea

Seok-Kyun Oh and Wha-Tek Kim

Korea Basic Science Institute and Department of Physics, Chonnam National University, Kwangju, 500-757, Korea (Received 8 February 1996)

We investigated Co-doped AgGaS₂ single crystals in order to determine the substitutional site of Co²⁺ ion in the crystal. The analysis of the absorption spectra shows that the $Co²⁺$ ions are located at the Ga sites and yields the values of the crystal-field parameter $Dq = 359$ cm⁻¹ and Racah parameter $B = 605$ cm⁻¹. The lattice constants of Co-doped crystals were almost the same as those of undoped ones. All the Raman modes were observed to soften in the Co-doped crystals, and that also implies the $Co²⁺$ ions occupy the Ga sites. $[S0163-1829(96)03023-8]$

3d transition-metal (TM) impurities in semiconducting materials have been used mainly to study the symmetry and the strength of the crystal field of host semiconducting materials. In binaries, TM impurities should take the place of cations. But in the case of ternary semiconductors, I-III-VI₂ or II-III₂-VI₄, which have two cation sites, we may ask which cation they replace. When TM impurities occupy one of the two sites, they display only the local site symmetry and the local strength of the crystal field. For $Zn_{1-x}Cd_xGa_2Se_4$, it was reported that Co^{2+} ions may replace Ga atoms.¹ In this study we used the $Co²⁺$ ion as the TM impurity in $AgGaS_2$ in order to discuss the substitutional sites of these impurities.

 $AgGaS₂$ single crystal and Co-doped ones were synthesized by chemical transport reaction method using iodine as a transporting agent. The ampoules were heated in a twozone furnace for 17 days. The temperature of the source zone was 900 °C and that of the growth zone was 750 °C. The color of undoped crystals was transparent yellow and that of the Co-doped ones was dark red. All of them were in rod form with the dimensions of about $3\times3\times20$ mm³.

The Co concentrations of the AgGaS₂:Co²⁺ single crystal were measured by wavelength dispersion spectroscopy. In order to investigate the Co²⁺ site in AgGaS₂, x-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis, Raman spectroscopy, and absorption spectroscopy were performed. The absorption spectra of $AgGaS_2:Co^{2+}$ were obtained with a VIS-NIR spectrophotometer (Shimadzu MPS-5000) at $10 K$ in the $185-3200$ -nm range and its resolution was less than 1 nm. The Raman modes were excited by the 514.5-nm line from an Ar-ion laser and the spectra were obtained at room temperature with the resolution of about 0.5 cm^{-1} .

The chalcopyrite structure differs from zinc blende in that there are two species of cation, and this produces two distinct types of distortion from cubic symmetry. Each anion is displaced from its zinc-blende site (anion displacement), the whole crystal is compressed along the tetragonal direction (tetragonal distortion), and the length of the Ag-S bond is longer than that of the Ga-S bond. In $AgGaS_2$, the anion displacement and the tetragonal distortion are unusually large in comparison with other chalcopyrite compounds.² Thus, as can be seen in Fig. 1, the symmetries of S atoms around each cation are much different from each other. The tetragonal distortion shortens the side of the cube in the *z* direction, which is made up of S atoms around each cation. In the Ga site the lengths of the sides in the $x-y$ plane shorten but in the Ag site they become longer. As a result, the Ga atom is surrounded by an almost exactly regular tetrahedron (T_d) of S atoms, whose axes are rotated relative to the axes of the crystal. 3 On the other hand, with the tetragonal distortion and the displacements of S atoms the symmetry of S atoms around the Ag atom is lowered to the D_{2d} symmetry $(Fig. 1).$

The optical absorption spectra of the Co-doped AgGaS $_2$

FIG. 1. The symmetries of S atoms around (a) Ga and (b) Ag. The arrows denote the anion displacements.

0163-1829/96/53(23)/15604(3)/\$10.00 53 15 604 © 1996 The American Physical Society

FIG. 2. The structure of the absorption band at 10 K near the band gap.

single crystal at 10 K are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. We observed eight impurity peaks at 5501, 5985, 6348, 6808, 13 510, 13 770, 13 960, and 14 960 cm⁻¹. The three lower energy peaks in Fig. 2 are attributed to the electronic transitions of Co^{2+} from the ground state ${}^{4}A_{2}$ (${}^{4}F$) to ${}^{4}T_{1}({}^{4}P)$ and the highest-energy peak at 14 960 cm^{-1} is assigned to the transition from the ground state to ${}^{2}A_{1}({}^{2}G)$. The four peaks in Fig. 2 correspond to the transitions to ${}^{4}T_{1}({}^{4}F)$ state. The groupings of the absorption peaks of Co^{2+} ion shown in Figs. 2 and 3 may indicate that the T_d crystal field must be larger than the D_{2d} crystal field or the spin-orbit interaction. As can be seen in Fig. 4, if the D_{2d} crystal field is larger than the spin-orbit interaction, the ${}^{4}T_{1}$ states split into two (six) sublevels without (with) spin-orbit interaction. The energylevel splittings can be derived by a simple group theoretic calculation from a character table for point-symmetry groups.⁴ If the D_{2d} crystal field is less than the spin-orbit interaction, they split into three sublevels in the first-order spin-orbit interaction or four in the second order.⁵ Therefore, the absorption spectra clearly show that the $Co²⁺$ ions belong to the T_d symmetry in which the effect of the D_{2d} crystal field is negligible, and that means the $Co²⁺$ ions at the Ga sites.

FIG. 4. Energy-level diagram for Co^{2+} in T_d and D_{2d} crystal field with spin-orbit coupling predicted on the basis of group theory.

From the above data and the equations in Ref. 6, the crystal field parameter Dq is 359 cm⁻¹ and the Racah parameter *B*, which characterizes the Coulomb repulsion of 3*d* electrons, is 605 cm^{-1} . These values are very close to those of $Co²⁺$ in ZnS whose *Dq* and *B* are 375 and 610 cm⁻¹, respectively.⁷ The S atoms in ZnS have the regular tetrahedral coordination and the Zn-S bond length is close to the Ga-S bond length in AgGaS₂.^{2,7} Therefore the crystal field parameters also show that Co^{2+} ions are in the T_d crystal field, which implies the Co impurities replace the Ga atoms.

Raman spectra of AgGaS₂:Co²⁺ crystals are shown in Fig. 5 and compared with that of $AgGaS₂$. One can observe that as the concentration of Co is increased from 0 to 0.14 and to 1.2 mole %, the energies of all Raman modes decrease

FIG. 3. The structure of the absorption band at 10 K in the infrared region.

FIG. 5. The Raman spectra of $AgGaS_2:Co^{2+}$ single crystals. The Co concentrations are (a) 0%, (b) 0.14 mole %, and (c) 1.2 mole %.

gradually. From a simple least-square fitting, the lattice constants of these crystals were $a = 5.751 \pm 0.003$ Å and $c =$ 10.302 ± 0.005 Å for the undoped crystals, $a = 5.753$ \pm 0.005 Å and $c = 10.304 \pm 0.008$ Å for the doped ones of 0.14 mole %, and $a = 5.750 \pm 0.005$ Å and $c = 10.303$ \pm 0.009 Å for the doped ones of 1.2 mole %, and there was no considerable change. This indicates that the size of the unit cell does not contribute to the shifts of Raman modes in our case. The frequency shifts of the Raman modes may come from the mass effect and the electronegativity difference effect. The atomic weight of the Co atom is similar to that of a Ga atom but is much lighter than that of a Ag atom. Thus the mass effect will result in hardening of Raman modes if Co atoms replaced Ag atoms. As the electronegativity difference of two atoms involved in bonding increases, the binding force becomes stronger and the energy of phonon modes increases. The electronegativity difference of the Co-S bond is smaller than that of the Ga-S bond while larger than that of the $Ag-S$ bond.⁸ The incorporation of Co causes softening of the Ga-S related Raman modes but hardening of the Ag-S related ones.

The single A_1 mode at 294 cm^{-1} results from the motion of S atoms in the perpendicular direction to the *c* axis, with Ag and Ga atoms remaining at rest. 9 The mass effect of the stationary atom may be neglected and only the electronegativity difference effect may contribute to Raman shifts. If the $Co²⁺$ ions replace the Ga atoms, the energy of the $A₁$ mode must decrease, since the restoring force of the Co-S bond is weaker than that of Ga-S bond. B_2 modes [394.5 cm⁻¹(*L*) and 365.5 cm⁻¹(*T*)] and *E* modes [339 cm⁻¹(*L*), 320 $\text{cm}^{-1}(T)$ involve the motion of S and Ga, which is independent of the Ag atom. Provided that the $Co²⁺$ ions occupy the sites of the Ag atoms, we should expect that the energies of these modes increase as the electronegativity difference increases. Other *E* modes [389.5 cm^{-1}(*L*), 365.5 cm $^{-1}(T)$ in Fig. 5 correspond to the motion of S and Ag, with Ga atoms being almost stationary.¹⁰ If Co²⁺ ions are placed at the sites of Ga atoms, the electronegativity difference may result in softening of the mode, while the $Co²⁺$ ions at Ag sites harden the mode. Therefore, these results also show that the $Co²⁺$ ion is located at the Ga site not at the Ag site.

In conclusion, we discussed from the XRD analysis, absorption spectra and Raman spectroscopy that the $Co²⁺$ ions replace the Ga atoms in AgGaS₂:Co²⁺ single crystal. And the values of the crystal field parameter *Dq* and Racah parameter *B* are 359 and 605 cm^{-1}, respectively, which are very close to those of $Co²⁺$ in ZnS.

This work is supported in part by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through the Semiconductor Physics Research Center at Jeonbuk National University.

¹ I. Hwang, N.-Y. Lee, H. Kim, J.-E. Kim, H. Y. Park, H.-G. Kim, C.-D. Kim, and W.-T. Kim, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **32**, Suppl. 32-3, 552 (1993).

- 3G. D. Holah, J. S. Webb, and H. Montgomery, J. Phys. C **7**, 3875 $(1974).$
- ⁴M. Tinkham, *Group Theory and Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964), Chap. 4.
- ⁵H. A. Weakliem, J. Chem. Phys. **36**, 2117 (1962).
- ⁶ J. Gardavsky, A. Werner, and H. D. Hochheimer, Phys. Rev. B **24**, 4972 (1981).
- ⁷ J. K. Furdyna and J. Kossut, *Semiconductors and Semimetals* (Academic, New York, 1988), pp. 7 and 275.
- ⁸G. Burns, *Solid State Physics* (Academic, New York, 1985) p. 177.
- ⁹ J. P. van der Ziel, A. E. Meixner, H. M. Kasper, and J. A. Ditzenberger, Phys. Rev. B 9, 4286 (1974).
- 10 D. J. Lockwood and H. Montgomery, J. Phys. C $\frac{10}{1975}$.

 2 J. E. Jaffe and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B 29, 1882 (1984).