Electronic structures and stability of $Si₆₀$ and $C₆₀@Si₆₀$ clusters

X.G. Gong and Q.Q. Zheng

Institute of Solid State Physics, Academia Sinica, Hefei 230031, People's Republic of China

(Received 23 December 1994)

The electronic structure and stability of a fullerenelike Si_{60} cluster have been studied by the density functional theory with the local density approximation. Our results suggest that the icosahedral structure of $Si₆₀$ is an energy minimum, and the electronic structure near the Fermi level is similar to that of a C₆₀ cluster. We have found that the C₆₀ cluster is a good candidate to stabilize the Si₆₀ cluster.

The discovery of fullerene C_{60} has aroused a great deal of research interest in the past few years for physicists and chemists. The intensive studies show that the C_{60} cluster and C_{60} solid have many interesting physical properties, for instance, C_{60} solid doped with some alkalimetal atoms exhibits superconductivity.¹ The fullerene C_{60} cluster has a very stable structure and also has a closed electronic shell, which makes it kinetically stable. Silicon and carbon are isovalent. It is clear that the structures of the clusters of carbon and silicon are quite diferent, the silicon clusters have multicoordinate structures with the maximum coordinate number up to \sin^2 and experiments show that the structures of silicon clusters with more than 27 atoms are spherelike.³ Carbon clusters have linear or ring structures and fullerene structures.⁴ It is natural to ask if the fullerene Si_{60} cluster is stable. At least up to now, the fullerene $Si₆₀$ is not observed in the experiment. Recently, the determination of the ground state of the $Si₆₀$ cluster has been the subject of some theoretical work. By using the Harrison's parameters, Menon and Subbaswamy⁵ have used generalized tightbinding molecular dynamics to study the structure of the fullerene Si_{60} cluster; they found that icosahedral Si_{60} has metallic character with a partially filled fivefold level as the highest occupied molecular orbit (HOMO), consequently, the Jahn-Teller distortion changed the icosahedral structure to a lower symmetry structure with no changes of the threefold coordination. Piqueras et al .⁶ and Nagase and Kobayashi⁷ have reported the electronic structure of icosahedral $Si₆₀$, respectively. Based on the symmetry-restricted optimization, they found icosahedral $Si₆₀$ to be an energy minimum, the fivefold HOMO is completely filled, which is diferent from the results obtained by parameter dependent tight-binding molecular dynamics, 4 but clearly they find the gap between the HOMO and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbit (LUMO) to be too large, up to 6.69 eV for the fullerene C_{60} and 4.62 eV for the icosahedral Si_{60} . To further understand the electronic properties and the stability of the $Si₆₀$ cluster, we have performed the density functional studies on the icosahedral $Si₆₀$. In agreement with results of Refs. 6 and 7, we have found the icosahedral $Si₆₀$ to be an energy minimum with two distinct bond lengths, the HOMO is completely filled with a HOMO-LUMO gap up to 0.32 eV, which is much smaller than 1.72 eV for fullerene C_{60} obtained by the same method. Because the

size of the silicon atom is much larger than the size of carbon atom, the bonding in fullerene $Si₆₀$ cluster is weaker than that in C_{60} . The smaller binding energy, compared to the binding energies of smaller silicon clusters, indicates that the icosahedral $Si₆₀$ may be just a metastable structure. So we have studied the possibility of putting a stable C_{60} into the cage of Si_{60} to stabilize the Si_{60} cluster.

Our calculations are based on the density functional theory with the local density functional approximation,⁸ the wave functions of molecular orbits are expanded in symmetrized atomic wave functions. The Hamitonian matrix elements are calculated in the scheme of discrete variational method.⁹ The Kohn-Sham equation is solved self-consistently. The total binding energy of the cluster is defined by $E_b=E_{\text{ref}}-E_t$, where E_t is the total energy and $E_{\rm ref}$ is the sum of total energies of individual atoms in the cluster.

For $Si₆₀$ cluster, we start at a perfect icosahedral structure with only one bond length. By calculating the binding energy, we have optimized the atomic distances and arrived at two distinct bond lengths. The essential feature of the obtained structure is similar to the fullerene C_{60} , in agreement with what was obtained by Piqueras $et \ al$ ⁶ and Nagase and Kobayashi,⁷ some obtained results are shown in Table I. The radius of the $Si₆₀$ cage is about 1.53 times larger than that of the C_{60} cage, both clusters have two different bond lengths. The electronic structures of the $Si₆₀$ and the $C₆₀$ cages near the Fermi level are similar. The HOMO of the $Si₆₀$ cluster is a completely filled fivefold H_u state too, this is different from the results of the tight-binding molecular dynamics studies in which the HOMO is not completely filled, but consistent with results of Refs. 6 and 7. The orbital energies and the symmetry species are shown in Table II.

TABLE I. The obtained results for $Si₆₀$, $C₆₀$, and C_{60} @Si₆₀, equilibrium bond lengths d_1 and d_2 in atomic unit. Energy is in eV and the Fermi energy is shifted to be zero.

Clusters	$\boldsymbol{E_{b}}$	a1	a_{2}	$\boldsymbol{E_o}$
Si ₆₀	3.92	4.70	4.40	0.32
C_{60}	8.05	2.80	2.71	1.72
C_{60} @Si ₆₀	6.31	4.69	4.39	0.27
		(2.95)	(2.83)	

 $Si₆₀, C₆₀, and C₆₀@Si₆₀. Energy is in eV and the Fermi energy$ is shifted to be zero. C_{60} @ Si_6 $_{\rm Siso}$ \mathbb{C}_{60}

TABLE II. The orbital energies and symmetry species of

We can see that, the symmetries of the occupied states near the Fermi level are the same for both C_{60} and Si_{60} clusters. But there are some differences in the unoccupied orbits, the LUMO of the $Si₆₀$ cluster is a fourfold state, instead of a T_{1u} state in the C₆₀ cluster. There is a HOMO-LUMO gap, but it is much smaller than the gap of 1.72 eV in the C_{60} cluster obtained in the same method. The smaller HOMO-LUMO gap of the $Si₆₀$ cluster may be attributed to the much weaker covalent bonds, accordingly the binding energy per atom would be small. As shown in Table I, we obtained only 3.92 eV/atom for the binding energy of the $Si₆₀$ cluster, which is only about the half of the binding energy of the C_{60} cluster. In Fig. 1, we have presented the charge density of $Si₆₀$ cluster. The covalent bonds between the nearest neighbors can be observed and it is quite similar to the result of the C_{60} cage.

All those results indicate that the icosahedral structure of the Si_{60} cluster could be an energy minimum. Since the binding energy is small and the multicoordinate smaller clusters may have larger binding energies, 10 the icosahe d ral $Si₆₀ cluster may only be a metastable structure, and$ there might exist a more stable structure with a larger coordination number as found in the smaller clusters ($N \sim$ 30). It is worth performing an accurate dynamical calculation on the stability of the $Si₆₀$ cluster studying the transformation from the icosahedral structure to a more stable structure, but this is not the aim of the present paper. Instead, we are seeking a possibility to stabilize the

FIG. 1. The charge density of the $Si₆₀$ cluster.

FIG. 2. The structure of the C_{60} @Si₆₀ cluster. The outer shell represents $Si₆₀$ and the inner shell $C₆₀$.

icosahedral $Si₆₀$ by doping an endohedral superatom into the Si₆₀ cluster, like what has be done for Al_n clusters and smaller silicon clusters. 11,12 Because the radius of the $_{\rm n}$ ollow $\rm Si_{60}$ cage is about 5.91 $\rm \AA,$ a single atom is too small to fill the hollow space in the cage. In fact, the stable C_{60} cluster, which has a proper size, can be a very good candidate to fill the hollow space of $Si₆₀$ cluster. There are many ways to arrange the C_{60} cluster in the Si_{60} cage with different relative orientations. For simplicity, we have chosen the highest final icosahedral symmetry, and fixed the ratio of short bond length to long bond length, and optimized the radius of the $Si₆₀$ cage and radius of the C_{60} cage. The structure of C_{60} @Si₆₀ cluster is shown in Fig. 2. The obtained bond lengths are listed in Table I. We can see that the changes in bond lengths are very small. Unsurprisingly, the C_{60} @Si₆₀ cluster has a similar electronic structure near the Fermi level compared to the isolated $Si₆₀$ and $C₆₀$ clusters, and the HOMO is still a fivefold H_u level, mainly contributed by C_{60} and $Si₆₀$ cages. In Fig. 3, we show a contour plot of wave function of the HOMO, it is clear that the HOMO is a p- π bonding state between the Si₆₀ cage and the C₆₀ cage. The LUMO is a threefold T_u level contributed by

FIG. 3. The contour plot of the wave function of the highest occupied state in the $C_{60}@Si_{60}$ cluster. The solid lines denote the positive value, the dashed lines denote the negative value.

FIG. 4. The total charge density of the $C_{60}@Si_{60}$ cluster.

the $Si₆₀$ cage. The HOMO-LUMO gap in the $Si₆₀$ cluster remains. The binding energy is 6.31 eV/atom , the energy gain upon the doping of the C_{60} into the Si_{60} is about 38.4 eV. Since the atom on the C_{60} cage has only one neighbor at the $Si₆₀$ cage, the binding energy for one Si-C pair is approximately 0.64 eV, and this amount of energy is much smaller than the binding energy of Si-C covalent bond. These results suggest the interaction between the $Si₆₀$ cage and the $C₆₀$ cage is not very strong, because the distance of 2.10 Å between the C and Si is large. This bonding behavior can also be confirmed by the total charge density of the C_{60} @Si₆₀ cluster, which is shown in Fig. 4. We can clearly see that the bond charge still exists between the nearest neighbors of carbon, and also between the nearest neighbors of silicon, but charge density between Si and C is very small. From the Mulliken population analysis, we get 1.55 and 1.45 electrons occupied at 3s and 3p orbits, respectively, for $Si₆₀$ cluster. In the C_{60} \otimes S_{60} cluster, the occupation numbers almost remain the same.

From the results presented above, we can see that the $s-p$ hy bridization in the cage is not changed. Since the strong covalent bonds of the C_{60} cage remain unchanged $\rm e \ C_{60}$ is still very stable, the existence of the $\rm C_{60}$ in the $Si₆₀$ cage prohibits the structure changes for the $Si₆$ cluster from the fullerenelike to a more compact structure. So, we can expect that the C_{60} @ Si₆₀ cluster would be stable. In Fig. 5, we have presented the density of states of the $C_{60}@Si_{60}$ cluster, and the partial density of states for C_{60} and Si_{60} at the equilibrium bond length, obtained by the Lorentz expanding of the eigenvalues.

 4 is for total density of states, line B for partial density of FIG. 5. The density of states of the $C_{60}@Si_{60}$ cluster. Line states for C_{60} , and line C is for partial density of states for $Si₆₀$.

Although the small gap is smeared out by the Lorentz expansion, we can still see that the Fermi level is at a small valley, which suggests that both clusters should be kinetically stable. The large peak near -15 eV is contributed by carbon 2s states, and the peak near -9 eV is from the silicon 3s states. The interaction between the the Fermi level have $p-\pi$ character from carbon $2p$ and carbon 2s and the silicon is very small. The states near silicon 3p.

In summary, we have studied the electronic properties of the icosahedral $Si₆₀$ cluster. We have found that it as a small HOMO-LUMO gap with two distinct bond lengths, and it has a similar electronic structure near the Fermi level to the C_{60} cluster. Since the icosahedral $Si₆₀$ cluster has a quite large size cage, and has a small binding energy, it can be a meta-stable structure and may collapse into a more compact structure as the smaller silicon cluster does. We have tried to stabilize the icosahedral Si_{60} by doping with a stable C_{60} cluster, and found that the C_{60} @Si₆₀ cluster can be stable with a completely filled HOMO and a sizable gap at the Fermi level; the covalent bonding between $Si₆₀$ cage and $C₆₀$ cage is weak, and the bonding character in the cage almost remains. Because of the existence of the C_{60} inside the $Si₆₀$ cage, the $Si₆₀$ cage will not collapse.

Research- National Fundamental Project, and the Na-This work is supported by Climbing Program tional Natural Science Foundation of China

- A .F. Hebard et al., Nature 350, 600 (1991); M.J. Rosseinsky et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1154 (1991).
- 2 K. Raghavachari and C.M. Rohlfing, J. Chem. Phys. 94, 3670 (1991); W. Andreoni and G. Pastore, Phys. Rev. B 41, 10 243 (1990).
- 3 M.F. Jarrold, Science 252, 1085 (1991); M.F. Jarrold and
- V.A. Constant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 , 2994 (1991); see figure captions.
- ⁴W. Andreoni, D. Scharf, and P. Giannozzi, Chem. Phys. Lett. **173**, 449 (1990); M. Menon, K.R. Subbaswamy, and M. Sautarie, Phys. Rev. B 48, 8998 (1993).
- 5 M. Menon and K.R. Subbaswamy, Chem. Phys. Lett. 219,

219 (1994).

- M.C. Piqueras, R. Crespo, E. Orti, and F. Tomas, Chem. Phys. Lett. 213, 509 (1993).
- ⁷S. Nagase and K. Kobayashi, Chem. Phys. Lett. 187, 291 (1991).
- 8 U.V. Barth and L. Hedin, J. Phys. C 5, 1629 (1972).
- P D.E. Ellis and G.S. Painter, Phys. Rev. B 2, 2887 (1970); B. Delley and D.E. Ellis, J. Chem. Phys. 76, 1949 (1982).
- 10 E. Kaxiras, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 727 (1993); X.G. Gong (to be published).
- 11 S.N. Khanna and P. Jena, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1664 (1992). $~^{12}$ X.G. Gong and V. Kumar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2078 (1993).