# Band discontinuity at the  $(311)$  A GaAs/AlAs interface and possibility of its control by Si insertion layers

T. Saito, Y. Hashimoto,\* and T. Ikoma

Institute of Industrial Science, University of Tokyo, 7-22-1 Roppongi, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106, Japan

(Received 8 August 1994)

A theoretical analysis of the valence-band discontinuity ( $\Delta E_v$ ) at the high-index (311)A GaAs/AlAs interface is reported together with a possibility of  $\Delta E<sub>v</sub>$  control by Si-insertion layers. The calculations are performed by using a self-consistent sp<sup>3</sup>s<sup>\*</sup> tight-binding method in a (GaAs)<sub>6</sub>/(AlAs)<sub>5</sub> (311) superlattice. The  $\Delta E_v$  at the (311)A interface is calculated to be 0.50 eV, which is practically equal to the  $\Delta E_v$  of 0.51 eV at the (100) and (110) low-index interfaces. The orientation independence of the  $\Delta E$ . holds for the  $(311)$  A high-index interface. The result of the calculations is consistent with our experimental determination by x-ray photoemission spectroscopy. At the  $(311)A$  interface, the inserted Si double layers can have two possible layer spacings; one is  $a/(4\sqrt{11})$  on As-terminated GaAs and the other  $3a/(4\sqrt{11})$ on Ga-terminated GaAs, where a is the lattice constant. In the former case,  $\Delta E_v$  is calculated to be  $-0.12$  eV (reduced by 0.62 eV), while in the latter it is 1.67 eV (increased by 1.17 eV).  $\Delta E_v$  depends almost linearly on the Si layer thickness  $(0-2 \text{ ML})$  on an As-terminated GaAs. The result predicts a possibility of  $\Delta E_n$  control at the (311) A GaAs/AlAs interface.

## I. INTRODUCTION

A possibility to artificially control the band discontinuity at a semiconductor interface by inserting thin group-IV-element layers has been a hot issue from both scientific and application points of view. $1 - 8$  Muñoz, Chetty, and Martin<sup>1</sup> and Peressi et al.<sup>2</sup> predicted theoretically that the band discontinuity at the (100) GaAs/AlAs interface can be controlled by inserting  $(Ge_2)$ or  $(Si<sub>2</sub>)$  double layers. Sorba *et al.*<sup>3</sup> observed shifts in the core levels of x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) from the (100) GaAs/A1As interface with the Si insertion layers and attributed the shifts to the success of band discontinuity control. However, Hashimoto and coworkers<sup>4-6</sup> and Akazawa et al.<sup>7</sup> have shown that the inserted Si atoms mainly act as donors and do not serve to control the band discontinuity because no Si-induced interface dipole is formed. $4^{-7}$  The occupation-site control of Si atoms at the (100) interface is crucial in forming an interface dipole to control the band discontinuity. $4-6$ 

On the other hand, it has been shown experimentally that, on a  $(311)$  A GaAs substrate, doped Si can be either an acceptor or a donor depending on growth condian acceptor or a donor depending on growth cond<br>tions.<sup>9-11</sup> This indicates that we have a higher possibilit to successfully form a Si-induced interface dipole and control the band discontinuity at the  $(311)$  A GaAs/AlAs interface. However, theoretical calculations on the band discontinuity and a possibility to control it have not been reported for the  $(311)A$  GaAs/AlAs interface, which is grown on a high-index surface.

The purpose of this paper is to clarify theoretically (1) how large the valence-band discontinuity  $(\Delta E_{n})$  is at the  $(311)$  A GaAs/AlAs interface and (2) how much the  $\Delta E$ . can be controlled by insertion of Si double-layers at the interface.

The calculations are performed by using a self-

consistent tight-binding method<sup>12,13</sup> as described in Sec. II. The result of (1) is shown and compared with our experimental  $\Delta E$ <sub>n</sub> measured by the XPS in Sec. III. We discuss whether the orientation independence of  $\Delta E$ <sub>n</sub> holds for the high-index  $(311)A$  interface. The result of (2) is shown in Sec. IV. Our preliminary results for the  $(100)$  and  $(110)$  low-index interfaces were reported in Ref. 5.

#### II. METHOD OF CALCULATIONS

The calculations are performed on the basis of the  $sp^3s^*$  semiempirical tight-binding method.<sup>14</sup> The method for the self-consistent calculation of  $\Delta E$ <sub>n</sub> is essentially the for the self-consistent calculation of  $\Delta E_v$  is essentially the same as that of Muñoz and co-workers.<sup>12,13,15</sup> We describe the method briefly as follows.

First, we begin with the situation that GaAs and A1As are separated. We adopt the tight-binding parameters of bulk GaAs and A1As from the values of Vogl, Hjalmarson, and  $Down^{14}$  which reproduce band structures of individual semiconductors accurately.<sup>14</sup> In our study, the spin-orbit coupling is not included. Additionally we need to assume a "natural" valence-band discontinuity  $\Delta E_v^0$ , which is defined as a valence-band discontinuity when the two semiconductors are separated,<sup>16</sup> i.e., there is no difference between the average potentials in both semi-<br>conductors. We assume  $\Delta E_v^0$ =0.24 eV and shift the atomic-orbital energies of AlAs by this value relative to those of GaAs. This value is obtained by equalizing the mean  $sp<sup>3</sup>$  energies of both semiconductors as done by Muñoz, Sánchez-Dehesa, and Flores.<sup>12</sup>

Second, we model the formation of the  $(311)A$ GaAs/A1As interface by a (311) GaAs/A1As superlattice (SL) and calculate  $\Delta E_{n}$ . As an initial condition, we start with a zero dipole across the interface, i.e., no difference in the average potentials in GaAs and AlAs. To do so,

we keep the above atomic-orbital energies. Based on the initial Hamiltonian, (1) we calculate charges on the atoms, (2} obtain charge densities per area on the atomic planes parallel to the interface, (3} solve the Poisson's equation under the periodic boundary condition of the SL to get changes in the potentials on the atomic planes due to charge redistributions at the interface, and (4) modify the initial atomic-orbital energies according to the changes in the potentials. The process  $(1)$ – $(4)$  is iterated until the self-consistency is achieved. Consequently,  $\Delta E_n$ is obtained as  $\Delta E_v = \Delta E_v^0 + \Delta V$ , where  $\Delta V$  is the dipole defined as the difference between the average potentials in GaAs and A1As.

One difference between our method and that of  $Mu\tilde{v}$ <br>d as marked  $^{12.13.15}$  is that we analy the mathed to SI<sup>3</sup>. and co-workers<sup>12, 13, 15</sup> is that we apply the method to SL's while they applied their method to heterojunctions between two semi-infinite semiconductors. In addition, we allow modifications of atomic-orbital energies on all atomic planes in a SL, while they did within a limited number of atomic planes (four or five) (Refs. 12, 13, 15} around an interface. Better accuracy is expected in our calculation. We showed preliminary calculations by using the same method for the (100) and (110) GaAs/A1As interfaces in Refs. 5 and 8.

Last, we insert Si double layers at the  $(311)A$ GaAs/AlAs interface. We assume that the inserted Si atoms occupy lattice sites of ideal zinc-blende structure. The Si lattice  $(d=2.35 \text{ Å})$  is hydrostatically deformed to match the GaAs and AlAs lattices  $(d=2.45 \text{ Å})$ , where d is the bond length. The interatomic tight-binding parameters of Si are assumed to be proportional to  $d^{-\eta_{\alpha,\beta}}$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (=s,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ ,  $p_z$ , and s<sup>\*</sup>) are the adjacent orbitals. We adopt the exponents  $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}$  from the values of Hong et  $al$ .<sup>18</sup> The effect of lattice relaxation was proved to be unimportant at the (100) and (110) GaAs/A1As in-'terfaces. $2,1$ 

In the actual calculations, we use a  $(GaAs)_{6}/(A1As)_{5}$ (311) SL. Its primitive translation vectors are

$$
\mathbf{a}_1 = \frac{a}{2} [\sqrt{2}\mathbf{x}], \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = \frac{a}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{x} + \sqrt{11/2} \mathbf{y} \right],
$$
  

$$
\mathbf{a}_3 = \frac{a}{2} [2\sqrt{11}\mathbf{z}].
$$
 (1)

Here x, y, and z are the Cartesian unit vectors defined for the SL, which are oriented along the  $[0\overline{1}1]$ ,  $[2\overline{3}3]$ , and [311] directions, respectively.  $a$  is the lattice constant of GaAs. The vectors  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are on the (311) plane, and  $a_3$  is along the [311] direction. In general,  $a_3$  of the  $(GaAs)<sub>m</sub> / (AlAs)<sub>n</sub>$  (311) SL takes the [311] direction when  $m+n = (integer) \times 11$ , hence we choose  $m = 6$  and  $n = 5$ . In this SL, the atomic planes are stacked in the sequence:

$$
\cdots - A1-As-A1-As-Ga-As-Ga-As-\cdots-Ga-As-Ga-As-A1-As-A1-As-\cdots,
$$

where the spacing between two planes is  $a/(4\sqrt{11})$  for Ga-As and Al-As pairs, and  $3a/(4\sqrt{11})$  for As—Ga and As—Al pairs. (A) and (B) denote the  $(311)A$  and  $(311)B$ GaAs/A1As interfaces, which are originally the Asterminated  $(311)A$  and  $(311)B$  GaAs surfaces, respectively. We focus mainly on the  $(311)A$  interface, which is formed by AlAs growth on a  $(311)$  A GaAs substrate.

# III. BAND DISCONTINUITY AT THE (311) A GaAs/AlAs INTERFACE

Figure <sup>1</sup> shows the atomic arrangement (a) and the potential profile (b) at the  $(311)A$  GaAs/AlAs interface. We assume that the interface is flat, having neither facets nor interdiffusion across the interface. The potential oscillates sawtoothlike due to the negative and positive charges on the anions and cations, respectively.<sup>8,13</sup> The average potentials in GaAs and A1As are calculated from the potentials on a few central planes of respective semiconductors, excluding potentials that change transiently at the interface. As the difference between the average potentials, we obtain  $\Delta V=0.26$  eV. Finally,  $\Delta E_n$  at the  $(311)$  *A* interface is calculated to be 0.50 eV, i.e.,  $\Delta E_v = \Delta E_v^0 + \Delta V = 0.24$  eV +0.26 eV. At the same time, we obtain  $\Delta V=0.28$  eV and hence  $\Delta E_v=0.52$  eV at the  $(311)$ B interface.

For comparison, Fig. 2 shows the potential profiles at the  $(100)$  and  $(110)$  GaAs/AlAs low-index interfaces. The interface formations are modeled by (100) and (110)

 $(GaAs)_{6}/(A1As)_{6}$  SL's. The differences in amplitude of the potential oscillation reflect the difference in interface orientations. However, we obtain  $\Delta V = 0.27$  eV and hence  $\Delta E_n = 0.51$  eV at both interfaces. Our results of  $\Delta E$ <sub>n</sub> at the high- and low-index interfaces are summarized in Table I together with  $\Delta E_v$  at low-index interfaces calculated by Muñoz, Sánchez-Dehesa, and Flores.<sup>15</sup> From these calculations, it is found that  $\Delta E$  at a GaAs/A1As interface is almost constant for various interface orientations, even for a high-index interface.

In experiments, Hirakawa, Hashimoto, and Ikoma' showed the orientation independence of  $\Delta E$ <sub>n</sub> at a GaAs/AlAs interface only for low-index interfaces; (100), (110), and (111)B.  $\Delta E_v$  was measured by the in situ XPS for the samples grown by molecular beam epitaxy<br>(MBE).<sup>19</sup> In the present paper, we carried out XPS measurements of  $\Delta E_v$  at the *high-index* (311) A (and B) interface to confirm our theoretical calculations. The samples were grown on  $(311)A n<sup>+</sup>$ -GaAs substrates: (1) AlAs(30)  $\vec{A}/\text{GaAs}(1 \mu m)/\text{GaAs}(\text{substrate})$  for the  $(311)A$  interface, and (2)  $GaAs(30 \text{ A})/AlAs(100 \text{ A})/GaAs(1)$  $\mu$ m)/GaAs(substrate) for the (311)B interface. The growth temperature was 600'C for all the layers. For both samples, we measured  $\Delta E$ <sub>n</sub> at the interface between the two layers indicated by the underlines. In the latter sample, the top GaAs(30 Å) layer is grown on the  $(311)A$ surface of the AlAs $(100 \text{ Å})$  layer. This is equivalent to the interface formation where an A1As layer is grown on a  $(311)B$  surface of GaAs. The XPS measurements were carried out with an Al  $K\alpha$  monochromatic x-ray source of  $h v = 1486.6$  eV.  $\Delta E_v$  is determined by using an energy difference between the Ga  $3d$  and Al 2p core levels. The details of the measurement technique are described in Ref. 19.  $\Delta E$  's measured in the present study and Ref. 19 are included in Table I. In the experiments,  $\Delta E<sub>n</sub>$  is found to be independent of the interface orientation even at the high-index  $(311)A$  (and B) interface, which confirms our calculations.

## IV. EFFECTS OF Si-INSERTION I.AYERS ON BAND DISCONTINUITY

We insert Si double layers at the  $(311)A$  interface in a  $(GaAs)_{6}/(A1As)_{5}$  (311) SL. The Si double layers can have two possible configurations,

$$
\cdots - A1-As-A1-As-As-Ga-As-Ga-As-\cdots-Ga-As-Si-Si-A1-As-A1-As-\cdots,
$$
  
\n
$$
\cdots - A1-As-A1-As-Ga-As-Ga-As-\cdots-Ga-Si-Si-As-A1-As-A1-As-\cdots.
$$

The former is formed on an As-terminated GaAs; the first Si plane occupies Ga sites and the second occupies As sites with the interplanar spacing of  $a/(4\sqrt{11})$ . The latter is formed on a Ga-terminated GaAs; the occupation sites are reversed and the interplanar spacing is  $3a/(4\sqrt{11})$ . Here the inserted Si atoms are assumed to

occupy lattice sites of the ideal zinc-blende structure as mentioned in Sec. II. We insert no Si layers at the  $(311)B$ interface. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show the atomic arrangements at the  $(311)$  *A* interface with the Si double layers on an As-terminated and a Ga-terminated GaAs, respectively. From the viewpoint of chemical valence, we can re-



FIG. 1. Atomic arrangement (a) and potential profile (b) at the high-index  $(311)A$ GaAs/A1As interface. The vertical dashed line in (a) indicates the interface. The average potentials in GaAs and AlAs are indicated by thin solid lines in (b).



FIG. 2. Potential profiles at GaAs/AlAs low-index interfaces: (a) (100) and (b) (110) interfaces.

gard the Si double layers as consisting of a positively charged donorlike plane (Ga site) and a negatively charged acceptorlike plane (As site) and acting as a microscopic capacitor<sup>1,2</sup> to control  $\Delta E_v$ .

Figures  $4(a)$  and  $4(b)$  show the potential profiles at the (311) A GaAs/AlAs interface with the Si double layers on an As-terminated and a Ga-terminated GaAs, respectively.  $\Delta V$  is -0.36 eV in the former and 1.43 eV in the latter. The change of  $\Delta V$  from the original value is  $-0.62$  eV and  $+1.17$  eV, respectively. By using the relation  $\Delta E_v = \Delta E_v^0 + \Delta V$  with  $\Delta E_v^0 = 0.24$  eV as shown in

TABLE I. Valence-band discontinuities  $\Delta E_v$  (in eV) at GaAs/AlAs interfaces.

Theory			
Interface	This study	Muñoz et al. <sup>a</sup>	Experiment
$(311)$ $\boldsymbol{A}$	0.50		0.42, 0.44
$(311)$ <b>B</b>	0.52		0.45
(100)	0.51	0.38	$0.44 \pm 0.05^b$
(110)	0.51	0.32	$0.44 \pm 0.05^b$

<sup>a</sup>Reference 15.

<sup>b</sup>Reference 19.

Sec. II,  $\Delta E_v$  with the Si double layers is deduced as  $\Delta E_v = -0.12$  eV on an As-terminated GaAs, and  $\Delta E_n = 1.67$  eV on a Ga-terminated GaAs. The sign of the  $\Delta E$ , change depends on the polarity of the terminated plane of GaAs and the magnitude mainly on the interplanar spacing of the Si double layers. The gradient of the average potentials in GaAs and AlAs in Fig. 4 is an artifact caused by the periodic boundary condition of the SL as shown in Refs. 1 and 8. This does not influence the results of  $\Delta E_v$  calculations.

In the previous work,<sup>5</sup> we studied the effects of Si insertion layers at the (100) and (110) low-index interfaces. With Si double layers,  $\Delta E$ <sub>n</sub> is calculated to be -1.36 and 2.1 eV at the As- and Ga-terminated (100) interfaces, respectively, and 0.35 eV at the (110) interface. It should be noted that an effect of the Si insertion layers on  $\Delta E$ . has a strong orientation dependence, although  $\Delta E$ <sub>n</sub> with no Si layers is independent of the interface orientation.

To calculate  $\Delta E$ , at a GaAs/AlAs interface as a function of the inserted Si layer thickness,  $d(0 \sim 2 \text{ ML})$ , for various interface orientations, we insert Si atoms as follows. (1) At the  $(311)A$  interface with an As-terminated GaAs, the Si atoms are inserted as

$$
\cdots -Ga\text{-}As-(Si_{d/2}Ga_{1-d/2})-(Si_{d/2}As_{1-d/2})-Al-As-Al-As-\cdots.
$$

(2) At the (100) interface, the Si atoms are inserted as

$$
-Ga-As-(Si_{d/2}Ga_{1-d/2})-(Si_{d/2}As_{1-d/2})-Al-As-\cdots
$$

and

$$
-As-Ga-(Si_{d/2}As_{1-d/2})-(Si_{d/2}Ga_{1-d/2})-As-A1-\cdots,
$$

for As- and Ga-terminated GaAs, respectively, where the interplanar spacing is  $a/4$ . (3) At the (110) interface, the Si atoms with  $0 \le d \le 1$  are inserted as

$$
\cdots = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}\mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{Si}_d \mathbf{Ga}_{1-d}) \\ (\mathbf{Si}_d \mathbf{As}_{1-d}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Al} \\ \mathbf{As} \end{bmatrix} = \cdots,
$$

where the interplanar spacing is  $a/(2\sqrt{2})$ . With  $1 \leq d \leq 2$ ,

$$
\cdots - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}\mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Si} \\ \mathbf{Si} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{Si}_{d'}\mathbf{Ga}_{1-d'}) \\ (\mathbf{Si}_{d'}\mathbf{As}_{1-d'}) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Al} \\ \mathbf{As} \end{bmatrix} - \cdots
$$

where  $d' = d - 1$ . We assume that the Si atoms occupy Ga and As sites with the equal probabilities for any d.

The number of the inserted Si atoms per unit area  $N_{Si}$ is expressed as

$$
N_{\rm Si} = dN_a \tag{2}
$$

Here  $N_a$  is the number of atomic sites per unit area on the atomic plane,

$$
N_a = 4/(a^2\sqrt{11}), \ 2/a^2, \text{ and } 2\sqrt{2}/a^2, \tag{3}
$$

for  $(311)A$ ,  $(100)$ , and  $(110)$  interfaces, respectively. The relation among ds for the different interface orientations<br>denoted as  $d^{311}$ ,  $d^{100}$ , and  $d^{110}$ , which gives the equal  $N_{Si}$  is

$$
d^{100} = (2/\sqrt{11})d^{311} = \sqrt{2}d^{110} . \tag{4}
$$

This relation is used to compare  $\Delta E$ , in Fig. 5.

Figure 5 shows  $\Delta E_v$  at GaAs/AlAs interfaces as a function of  $d$  obtained by the present calculations. The horizontal axis indicates  $d$  for the (100) interface,  $d$ horizontal axis indicates d for the (100) interface,  $d^{100}$ <br>which is related to  $d^{311}$  and  $d^{110}$  as mentioned above  $\Delta E_v$  changes almost linearly with increasing d and the amount of change depends strongly on the interface orientations. The theoretical line for the As-terminated  $(311)$  A interface, which is the main concern of this study, lies between those for the (110) and As-terminated (100) interfaces.

In Fig. 5, we also plot the average valence-ban difference  $\Delta \tilde{E}_v$  measured for the various interface orien tations by Hashimoto et  $al.^{4-6}$  and the (100) interface by Sorba et al.<sup>3</sup>  $\Delta \widetilde{E}_n$  is defined as<sup>4-6</sup>

$$
\Delta \widetilde{E}_v = \Delta E_{\text{CL}} \text{ (measured)} + E_{v \text{-Ga 3d}} - E_{v \text{-Al 2p}} \tag{5}
$$

Here  $\Delta E_{CL}$  (measured) is the measured energy separation between Ga  $3d$  and Al  $2p$  peaks in the XPS which depends on both a band bending and a true change in  $\Delta E_{\mu}$ .  $E_{v\text{-Ga 3d}}$  and  $E_{v\text{-A1 2p}}$  denote the binding energies of Ga 3d and Al 2p core levels measured from the valence-band maxima in GaAs and A1As, respectively. As shown in Fig. 5,  $\Delta \tilde{E}_{n}$  does not exhibit orientation nor thickness dependences as expected from the present calculations of  $\Delta E_v$ , where the Si insertion layers change  $\Delta E_v$ . On the other hand, the change in  $\Delta \tilde{E}_v$  is in good agreement with the theoretical line assuming a band bending in the AlAs overgrown layer, where the inserted Si atoms mainly act as donors, as already shown by Hashimoto, Tanaka, and Ikoma (Fig. 3 in Ref. 6). The present study further confirms the conclusion of Hashimoto and co-workers<sup> $4-6$ </sup> that the role of the Si insertion layers is not a control of  $\Delta E_n$  as proposed by Sorba *et al.*<sup>3</sup> but an introduction of band bending.

To achieve a true control of  $\Delta E$ , by the Si insertion layers, the occupation-site control of Si atoms is crucial. layers, the occupation-site control of Si atoms is crucial.<br>Recently, Agawa et al.<sup>11</sup> found that  $\delta$ -doped Si atoms act



FIG. 3. Atomic arrangements at the highindex  $(311)A$  GaAs/AlAs interface inserted with the Si double layers. GaAs is (a) Asterminated and (b) Ga-terminated. The Si double layers consist of a donorlike plane and an acceptorlike plane, indicated by "+" and "-", respectively.



FIG. 5. Valence-band discontinuities  $\Delta E_v$  at GaAs/AlAs interfaces as a function of the inserted Si layer thickness d. The horizontal axis indicates d for the (100) interface,  $d^{100}$ . The solid lines indicate  $\Delta E_v$  ca (100)As, (100)Ga, and (110) interfaces, where As and Ga indicate As- and Ga-terminated GaAs, respectively. The dash-dot lines indicate  $\Delta E_v$  calculated by Peressi et al. (Ref. 2) for the (100)As and (100)Ga interfaces. The symbols ( $\bullet$ ,  $\circ$ ,  $\Box$ , and  $\blacktriangle$ ) indicate the average valence-band difference  $\Delta \tilde{E}_v$  measured for As- and Ga-stabilized (100), (110), and (311) A interfaces, respectively, by Hashimoto and co-workers (Refs. 4-6). The symbol ( $\bullet$ ) indicates  $\Delta \tilde{E}_v$  measured for the As-stabilized (100) interface by Sorba et al. (Ref. 3). The dashed line shows the theoretical  $\Delta E_y$  obtained by assuming a band bending in the AlAs overgrown layer by Hashimoto and coworkers (Refs. 4-6).

as donors and acceptors on a  $(311)A$  GaAs substrate when the growth temperature is lower and higher than a critical temperature of 480'C, respectively. This suggests that the  $(311)A$  interface is more promising to control  $\Delta E$ <sub>n</sub> because the Si sites can be controlled by changing a growth temperature of MBE.

In the present calculation, we assume that the  $(311)A$ (and B) interface is atomically flat. In experiments, Notzel et  $al$ .<sup>20</sup> reported a periodic corrugation of the  $(311)$ A interface grown by MBE. They described the  $(311)$  A GaAs surface by  $(311)$  terraces and two sets of I331I facets based on the analysis of reflection highenergy electron-diffraction patterns.<sup>20</sup> To predict the effects of Si insertion layers at the corrugated interface, it is necessary to study their effects at the  ${331}$  facets. This may require further theoretical investigation, which is beyond the present study.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

We analyzed  $\Delta E$ , at the (311)A GaAs/AlAs interface and a possibility of  $\Delta E_v$  control by insertion of the Si double layers by using the self-consistent tight-binding

- 'Present address: Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Shinshu University, 500 Wakasato, Nagano 380, Japan.
- tPresent address: Texas Instruments Tsukuba Research and Development Center Ltd., 17 Miyukigaoka, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan.
- <sup>1</sup>A. Muñoz, N. Chetty, and R. M. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 41, 2976 (1990).
- <sup>2</sup>M. Peressi, S. Baroni, R. Resta, and A. Baldereschi, Phys. Rev. B43, 7347 (1991).
- 3L. Sorba, G. Bratina, G. Ceccone, A. Antonini, J. F. Walker, M. Micovic, and A. Franciosi, Phys. Rev. B43, 2450 (1991).
- 4Y. Hashimoto, G. Tanaka, K. Hirakawa, and T. Ikoma, in Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on the Physics of Semiconductors, edited by P. Jiang and H.-Z. Zheng (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992), p. 725.
- 5Y. Hashimoto, T. Saito, K. Hirakawa, and T. Ikoma, in Proceedings of the 19th International Symposium on GaAs and Related Compounds, edited by T. Ikegami, F. Hasegawa, and Y. Takeda, IOP Conf. Ser. No. 129 (Institute of Physics, Bristol, 1993), p. 259.
- Y. Hashimoto, G. Tanaka, and T. Ikoma, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 8 12, 125 (1994).
- 7M. Akazawa, H. Hasegawa, H. Tomozawa, and H. Fujikura, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 31, L1012 (1992).
- 8T. Saito and T. Ikoma, Superlatt. Microstruct. 12, 81 (1992).
- <sup>9</sup>W. I. Wang, E. E. Mendez, T. S. Kuan, and L. Esaki, Appl. Phys. Lett. 47, 826 (1985).

method. The results are summarized as follows.

(1)  $\Delta E$ , at the (311)A (and B) high-index interface is calculated to be 0.50 eV (and 0.52 eV), which is practically equal to  $\Delta E_v$  of 0.51 eV at the (100) and (110) lowindex interfaces. The orientation independence of  $\Delta E_{n}$ holds for the  $(311)A$  (and B) high-index interface, being consistent with our experimental observation by the XPS.

(2) With insertion of Si double layers,  $\Delta E_v$  at the  $(311)$  A interface is calculated to be -0.12 eV (reduced by  $0.62$  eV) and  $1.67$  eV (increased by  $1.17$  eV) on an Asterminated and a Ga-terminated GaAs, respectively.  $\Delta E$ , depends almost linearly on the Si layer thickness (0—2 ML) on an As-terminated GaAs. The result predicts a possibility to control  $\Delta E$ <sub>n</sub> at the (311)A GaAs/A1As interface.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. K. Hirakawa for valuable discussions. This work was partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan, for scientific research on priority areas, "quantum coherent electronics," and general scientific research.

- <sup>10</sup>W. Q. Li, P. K. Bhattacharya, S. H. Kwok, and R. Merlin, J. Appl. Phys. 72, 3129 (1992).
- <sup>11</sup>K. Agawa, Y. Hashimoto, K. Hirakawa, and T. Ikoma, in Proceedings of the 20th International Symposium on GaAs and Related Compounds, edited by H. S. Rupprecht and G. Weimann, IOP Conf. Proc. No. 136 (Institute of PHysics, Bristol, 1994), p. 547.
- <sup>12</sup>A. Muñoz, J. Sánchez-Dehesa, and F. Flores, Europhys. Lett. 2, 385 (1986); in Proceedings of the 18th International Confer ence on the Physics of Semiconductors, edited by O. Engström (World Scientific, Singapore, 1987), p. 183.
- <sup>13</sup>G. Platero, J. Sánchez-Dehesa, C. Tejedor, and F. Flores, Surf. Sci. 168, 553 (1986).
- <sup>14</sup>P. Vogl, H. P. Hjalmarson, and J. D. Dow, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 44, 365 (1983).
- <sup>15</sup>A. Muñoz, J. Sánchez-Dehesa, and F. Flores, Phys. Rev. B 35, 6468 (1987).
- <sup>16</sup>C. Priester, G. Allan, and M. Lannoo, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 6, 1290 (1988).
- <sup>17</sup>A. Muñoz and P. Rodríguez-Hernández, Phys. Rev. B 45, 4502 (1992).
- $18R.-D.$  Hong, D. W. Jenkins, S. Y. Ren, and J. D. Dow, Phys. Rev. B38, 12549 (1988).
- <sup>19</sup>K. Hirakawa, Y. Hashimoto, and T. Ikoma, Appl. Phys. Lett. 57, 2555 (1990).
- <sup>20</sup>R. Nötzel, N. N. Ledentsov, L. Däweritz, M. Hohenstein, and K. Ploog, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3812 (1991); Phys. Rev. B 45, 3507 (1992).



FIG. 1. Atomic arrangement (a) and potential profile (b) at the high-index  $(311)A$ GaAs/AlAs interface. The vertical dashed line in (a) indicates the interface. The average potentials in GaAs and AlAs are indicated by thin solid lines in (b).



FIG. 2. Potential profiles at GaAs/AlAs low-index interfaces: (a)  $(100)$  and (b)  $(110)$  interfaces.



FIG. 3. Atomic arrangements at the highindex (311) A GaAs/AlAs interface inserted with the Si double layers. GaAs is (a) Asterminated and (b) Ga-terminated. The Si double layers consist of a donorlike plane and an acceptorlike plane, indicated by "+" and "-", respectively.