

Effect of Pressure on the Fermi Surface of ReO_3 †

J. E. Schirber and B. Morosin

Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

and

J. R. Anderson

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

and

D. R. Stone

Laboratory for Physical Science, College Park, Maryland 20407

(Received 23 September 1971)

We have determined the pressure derivatives of several cross-sectional areas of the Fermi surface of ReO_3 as well as the isothermal compressibility at room temperature. The cross-sectional areas increase at rates of $(1.5-4.0) \times 10^{-4} \text{ kbar}^{-1}$ compared to the free-electron scaling prediction of $3.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kbar}^{-1}$.

The Fermi surface of the bright-red transition-metal oxide ReO_3 has been determined experimentally in considerable detail from the magnetothermal studies of Graebner and Greiner¹ and the de Haas-van Alphen (dHvA) work of Marcus² and of Phillips and Shanks.³ These experimental results are all in substantial agreement with the augmented-plane-wave (APW) band calculation of Mattheiss⁴ who found the Fermi surface to consist of two roughly spherical electron pockets centered at the center of the Brillouin zone and a multiply-connected hole sheet in the form of an array of intersecting tubes directed along [100] axes.

The purpose of this communication is to present measurements of the effect of hydrostatic pressure upon several cross sections of the Fermi surface of ReO_3 . Such measurements have proven useful in evaluating the physical significance of model descriptions for various metals.^{5,6} The simplest model prediction for a cubic system for the change with pressure of the Fermi surface is that of free-electron scaling which simply assures that the same fraction of the Brillouin zone remains filled. Scaling then requires that all cross sections of the Fermi surface increase at the rate of two-thirds of the volume compressibility. In order to be able to compare our results with even this simplest model, we need the compressibility, which to our knowledge is unavailable. We have therefore determined the room temperature compressibility for ReO_3 by direct measurement of the lattice constant with pressure to 3 kbar.

Single-crystal specimens were grown by a chemical-vapor reaction.^{7,8} The crystals were then annealed at 350 °C for several weeks which resulted in substantial ($\sim 10^2$) increase in the amplitude of the dHvA oscillations. The largest crys-

tals were 3–4 mm on a side. The sample used in the compressibility measurements was an irregularly shaped prism approximately $0.2 \times 0.3 \times 0.4 \text{ mm}^3$ in size mounted on [110].

dHvA frequencies were obtained using the field-modulation technique.⁹ Hydrostatic pressure derivatives of the dHvA frequencies, and therefore of the corresponding cross-sectional areas, were obtained by means of the fluid-He phase-shift technique.^{6,10} Here advantage is taken of the large phase of the dHvA signal so that the change in frequency can be observed with pressures below the freezing pressure of He (25 bar at 1 °K). For a single frequency, the relation between the shift in field position of a single oscillation ΔH is related to the logarithmic pressure derivative of the dHvA frequency F by

$$B^{-1} \Delta H / \Delta P = d \ln F / dP,$$

where B is the field at which the data are taken and ΔP is the increment of pressure applied. A similar but somewhat more complicated expression is obtained for the superposition of two frequencies⁶

TABLE I. Experimental values for pressure derivatives of cross-sectional areas of the Fermi surface of ReO_3 . Values of $d \ln F / dP$ are given in units of $10^{-4} \text{ kbar}^{-1}$; frequencies are in 10^7 G . Nomenclature for cross sections is from Mattheiss (Ref. 4).

Cross section	Field direction	Frequency	$d \ln F / dP$
α	[100]	4.15	4.0 ± 0.3
	[111]	4.61	4.0 ± 0.4
γ_1	[100]	4.88	1.5 ± 0.5
Scaling prediction $\sim 3.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kbar}^{-1}$			

and it is usually possible by proper choice of the modulation amplitude, field direction and magnitude, and temperature to limit the signal to one or two components.

The compressibility was determined by following nine high (110–150 deg) 2θ x-ray reflections as a function of pressure to 3 kbar. Pressure was generated in kerosene in a pure Be pressure vessel described earlier.¹¹ The value we obtained for the isothermal compressibility near 23 °C is $(5.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4} \text{ kbar}^{-1}$. The uncertainty is large because of the small change in the lattice parameter with 3 kbar.

Our results for the pressure derivatives of the Fermi-surface cross sections are given in Table I. We have employed the notation of Mattheiss⁴ throughout. The frequency of the α oscillations, which correspond to the smaller of the two Γ -centered electron sheets, changes at a rate which is, within combined experimental uncertainty, equal to the scaling prediction of $3.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kbar}^{-1}$. The γ_1 frequency, corresponding to the minimum area of the multiply-connected hole sheet, on the other hand, increases at a much slower rate of $(1.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4} \text{ kbar}^{-1}$. We have been unsuccessful in isolating the β oscillations associated with the larger electron pocket with sufficient amplitude to obtain pressure data.

It is not particularly surprising that the free-electron scaling fails in a transition-metal oxide as we have observed large deviations from scaling in transition metals such as Zr¹² and W.¹³ It would be of considerably more interest to compare our results with predictions of a model calculation such as Mattheiss's APW description. In previous comparisons either a "first-principles" calculation is made at various volumes⁵ or a parametrized fit is made at normal volume and then the volume-dependent parameters of the model are changed¹⁴ to give the model predictions for the effect of pressure on the Fermi surface. Therefore, if the compressibilities are known, a given model can be tested to see how well it predicts the observed pressure dependence of the Fermi surface. However, in order to achieve agreement with optical data in the case of ReO_3 , Mattheiss was forced to shift his bands relative to each other by several volts. It is not obvious then exactly how this would be most reasonably handled in a calculation at a new volume.

We have profited from helpful conversations with A. C. Switendick and L. M. Mattheiss, and the technical assistance of R. L. White and R. A. Trudo is gratefully acknowledged. We also wish to thank R. A. Phillips and H. R. Shanks (Iowa State) and R. K. Quinn and P. G. Neiswander (Sandia) for supplying the single-crystal specimens.

[†]Work supported by the U.S. AEC.

¹J. E. Graebner and E. S. Greiner, *Phys. Rev.* **185**, 992 (1969).

²S. M. Marcus, *Phys. Letters* **27A**, 584 (1968).

³R. A. Phillips and H. R. Shanks (unpublished).

⁴L. F. Mattheiss, *Phys. Rev.* **181**, 987 (1969).

⁵J. E. Schirber and W. J. O'Sullivan, *Colloq. Intern. Centre Natl. Rech. Sci. (Paris)* **188**, 113 (1970).

⁶J. E. Schirber and W. J. O'Sullivan, *Phys. Rev.* **184**, 628 (1969).

⁷A. Ferretti, D. B. Rogers, and J. B. Goodenough, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **26**, 2007 (1965).

⁸R. K. Quinn and Patricia G. Neiswander, *Mat. Res. Bull.* **5**, 329 (1970).

⁹R. W. Stark and L. R. Windmiller, *Cryogenics* **8**, 272 (1968).

¹⁰I. M. Templeton, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* **A292**, 413 (1966).

¹¹B. Morosin and J. E. Schirber, *Phys. Letters* **30A**, 512 (1969).

¹²J. E. Schirber, *Phys. Letters* **33A**, 172 (1970).

¹³J. E. Schirber, *Phys. Letters* **35A**, 194 (1971).

¹⁴W. J. O'Sullivan, J. E. Schirber, and J. R. Anderson, *Solid State Commun.* **5**, 525 (1967).

Velocity of Second Sound in Li^7F and NaI

S. S. Jaswal and Robert J. Hardy

Behlen Laboratory of Physics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

(Received 9 August 1971)

Calculated values are given for the velocity of drifting second sound and the heat capacity per unit volume for Li^7F from 15 to 48 °K and for NaI from 3 to 15 °K. Limiting values for 0 °K are also given.

In a previous paper¹ we presented the results of a detailed calculation for NaF of the velocity of drifting second sound v_{II} . The values obtained were used to interpret the results of the experimental

investigations of second sound in NaF by Jackson, Walker, and McNelly.² Similar experimental investigations have also been done on Li^7F and NaI , but distinct second-sound pulses like those seen