Persistent currents in one-dimensional disordered rings of interacting electrons

G. Bouzerar,^{*} D. Poilblanc,[†] and G. Montambaux[‡]

Groupe de Physique Théorique, Laboratoire de Physique Quantique, Université Paul Sabatier, 31062 Toulouse, France (Received 27 September 1993)

We calculate the persistent current of one-dimensional rings of spinless fermions with short-range interactions on a lattice with up to 20 sites, and in the presence of disorder, for various band fillings. We find that both disorder and interactions always decrease the persistent current by localizing the electrons. Away from half-filling, the interaction has a much stronger influence in the presence of disorder than in the pure case.

The recent discovery of persistent currents in mesoscopic rings has addressed new interesting questions on the thermodynamics of these systems. Although such an effect was predicted some time ago, the unexpectedly large amplitude of the measured currents led to important questions. The role of e-e interactions is still unclear. It has been proposed¹ that the interactions contribute to the average current, which is measured in a many rings experiment.² On the other hand, the importance of the choice of the statistical ensemble to calculate average values has also been stressed.³ Although an explanation, based on a perturbative calculation both in interaction and disorder, seems to give a quantitative estimate closer to the experiment, it is still too small by one order of magnitude and the interaction parameter used in the theory is not well known.

In addition, for a single ring experiment,⁴ the magnitude of the measured current is also not understood and, up to now, perturbation theory has failed to explain an enhancement of the current.⁵ It is only when disorder is weak that experiment and theory seem to agree,⁶ even for noninteracting electrons.

At the moment, the role of the interactions in disordered systems is still unclear and is an open subject. It has been proposed recently that, in the presence of interactions, the current could be as large as for free electrons, the effect of the interactions being to counteract the disorder effect.⁷

The aim of this paper is to describe the interplay between the interactions and the disorder on the persistent currents in one-dimensional (1D) rings. We choose a model of spinless fermions with short-range interactions on a lattice. Our main result is that a repulsive interaction always decreases the amplitude of the current. It is well known that the 1D description is certainly not the most appropriate one to describe quantitatively experiments which are performed in rings with finite width, in the diffusive regime. But our hope is to find numerical results which may give indications for a more realistic situation.

We describe a chain of 1D spinless fermions in the presence of disorder with the following Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t/2 \sum_{i} [\exp(2i\pi\Phi/N) c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i+1} + \text{H.c.}] + V \sum_{i} n_{i} n_{i+1} + \sum_{i} w_{i} n_{i}, \qquad (1)$$

where w_i are on-site energies and are chosen randomly between -W/2 and W/2 and V is the nearest neighbor Coulomb repulsion. In the following we will take t = 1, Φ is the total magnetic flux through the ring (measured in units of flux quantum $\Phi_0 = h/e$), and N is the number of sites. (We use the conventional notation for the amplitude of disorder W and for the nearest neighbor interaction V. These notations are opposite to those used in Ref. 7.)

Let us first recall some physical properties of this Hamiltonian without disorder, i.e., W=0. In one dimension, for repulsive interaction, a metal-insulator transition occurs at half-filling due to the existence of umklapp processes. However, away from half-filling, the umklapp processes become irrelevant and the system is expected to be metallic.⁸ For Hamiltonian (1), the metal-insulator (Mott) transition occurs at V=1. The system is insulator for V > 1 and metallic for $0 \le V < 1$.

This transition can also be described in the spin picture.⁸ In the case W = 0, the model is integrable and is formally equivalent to an anisotropic spin model, as obtained by a standard Wigner-Jordan transformation. In this way the new Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{XXZ} = -t/2 \sum_{i} [\exp(2i\pi\Phi/N) S_{i}^{+} S_{i+1}^{-} + \text{H.c.}] + V \left[N/4 + \sum_{i} S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z} \right].$$
(2)

For the XXZ model, V = 1 corresponds to a transition from an XY model (V < 1) to an Ising model (V > 1). V = 1 corresponds to an isotropic Heisenberg system. Note that a spin gap opens up for V > 1. It corresponds to the gap in the charge excitations for Hamiltonian (1) characteristic of the insulator. With disorder, $W \neq 0$, we must add in (2) the following term:

<u>49</u> 8258

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{random}} = \sum_{i} w_i \left(S_i^z - 1/2 \right). \tag{3}$$

This term describes the interaction of the local spins with random magnetic fields.

We now turn to the numerical calculation of the ground-state energy as a function of the total magnetic flux $E(\Phi)$ which is the first step of our work. The ground-state energy is obtained from a standard Lanczos algorithm.⁹ First of all, let us briefly describe some technical aspects of the method. The calculation is limited to relatively small system sizes N, since (i) the Hilbert space dimension grows exponentially fast with N, (ii) we have to average over many realizations of the disorder (because of statistical fluctuations), and (iii) the disorder breaks the translation symmetry. The system sizes we were interested in vary from 6 to 20 sites and we have chosen to consider two different cases: half- and quarterfillings. Remember that the Lanczos method consists of the construction of a tridiagonal matrix by applying iteratively the Hamiltonian on an initial random vector. In this way a basis of normalized vectors Ψ_n is defined as well as a set of values e_n and b_n given by the relationship $\mathcal{H}\Psi_n = b_{n-1}\Psi_{n-1} + e_n\Psi_n + b_{n+1}\Psi_{n+1}$. Hence we construct by iteration a tridiagonal Hamiltonian matrix expressed in the Ψ_n basis that we diagonalize to obtain the spectrum of the eigenvalues. This kind of process is rapidly converging.

Let us now consider the calculation of the persistent current in such rings threaded by a total flux Φ . As usual the current is defined by

$$I(\Phi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial E(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi},\tag{4}$$

where $E(\Phi)$ is calculated by exact diagonalization of the Hamiltonian. As is well known, a flux can be gauged out from the Hamiltonian so that the presence of an Aharonov-Bohm flux through a ring is analogous to a twist in the boundary conditions $\Psi(x+N) = \Psi(x)e^{2i\pi\Phi}$. The spectrum and the persistent current have a flux periodicity of $1.^{10}$

In Figs. 1 and 2, $I(\Phi)$ is plotted versus Φ for a 16-site



FIG. 1. Current $I(\Phi)$ vs Φ for a 16 site ring at $\langle n \rangle = 0.5$ (a) or at $\langle n \rangle = 0.25$ (b), fixed W = 0, and V = 0, 1, and 2.



FIG. 2. Current $I(\Phi)$ vs Φ for a 16-site ring at $\langle n \rangle = 0.5$ (a) or $\langle n \rangle = 0.25$ (b), at fixed W = 0.5, and V = 0, 0.5, and 1.

ring at electron density $\langle n \rangle = 0.5$ and $\langle n \rangle = 0.25$ in (a) and (b), respectively, for different values of the disorder and the interaction. Figure 1 corresponds to the "ordered" interacting case W = 0 and disorder W = 0.5 is introduced in Fig. 2. In Fig. 1, a discontinuity of $I(\Phi)$ appears at $\Phi = 0$, for zero disorder (W = 0). Indeed, in the absence of disorder, translation symmetry is preserved and total momentum is a good quantum number. As a function of Φ , a crossing occurs between two lowest energy levels with different momenta. This crossing occurs at $\Phi = 0$ for an even number of electrons or at $\Phi = 0.5$ for an odd number. This can be easily understood in the noninteracting case where $E(\Phi) = \sum_{[n \in \mathcal{E}(n)]} \cos(k_n + 2\pi \Phi/N)$ and the subset of the electron momenta $k_n = 2\pi n/N$ is chosen in order to minimize the total energy. Since translation invariance is preserved in the presence of interactions, the discontinuity still exists for finite V. When disorder is introduced $(W \neq 0)$ in Fig. 2, the scattering potential lifts the degeneracy at the crossing point and hence leads to a continuous variation of the current. In Fig. 1(a) (half-filling) we clearly observe the effect of the Mott transition on the currents: we notice that for V < 1, I is slowly varying with V, but when V > 1 a drop of the current appears. However, away from half-filling [see Fig. 1(b)], the current is not affected for moderate interactions. At W = 0 and away from half-filling, the system is always metallic.

In Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), the influence of the repulsive interaction is shown for a fixed impurity potential of magnitude W = 0.5 and for the same parameters as in Fig. 1 $(N=16, \langle n \rangle = 0.5, \text{ and } \langle n \rangle = 0.25)$. Clearly, in the halffilled case [Fig. 2(a)], the repulsion tends to suppress the current even further. This is reminiscent of the Mott localization, which occurs in the pure system. With increasing W, $I(\Phi)$ decreases as expected due to a stronger localization by the impurity potential. Such an effect has also been found in a ring of spinless fermions with longrange interactions.¹¹

More interesting is the effect of the interaction away from half-filling where no localization is expected in the absence of disorder. As seen previously in Fig. 1(b) the

effect of the interaction for W = 0 is extremely weak, because of the absence of umklapp scattering. However, it is clear from Fig. 2(b) that the interaction is much more effective in the presence of the disorder ($W \neq 0$); while in Fig. 1(b) V has almost no effect, in Fig. 2(b), for a relatively weak disorder, V leads to a significant *decrease* of the current. Such a striking influence of the interaction V is also seen in the metallic regime at halffilling [compare, e.g., V = 0 and 1 in Figs. 1(a) and 2(a)].

At this point, we would like to describe more qualitatively the transition from the localized regime (insulator) to the ballistic one (perfect metal). As stressed by Scalapino *et al.*,¹² the Drude weight πD is a relevant parameter to characterize both of them. As originally noted by Kohn,¹³ the Drude weight πD can be calculated from the dependence of the ground-state energy versus Φ ,

$$D = \frac{N}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi^2}\right)_{\Phi = \Phi_m},\tag{5}$$

where $\Phi_m = 0$ or 1/2 is the location of the minimum of $E(\Phi)$. As mentioned earlier, Φ_m depends on the parity of the number of electrons. For an even electron number parity, we take D as the second derivative at $\Phi_m = 1/2$.

Note that for free electrons $D = \langle n \rangle / m$, where $\langle n \rangle$ is the density of the mobile charge carriers and m = 1/2t is their mass. Generally D is given by¹⁴

$$D = \frac{\langle n \rangle}{m^*},\tag{6}$$

where m^* is the effective mass of the carriers renormalized by the interaction. In this way, the *D* parameter can determine the different regimes. A perfect metal (ballistic regime) will be characterized by a finite value of *D*. This corresponds to a persistent current *I* scaling as 1/N. In the insulator, *D* vanishes exponentially as the size of the system goes to infinity, $D \propto e^{-N/\xi}$, where ξ is the localization length. As a check of the numerical calculations on the Drude peak, we observed the correct finite size scaling for W = 0, at half-filling $D \sim D_{\lim} + a/N^2$ for V < 1 and $D \propto e^{-N/\xi}$ for V > 1 when $N > \xi$.

Since we consider disordered systems, we have to average over many realizations of the disorder. And since the number of particles is fixed in each of our simulations, the current has a well-defined sign. Thus, the average current is finite. The number of configurations we averaged over varied from 50 to 250, depending on the size of the Hilbert space and the filling. In Fig. 3 ($\langle n \rangle = 0.5$) and Fig. 4 ($\langle n \rangle = 0.25$) D is plotted versus 1/N (N is the size of the ring). We first consider the half-filled case (Fig. 3). When V = 0 and W=0, D goes to a finite value in the thermodynamic limit $(D_{\lim} = 1/\pi)$. As long as W=0(i.e., without disorder), the Drude weight is weakly affected by a small interaction V < 1 signature that the system remains metallic. But for V > 1 (here V = 2) D decreases faster with N, and the system becomes an insulator. We now turn to the effect of disorder. Once $W \neq 0$, we observe a tendency towards localization for all V. With disorder in the system, the effect of the interaction is also to increase the degree of localization. In the light of the results given by Figs. 3 and 4, it appears



FIG. 3. Scaling of D at half-filling ($\langle n \rangle = 0.5$). D vs 1/N for different V and W.

that the role played by the interaction in the presence of disorder $(W \neq 0)$ is clearly different for $\langle n \rangle = 0.5$ and for $\langle n \rangle = 0.25$. On one hand, at $\langle n \rangle = 0.5$ we see that the interaction V leads to a real decrease. For example, if we consider in Fig. 3 the case W = 2 and compare the data for V = 0 and 2 we notice that the presence of the interaction reduces the localization length ξ by more than a factor 3. On the other hand, at $\langle n \rangle = 0.25$ (and fixed W) the value of D is less affected by the interaction V, as also observed in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) for the current. However, we note that the effect of V is significantly larger for $W \neq 0$ than in the pure case W = 0. In summary, we do not observe any increase of D due to the competition between the interaction and the disorder.

We finish this paper with a few remarks on the conductivity spectrum. The optical conductivity is given by

$$\sigma(\omega) = \pi D\delta(\omega) + \sigma_{reg}(\omega), \tag{7}$$

where $\sigma_{reg}(\omega)$ is given by the Kubo formula,



FIG. 4. Scaling of D at quarter-filling ($\langle n \rangle = 0.25$).



and \hat{j} is the current density operator,

$$\hat{j} = -it/2 \sum_{i} [c_i^{\dagger} c_{i+1} \exp(2i\pi\Phi/N) - \text{H.c.}].$$
 (9)

All quantities in (9) are calculated at $\Phi = \Phi_m$ and E_n are the excited many-body energies. The amplitude D of the Drude $\delta(\omega)$ peak was calculated previously. We have explicitly checked the sum rule,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma(\omega) d\,\omega = -(\pi/2N) \langle 0|H_{\rm kin}|0\rangle, \tag{10}$$

where $\langle 0|H_{\rm kin}|0\rangle$ is the ground-state expectation value of the kinetic energy.

In Fig. 5, $\sigma(\omega)$ is plotted versus ω for a 16-site ring at half-filling, with V = 0.5 and for W = 0 or W = 1. In presence of the disorder we had averaged over 100 configurations. We clearly see, as expected, that in the metallic case W = 0, the contribution at nonzero frequency is negligible ($\pi D \sim 0.98$ and only less than 1% of the weight is left at finite frequencies). However, when we introduce disorder, a strong absorption appears at nonzero frequency with a peak around $\omega = 0.4t$, while weight is removed from $\omega = 0$ ($\pi D \sim 0.52$).

This broad absorption can be interpreted physically in the following way: if we assume that disorder localizes the wave functions in small finite size regions with a broad distribution of volumes, this leads to a correspondingly broad distribution of finite characteristic frequencies. In other words, the localized electrons can oscillate in disconnected regions of different sizes.

Let us summarize the main result of this paper. In our model for interacting electrons, we never observe an increase of the persistent current when interaction is switched on. At half-filling, the interaction induces a metal-insulator transition. The current strongly decreases, in qualitative agreement with the result of Ref. 11. Away from half-filling, the effect of the interaction is much weaker in the absence of disorder. However when impurity scattering exists, the interaction plays again a crucial role and leads to an additional decrease of the current. This is because it is more difficult to move cor-



FIG. 5. Total conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ for a 16-site ring at $\langle n \rangle = 0.5$ and (a) with or (b) without disorder (W = 0 and W = 1) for a fixed V = 0.5. For W = 0, $\pi D \sim 0.98$. For W = 1, $\pi D_{\rm av} \sim 0.52$ and we averaged over 100 realizations of the disorder.

related electrons in a random potential than independent electrons. A priori our results do not contradict those of Ref. 7, which deals with a model in which electrons move in a continuum. Clearly, the destruction of the current by the interaction is extreme for a half-filled band where umklapp scattering is large. This is the reason why we also studied the case of a quarter-filled band where lattice effects are expected to be much weaker. Still in this case, the interaction tends to decrease the persistent current. Reference 7 corresponds, in fact, to the case of a band filling very far from simple commensurability where lattice effects are expected to be extremely small. It will be of interest to know if the qualitative results obtained in this paper still apply for a multichannel ring.

Support from the Centre de Calcul Vectoriel pour la Recherche (CCVR), Palaiseau, France is acknowledged. Laboratoire de Physique Quantique (Toulouse) and Laboratoire de Physique des Solides (Orsay) are Unités Associées No. URA505 and URA2 du CNRS, respectively.

- *Electronic address: georges@siberia.ups-tlse.fr
- [†]Electronic address: didier@occitana.ups-tlse.fr
- [‡]Permanent address: Laboratoire de Physique des Solides, Université Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France. Electronic address: gilles@vayu.ups.circe.fr
- ¹V. Ambegaokar and U. Eckern, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 381 (1990).
- ²L.P. Levy, G. Dolan, J. Dunsmuir, and H. Bouchiat, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2074 (1990).
- ³H. Bouchiat and G. Montambaux, J. Phys. (Paris) **50**, 2695 (1989); B. Altshuler, Y. Gefen, and Y. Imry, Phys. Rev.
- Lett. **66**, 88 (1991); F. von Oppen and E. Riedel, *ibid.* **66**, 84 (1991); A. Schmid, *ibid.* **66**, 80 (1991).
- ⁴V. Chandrasekhar, R.A. Webb, M.J. Brady, M.B. Ketchen, W.J. Galager, and A. Kleinsasser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3578 (1991).
- ⁵R.A. Smith and V. Ambegaokar, Europhys. Lett. **20**, 161 (1992).
- ⁶D. Mailly, C. Chapelier, and A. Benoit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2020 (1993).
- ⁷A. Müller-Groeling, H.A. Weidenmüller, and C.H. Lewenkopf, Europhys. Lett. **22**, 193 (1993); Axel Müller-

Groeling and Hans A. Weidenmüller (unpublished).

- ⁸For a recent review see, e.g., R. Shankar, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **15**, 2371 (1990).
- ⁹R. Haydock, V. Heine, and M.J. Kelly, J. Phys. C 8, 2591 (1975); E.R. Gagliano and A. Balseiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 299 (1987).
- ¹⁰N. Byers and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 7, 46 (1986).
- ¹¹M. Abraham and R. Berkovits, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1509 (1993).
- ¹²D.J. Scalapino, R.M. Fye, M.J. Martins, J. Wagner, and W. Hanke, Phys. Rev. B 44, 6909 (1991).
- ¹³W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **133**, A171 (1964).
- ¹⁴D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 47, 7995 (1993).