# Spin diffusion in the one-dimensional $s = \frac{1}{2} XXZ$ model at infinite temperature

Markus Böhm

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany

V. S. Viswanath, Joachim Stolze,\* and Gerhard Müller Department of Physics, The University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881-0817 (Received 26 April 1993)

Time-dependent spin-autocorrelation functions at  $T = \infty$  and (in particular) their spectral densities for the bulk spin and the boundary spin of the semi-infinite spin- $\frac{1}{2} XXZ$  model (with exchange parameters  $J_x = J_y \equiv J, J_z$ ) are investigated on the basis of (i) rigorous bounds in the time domain and (ii) a continued-fraction analysis in the frequency domain. We have found strong numerical evidence for spin diffusion in quantum spin models. For  $J_z/J$  increasing from zero, the results of the short-time expansion indicate a change of the bulk-spin xx-autocorrelation function from Gaussian decay to exponential decay. The continued-fraction analysis of the same dynamic quantity signals a change from exponential decay to power-law decay as  $J_z/J$  approaches unity and back to a more rapid decay upon further increase of that parameter. By contrast, the change in symmetry at  $J_z/J = 1$  has virtually no impact on the bulk-spin zz-autocorrelation function (as expected). Similar contrasting properties are observable in the boundary-spin autocorrelation functions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

After more than two decades of theoretical studies devoted to high-temperature dynamics of quantum spin chains, which have produced a number of intriguing exact results, one central question has remained unanswered: Does the phenomenological concept of spin diffusion provide at all an adequate description for the transport of the fluctuations of a conserved magnetization component? While the spin-diffusion phenomenon was frequently invoked for the interpretation of experimental results from inelastic neutron scattering, electron spin resonance and NMR on quasi-one-dimensional (1D) magnetic compounds,<sup>1,2</sup> its support by microscopic theories or numerical analysis of quantum spin dynamics has remained rather weak and tentative<sup>3-5</sup> or artificially imposed.<sup>6</sup>

Even for *classical* spin chains, whose long-time dynamics is more readily accessible to numerical analysis by means of simulation studies, the answer to that question has proven to involve unanticipated subtleties. The anomalous character of spin diffusion in the classical Heisenberg chain, identified some five years ago,<sup>7</sup> has remained a matter of controversy ever since as to its correct interpretation.<sup>8-13</sup> There is now strong evidence that the diffusivity is singular, giving rise to logarithmic corrections in the long-time tail of the spinautocorrelation function,<sup>12</sup> but the exact nature of these corrections and their origin have remained obscure.

It is much more challenging to analyze the long-time dynamics of *quantum* spin chains. There are only very few quantum spin models with nontrivial dynamics for which dynamic correlation functions at  $T = \infty$  have been determined exactly. Among them are the equivalent-neighbor XXZ model<sup>14,15</sup> and the 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2}XY$  model.<sup>16-23</sup> Spin diffusion has no part in either model for

reasons that are well understood.

For other quantum spin models with nontrivial dynamics, such as the 1D XXZ model, exact information on dynamic correlation functions is limited to a number of frequency moments obtained from  $T = \infty$  expectation values of spin products.<sup>5,24-26</sup> The information contained in these frequency moments can be employed in two different ways to infer characteristic properties of dynamic correlation functions:

(i) We may use the frequency moments as Taylor coefficients in the short-time expansion of a correlation function. For certain situations, the rigorous upper and lower bounds thus determined for that function may yield accurate results over time intervals that are sufficiently long to unlock valuable information on the underlying physical process—information that is otherwise inaccessible.

(ii) For certain other situations, further information on the long-time behavior can be extracted from the frequency moments if they are converted into an equal number of continued-fraction coefficients for the relaxation function (the Laplace transform of the correlation function).

This paper builds principally on the accomplishments of two previous studies of  $T = \infty$  quantum spin dynamics<sup>23,25</sup> with focus on methods (i) and (ii), respectively. Here the analytic and numerical techniques developed in those studies are combined for the specific purpose of elucidating the  $T = \infty$  dynamics of the 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2} XXZ$  model. The Hamiltonian for a semi-infinite chain reads

$$H_{XXZ} = -\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left\{ J(S_l^x S_{l+1}^x + S_l^y S_{l+1}^y) + J_z S_l^z S_{l+1}^z \right\} .$$
(1.1)

We focus on (normalized) spin-autocorrelation functions

$$C_{l}^{\mu\mu}(t) \equiv \frac{\langle S_{l}^{\mu}(t)S_{l}^{\mu}\rangle}{\langle S_{l}^{\mu}S_{l}^{\mu}\rangle}, \quad \mu = x, z$$
(1.2)

15 669

© 1994 The American Physical Society

at  $T = \infty$  and the associated spectral densities

$$\Phi_l^{\mu\mu}(\omega) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \ e^{i\omega t} C_l^{\mu\mu}(t), \quad \mu = x, z \ . \tag{1.3}$$

Results will be presented for  $l = \infty$  (bulk spin) and l=0 (boundary spin). For two special cases, the dynamics can be analyzed exactly: the XX model  $(J_z=0)$  is equivalent to a system of noninteracting lattice fermions, and the X model (J=0) is as trivial as the quantum harmonic oscillator. For other parameter values, however, the  $T = \infty$  dynamics of the XXZ model is quite complicated, and transitions between different types of dynamical behavior can be studied. For that purpose, the two above-mentioned methods (i) and (ii) of analyzing frequency moments turn out to be invaluable instruments for analysis and interpretation. Our main point of emphasis is the identification of diffusive long-time tails in spin-autocorrelation functions under the right symmetry conditions or the corresponding infrared divergences in the associated spectral densities.

The phenomenon of spin diffusion is based on a thermalization process that is subject to a conservation law. The phenomenological theory in its simplest form states that the fluctuations  $S^{\mu}(q,t)$  of any conserved spin component satisfy the diffusion equation for sufficiently long times and wavelengths. It predicts exponential decay for correlation functions that are not constrained by that conservation law and diffusive long-time tails for those that are. The fact is that exponential decay in time or diffusive long-time tails do not occur in any of the

known exact results for interacting quantum spin systems. The decay in those systems turns out to be either Gaussian or nondiffusive power law. In this study we provide evidence in support of spin diffusion in the 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2} XXZ$  model in the form of a crossover from Gaussian to exponential decay (Sec. III) and in the form of long-time tails that come and go with the conservation law required for diffusive behavior (Secs. IV-VI). The presentation of the results is preceded (Sec. II) by a brief description of the two main methods of analysis employed here.

### **II. CALCULATIONAL TECHNIQUES**

At  $T = \infty$ , the spin-autocorrelation function (1.2) is real and symmetric. It can be expanded into a power series of the form

$$C_{l}^{\mu\mu}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2k)!} M_{2k}^{\mu\mu}(l) t^{2k} , \qquad (2.1)$$

where the expansion coefficients are the frequency moments of the spectral density (1.3)

$$M_{2k}^{\mu\mu}(l) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \omega^{2k} \Phi_l^{\mu\mu}(\omega)$$
  
=  $(-1)^k \left[ \frac{d^{2k}}{dt^{2k}} C_l^{\mu\mu}(t) \right]_{t=0}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.2)$ 

and can be expressed as expectation values

$$M_{2k}^{\mu\mu}(l) = -(-1)^{l} \langle [\cdots [S_{l}^{\mu}, H], \dots, H] [\cdots [S_{l}^{\mu}, H], \dots, H] \rangle / \langle S_{l}^{\mu} S_{l}^{\mu} \rangle$$

$$(2.3)$$

of operators produced by the product of two k-fold commutators.<sup>5,25</sup> These expectation values can be evaluated exactly by readily programmable integer arithmetic as explained in Ref. 25. We have determined the  $M_{2k}^{\mu\mu}(l)$  up to k = 14 for the bulk spin  $(l = \infty)$  of the XXZ model and up to k = 17 for the boundary spin (l = 0). This represents a significant advance from previously known moments for that model.<sup>5,24</sup> The exact moments are listed in Appendix A.

In Sec. III we shall use these expansion coefficients to determine upper and lower bounds of the spinautocorrelation function by methods that have been developed and described previously.<sup>5,24-27</sup> In Secs. IV-VI the information contained in the frequency moments will be analyzed by quite different methods. We convert the  $M_{2k}^{\mu\mu}(l)$  into the continued-fraction coefficients  $\Delta_{k}^{\mu\mu}(l)$  of the relaxation function

$$c_{l}^{\mu\mu}(z) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \ e^{-zt} C_{l}^{\mu\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{z + \frac{\Delta_{1}^{\mu\mu}(l)}{z + \frac{\Delta_{2}^{\mu\mu}(l)}{z + \cdots}}}, \qquad (2.4)$$

which is the Laplace transform of the spinautocorrelation function (1.2), and proceed with the analysis from there. A set of transformation formulas between the first K frequency moments  $M_{2k}^{\mu\mu}(l)$  and the first K coefficients  $\Delta_k^{\mu\mu}(l)$  is given in Appendix B.

It must be mentioned that the continued-fraction coefficients  $\Delta_k^{\mu\mu}(l)$  can be determined more directly by means of the recursion method. The computational effort is almost identical to that required for the determination of an equal number of frequency moments  $M_{2k}^{\mu\mu}(l)$ . A brief account of Lee's<sup>28</sup> formulation of the recursion method as applied to quantum spin dynamics at high temperature was given in Refs. 15, 21, and 23 for several applications.

In this paper, the known continued-fraction coefficients  $\Delta_k^{\mu\mu}(l)$  will be analyzed along two different lines: (a) We shall reconstruct the spectral density (1.3) from the relaxation function (2.4) via the relation

$$\Phi_l^{\mu\mu}(\omega) = 2 \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{R}[c_l^{\mu\mu}(\varepsilon - i\omega)]$$
(2.5)

by methods involving the use of matching termination functions that have previously been tested and applied in quantum spin dynamics.<sup>23,29,30</sup> (b) We shall employ the method developed in Ref. 30 for the identification of infrared singularities in spectral densities by direct analysis of the known sequence of  $\Delta_k^{\mu\mu}(l)$ .

## III. FROM GAUSSIAN DECAY TO EXPONENTIAL RELAXATION

Consider first the bulk-spin autocorrelation function  $\langle S_{\infty}^{x}(t)S_{\infty}^{x} \rangle$  of the XXZ model (1.1). The nontrivial but exactly solvable case  $J_{z} = 0$  (XX model) is an ideal starting point for the analysis of the XXZ cases by both calculational techniques we intend to employ. The well-known exact expressions for that autocorrelation function and its spectral density in the XX limit read:<sup>18-21</sup>

$$\left\langle S_{\infty}^{x}(t)S_{\infty}^{x}\right\rangle = \left\langle S_{\infty}^{y}(t)S_{\infty}^{y}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{4}e^{-J^{2}t^{2}/4}, \qquad (3.1)$$

$$\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{J} e^{-\omega^2/J^2} . \qquad (3.2)$$

The Gaussian decay of (3.1) is clearly anomalous, attributable to the free-fermion nature of the XX model. The default expectation within the spin-diffusion scenario would be exponential decay at long times instead. The nongeneric processes that govern the transport of spin fluctuations in the XX model are further indicated by the fact that all pair-correlation functions  $\langle S_l^x(t)S_{l'}^x\rangle$ ,  $l\neq l'$ are identically zero. In the XXZ model, the anomalous features are expected to disappear. A weak fermion interaction (with coupling constant  $J_z$ ) impacts the longtime behavior more strongly than it affects the short-time behavior. In the function  $\langle S_{\infty}^{x}(t)S_{\infty}^{x} \rangle$  we thus expect to see a crossover from a Gaussian behavior at short times to exponential decay at longer times. The very simple structure of the exact result (3.1) makes it possible to observe clear indicators for such a crossover in a short-time expansion at  $J_z \ll J$ .

In Fig. 1 we have plotted the function  $\ln(\langle S_{\infty}^{x}(t)S_{\infty}^{x}\rangle)/(Jt\langle S_{\infty}^{x}S_{\infty}^{x}\rangle)$  versus Jt for four



FIG. 1. Short-time expansion of the spin-autocorrelation function  $C_{\infty}^{xx}(t) = \langle S_{\infty}^{x}(t) S_{\infty}^{x} \rangle / \langle S_{\infty}^{x} S_{\infty}^{x} \rangle$  at  $T = \infty$  of the 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  XXZ model for J = 1 and  $J_z = 0.02$ , 0.05, 0.1 (solid lines) near the exactly solvable case  $J_z = 0$  (dashed line). The data are plotted in a way suitable for visualizing the crossover from Gaussian decay (negative unit slope) to exponential decay (zero slope). Each result of the short-time expansion is represented by two curves corresponding to an upper and a lower bound of the function. The bounds have been determined from 14 exact frequency moments  $M_{2k}^{xx}(\infty)$ .

different parameter values of the XXZ model near the XX limit. The straight dashed line with negative slope represents the pure Gaussian (3.1). The results for  $J_z \neq 0$ show strong indications that the decay is slower than Gaussian, consistent with exponential decay (convergence toward a negative constant in the plot of Fig. 1). Powerlaw decay would imply convergence toward zero. Whether or not the observed exponential decay represents the true asymptotic behavior is, of course, beyond the reach of this type of analysis.

#### IV. FROM EXPONENTIAL RELAXATION TO DIFFUSIVE LONG-TIME TAILS

Unlike in classical spin dynamics, where diffusive long-time tails are readily detectable in simulation data and directly amenable to a quantitative analysis, the most direct indicators of their presence in quantum spin dynamics (at least in 1D and 2D systems) are infrared divergences in spectral densities. The continued-fraction analysis is an ideal instrument for the quantitative study of such singularities.

### A. $\Delta_k$ sequences and model spectral densities

The exact result (3.2) for the spectral density  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$  of the XX model can be reproduced by means of the recursion method with relative ease. It is determined by the linear sequence

$$\Delta_k^{xx}(\infty) = \frac{1}{2} J^2 k \quad (J_z = 0)$$
(4.1)

via (2.4) and (2.5).<sup>21</sup> The strength of the continuedfraction analysis of this function derives from the fact that gradual deviations from the exactly solvable limit  $J_z=0$  produce only gradual deviations from (4.1). The resulting nearly linear  $\Delta_k$  sequences, in turn, produce gradual changes in the spectral density  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$ .

As  $J_z$  increases from zero, we can identify two types of systematic deviations of the  $\Delta_k$ 's from the linear sequence (4.1): (i) A gradual increase in growth rate  $\lambda$  implies a gradual change in the decay law at large  $\omega$  of the spectral density according to the following relation:<sup>31,32</sup>

$$\Delta_k^{\mu\mu}(l) \sim k^{\lambda} \Longleftrightarrow \Phi_l^{\mu\mu}(\omega) \sim \exp(-|\omega|^{2/\lambda}) . \tag{4.2}$$

(ii) Gradually increasing alternating deviations of the  $\Delta_k$ 's from the line  $k^{\lambda}$  signal the emergence of a powerlaw singularity at  $\omega = 0$  in the spectral density and allow for an estimate of the singularity exponent.<sup>23,30</sup> Both effects are illustrated in Fig. 2. The main plot shows  $\ln \Delta_k$ versus lnk for two cases of the XXZ model. The open circles represent the linear sequence (4.1) for  $J_z = 0$ , which has slope  $\lambda = 1$ . The regression line for  $J_z = J$  has slope  $\lambda \simeq 1.22$ . The predominantly alternating deviations of the full circles from that line are clearly visible. The inset shows the variation of the growth rate  $\lambda$  with  $J_z$  between the XX and XXX models.<sup>33</sup> Changes in growth rate over that range have only negligible impact on the physically interesting structures in the spectral densities investigated here. The growing alternating deviations in the  $\Delta_k$  sequence are the signature of an emerging infrared divergence implied by the spin-diffusive long-time tail that is



FIG. 2. Log-log plot of the sequences  $\Delta_k^{xx}(\infty)$  for the bulkspin-autocorrelation function  $\langle S_{\infty}^x(t)S_{\infty}^x \rangle$  at  $T = \infty$  of the 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2} XX \mod (J = 1, J_z = 0)$  and XXX model  $(J = J_z = 1)$ . The slope of the linear regression lines determines the growth rate  $\lambda$ of each  $\Delta_k$  sequence. The inset shows  $\lambda$  as a function of  $J_z$  (for J = 1).

expected to dominate the function  $\langle S_{\infty}^{x}(t)S_{\infty}^{x} \rangle$  for  $J_{z}=J$ . A quantitative analysis of that singularity will be presented in Sec. IV C. It yields strong evidence for a transition from an unconstrained relaxation process at  $J_{z} < J$  to a diffusion process at  $J_{z}=J$  in the fluctuations of  $S_{\infty}^{x}(t)$ .

For the reconstruction of spectral densities from  $\Delta_k$  sequences with growth rates  $\lambda \simeq 1$ , we have proposed and successfully employed the following procedure:<sup>29,30</sup> Pick a Gaussian model spectral density, (i)  $\overline{\Phi}(\omega) = (2\sqrt{\pi}/\omega_0) \exp(-\omega^2/\omega_0^2)$ . (ii) Expand the associated model relaxation function (2.4) into a continued fraction down to level n; this generates the model coefficients  $\overline{\Delta}_k = \omega_0^2 k/2$  and defines the *n*th-level termination function  $\Gamma_n(z)$ . (iii) Determine the parameter  $\omega_0$  by matching the slope of  $\overline{\Delta}_k$  versus k with the average slope of  $\Delta_k^{xx}(\infty)$  vs k for the finite sequence of coefficients  $\Delta_1^{xx}(\infty), \ldots, \Delta_n^{xx}(\infty)$  pertaining to the dynamical quantity of interest and inferred from exact moments or produced by the recursion method. (iv) Replace the model coefficients  $\overline{\Delta}_1, \ldots, \overline{\Delta}_n$  by the known system coefficients  $\Delta_1^{xx}(\infty), \ldots, \Delta_n^{xx}(\infty)$  in the relaxation function and evaluate the spectral density via (2.5). That is the recipe for reconstructing spectral densities by means of a Gaussian terminator.

For  $\Delta_k$  sequences whose growth rates deviate significantly from  $\lambda = 1$  and whose spectral densities are likely to have infrared divergences as their dominant structure we should carry out such an analysis on the basis of the more general model spectral density

$$\overline{\Phi}(\omega) = \frac{2\pi/\lambda\omega_0}{\Gamma\left[\frac{\lambda}{2}(1+\alpha)\right]} \left|\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right|^{\alpha} \exp(-|\omega/\omega_0|^{2/\lambda}). \quad (4.3)$$

This remains impractical as long as we lack closed-form expressions for the model continued-fraction coefficients  $\Delta_k$  pertaining to (4.3) as functions of the three parame-

ters  $\omega_0, \alpha, \lambda$ . However, for growth rates sufficiently close to  $\lambda = 1$ , we can approximate the  $(\lambda \neq 1)$  problem with a  $(\lambda = 1)$  problem if we replace the  $\Delta_k$  sequence by the rescaled sequence

$$\Delta_k^* = \Delta_k^{1/\lambda} \tag{4.4}$$

and then proceed as outlined previously. The main distortions in the reconstructed spectral density caused by this approximation are of two kinds: (i) a change in the large- $\omega$  decay law and (ii) a change in the frequency scale. Whereas the former effect has only a negligible impact on the shape of the spectral-weight distribution, the latter may warrant attention and lead to significant improvement upon proper adjustment.<sup>34</sup>

#### **B.** Reconstruction of spectral densities

We have reconstructed the bulk-spin spectral density  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$  of the XXZ model for  $0 \le J_z/J \le 1$  by using the continued-fraction coefficients  $\Delta_1^{xx}(\infty), \ldots, \Delta_{14}^{xx}(\infty)$  inferred from the moments tabulated in Appendix A and a Gaussian terminator with its parameter determined from the slope of the  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence.

Figure 3 shows the reconstructed function  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$ , at  $\omega < 0$  for values of the anisotropy parameter between  $J_z/J=0$  and  $J_z/J=0.5$ , and at  $\omega > 0$  for parameter values between  $J_z/J=0.6$  and  $J_z/J=1.0$ . The five curves on the left illustrate how the pure Gaussian (3.2) (dashed line) evolves into a curve with some structure as  $J_z/J$  increases from zero. The additional structure consists of (i) a central peak of increasing height and decreasing width and (ii) a shoulder of enhanced spectral weight



FIG. 3. Spectral density  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$  at  $T = \infty$  of the 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ XXZ model with J = 1 as reconstructed from the continuedfraction coefficients  $\Delta_1^{xx}(\infty), \ldots, \Delta_{14}^{xx}(\infty)$  and a Gaussian terminator. The calculation was carried out by the use of the  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence in the role of the original  $\Delta_k$  sequence. The four solid curves for  $\omega < 0$  pertain to the values  $J_z = 0.2, \ldots, 0.5$  of the anisotropy parameter and the five curves plotted for  $\omega > 0$  to values  $0.6, \ldots, 1.0$ . The dashed curve represents the exact result (3.2) for the case  $J_z = 0$ . The result for  $J_z = 0.1$  (not shown) deviates from that for  $J_z = 0$  by amounts comparable to the thickness of the dashed line.

at  $\omega \simeq 1.5J$ . The further development of the spectral density as  $J_z/J$  approaches the XXX case is shown by the curves on the right. The shoulder becomes more pronounced, and the strong peak at  $\omega = 0$ , signals the presence of an infrared divergence for  $J_z = J$  in accordance with spin-diffusion phenomenology.

The curve for the XXX case is in qualitative agreement with previous results obtained from finite-chain calculations,<sup>3,4</sup> and by a calculation which uses the first two frequency moments of the dynamic structure factor in conjunction with a two-parameter diffusivity.<sup>6</sup> We should like to emphasize that the infrared singularity in  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$ , which is strongly suggested by the curves for  $J_z/J \simeq 1$  in Fig. 3, is in no way artificially built into our approach. It is a structure resulting solely from the 14 known continued-fraction coefficients.

The reconstructed spectral density  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$  shown in Fig. 3 is expected to be most accurate for small values of  $J_z/J$ , where the growth rate is closest to  $\lambda=1$  (see Fig. 2, inset). As the growth rate increases toward  $\lambda \simeq 1.22$ , the curves are likely to become subject to the above-mentioned systematic errors. We have estimated the systematic error in frequency scale not to exceed 2% for the curves at  $0 < J_z/J \le 0.5$  and 12% for those at  $0.5 < J_z/J \le 1$ .

#### C. Analysis of infrared singularities

For a quantitative analysis of the infrared singularity in the spectral density  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$ , we focus on the alternating deviations about the average (nearly linear) growth of the  $\Delta_k$  sequences. Consider the special case  $\lambda=1$  of the model spectral density (4.3). The associated  $\Delta_k$  sequence is known in closed form:<sup>29</sup>

$$\overline{\Delta}_{2k-1} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2(2k-1+\alpha), \quad \overline{\Delta}_{2k} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2(2k) .$$
 (4.5)

For this model spectral density, the singularity exponent  $\alpha$  is determined by the displacement of the  $\overline{\Delta}_{2k-1}$  from the line  $\overline{\Delta}_{2k} = \omega_0^2 k$ . In real situations, that displacement is subject to "fluctuations" caused by other structures in the spectral density. The exponent  $\alpha$  of the infrared singularity can nevertheless be estimated from the average distance in vertical displacement of the  $\Delta_{2k}$  and the  $\Delta_{2k-1}$  from the linear regression line for the entire sequence. Two previous applications of that procedure yielded reasonable results.<sup>23,30</sup>

The results of such an analysis applied to the  $\Delta_k^*$  sequences inferred from 14 exact moments are compiled in Fig. 4. The full circles joined by solid lines represent the mean exponent values  $\alpha$  as a function of  $J_z/J$  ranging from the XX model ( $J_z=0$ ) to the XXX model ( $J_z=J$ ) and somewhat beyond. The error bars indicate the statistical uncertainty for each data point, which is due to the fact that the analysis is based on a finite number of known continued-fraction coefficients. On top of the statistical error, the data are likely to be subject to a systematic error whose potential impact increases with the deviation of the growth rate from  $\lambda=1$ . We have yet to design a simple and satisfactory way to correct for systematic errors in the exponent analysis. As  $J_z$  ap-



FIG. 4. Infrared-singularity exponent  $\alpha$  versus anisotropy parameter  $J_z$  of the spectral density  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$  at  $T = \infty$  of the 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  XXZ model with J = 1. The data points were obtained from the continued-fraction coefficients  $\Delta_3^{xx}(\infty), \ldots, \Delta_{14}^{xx}(\infty)$  by analyzing the associated  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence.

proaches zero, both types of uncertainties (statistical and systematic) become smaller and disappear. The data point  $\alpha(0)=0$  is exact and describes the spectral density (3.2), which has no infrared singularity.

In spite of the limited overall accuracy of these results, the dependence on  $J_z/J$  of the mean exponent values displayed in Fig. 4 is quite remarkable. The data strongly indicate that the function  $\alpha(J_z/J)$  stays zero over some range of the anisotropy parameter. A vanishing exponent at small but nonzero  $J_z/J$  is consistent with and thus reinforces the conclusion reached from the short-time analysis that the function  $\langle S_{\infty}^x(t) S_{\infty}^x \rangle$  decays faster than a power law.

While the data point at  $J_z/J=0.5$  is still consistent with  $\alpha=0$ , the mean  $\alpha$  values have already a strongly decreasing trend at this point. A minimum value is reached exactly at the symmetry point  $(J_z=J)$  of the XXX model—the only point for which the conservation law  $S_T^x = \sum_i S_i^x = \text{const}$  holds, and therefore the only point for which one expects a diffusive long-time tail in  $\langle S_{\infty}^x(t)S_{\infty}^x \rangle$ . Upon further increase of  $J_z/J$ , the data points rise again toward  $\alpha=0$  as expected.

The minimum exponent value,  $\alpha = -0.37 \pm 0.12$ , obtained for the XXX case is only marginally consistent with the standard value,  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ , predicted by spindiffusion phenomenology. That discrepancy is more likely attributable to the systematic error in our data than it is evidence for anomalous spin diffusion such as was discovered in the classical 1D XXX model.<sup>7-13</sup>

#### V. SUSTAINED POWER-LAW DECAY

The conservation law  $S_T^z = \sum_i S_i^z = \text{const}$  for the spin fluctuations in the z direction holds over the entire parameter range of the XXZ model. Consequently, the long-time behavior of the correlation function  $\langle S_{\infty}^z(t) S_{\infty}^z \rangle$  or the low-frequency behavior of the spectral density  $\Phi_{\infty}^{zz}(\omega)$  is expected to be much less affected by the symmetry change of  $H_{XXZ}$  at  $J_z = J$  than the functions  $\langle S_{\infty}^{x}(t)S_{\infty}^{x} \rangle$  and  $\Phi_{\infty}^{XX}(\omega)$  were. The verification of sustained power-law decay at  $J_z \neq J$  as a contrast to the results presented in Sec. IV will further support the case for quantum spin diffusion.

Here the kind of analysis carried out previously for the reconstruction of spectral densities (Sec. IV B) and for the estimation of singularity exponents (Sec. IV C) becomes inapplicable for  $0 \le J_z/J \le 0.6$ . The breakdown is caused by a crossover in the growth rates of the relevant sequences of continued-fraction coefficients. Figure 5 shows the  $\Delta_k$  sequences plotted versus k of  $\Phi_{\infty}^{zz}(\omega)$  for four different parameter values. Between  $J_z/J=0.6$  and  $J_z/J = 1.0$ , the sequence of known coefficients has a well defined growth rate somewhat in excess of  $\lambda = 1$ . For the XX model  $(J_z=0)$ , on the other hand, growth rate  $\lambda=0$ is well known to be realized.<sup>5,23</sup> The sequence for  $J_z/J = 0.1$  has attributes of both regimes. It starts out with  $\lambda = 0$  up to  $k \simeq 7$  and then begins to grow with  $\lambda \gtrsim 1$ , thus causing a kink in  $\Delta_k$  versus k. That is so throughout the range  $0 < J_z/J < 0.6$ . It is impossible to analyze such sequences on the basis of a unique value of  $\lambda$ , and, therefore, impossible to carry out the analysis described before without major modifications.<sup>35</sup>

The bulk-spin spectral density  $\Phi_{\infty}^{zz}(\omega)$  for four parameter values over the range  $0.7 \le J_z/J \le 1.0$  as reconstructed from the 14 known  $\Delta_k$ 's and a Gaussian terminator with its parameter from the  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence is displayed in Fig. 6 (solid curves). Notice how the shape of the functions  $\Phi_{\infty}^{zz}(\omega)$  (Fig. 6) and  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$  (Fig. 3), which start out identically, undergo different changes as the anisotropy parameter decreases from  $J_z/J=1$ . While the function  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$  gradually transforms into a pure Gaussian (dashed line in Fig. 3), the function  $\Phi_{\infty}^{zz}(\omega)$  is supposed to approach the exact result<sup>17</sup>



FIG. 5. Continued-fraction coefficients  $\Delta_k^{zz}(\infty)$  vs k for the bulk-spin-autocorrelation function  $\langle S_{\infty}^z(t)S_{\infty}^z \rangle$  at  $T = \infty$  of the 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  XXZ model with J = 1 and  $J_z = 0$  (XX case),  $J_z = 0.1$ , 0.6, and  $J_z = 1.0$  (XXX case). The kink of the sequence for  $J_z = 0.1$  illustrates the crossover between growth rates  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda \ge 1$ .



FIG. 6. Spectral density  $\Phi_{\infty}^{zz}(\omega)$  at  $T = \infty$  for the bulk-spin of the 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2} XXZ$  model with J = 1 as reconstructed from the continued-fraction coefficients  $\Delta_1^{zz}(\infty), \ldots, \Delta_{14}^{zz}(\infty)$  and a Gaussian terminator. The calculation was carried out by the use of the associated  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence. The four solid curves represent the cases  $J_z = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9,$  and 1.0 (XXX model). The dashed curve is the exact result (5.1) for  $J_z = 0$  (XX model). In the inset we have plotted the infrared-singularity exponent  $\alpha$  vs  $J_z$ . The data points were obtained from  $\Delta_3^{zz}(\infty), \ldots, \Delta_{14}^{zz}(\infty)$  by analyzing the  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence.

$$\Phi_{\infty}^{zz}(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi J} K(\sqrt{1 - \omega^2 / 4J^2}) \Theta(1 - \omega^2 / 4J^2) \quad (J_z = 0) .$$
(5.1)

The graph of that complete elliptic integral has been added as dashed line to Fig. 6. The diminishing height of the central peak with decreasing  $J_z/J$  marks the weakening of the divergence from  $\sim \omega^{-1/2}$  (diffusive) to  $\sim \ln(1/\omega)$ (free fermions). Spectral weight removed from the central peak and from the high-frequency tail is transferred to the shoulder, which gradually transforms into a discontinuity at  $\omega/J = 2$ .

The inset to Fig. 6 shows our results for the infrared singularity exponent  $\alpha$  over the parameter range  $0.6 \le J_{z}/J \le 1.5$ . Within the statistical uncertainties indicated by error bars, the data points are consistent with a  $J_{z}$ -independent exponent. This confirms that the fluctuations of  $S_1^z$  are largely unaffected by the change in the symmetry at  $J_{z}/J = 1$  in strong contrast to our observations made in Fig. 4 for the fluctuations of  $S_l^x$ . The weak monotonic  $J_z$  dependence of the mean exponent values at  $J_{x}/J \ge 0.8$  and their deviation from the standard value  $\alpha = -0.5$  are probably attributable to the previously mentioned systematic errors, which we have not fully under control. However the sloping tendency of the mean values toward the lowest values of  $J_z$ , and the extra large error bars on those data points are an artifact caused by the crossover between growth rates as discussed in the context of Fig. 5.

### VI. BOUNDARY-SPIN SPECTRAL DENSITIES

The conclusions drawn in Secs. IV and V for the bulkspin spectral densities  $\Phi_{\infty}^{xx}(\omega)$  and  $\Phi_{\infty}^{zz}(\omega)$  are further substantiated when we look at the results of the same analysis carried out for the boundary-spin spectral densities  $\Phi_0^{\mu\mu}(\omega)$ ,  $\mu = x, z$ . For that calculation we have 17  $\Delta_k$ 's at our disposal (compared to 14 in the bulk case), but the problem with the  $\lambda$  crossover now plagues both x and z fluctuations for parameters  $0 \le J_z / J \le 0.6$ .

The spectral densities  $\Phi_0^{xx}(\omega)$  for the cases  $J_z/J=1.0, 0.6$  as reconstructed from the  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence and a Gaussian terminator are shown in Fig. 7 (solid lines). The curve for the XXX case  $(J_z=J)$  shows a pronounced peak at  $\omega=0$ . That conspicuous enhancement of spectral weight has all but disappeared for  $J_z/J=0.6$ , i.e., in the presence of anisotropy, where  $S_T^x$  is not conserved. Hence the central peak in the XXX result can again be interpreted as a spin-diffusive divergence.

As the anisotropy parameter is decreased below the value  $J_z/J = 0.6$ , the shape of the function  $\Phi_0^{xx}(\omega)$  must approach that of the dashed line, which represents the exact result for  $J_z/J = 0$ , <sup>22,23</sup>

$$\Phi_0^{xx}(\omega) = (4/J)\sqrt{1 - \omega^2/J^2} \quad (J_z = 0) , \qquad (6.1)$$

which is the Fourier transform of  $\langle S_0^x(t)S_0^x \rangle = [J_0(Jt) + J_2(Jt)]/4$ . While the  $\Delta_k^*$  analysis breaks down for small values of  $J_z/J$ , the way the function  $\Phi_0^{xx}(\omega)$  develops between  $J_z/J = 1.0$  and 0.6 can be extrapolated fairly smoothly toward the dashed line.

We have calculated the infrared-singularity exponent  $\alpha$  of the boundary-spin spectral density  $\Phi_0^{xx}(\omega)$  over the extended parameter range  $0.6 \le J_z/J \le 1.2$  by means of the analysis explained previously. The inset to Fig. 7 shows seven equally spaced data points on that interval. The  $\alpha$  values at the endpoints of the interval are very close to



Note the strongly contrasting  $J_z$  dependence of the singularity exponent pertaining to the spectral density  $\Phi_0^{zz}(\omega)$  as shown in the inset to Fig. 8. Here the data points indicate the presence of an infrared divergence over the entire parameter range shown. However, a much stronger  $J_z$  dependence of the mean values of  $\alpha$  is indicated than was the case of the corresponding bulk-spin results (Fig. 6). Whether that  $J_z$  dependence is entirely attributable to the systematic errors in our analysis and to the  $\lambda$  crossover remains to be seen.

In view of the fact that infrared divergences are likely to be real in the function  $\Phi_0^{zz}(\omega)$  for all values of  $J_z > 0$ , we have treated them as such for its reconstruction from the known  $\Delta_k$ 's. Instead of using a Gaussian terminator (cf. Sec. IV A), which is completely unbiased with respect to the spectral-weight distribution at low frequencies, we have used a two-parameter terminator with built-in infrared divergence. Its model relaxation function has been determined numerically via

$$\overline{c}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \frac{\overline{\Phi}(\omega)}{\omega - iz}$$
(6.2)

from the model spectral density (4.3) with  $\lambda = 1$ . The value of the parameter  $\omega_0$  is determined by the slope of  $\Delta_k$  versus k as before and the parameter  $\alpha$  by our estimate of the singularity exponent.

Two of the curves in the main plot of Fig. 8 represent



FIG. 7. Spectral density  $\Phi_0^{xx}(\omega)$  at  $T = \infty$  for the boundary spin of the semi-infinite 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2} XXZ$  model with J = 1 as reconstructed from the continued-fraction coefficients  $\Delta_1^{xx}(0), \ldots, \Delta_{17}^{xx}(0)$  and a Gaussian terminator. The calculation was carried out with the associated  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence. The two solid curves represent the cases  $J_z = 1.0$  (XXX model) and  $J_z = 0.6$ . The dashed curve is the exact result (6.1) for  $J_z = 0$  (XX model). In the inset we have plotted the infrared-singularity exponent  $\alpha$ vs  $J_z$ . The data points were obtained from  $\Delta_5^{xx}(0), \ldots, \Delta_{17}^{xx}(0)$ by analyzing the  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence.



FIG. 8. Spectral density  $\Phi_0^{zz}(\omega)$  at  $T = \infty$  for the boundaryspin of the semi-infinite 1D  $s = \frac{1}{2} XXZ$  model with J = 1 as reconstructed from the continued-fraction coefficients  $\Delta_{12}^{zz}(0), \ldots, \Delta_{17}^{zz}(0)$  and a special terminator with built-in infrared divergence. The calculation was carried out with the associated  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence for the two cases  $J_z = 1.0$  (XXX model) and  $J_z = 0.6$ . Also shown is the exact result (6.3) for  $J_z = 0$  (XX model). In the inset we have plotted the infrared-singularity exponent  $\alpha$  vs  $J_z$ . The data points were obtained from  $\Delta_{2}^{zz}(0), \ldots, \Delta_{17}^{zz}(0)$  by analyzing the  $\Delta_k^*$  sequence.

|     |   |   |               |                    |      |               | (a)          |            |                           |                |                       |
|-----|---|---|---------------|--------------------|------|---------------|--------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| n k | 0 | - | 2             | 3                  | 4    | 5             | 9            | L          | ø                         | 6              | 10                    |
| 0   | 1 | 4 | 36            | 400                | 4900 | 63 504        | 853776       | 11 778 624 | 165 636 900               | 2 363 904 400  | 34 134 779 536        |
| 1   |   |   | 8             | 220                | 4928 | 102 816       | 2 082 432    | 41 889 276 | 853 435 440               | 18 007 681 120 | 404 357 922 176       |
| 7   |   |   |               | 32                 | 1680 | 65 040        | 2 202 552    | 69 951 024 | 2 185 202 448             | 70 013 058 128 | 2 392 462 416 032     |
| æ   |   |   |               |                    | 128  | 10944         | 690 624      | 36 246 496 | 1 698 825 024             | 75 435 121 632 | 3 333 426 429 472     |
| 4   |   |   |               |                    |      | 512           | 64 768       | 6 755 840  | 549 482 752               | 37 407 224 320 | 2 310 521 698 496     |
| 5   |   |   |               |                    |      |               | 2 048        | 359 424    | 68 143 104                | 8 681 526 272  | 845 021 416 448       |
| 9   |   |   |               |                    |      |               |              | 8 192      | 1 904 640                 | 773 124 096    | 149 994 047 488       |
| 7   |   |   |               |                    |      |               |              |            | 32 768                    | 9 748 480      | 10 104 356 864        |
| ~ ~ |   |   |               |                    |      |               |              |            |                           | 131 072        | 48 562 176            |
| y   |   |   |               |                    |      |               | 2            |            |                           |                | 524 288               |
| k   |   |   |               |                    |      |               | ( <b>p</b> ) |            |                           |                |                       |
| u   |   |   | 11            |                    |      | 12            |              |            | 13                        |                | 14                    |
| 0   |   |   | 497 634 30    | )6 624             |      | 7 312 459     | 672 336      | 10         | 08 172 480 360 000        |                | 1 609 341 595 560 000 |
| 1   |   |   | 9 949 668 29  | <del>)</del> 4 384 |      | 274 306 374   | 598 816      | 851        | 15 165 277 366 400        | 29             | 3 689 049 445 227 520 |
| 2   |   | · | 90 108 145 90 | <b>)3 328</b>      |      | 3 818 389 970 | 787 536      | 183 15     | 58 941 471 799 360        | 686            | 7 648 485 066 180 280 |
| 3   |   | 1 | 52 518 390 77 | 78 192             |      | 7 437 658 317 | 638 080      | 393 51     | 11 098 121 688 320        | 22 78          | 8 275 253 904 140 480 |
| 4   |   |   | 37 597 279 68 | 34 160             |      | 8 235 302 175 | 513088       | 508 35     | 57 918 320 849 920        | 32 86          | 1 130 914 036 446 560 |
| 5   |   |   | 71 399 519 20 | 01 920             |      | 5 659 100 274 | 969 606      | 43958      | 32 513 633 988 480        | 34 26          | 2 961 004 473 446 400 |
| 9   |   |   | 20 036 827 43 | 39 104             |      | 2 241 971 015 | 355 904      | 230 68     | 32 233 804 249 600        | 22 81          | 3 769 083 856 071 680 |
| Ĺ   |   |   | 2 777 636 15  | 51 296             |      | 483 625 025   | 896 448      | 60 16      | 04 244 489 041 920        | 106            | 1 556 663 726 581 760 |
| ×   |   |   | 146 673 50    | 00 160             |      | 53 025 275    | 772 928      | 11 53      | <b>5 327 478 087 680</b>  | 2 05           | 7 482 151 063 347 200 |
| 6   |   |   | 23671         | 16032              |      | 2 253 105     | 659 904      | 101        | 6 515 896 279 040         | 26             | 8 256 018 812 108 800 |
| 10  |   |   | 2 09          | <del>3</del> 7 152 |      | 1 133         | 510 656      | <b>m</b>   | <b>35 490 737 684 480</b> | 1              | 9 343 088 683 581 440 |
| 11  |   |   |               |                    |      | 8             | 388 608      |            | 5 347 737 600             |                | 564 637 653 270 528   |
| 12  |   |   |               |                    |      |               |              |            | 33 554 432                |                | 24 914 165 760        |
| 13  |   |   |               |                    |      |               |              |            |                           |                | 134 217 728           |
|     |   |   |               |                    |      |               |              |            |                           |                |                       |

**TABLE I.** Coefficients  $m_{2k}^{2k}(\infty, 2n)$  of the expansion (A1).

15 676

# BÖHM, VISWANATH, STOLZE, AND MÜLLER

|      |   |         |               |       | UT   |                 | $10110$ $111 2k < \infty$ , $2n$ | or the expansion / | ./11              |                |                       |
|------|---|---------|---------------|-------|------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 4    |   |         |               |       |      |                 | (a)                              |                    |                   |                |                       |
| ~ ~  | 0 | 1       | 2             | ε     | 4    | 5               | 9                                | L                  | œ                 | 6              | 10                    |
| 0    | 1 | 2       | 12            | 120   | 1680 | 30 240          | 665 280                          | 17 297 280         | 518918400         | 17 643 225 600 | 670 442 572 800       |
| 1    |   | 2       | 24            | 260   | 3024 | 40 320          | 680 064                          | 16 024 008         | 511 299 360       | 19 380 359 856 | 790 533 972 800       |
| 2    |   |         | œ             | 240   | 5012 | 96480           | 1 863 576                        | 38 116 936         | 904 349 732       | 28 564 911 232 | 1 254 507 751 744     |
| e.   |   |         |               | 32    | 1792 | 63 744          | 1 953 776                        | 56926012           | 1 645 089 888     | 49 127 454 896 | 1 650 071 143 616     |
| 4    |   |         |               |       | 128  | 11 520          | 663 872                          | 31 787 392         | 1 384 501 248     | 57 265 586 368 | 2 314 444 556 752     |
| 2    |   |         |               |       |      | 512             | 67 584                           | 6456320            | 490 989 056       | 31 918 392 704 | 1 884 787 750 016     |
| 9    |   |         |               |       |      |                 | 2 048                            | 372 736            | 65 516 544        | 8 028 291 072  | 762 099 244 032       |
| 7    |   |         |               |       |      |                 |                                  | 8 192              | 1 966 080         | 753 139 712    | 143 168 688 128       |
| 80   |   |         |               |       |      |                 |                                  |                    | 32 768            | 10 027 008     | 9 966 141 440         |
| 9 01 |   |         |               |       |      |                 |                                  |                    |                   | 131 072        | 49 807 360            |
| 10   |   |         |               |       |      |                 | ( <b>q</b> )                     |                    |                   |                | 224 288               |
| k    |   |         |               |       |      |                 |                                  |                    |                   |                |                       |
| u    |   |         | 11            |       |      | 12              |                                  |                    | 13                |                | 14                    |
| 0    |   | 21      | 8 158 588 057 | 7 600 |      | 1 295 295 050 0 | 649 600                          | 64 76              | 4 752 532 480 000 | 3 49           | 7 296 636 753 920 000 |
| 1    |   | 3       | 3 192 199 504 | 1464  |      | 1 410 744 469   | 720 768                          | 6036               | 3 991 751 481 600 | 2 60           | 4 924 609 998 538 240 |
| 2    |   | 6       | 7 752 201 558 | 3 496 |      | 3 955 582 832 ( | 037 408                          | 234 92             | 8 426 159 350 720 | 13 89          | 4 163 289 895 006 800 |
| 3    |   | 7       | 1 440 014 187 | 7 376 |      | 4 224 665 438   | 128 064                          | 306 44             | 8 398 158 942 240 | 24 02          | 8 691 547 335 252 400 |
| 4    |   | 6       | 4 831 675 835 | 5 968 |      | 4 249 623 524   | 974 624                          | 235 78             | 4 156 426 515 200 | 17.37          | 6 963 387 911 026 200 |
| 5    |   | 10,     | 5 259 016 635 | ) 040 |      | 5 715 363 282   | 918 656                          | 310.98             | 0 152 826 272 160 | 1791           | 7 127 977 298 169 600 |
| 6    |   | <i></i> | 2 721 972 915 | 5712  |      | 4 772 749 197   | 832 832                          | 347 31             | 9 490 135 290 880 | 24 68          | 3 103 277 934 568 960 |
| 7    |   | 15      | 8 825 129 374 | 4720  |      | 2 069 331 679.  | 262 720                          | 207 17             | 7 188 266 183 680 | 19 62          | 3 106 832 018 688 000 |
| 8    |   |         | 2 705 189 994 | 1496  |      | 465 152 129     | 253 376                          | 65 65              | 7 336 480 194 560 | 838            | 5 642 509 287 802 880 |
| 6    |   |         | 145 781 686   | 5272  |      | 52 188 717      | 776 896                          | 11 23              | 2 579 986 063 360 | 1 98           | 2 528 585 053 962 240 |
| 10   |   |         | 242 221       | 1 056 |      | 2 247 649       | 132 544                          | 100                | 5 690 153 861 120 | 26             | 2 983 884 215 418 880 |
| 11   |   |         | 2 097         | 7 152 |      | 1 157 (         | 627 904                          | 3                  | 5 458 729 902 080 | 1              | 9 188 697 927 778 304 |
| 12   |   |         |               |       |      | 80              | 388 608                          |                    | 5 452 595 200     |                | 564 456 232 845 312   |
| 13   |   |         |               |       |      |                 |                                  |                    | 33 554 432        |                | 25 367 150 592        |
| 14   |   |         |               |       |      |                 |                                  |                    |                   |                | 134 217 728           |

TABLE II. Coefficients  $m_{2k}^{xx}(\infty, 2n)$  of the expansion (A1).

<u>49</u>

| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |        |   |       |                          |          |           |              | TABLE III        | . Coefficients      | $m_{2k}^{zz}(0,2n)$ of the | expansion (A1).        |                                |                                   |                                      |
|--|--------|---|-------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 1      |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  |                     | (a)                        |                        |                                |                                   |                                      |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | ~ u    | 0 | -     | 2                        | 3        | 4         | 5            | 9                | ٢                   | 8                          | 6                      | 10                             | 11                                | 12                                   |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 0,     | 1 | 2     | 10                       | 70       | 588       | 5544         | 56 628           | 613 470             | 6 952 660                  | 81 662 152             | 987 369 656                    | 12 228 193 432                    | 154 532 114 800                      |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | - ~    |   |       | 2                        | 28<br>28 | 360<br>54 | 4752<br>1166 | 65 494<br>24 310 | 940 940<br>51 5 866 | 14014936<br>11203816       | 215358312<br>247345648 | 3 404 469 096<br>5 573 685 016 | 55 363 106 984<br>174 847 107 736 | 929 065 985 440<br>2 872 036 600 580 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 1 m    |   |       |                          | 1        | , 7       | 88           | 3 172            | 107 848             | 3 651 328                  | 121 432 496            | 3 906 907 224                  | 121 505 574 104                   | 3 685 085 980 110                    |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 4      |   |       |                          |          |           | 2            | 130              | 8 422               | 476952                     | 25 617 776             | 1 263 625 096                  | 56 622 505 150                    | 2 337 161 324 250                    |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 5      |   |       |                          |          |           |              | 2                | 180                 | 24 208                     | 2 201 568              | 181 559 326                    | 12 792 785 196                    | 769 533 217 540                      |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 9      |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  | 2                   | 238                        | 78 622                 | 10 508 178                     | 1 301 095 050                     | 127 543 927 220                      |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | ٢      |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  |                     | 2                          | 304                    | 282 764                        | 50 716 840                        | 9 484 170 520                        |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | ~ ~    |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  |                     |                            | 5                      | 378                            | 1 079 574                         | 243 836 340                          |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | ۍ<br>د |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  |                     |                            |                        | 2                              | 460                               | 4 239 160                            |
| 12(b)(c) $k$ 13141516 $k$ 13141516 $0$ 1986 841 476 00025928 281 261 800342 787 130 211 1504583 937 702 039 30061 923 368 95 $0$ 1986 841 476 0002596 826 867 484 1105 788 385 460 938 5401122 683 556 688 067 6402 845 759 468 95 $2$ 67 800 211 103 5501 165 2008 749 458 9533 996 650 3331194 139 339 025 650 88035 384 641 175 99 $3$ 91 0493 371 777 7003 31 996 1607 653 180101 660 1196 751 88 9403 150 997 003 753 115 940106 453 055 861 80 $4$ 90 793 777 72013 353 557 997 0951194 139 339 025 650 88035 384 641 175 89 $4$ 90 793 777 72013 35 355 77 997 09963 126 97 003 75 352 316 97 003 75 352 316 97 003 75 356 1883 17 32 97 335 652 650 75 75 97 315 552 75 97 315 552 75 97 315 552 75 97 31 552 355 650 126 650 23 95 661 6603 968 23 03 63 65 20 95 201 667 652 552 75 75 77 021 75 825 356 81 25 856 20 112 957 358 650 000112 668 94 43 390 112 656 94 432 980112 656 94 432 980112 555 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 552 155 555 5   | 10     |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  |                     |                            |                        |                                | 2                                 | 550<br>3                             |
| k         (b)           0         1986 841 476 000         25 928 281 261 800         342 787 130 211 150         4 583 937 702 039 300         61 923 368 95           1         16 200 874 4800         25 928 281 261 800         342 787 130 211 150         4 583 937 702 039 300         61 923 368 95           2         67 890 211 03 550         1669 240 405 91410         5 798 3540 385 440         122 663 356 698 067 640         2 845 793 468 95           3         1104 439 71 747 60         3 319 961 677 623 180         101 660 119 675 188 440         3 216 997 0007 72 115 040         106 443 055 861 80           4         90 795 109 218 210         3 319 961 677 623 180         101 660 119 675 188 840         3 216 997 0007 72 115 040         106 443 055 861 80           5         67 890 518 210         3 319 961 677 623 180         101 660 119 675 188 840         3 216 997 0007 72 115 040         106 443 055 861 80           6         100 443 723 78 840         3 216 997 0007 72 117 87 823 423 560         117 123 971 438 555 963 1392 05         117 123 971 453 55 55 25 393 560           7         1267 863 7560         124 43 238 1392 0         112 473 33 85 55 860         126 481 75 85 55 25 97 361 56 70         112 27 445 253 862 000         112 27 445 253 862 000         112 267 452 55 25 97 260 21 126 85 65 860         126 482 35 49 63 05 63 16 66 66         126 482 35 49 63 05 63 16 67 67 | 12     |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  |                     |                            |                        |                                |                                   | 7                                    |
| $\kappa$ 1314151601986 841 476 00025 928 281 261 800342 787 130 211 1504 583 937 702 039 30061 923 368 95116 200 874 954 80025 928 281 261 800342 787 130 211 1504 583 937 702 039 30061 923 368 95267 890 211 103 5501669 240 405 921 4105 798 385 460 938 5401124 683 556 698 067 640284 5789 468 8003110 439 371 747 7603 319 961 677 623 180101 660 119 675 188 8403 216 997 000 723 115 040106 463 055 661 880490 755 1001977 773 380 312 910010 1660 119 675 188 8403 216 997 000 723 115 040106 463 055 861 805490 755 1001977 773 380 312 910916 56 050 230 686 6603 95 53 03 283 681 3920117 239 371 355 445 133 112 336 55 2956100 240 55 560107 773 380 312 910916 56 050 230 686 6603 95 66 058 748 234 685 000117 239 771 336 65 29571267 861 285 5601271 146 76 54 23 74 558 600112 937 336 55 295112 937 336 55 295112 668 94 443 258127 55 295 356 156 774 23 280 260 35048 167 235 794 51 3191103 783 7601266 849 443 23 8601915 55 5661271 146 75 55 75 56874 24 25 236 260 553 568234 196 795 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75  | -      |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  |                     | ( <b>P</b> )               |                        |                                |                                   |                                      |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$  | u x    |   |       |                          | 13       |           |              | 14               |                     | 1                          |                        |                                | 16                                | 17                                   |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 0,     |   | 195   | 36 841 476<br>20 874 954 | 000      |           | 25 928 281   | 1 261 800        | (73 <b>[</b>        | 342 787 130 211 150        |                        | 4 583 937 702 039 30           | 00                                | 1 923 368 957 373 000                |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 1      |   | 10.2( | N 8 /4 954               | 008 t    |           | 798 978 967  | 484110           | 10                  | /98 385 460 938 540        | . 1.                   | 22 683 556 698 067 64          | 40 2 84                           | 5 789 468 891 344 360                |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 2      |   | 67.85 | 90 2 1 1 1 0             | 3 550    |           | 669 240 405  | 5 921 410        | 43.2                | 248 167 869 956 330        | 11.                    | 94 139 339 025 630 88          | 80 3538                           | 4 641 175 890 921 200                |
| 4       90795109218210       3393450440061390       124563577907601990       4577021767825432560       1712393715514         5       4082696637160       1977777380312910       90156050230666660       3968230388536813920       17247695293865         6       10024095487800       667749304798500       39568059743658690       216608179423468500       11229373365269         7       1267861285560       1277114676648580       10415737182535680       742482232802603520       4816723579451         8       71037833760       1267861285560       1712934600       1321683628691       1321683628691         9       1160374160       551174008758       10415737182535680       742482232802603520       1321683628691         1       160354160       551174008758       127569295601676       193920255403633322       227444251331         10       16840110       5457004878       1277569295601676       1293202554036334500       132168362869         11       6648       67719402738       1277569205601676       12932025540363332       227444251331         11       6840110       5457004878       1277569205601676       193920255403631424       1314477338         12       2       7424838250       1293820555601676       1939202554036633322       227444251331   | ς.     |   | 1104  | 39 371 747               | 1 760    | 3         | 319 961 677  | 7 623 180        | 101 €               | 560 119 675 188 840        | ) 32                   | 16 997 000 723 115 04          | 40 10646                          | 3 055 861 804 168 000                |
| 5       40 826 968 637 160       197777 380 312 910       90 156 050 230 686 660       3 968 230 388 536 813 920       172 476 952 938 66         6       10 024 095 487 800       667 749 304 798 500       39 568 059 743 658 690       2 166 081 794 234 685 000       112 937 338 652 69         7       1 267 861 285 560       127 114 676 648 580       10 415 737 182 535 680       742 482 232 802 603 520       48 167 235 794 51         8       71 037 833 760       126 684 94 432 980       1 582 205 326 125 582       156 452 354 927 034 600       13 216 836 286 91         9       1160 354 160       551 174 008 758       127 569 295 601 676       19 392 025 540 363 632       234 196 749 15         11       648       67 194 740       25 374 030 472       36 982 403 631 424       13 144 773 38         12       648       67 194 740       25 374 030 472       36 982 403 631 424       13 144 773 38         12       648       67 194 740       25 374 030 472       36 982 403 631 424       13 144 773 38         13       16       743       88       1073 891 872       316 381 03       316 381 03         14       73       86       1073 891 872       36 982 603 631 424       316 381 03       316 381 03         12       648       67194 740       25 637 03 640   | 4      |   | 90 79 | 3109218                  | 3 2 1 0  | 3         | 393 450 440  | 061 390          | 1245                | 563 577 907 601 990        | ) 45                   | 77 021 767 825 432 56          | 60 171239                         | 371 535 145 995 280                  |
| 61002409548780066774930479850039568059743658690216608179423468500011293733865269712678612855601271146766485801041573718253568074248223280260352048167235794518710378337601266849443298015822053261255821564523549270346001321683628691911603541605511740087581275692956016761933202554036332234196749151016840110545700487812756929560167612932025540363323234196749151164867194740025374034773682205112771442163323035353231254226855008611293047236982403631424131447733813447733825870086116779829680316381033163810314268550086116779829680107389187253259142685500861073891872532591522868107738918725325916402286810773891872532591222222242912233333331164867194740253740367236855086316374831637031222223333134333333123333333134333333<   | S      |   | 4082  | 26 968 637               | 7 160    | -         | 977 777 380  | 312910           | 901                 | 156 050 230 686 660        | 39.                    | 68 230 388 536 813 92          | 20 172 47                         | 5 952 938 667 790 880                |
| 7       1267 861 285 560       127 114 676 648 580       10415 737 182 535 680       742 482 232 802 603 520       48 167 235 794 51         8       71 037 833 760       12 668 494 432 980       15 882 205 326 125 582       156 452 354 927 034 600       13 216 836 286 91         9       11 160 354 160       551 174 008 758       15 82 205 326 125 582       156 452 354 927 034 600       13 216 836 286 91         10       16 840 110       54174 008 758       127 569 295 601 676       19 392 025 540 363 632       234 196 749 15         11       648       67 194 740       25 374 030 472       36 982 403 631 424       13 144 773 38         12       648       67 194 740       25 374 030 472       36 982 403 631 424       13 144 773 38         12       2       754       25 855 086       1030 472       36 982 403 631 424       13 144 773 38         12       2       7       868       116 779 829 680       316 381 03       532 59         13       44 773       868       1073 891 872       533 53 53       532 59         13       44 773 38       2       268 550 086       1073 891 872       532 59       532 59         14       443       443       443       473 38       268 550 086       1077 891 872       532   | 9      |   | 1002  | 24 095 487               | 7 800    | -         | 667 749 304  | 1 798 500        | 395                 | 568 059 743 658 690        | 0 21                   | 66 081 794 234 685 00          | 00 112 93'                        | 7 338 652 691 902 880                |
| 8       71037 833 760       12 668 494 432 980       1582 205 326 125 582       156 452 354 927 034 600       13 216 836 286 91         9       1160 334 160       551 174 008 758       127 569 295 601 676       19 392 025 540 363 632       2274 442 513 31         10       16 840 110       5 457 004 878       127 569 295 601 676       19 392 025 540 363 632       234 196 749 15         11       648       67 194 740       25 374 030 472       36 982 403 631 424       13 144 773 38         12       2       754       256 8550 086       116 779 829 680       316 381 03         12       2       754       268 550 086       116 779 829 680       316 381 03         13       4477       268 550 086       116 779 829 680       316 381 03         14       754       268 550 086       1073 891 872       532 59         14       72       868       1073 891 872       532 59         15       2       2       2       2       532 59         15       2       2       2       2       532 59         16       2       2       2       2       532 59         13       147 73       2       2       532 59       532 59         14  | ٢      |   | 12(   | 57 861 285               | 5560     |           | 127 114 676  | 648 580          | 104                 | 115 737 182 535 680        | ·                      | 42 482 232 802 603 52          | 20 48 16                          | 7 235 794 519 032 848                |
| 9       1160 354 160       551 174 008 758       127 569 295 601 676       19 392 025 540 363 632       2274 442 513 31         10       16 840 110       5 457 004 878       4 439 474 838 250       1 292 809 502 109 968       234 196 749 15         11       648       67 194 740       25 374 030 472       36 982 403 631 424       13 144 773 38         12       2       754       268 550 086       116 779 829 680       316 381 03         13       4740       2       868       1073 891 872       532 59         14       2       868       1073 891 872       532 59         14       2       868       1073 891 872       532 59         15       2       2       990       4       2         15       2       2       2       990       4       2         16       16       2       2       2       4       2       532 59         16       2       2       2       2       2       2       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532 59       532   | ~      |   |       | 71 037 835               | 3 760    |           | 12 668 494   | 1432980          | 15                  | 582 205 326 125 582        | 1                      | 56 452 354 927 034 6(          | 00 13.210                         | 5 836 286 918 095 200                |
| 10       16 840 110       5 457 004 878       4 439 474 838 250       1 292 809 502 109 968       234 196 749 15         11       648       67 194 740       25 374 030 472       36 982 403 631 424       13 144 773 38         12       2       754       268 550 086       116 779 829 680       316 381 03         13       443       268 550 086       116 779 829 680       316 381 03         14       2       868       1073 891 872       532 59         14       2       868       1073 891 872       532 59         14       2       2       868       1073 891 872       532 59         14       2       868       1073 891 872       532 59       532 59         14       2       868       1073 891 872       532 59       532 59         15       2       2       2       990       429         15       2       2       2       990       429         15       2       2       2       2       429         16       2       2       2       2       2         16       2       2       2       2       2       2         16       2       2 </td <td>6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 160 354</td> <td>1160</td> <td></td> <td>551 174</td> <td>1 008 758</td> <td>1</td> <td>127 569 295 601 676</td> <td></td> <td>19 392 025 540 363 63</td> <td>32 2.77</td> <td><b>1</b> 442 513 310 739 376</td>   | 6      |   |       | 1 160 354                | 1160     |           | 551 174      | 1 008 758        | 1                   | 127 569 295 601 676        |                        | 19 392 025 540 363 63          | 32 2.77                           | <b>1</b> 442 513 310 739 376         |
| 11     648     67 194 740     25 374 030 472     36 982 403 631 424     13 144 773 38       12     2     754     268 550 086     116 779 829 680     316 381 03       13     4     2     868     1073 891 872     532 59       14     2     868     1073 891 872     532 59       14     2     868     1073 891 872     532 59       14     2     868     1073 891 872     532 59       15     2     990     429       16     2     2     990     429  | 10     |   |       | 16840                    | 0110     |           | 5 4 5 7      | 7 004 878        |                     | 4 439 474 838 250          | ~                      | 1 292 809 502 109 96           | 68 234                            | <b>1</b> 196 749 152 251 744         |
| 12     2     754     268 550 086     116 779 829 680     316 381 03       13     2     868     1073 891 872     532 59       14     2     868     1073 891 872     532 59       14     2     990     4 29       15     2     2     990       16     2     2     2  | 11     |   |       |                          | 648      |           | 67           | 7 194 740        |                     | 25 374 030 472             |                        | 36 982 403 631 42              | 24 11                             | 3 144 773 384 271 072                |
| 13     2     868     1073 891 872     532 59       14     2     990     429       15     2     2       16     2  | 12     |   |       |                          | 2        |           |              | 754              |                     | 268 550 086                |                        | 116 779 829 68                 | 80                                | 316 381 035 096 352                  |
| 14 29 29 20 129 15 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 129 129 129 129 129 129 1   | 13     |   |       |                          |          |           |              | 2                |                     | 868                        | ~~                     | 1 073 891 87                   | 72                                | 532 591 267 008                      |
| 15 2   | 14     |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  |                     | 7                          |                        | 56                             | 06                                | 4 295 160 382                        |
| 16   | 15     |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  |                     |                            |                        |                                | 2                                 | 1 120                                |
|  | 16     |   |       |                          |          |           |              |                  |                     |                            |                        |                                |                                   | 2                                    |

# BÖHM, VISWANATH, STOLZE, AND MÜLLER

<u>49</u>

|     |   |           |                   |     |              | TABLE IV  | V. Coefficients | $m_{2k}^{xx}(0,2n)$ of the | expansion (A1). |                        |                 |                       |
|-----|---|-----------|-------------------|-----|--------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
|     |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 | (a)                        |                 |                        |                 |                       |
| y u | 0 | 1         | 2 3               | 4   | 5            | 9         | 7               | 8                          | 6               | 10                     | 11              | 12                    |
| 0   | - | 1         | 2 5               | 14  | 42           | 132       | 429             | 1 430                      | 4 862           | 16796                  | 58 786          | 208 012               |
| 1   |   | 1         | 9 57              | 350 | 2388         | 20 097    | 218361          | 2 962 674                  | 47 080 956      | 833 526 694            | 15 951 526 836  | 324 134 817 864       |
| 7   |   |           | 1 37              | 500 | 5085         | 47817     | 473473          | 5 575 596                  | 85 693 634      | 1 722 480 188          | 41 925 608 012  | 1 140 770 228 156     |
| £   |   |           | 1                 | 139 | 3505         | 57 442    | 801 801         | 10914852                   | 158 009 560     | 2 578 845 566          | 49 632 904 812  | 1 166 216 432 693     |
| 4   |   |           |                   | 1   | 531          | 22 170    | 554 125         | 11 402 016                 | 224 117 120     | 4 527 076 268          | 96018517173     | 2 130 778 265 785     |
| ŝ   |   |           |                   |     | 1            | 2 077     | 130 305         | 4711604                    | 138 323 696     | 3 836 625 331          | 107 115 195 473 | 3 044 719 656 316     |
| 9   |   |           |                   |     |              | 1         | 8 233           | 723 144                    | 36 498 371      | 1 493 932 969          | 57 083 748 637  | 2 141 724 513 162     |
| ٢   |   |           |                   |     |              |           | 1               | 32 823                     | 3 837 537       | 265 712 036            | 15 015 598 665  | 777 193 603 198       |
| 8   |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 | 1                          | 131 143         | 19 666 510             | 1 868 948 535   | 145 643 240 124       |
| 6   |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 |                            | 1               | 524 377                | 98 050 337      | 13 023 969 650        |
| 10  |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 |                            |                 | 1                      | 2 097 261       | 478 162 812           |
| 1 5 |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 |                            |                 |                        | -               | 8 388 /39<br>1        |
| 1   |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 | (q)                        |                 |                        |                 | •                     |
| k   |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 |                            |                 |                        |                 |                       |
| u   |   | 1         | 13                |     | 14           |           |                 | 15                         |                 | 16                     |                 | 17                    |
| 0   |   |           | 742 900           |     |              | 2 674 440 |                 | 9 694 845                  | 2               | 35 357 670             |                 | 129 644 790           |
| 1   |   | 69134(    | 06 747 380        |     | 153 528 93   | 1 055 925 | 35              | 128 532 718 636 985        | 5               | 33 533 373 812 739 370 | 2 029           | 9 335 527 879 237 820 |
| 7   |   | 33 042 91 | 19 449 445        |     | 994 756 20   | 1 541 865 | 308             | 304 691 063 777 785        | 5 97            | 77 819 691 333 030 060 | 31 82(          | 0 503 528 097 882 070 |
| ŝ   |   | 33 468 65 | 32 359 985        |     | 1 120 172 08 | 9 579 650 | 411             | 08 492 444 365 065         | 5 156           | 80 601 989 312 755 560 | 62 156          | 5 677 031 439 043 440 |
| 4   |   | 49 438 48 | 34 953 055        |     | 1 226 557 11 | 8 119 230 | 342             | 386 322 185 445 825        | 5 114           | 0 778 568 680 224 000  | 45 259          | 9 587 069 153 790 680 |
| ŝ   |   | 87 280 95 | 3 610 105         |     | 2 496 266 57 | 7874515   | 6 0 2           | 36 357 456 591 545         | 5 201           | 8 054 068 801 574 280  | 59 100          | 6 036 083 022 711 960 |
| 9   |   | 79 551 76 | 54 550 480        |     | 2 913 957 29 | 7 036 015 | 1048            | 395 736 359 160 905        | 5 370           | 9 454 596 717 102 080  | 129278          | 8 403 677 771 856 300 |
| ٢   |   | 38 257 72 | 27 114 940        |     | 1 810 145 26 | 3 080 690 | 827             | 130 076 297 083 385        | 5 367           | 0 990 174 588 316 700  | 159 085         | 5 198 123 172 037 048 |
| œ   |   | 10 055 45 | <b>35 062 500</b> |     | 635 171 77.  | 3 479 930 | 374             | 149 331 649 060 445        | 5 209           | 03 100 135 486 779 760 | 112 327         | 7 113 474 260 368 824 |
| 6   |   | 1 399 82  | 25 120 580        |     | 12648815     | 6 851 605 | 100             | 125 102 368 543 713        | 3 72            | 2 421 733 226 903 820  | 48 591          | 1 706 611 682 667 464 |
| 10  |   | 9197      | 75 566 665        |     | 13 549 52    | 8 822 377 | 15              | 564 366 561 926 945        | 5 15            | 52 179 442 064 682 488 | 13 181          | 1 808 438 206 934 780 |
| 11  |   | 2 2 5     | 0 106 897         |     | 670 34       | 7 869 790 | 1               | 32 967 383 212 721         | 1               | [9 097 204 936 013 832 | 2 235           | 5 049 510 341 476 016 |
| 12  |   | (1)       | 33 554 587        |     | 1080         | 4 550 050 |                 | 5 097 573 875 065          | 5               | 1 322 567 599 253 088  | 23(             | 0 208 596 780 129 760 |
| 13  |   |           | 1                 |     | 13.          | 4217909   |                 | 50 331 678 465             | 2               | 40 527 104 759 752     | H               | 3 282 222 997 574 528 |
| 14  |   |           |                   |     |              | 1         |                 | 536 871 121                | 1               | 231 928 273 680        |                 | 335 075 020 631 015   |
| 15  |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 | 1                          | 1               | 2 147 483 887          |                 | 1 058 709 489 345     |
| 16  |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 |                            |                 | 1                      |                 | 8 589 934 863         |
| 17  |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 |                            |                 |                        |                 |                       |
|     |   |           |                   |     |              |           |                 |                            |                 |                        |                 |                       |

173-("C U) xx effici. E

the function  $\Phi_0^{zz}(\omega)$  for  $J_z/J=1.0$  and  $J_z/J=0.6$  as reconstructed form the 17 known continued-fraction coefficients and this special terminator. As the parameter drops from the higher to the lower value, the central peak in the spectral density weakens considerably, and the weak shoulder at  $\omega=J$  present for  $J_z/J=1.0$  all but disappears. Upon further decrease of  $J_z/J$  to zero (XX model), the curve is supposed to approach the dashed line, which is the known exact result<sup>23</sup>

$$\Phi_0^{zz}(\omega) = \frac{128}{3\pi J} (1 + \omega/2J) \left[ (1 + \omega^2/4J^2) E\left[\frac{2J - \omega}{2J + \omega}\right] - \frac{\omega}{J} K\left[\frac{2J - \omega}{2J + \omega}\right] \right].$$
(6.3)

This limiting case is perfectly in line with how the reconstructed spectral density develops between  $J_z/J = 1.0$  and  $J_z/J = 0.6$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work done at URI was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation, Grants No. DMR-90-07540 and DMR-93-12252. Access to supercomputers at the National Center for Supercomputing Applications, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, is gratefully acknowledged. J.S. gratefully acknowledges support by the Max Kade Foundation. M.B. wishes to thank Hajo Leschke for helpful discussions and the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes for support.

#### APPENDIX A

The frequency moments (2.2) of the spectral density (1.3) as expressed in terms of the expectation values (2.3) yield upon evaluation, for the XXZ model (1.1), expressions of the form

$$M_{2k}^{\mu\mu}(l) = 2^{-2k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} m_{2k}^{\mu\mu}(l,2n) J_{z}^{2n} J^{(2k-2n)}$$
(A1)

with integer coefficients  $m_{2k}^{\mu\mu}(l,2n)$ . We have computed these coefficients up to k = 14 for the bulk spin  $(l = \infty)$ and up to k = 17 for the boundary spin (l = 0). The former are listed in Table I for  $\mu = z$  and Table II for  $\mu = x$ , the latter in Table III for  $\mu = z$  and Table IV for  $\mu = x$ .

#### APPENDIX B

The first K expansion coefficients  $M_{2k}$ , k = 1, ..., K of an autocorrelation function (1.2) (or frequency moments of its Fourier transform) determine the first K continuedfraction coefficients  $\Delta_k$ , k = 1, ..., K of its Laplace transform (2.4) and vice versa. The  $\Delta_k$ , for example, are expressible in terms of Hankel determinants with elements consisting of moments  $M_{2k}$ .<sup>5,36,37</sup> There exist several different algorithms for the numerical conversion of one set of numbers into the other. Some of them are more susceptible to numerical instabilities than others.<sup>37</sup> The following algorithm,<sup>38</sup> which is a product of the recursion method, has proven to be fairly robust against numerical instabilities in our applications:

Forward direction: For a given set of moments  $M_{2k}$ , k = 0, 1, ..., K with  $M_0 = 1$ , the first K coefficients  $\Delta_m$ , are determined by

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{2k}^{(m)} = \frac{\boldsymbol{M}_{2k}^{(m-1)}}{\Delta_{m-1}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{M}_{2k-2}^{(m-2)}}{\Delta_{m-2}}, \quad \Delta_m = \boldsymbol{M}_{2m}^{(m)}$$
(B1)

for k = m, m + 1, ..., K and m = 1, 2, ..., K and with set values  $M_{2k}^{(0)} = M_{2k}, \Delta_{-1} = \Delta_0 = 1, M_{2k}^{(-1)} = 0.$ 

Reverse direction: For a given set of  $\Delta_m = M_{2m}^{(m)}$ , m = 1, ..., K, and  $\Delta_{-1} = \Delta_0 = 1$ , the moments  $M_{2n}^{(0)} = M_{2n}$ , result from the relations,

$$M_{2k}^{(m-1)} = \Delta_{m-1} M_{2k}^{(m)} + \frac{\Delta_{m-1}}{\Delta_{m-2}} M_{2k-2}^{(m-2)}$$
(B2)

for m = k, k - 1, ..., 1 and k = 1, 2, ..., K and with set values  $M_{2k}^{(-1)} = 0$ .

- <sup>\*</sup>On leave from Institut für Physik, Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany. Present address: Lehrstuhl für Theoretische Physik I, Universität Bayreuth D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany.
- <sup>1</sup>D. Hone, C. Scherer, and F. Borsa, Phys. Rev. B 9, 965 (1974);
   F. Borsa and M. Mali, *ibid.* 9, 2215 (1974); J.-P. Boucher, M. Ahmed Bakheit, M. Nechtshein, M. Villa, G. Bonera, and F. Borsa, *ibid.* 13, 4098 (1976).
- <sup>2</sup>A. Lagendijk and E. Siegel, Solid State Commun. **20**, 709 (1976).
- <sup>3</sup>F. Carboni and P. M. Richards, Phys. Rev. 177, 889 (1969).
- <sup>4</sup>J. P. Groen, T. O. Klaassen, N. J. Poulis, G. Müller, H. Thomas, and H. Beck, Phys. Rev. B 22, 5369 (1980).
- <sup>5</sup>J. M. R. Roldan, B. M. McCoy, and J. H. H. Perk, Physica A **136**, 255 (1986).
- <sup>6</sup>R. A. Tahir-Kheli and D. G. McFadden, Phys. Rev. 178, 800 (1969).

- <sup>7</sup>G. Müller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2785 (1988).
- <sup>8</sup>R. W. Gerling and D. P. Landau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 812 (1989).
- <sup>9</sup>G. Müller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 813 (1989).
- <sup>10</sup>R. W. Gerling and D. P. Landau, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 8214 (1990).
- <sup>11</sup>J.-M. Liu, N. Srivastava, V. S. Viswanath, and G. Müller, J. Appl. Phys. 70, 6181 (1991).
- <sup>12</sup>O. F. De Alcantara Bonfim and G. Reiter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 367 (1992).
- <sup>13</sup>M. Böhm, R. W. Gerling, and H. Leschke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 248 (1993).
- <sup>14</sup>M. H. Lee, I. M. Kim, and R. Dekeyser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1579 (1984); R. Dekeyser and M. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 43, 8123, 8131 (1991).
- <sup>15</sup>J.-M. Liu and G. Müller, Phys. Rev. A 42, 5854 (1990).
- <sup>16</sup>Th. Niemeijer, Physica **36**, 377 (1967).

- <sup>17</sup>S. Katsura, T. Horiguchi, and M. Suzuki, Physica 46, 67 (1970).
- <sup>18</sup>A. Sur, D. Jasnow, and I. J. Lowe, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 3845 (1975).
- <sup>19</sup>U. Brandt and K. Jacoby, Z. Phys. B 25, 181 (1976).
- <sup>20</sup>H. W. Capel and J. H. H. Perk, Physica 87A, 211 (1977); J. H.
   H. Perk and H. W. Capel, *ibid.* 89A, 265 (1977).
- <sup>21</sup>J. Florencio and M. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 35, 1835 (1987).
- <sup>22</sup>S. Sen, Phys. Rev. B 44, 7444 (1991).
- <sup>23</sup>J. Stolze, V. S. Viswanath, and G. Müller, Z. Phys. B 89, 45 (1992).
- <sup>24</sup>U. Brandt and J. Stolze, Z. Phys. B 64, 327 (1986).
- <sup>25</sup>M. Böhm and H. Leschke, J. Phys. A 25, 1043 (1992).
- <sup>26</sup>M. Böhm and H. Leschke, Physica A **199**, 116 (1993); **203**, 328 (1994).
- <sup>27</sup>O. Platz and R. G. Gordon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 264 (1973); Phys. Rev. B **7**, 4764 (1973).
- <sup>28</sup>M. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1072 (1982); Phys. Rev. B **26**, 2547 (1982).
- <sup>29</sup>V. S. Viswanath and G. Müller, J. Appl. Phys. 67, 5486 (1990).
- <sup>30</sup>V. S. Viswanath and G. Müller, J. Appl. Phys. 70, 6178 (1991).
- <sup>31</sup>A. Magnus, in *The Recursion Method and Its Applications*, edited by D. G. Pettifor and D. L. Weaire (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985), p. 22.
- <sup>32</sup>D. S. Lubinsky, Acta Appl. Math. 10, 237 (1987).

- <sup>33</sup>What looks like a gradual increase of  $\lambda$  may, in fact be a crossover between two fixed values of growth rate, one for free fermions ( $\lambda$ =0) and another one for interacting fermions ( $\lambda$ ~1.2). What counts for our analysis is the effective growth rate pertaining to the known finite  $\Delta_k$  sequence.
- <sup>34</sup>It is no problem to design adjustments that remove some of the most obvious systematic errors in the results presented in Secs. IV-VI and to justify these corrections by very reasonable arguments. However, there is some ambiguity in how to implement these adjustments. This makes it hard to fully detach the choice of implementation from hindsight knowledge. We have decided, therefore, to present our results here without any such adjustments for systematic errors.
- <sup>35</sup>If the kink occurs at sufficiently large values of k, one might be tempted to analyze that  $\Delta_k$  sequence on the basis of  $\lambda=0$ . The problem is that the interesting physics, caused by the effects of the fermion interaction for the case at hand, is contained in the  $\Delta_k$  past the kink. Therefore, not much may be gained by such an exercise.
- <sup>36</sup>M. Dupuis, Prog. Theor. Phys. 37, 502 (1967).
- <sup>37</sup>R. G. Gordon, J. Math. Phys. 9, 655 (1968).
- <sup>38</sup>V. S. Viswanath and G. Müller, Recursion Method— Applications to Many-Body Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. m23 [Springer-Verlag, New York, in press)].