

Spin-orbit coupling in one-dimensional conducting rings

Yi-Chang Zhou, Hua-Zhong Li, and Xun Xue

Advanced Research Center and Department of Physics, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou, 510275, China

(Received 4 October 1993)

Spin-orbit coupling of electron motion in a one-dimensional conducting ring is examined with a confining potential to keep the electron path exactly in a circle. It is found that a non-symmetrical potential is essential for the onset of spin-orbit coupling. This result may be useful for understanding some mesoscopic phenomena, e.g., persistent currents.

The recent experimental observation of persistent currents in mesoscopic disordered conducting rings¹ has attracted much interest. The spin-orbit coupling of the electron motion in a one-dimensional (1D) ring was examined and was considered to give an important contribution to the persistent currents.² The interaction between the electron spin and its orbital motion has been known for a long time. For an electron moving in the central-potential field, this interaction can be derived from the Dirac electron equation and can be written in the well-known form $\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{L}$.³ For an electron moving in a one-dimensional mesoscopic ring, the form of its spin-orbit coupling was discussed only recently by Aronov and Lyanda-Geller.⁴

In the present paper, we introduce a more realistic model of a 1D ring by adding a confining potential such that the exact 1D effects are phenomenologically taken into account. This investigation of spin-orbit coupling may be useful for understanding the persistent currents and other mesoscopic phenomena in 1D rings.

Let us propose a confining potential

$$U(r, z) = \frac{U_0}{4} (e^{az} + e^{-bz}) (e^{a'(r-r_0)} + e^{-b'(r-r_0)}) . \quad (1)$$

The electrons moving in a 1D ring of radius r_0 would be described by the confining potential with parameters $a, b, a', b' \rightarrow \infty$. Here we employ cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) . The electrons would be confined to move on a planar ring with radius $r=r_0$ and $z=0$. If $a=b$ and $a'=b'$, the confinement will be symmetric about the $z=0$ plane. If $a \neq b$ but $a-b = \text{const}$, the confining potential will not be symmetric about the $z=0$ plane. For $a' \neq b'$ but $a'-b' = \text{const}$, the confining potential will be asymmetric about $r=r_0$.

Dirac's equation for an electron in the confining potential U and electromagnetic vector potential \mathbf{A} is

$$\left[c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \left[\mathbf{p} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right] + \beta mc + U \right] \psi = E\psi , \quad (2)$$

where U is the confining potential given by (1). After repeating the well-known calculations,⁵ we can obtain the Zeeman-splitting energy and spin-orbit coupling energy in the presence of the confining potential. The Hamiltonian can be written

$$H' = \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) - \frac{\hbar}{4m^2c^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left[\left[\mathbf{p} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right] \times \nabla U \right] . \quad (3)$$

The second term in (3) is the spin-orbit coupling. Consider the 1D ring in the limit case; we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla U &= \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \Big|_{z=0, r=r_0} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0, r=r_0} \\ &= (a' - b') U_0 \hat{\mathbf{r}} + (a - b) U_0 \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\ &= \alpha_1 \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \alpha_2 \hat{\mathbf{z}} , \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ are unit vectors along radial and axial directions, respectively, and $\alpha_1 = (a' - b') U_0$, $\alpha_2 = (a - b) U_0$. From (3) and (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - \hbar\omega_1 (\sigma_x \cos\theta + \sigma_y \sin\theta) \left[-i \frac{d}{d\theta} - \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{i\hbar\omega_1}{2} (\sigma_x \sin\theta - \sigma_y \cos\theta) + \hbar\omega_2 \sigma_z \left[-i \frac{d}{d\theta} - \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here $\hbar\omega_1 = \alpha_1 \hbar^2 / (4m^2c^2)$ and $\hbar\omega_2 = \alpha_2 \hbar^2 / (4m^2c^2)$. For the sake of convenience, we use the same notations of Ref. 4. In Eq. (2) of Ref. 4 the lower indices x and y in $[P - (e/c)\mathbf{A}]$ should be interchanged. Our expression (5) differs from the spin-orbit interaction Hamiltonian in Ref. 4 by an excess of the last two terms:

$$\hbar\omega_2 \sigma_z \left[-i \frac{d}{d\theta} - \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} \right] + \frac{i\hbar\omega_1}{2} (\sigma_x \sin\theta - \sigma_y \cos\theta) . \quad (6)$$

This difference is important. First, it can easily be checked that omission of the second term in (6) will lead to a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. Adding the electron kinetic-energy term, our Hermitian Hamiltonian would be

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & \hbar\omega \left[-i \frac{d}{d\theta} - \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} \right]^2 + \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \\
& + \hbar\omega_2 \sigma_z \left[-i \frac{d}{d\theta} - \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} \right] \\
& - \hbar\omega_1 (-\sigma_x \cos\theta + \sigma_y \sin\theta) \left[-i \frac{d}{d\theta} - \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} \right] \\
& + \frac{i\hbar\omega_1}{2} (\sigma_x \sin\theta - \sigma_y \cos\theta). \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Second, the third term on the right-hand side of (7) represents the interaction of the z-direction spin with orbital motion, which can be combined with the first term to give

$$\hbar\omega \left[-i \frac{d}{d\theta} - \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} + \frac{\omega_1}{2\omega} \sigma_z \right]^2 + \text{const}.$$

So an effective flux, the sign of which depends on the eigenvalue of σ_z , should be added into the Aharonov-Bohm magnetic flux. Further, the external confining potential

affects the spin-orbit coupling of electrons in the ring. For $a \neq b$, $a - b = \text{const}$ and $a' \neq b'$, $a' - b' = \text{const}$, i.e., the confining potential is nonsymmetric both about the $z = 0$ plane and $r = r_0$, then there is spin-orbit coupling. On the contrary, if the confining potential is symmetric both about $z = 0$ and $r = r_0$ there is no spin-orbit coupling. Nonsymmetry about the $z = 0$ plane contributes coupling motion with σ_z . Nonsymmetry about $r = r_0$ contributes coupling of electron orbital motion with σ_x and σ_y . Moreover, nonsymmetry about $r = r_0$ contributes the spin flip of electrons along orbital motion.

So, in a completely symmetric confining potential in a 1D ring, the electron spin is not coupled to its orbital motion. The nonsymmetric confining potential will give the different coupling terms which have different effects on electron motion.

This research was supported by the Program Fund of Important Topics in 90's Theoretical Physics in China, the National Science Foundation of China, and the Foundation of Advanced Research Center of Zhongshan University.

-
- ¹L. P. Levy, G. Dolan, J. Dunsmuir, and H. Bouchiat, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 204 (1990); V. Chandrasekhar, R. A. Webb, M. J. Brady, M. B. Ketchen, W. J. Gallagher, and A. Kleinsasser, *ibid.* **67**, 3578 (1991); D. Maily, C. Chapelier, and A. Benoit, *ibid.* **70**, 2020 (1993).
²O. Entin-Wohlman and D. Stone, *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 11 890

(1992).

³H. Mathur, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 3325 (1991).

⁴A. G. Aronov and Y. B. Lyanda-Geller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 343 (1993).

⁵C. Itzykson and J. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980), Chap. 2.