# Localized-to-itinerant electron transition in $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$

R. J. Cava and B. Batlogg

AT&T Bell Laboratories, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

K. Kiyono and H. Takagi

Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113, Japan

J. J. Krajewski, W. F. Peck, Jr., L. W. Rupp, Jr., and C. H. Chen *AT&T Bell Laboratories*, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974 (Received 14 October 1993; revised manuscript received 27 January 1994)

 $Sr_2IrO_4$  and  $Sr_2RuO_4$  are both  $K_2NiF_4$ -structure oxides.  $Sr_2IrO_4$  is a weakly ferromagnetic insulator and  $Sr_2RuO_4$  a paramagnetic metal. The  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$  solid solution displays surprising physical properties. On the substitution of Ru to  $Sr_2IrO_4$ , the Ru first exhibits its expected S = 1 local magnetic moment, but at a critical Ru concentration of  $x \approx 0.7$  the local moment disappears, the apparent density of states at  $E_F$  increases, and an insulator-to-metal transition occurs. These changes in physical properties are accompanied by a change in the crystallographic cell parameters.

### INTRODUCTION

While much attention has recently been focused on the 3d transition-metal oxides, detailed properties of the 4dand 5d metal oxides remain largely unexplored. Transition-metal oxides with the layered K<sub>2</sub>NiF<sub>4</sub> structure type are of particular interest due to the variety of unusual structural and magnetic properties they display.<sup>1</sup> Though commonly known for the first-row transition metals, a handful of 4d- and 5d-based  $K_2NiF_4$ -type oxides are also known.<sup>2</sup> Of these, Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub> and Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> are exceptional materials; the former due to its good metallic conductivity, and the latter, on the contrary, due to its insulating nature, unexpected for octahedrally coordinated  $Ir^{4+}$  (five 5d electrons) which is expected to yield a partially filled  $t_{2g}$  band. In this study, we have prepared and characterized the  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$  solid solution, with the intention, in part, of looking for superconductivity at the composition of the metal-insulator (M-I) transition. As for many of the oxide systems we have studied, the M-I transition occurs without the appearance of superconductivity. We have observed, however, that as Ru is substituted for Ir in  $Sr_2IrO_4$  it exhibits its full local S = 1moment up to a critical concentration beyond which the local moment then begins to disappear. The behavior of this system is considerably different from what is generally observed for  $Ru^{4+}$  in oxides, typified by  $Sr_{1-x}Ca_xRuO_3$ ,<sup>3,4</sup> where full Ru local moments are virtually exclusively found. The disappearance of the local moment in  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$  is associated with an increase in the apparent density of states (by an increase in the temperature-independent susceptibility  $\chi_0$ ), and, probably, the insulator-to-metal transition. At the same time, the crystallographic c axis, a measure of the structure perpendicular to the planes, collapses, and the *a* axis, a measure of in-plane structure, saturates at a minimum value. The results also show that for Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub> itself local-moment behavior might have been expected, but instead an itinerant-spin state occurs.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

Although  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$  are refractory materials, they must be synthesized at relatively low temperatures to avoid the volatilization of  $IrO_2$ . Starting materials  $SrCO_3$ ,  $IrO_2$ , and  $RuO_2$  were mixed in proportions to span the solid-solution series and heated in flowing  $O_2$ . Typical heating schedules were 900 °C, 24 h; 1000 °C, 60 h; and 1100 °C, 60 h, with many intermediate grindings. Long times and repeated grindings were necessary to insure homogeneity: heating at higher temperatures, up to 1150 °C, was possible for high Ru contents without decomposition. Because of the low temperatures necessary for the synthesis of single-phase materials, polycrystalline pellets were not dense (70–80 % theoretical density).

Magnetic properties were measured on powders and on polycrystalline pellets between 4.2 and 400 K in a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer in a field of 10 kOe. Resistivity measurements were made at 100 Hz in bar geometry with the fourterminal method, employing Ag paint electrodes, between 4.2 and 300 K. Due to the low density of the samples we consider the resistivity data as showing overall relative but not absolute behavior. Powder x-raydiffraction measurements were performed on a Rigaku powder diffractometer with Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation. Electrondiffraction measurements were performed on crushed powders in a JEOL 2000SS microscope.

#### RESULTS

With a difference in unit-cell volume of only 2% between  $Sr_2IrO_4$  and  $Sr_2RuO_4$ , the solid solution  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$  might be expected to show ideal Vegard's

11 890

law behavior. That is not the case. The lattice parameters for the solid solution, determined by fitting to the positions of five high-angle lines in the powder x-raydiffraciton patterns, are presented in Fig. 1. Weak superlattice reflections were observed for  $Sr_2IrO_4$  by electron diffraction (described further in a later section), indicating that the true unit cell is larger than that of the ideal K<sub>2</sub>NiF<sub>4</sub> structure. For the purposes of comparison to Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub> we consider the subcell dimensions in both the general discussion and the figures. The lattice parameters for the end members are in good agreement with those previously reported, <sup>5,6</sup> except for the *a* axis of  $Sr_2RuO_4$ which we find to be 3.878 (not 3.870) Å. The materials are single phase for all x: there are no two-phase regions. The data show the expected Vegard's law linear shrinkage of c and a on the substitution of Ru into  $Sr_2IrO_4$ , to a composition of  $Sr_2Ir_{0.4}Ru_{0.6}O_4$ , where there is a sudden change in the rate of decrease of the c-axis length and a stabilization of the *a*-axis length at 3.878 Å. This kind of distinct change in behavior within a solid solution could be due either to electronic factors, such as a change in the filling of electronic orbitals, or to structural factors, such as buckling or twisting of the plane of  $MO_6$  octahedra,



FIG. 1. Crystallographic unit-cell parameters as a function of composition in the  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$  solid-solution series. For the sake of comparison, subcell dimensions are plotted for compositions near  $Sr_2IrO_4$  (see text).

which are well known in the  $K_2NiF_4$  structure type.

Temperature-dependent resistivities for representative compositions, measured on polycrystalline pellets, are presented in Fig. 2. The data show Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> to be semiconducting. The transition to a metallic resistivity occurs only at very high Ru doping, between  $SrIr_{0.2}Ru_{0.8}O_4$  and  $Sr_2RuO_4$ . Due to the low pellet densities, the semiconductor-to-metal transition could not be located to good compositional precision, and in fact we expect that it occurs between Sr<sub>2</sub>Ir<sub>0.4</sub>Ru<sub>0.6</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and  $Sr_2Ir_{0.2}Ru_{0.8}O_4$ . For our  $Sr_2RuO_4$  polycrystalline pellets, our measured resistivity,  $10^{-2} \Omega$  cm, is higher by at least an order of magnitude than that expected based on single-crystal resistivity measurements<sup>7</sup> which found  $\rho_{ab}(300 \text{ K}) \cong 10^{-4} \Omega \text{ cm} \text{ and } \rho_c(300 \text{ K}) \cong 3 \times 10^{-2} \Omega \text{ cm}.$ This indicates a very high grain-boundary resistivity for our pellets, making exact determination of the composition of the *M*-*I* transition ambiguous.

The temperature-dependent magnetization data are presented in Figs. 3 and 4, as susceptibility defined by  $\chi = M (H = 10 \text{ kOe})/H$ . All data shown have been corrected for core diamagnetism  $(1.06 \times 10^{-4} \text{ emu/mole})$ for  $Sr_2IrO_4$  and  $0.97 \times 10^{-4}$  emu/mole for  $Sr_2RuO_4$ ). The  $Sr_2IrO_4$  and  $Sr_2RuO_4$  samples show transitions to weakly ferromagnetic states at 250 and 160 K, respectively. The ordered ferromagnetic moment for Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> measured at high fields in the M vs H data is very low:  $0.02\mu_{B}$ . By magnetic characterization of other Sr, Ir oxides which might be present as impurities at very low levels, we find that for  $Sr_2IrO_4$  the ordering is intrinsic to the phase, in agreement with previous studies.<sup>2</sup> The low saturated moment suggests that as in  $La_2CuO_4$  there is a small ferromagnetic component present in an antiferromagnetically ordered spin system due to canting of the



FIG. 2. Temperature-dependent resistivities for polycrystalline samples of  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$  at composition intervals  $\Delta x = 0.2$ .



FIG. 3. Temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibilities (M/H at H = 10 kOe) for  $\text{Sr}_2 \text{Ir}_{1-x} \text{Ru}_x \text{O}_4$  measured in a field of 10 kOe for  $x \le 0.6$ .

ordered moments. For the  $Sr_2RuO_4$  sample, our magnetic characterization of possible impurity phases shows that the apparent magnetic transition observed at 160 K is due to the presence of a very small amount (less than 50 ppm) of ferromagnetic  $SrRuO_3$ . We find no intrinsic ordering for  $Sr_2RuO_4$ , again in agreement with previous studies.<sup>2</sup> For some intermediate compositions, a very weak remnant of the ferromagnetic ordering of the end members is seen: the presence of small amounts of Ru in  $Sr_2IrO_4$  appears to suppress  $T_c$ . Near  $Sr_2RuO_4$  any change in the ferromagnetic properties is due to a change in the ppm-level impurity phase.

At temperatures above the Curie point (150-200 K), the overall  $\chi(T)$  for all compositions is very similar. The high susceptibility,  $10^{-3}$  emu/mole, is characteristic of a highly magnetic electronic system, similar to what is typi-



FIG. 4. Temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibilities (M/H at H = 10 kOe) for  $\text{Sr}_2 \text{Ir}_{1-x} \text{Ru}_x \text{O}_4$  measured in a field of 10 kOe for x > 0.6. The apparent ferromagnetic transition observed near  $\text{Sr}_2 \text{RuO}_4$  (x = 1.0 and 0.95) is due to the presence of very small (50 ppm) quantities of  $\text{SrRuO}_3$ .

cally observed for 3d transition-metal oxides. To look at these data in detail, the susceptibilities above 200 K have been fit to the Curie-Weiss behavior  $\chi = \chi_0 + C/(T - \theta)$ , where  $\chi_0$  is the temperature-independent paramagnetism, C the Curie constant, and  $\theta$  the Curie-Weiss temperature. Figure 5 shows the composition dependence of the total measured susceptibility at 400 K, and the temperatureindependent part of the susceptibility,  $\chi_0$ . Because the value of  $\chi_0$  may be expected to depend on the temperature region of the fit, different minimum fit temperatures were employed in different fits. The variations in  $\chi_0$  and  $\rho_{\text{eff}}$  with fitting and sample preparation are shown in Figs. 5 and 6 by different symbols. The observations are seen to be independent of fitting procedure and sample preparation.

The difference between  $\chi(400)$  and  $\chi_0$  shows, as a function of composition, the relative contributions of localmoment magnetism and temperature-independent paramagnetism to the total susceptibility. Local-moment magnetism is seen first to increase with increasing Ru content, and then to decrease for Ru contents greater than approximately 0.7. At the same time, the temperature-independent susceptibility is independent of Ru content up to the same critical concentration, where it then dramatically increases as the local-moment magnetism goes away. Taken as a measure of the density of states at the Fermi level, the increase in  $\chi_0$  represents an increase to a high  $\gamma$  (linear specific heat coefficient) for  $Sr_2RuO_4$ . The high  $\gamma$  must be attributed to either a highly correlated spin state for  $Sr_2RuO_4$  or electron-electron correlation.

Figure 6 shows the correlation between the structural and magnetic properties. The upper panel shows the Curie moment per formula unit of  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$  obtained



FIG. 5. Composition dependence of the total magnetic susceptibility at 400 K [ $\chi$ (400)] and the temperature-independent paramagnetic contribution ( $\chi_0$ ). At a particular composition, different symbols represent different sample preparations and identical symbols represent different fitting ranges.



FIG. 6. Curie moment  $\rho_{\text{eff}}$  per formula unit of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{Ru}_x\text{O}_4$  (upper panel) and the crystallographic c/a ratio (lower panel) as a function of composition. For  $\rho_{\text{eff}}$  at a particular composition, different symbols represent different sample preparations and identical symbols represent different fitting ranges.

from the high-temperature  $\chi(T)$  fits. The data clearly show the linear relation between observed moment and Ru concentration for  $x \leq 0.7$ . Extrapolation of this line to x = 1 (pure Ru) yields the effective moment per  $Ru \simeq 2.5 \mu_B/Ru$ , which is close to what is expected for  $S = 1 \text{ Ru}^{4+}$  in localized magnetic systems. However, as the Ru concentration increases beyond x = 0.7 the localmoment behavior does not hold up: rather, by  $Sr_2RuO_4$ there remains very little to the measured local Ru moment. Comparison of the upper panel of Fig. 6 and  $\chi_0$ in Fig. 5 shows that the temperature-independent paramagnetism grows dramatically with the disappearance of the local moment. The lower panel of Fig. 6 presents a plot of the crystallographic c/a ratio (determined from the data in Fig. 1) for  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$ , showing the correlation between the loss of local-moment magnetism and a decrease in the aspect ratio of the tetragonal unit cell. Detailed interpretation of the c/aratio on an atomic scale is ambiguous without a full structural study. Electron-diffraction study of both  $Sr_2RuO_4$  and  $Sr_2IrO_4$  reveals that the former is indeed a simple tetragonal K<sub>2</sub>NiF<sub>4</sub>-structure material, but that Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> shows weak superlattice reflections suggesting a larger tetragonal cell with  $a' = \sqrt{2}a_0$  and  $c' = 2c_0$ . Such crystallographic cell increases in K<sub>2</sub>NiF<sub>4</sub>-structure oxides are typically due to rotations and tilts of the planes of transition-metal-oxygen octahedra. There may also be an electronic component in the behavior of c/a, reflecting a transfer of charge between various  $t_{2g}$  orbitals, but detailed structural study is needed. However, even small tilts of the metal-oxygen octahedra are known to affect physical properties profoundly, as occurs for instance in the suppression of superconductivity in  $La_{2-x}Ba_{x}CuO_{4}$  at  $x = 0.125^{8}$  and the metal-insulator transition in  $La_{1-x}L_{x}NiO_{3}$ .<sup>9</sup> In the latter case the *M-I* transition has been attributed to the band narrowing which occurs when the metal-oxygen-metal angle between neighboring octahedra bends away from the ideal 180°, decreasing metal-d-oxygen-p overlap. The present results for  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$  look remarkably like that: the structural change at  $x \sim 0.6$  could represent the straightening of the M-O-M bonds from the buckled case of  $Sr_2IrO_4$  to the ideal case of  $Sr_2RuO_4$ . The implication is that the hybridization of Ir and Ru with oxygen is as essential to the properties of these materials as it is to cuprates and nickelates.

## CONCLUSIONS

The magnetic character of Ru ions in oxides has long been the subject of research and discussion.  $^{3,4,10-13}$  $S = 1 \text{ Ru}^{4+}$  is apparently exclusively observed, consistent with our measured Ru moment for low x in  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$ . The magnetism of the CaRuO<sub>3</sub>-SrRuO<sub>3</sub> perovskite solid solution<sup>3,4</sup> is more typical of the general behavior: the ferromagnetic  $T_c$  of SrRuO<sub>3</sub> decreases with increasing Ca content in  $Sr_{1-x}Ca_xRuO_3$ , until at approximately x = 0.5, the ordered magnetic state disappears and the materials are paramagnetic. The  $Sr_{1-x}Ca_xRuO_3$ solid solution displays metallic conductivity for all x, and the full Curie moment for Ru above  $T_c$  is maintained for all compositions, in distinct contrast to our observed itinerant-localized transition metal-insulator for  $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$ . The magnetic states in  $SrRuO_3$  and Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub> appear to be considerably different. It is tempting to associate the difference with the difference between the three-dimensional structure of SrRuO3 and the twodimensional structure of Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>. It is surprising, however, that we find the electrons to be more itinerant in two-dimensional Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub> than in three-dimensional SrRuO<sub>3</sub>, which does not conform with the general expectation. Further experiments comparing the present materials to the cuprates, or to early-transition-metal perovskites undergoing metal-insulator transitions<sup>14</sup> would be of interest. If, in addition, as the present results suggest, the bending of the Ir-O-Ir bond, reducing Ir-5d-oxygen-2p overlap, is critical to the electronic properties, then an explanation may be provided for the enigmatic insulating character of Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>.

- <sup>1</sup>J. B. Goodenough and J. M. Longo, in *Magnetic and Other Properties of Oxides and Related Compounds*, edited by K.-H. Hellwege, Landolt-Börnstein New Series, Group III, Vol. 4a (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970), p. 126.
- <sup>2</sup>W. D. Komer and D. J. Machin, J. Less-Common Met. **61**, 91 (1978).
- <sup>3</sup>T. C. Gibb, R. Greatrex, N. N. Greenwood, D. C. Puxley, and K. G. Snowdon, J. Solid State Chem. **11**, 17 (1974).
- <sup>4</sup>A. Kanbayasi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 44, 108 (1978).
- <sup>5</sup>J. J. Randall, Jr., L. Katz, and R. Ward, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **79**, 266 (1957).
- <sup>6</sup>J. J. Randall and R. Ward, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **81**, 2629 (1959).
- <sup>7</sup>F. Lichtenberg, A. Catana, J. Mannhart, and D. G. Schlom, Appl. Phys. Lett. **60**, 1138 (1992).

- <sup>8</sup>J. D. Axe, A. H. Moudden, D. Hohlwein, D. E. Cox, K. M. Mohanty, A. R. Moodenbaugh, and Y. Xu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2751 (1989).
- <sup>9</sup>J. B. Torrance, P. Lacorre, A. I. Nazzal, E. J. Ansaldo, and Ch. Niedermayer, Phys. Rev. B 45, 8209 (1992).
- <sup>10</sup>J. B. Goodenough, Mat. Res. Bull. 6, 967 (1971).
- <sup>11</sup>J. M. Longo, P. M. Raccah, and J. B. Goodenough, J. Appl. Phys. **39**, 1327 (1968).
- <sup>12</sup>P. D. Battle, J. B. Goodenough, and R. Price, J. Solid State Chem. 46, 234 (1983).
- <sup>13</sup>P. A. Cox, R. G. Egdell, J. B. Goodenough, A. Hammett, and C. C. Naish, J. Phys. C 16, 6221 (1983).
- <sup>14</sup>K. Kumagai, T. Suzuki, Y. Taguchi, Y. Okada, Y. Fujishima, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7636 (1993).