VOLUME 46, NUMBER 15

15 OCTOBER 1992-I

Diamond from fullerenes: Evidence from Raman measurements

M. Núñez Regueiro*

Centre de Recherches sur les Très Basses Températures, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Boîte Postale 166 CEDEX 9, 38042 Grenoble, France

L. Abello and G. Lucazeau

Laboratoire d'Ionique et d'Electrochimie du Solide de Grenoble, Ecole Nationale Superieur d'Electrochimie et de Electrometallurgie de Grenoble, Boîte Postale 75, 38402 Saint Martin d'Heres CEDEX, France

J-L. Hodeau

Laboratoire de Cristallographie, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Boîte Postale 166 CEDEX 9, 38042 Grenoble, France (Received 13 July 1992)

We present the Raman characterization of transformed fullerene samples obtained by applying high (~20 GPa) nonhydrostatic pressures on C_{60} or C_{60} - C_{70} powders. Only two clear features are observed. The first is a peak at 1330 cm⁻¹, signature of the existence of cubic diamond. The second is a broadband of unknown origin centered at approximately 1450 cm⁻¹. These results confirm previous crystallographic analysis that showed that our samples consist of polycrystalline diamond embedded in an amorphous matrix.

The behavior of C_{60} under pressure is rich in new phenomena. The application of a hydrostatic compression¹ conserves the cage structure and the face-centered-cubic structure up to a pressure of 20 GPa. Nonhydrostatic application of pressure induces, however, a series of phase transitions.^{1,2} The most interesting result is the report of the obtention of cubic diamond at room temperature. Fullerene soot does indeed transform to a transparent solid solely by application of pressure above 15 GPa under strict nonhydrostatic conditions.³ Electron diffraction patterns and x-ray spectra showed that these samples consisted of polycrystalline cubic diamond of grain size always smaller than 1000 Å embedded in an amorphous material of seemingly sp³ character. As reported by other groups,⁴⁻⁶ less stringent conditions cause the formation of a different form of carbon, that is amorphous and can speculatively but attractively be viewed as disorderly interconnected C_{60} cages. The preferential sp² hybridization of the cages together to the sp³ character of the intermolecular bridges may explain the measured Raman "diamondlike" spectra.^{5,6} Preliminary standard macro-Raman measurements performed on one of our samples were incapable of detecting any clearly discernible feature on a high luminiscent background.⁷ We report here micro-Raman measurements performed on several of our samples. We observe that all of them give the expected diamond Raman peak at 1330 cm⁻¹, together with a broadband centered at 1450 cm⁻¹ of unknown origin.

The samples were made out of purified C_{60} kindly furnished by P. Bernier from the University of Montpellier, and C. Fabre and A. Rassat from the Ecole Normale Superieure de Paris or C_{60} - C_{70} mixture from Strem Chemicals. The first series of samples (A - M) made using the technique described in Ref. 3 weighted around 100 μ g, or much less if part of the cell was occupied by other bodies (e.g., razor blade chips to produce the pressure gradient). An improvement of this technique within the same apparatus allows now the obtention of bulk samples of up to 300 μ g. We present here Raman data of three different samples. Sample A (same nomenclature as in Ref. 3) was produced from purified C₆₀ powder in a slanted anvil configuration at 25±5 GPa. Sample M, also from purified C₆₀, was obtained by compression to 20±5 GPa together with a small razor blade chip, while sample N was made using the improved technique from as-received C₆₀-C₇₀ soot, and transformed at about 20±5 GPa.

The Guinier powder pattern obtained with Fe $K\alpha$ radiation on sample N is given in Fig. 1. It showed only the (111) and (220) reflections of cubic diamond. Due to the x-ray beam size, by this method we cannot observe and quantify any diffuse scattering of the amorphous part of the sample since its support and glue also yielded diffusion. Electron diffraction patterns show the existence in chips of this sample of either an amorphous phase or polycrystalline cubic diamond with grain sizes ranging from 50 to 500 Å. The color of the bulk sample is yellowish by transparency.

Raman spectra were obtained with the multichannel XY DILOR model spectrometer equipped with a microscope. They were excited by the Ar^+ laser line at 488 nm. The laser power was kept at 30 mW and the laser spot was of about 1 μ m. The instrumental linewidth was of 7.55 cm⁻¹.

We show in Fig. 2 Raman spectra corresponding to different samples. They represent typical data, when the luminescent background permits measurement. Spectra (a) and (b) correspond to sample A. Spectrum (b) was taken on the surface of the sample, that was in direct contact with the diamond anvils. We observe only a feature-



FIG. 1. X-ray spectra of sample N, showing the expected diamond reflections.

less variation. However if the beam is focused into the cracks of the sample or on torn-off pieces, we obtain spectra such as (a). It is clear that a strong diamond peak located at 1330 cm⁻¹ is well present in this spectrum, confirming the existence of cubic diamond crystals in the sample.

Curves (c) and (d) of Fig. 2 correspond to samples M and N, respectively. A small but clearly visible peak is seen at 1330 cm⁻¹, showing that cubic diamond crystals are also present in these samples. Furthermore, a broadband centered at about 1450 cm⁻¹ is very neatly defined, particularly in sample N. In fact, a detailed observation of the data of sample A shows that the same band is also visible there. These two features are present in all the samples that we have characterized.

From our results we can conclude that Raman evidence for the existence of cubic diamond in our samples can be obtained using a micro-Raman probe. It is, however, important to scan into the sample, as at the surface the diamond peak is extremely weak. This was expected from the crystallographic analysis, which showed the existence of a preponderant amorphous phase surrounding the diamond crystals. The fact that at the surface in contact with the diamond anvils, the 1330-cm⁻¹ feature is always weak or nonexistent, and that the diamond crystals are detected inside the sample, suggests that the diamond anvils do not aid in the formation of the crystals. The pressure gradient seems to be more important. As macro-Raman techniques give an averaged spectrum, largely obscured by the thin absorbing layer at the surface, it is normal that they could not detect the diamond line originated from small crystals inside the sample, and



FIG. 2. Raman spectra of transformed fullerene samples. (a) Sample A (inside); (b) sample A (surface); (c) sample M and (d), sample N. Note that in all three samples the diamond peak is visible at 1330 cm⁻¹, together with a broad feature at ~1450 cm⁻¹. This band may probably be the signature of the highly sp^3 amorphous phase present in our samples.

which can be reached by focusing the laser beam into the cracks.

The origin of the 1450-cm⁻¹ broad feature is intriguing, as it is not present in the usual phases of carbon. We must consider, though, that there is a sharp band⁸ at 1469 cm⁻¹ in pure C₆₀. A broader band, \sim 50 cm⁻¹ of full width at half maximum (FWHM), has also been observed at 1450 cm⁻¹ in a carbonaceous compound obtained by laser irradiation of highly oriented pyrolitic graphite.⁹ This band was interpreted in terms of disordered C₆₀-like material. Similarly, the Raman component at 1470 cm⁻¹ observed in the spectrum of a 12-Å layer obtained by laser ablation of graphite was also associated with a possible five member ring as in C₆₀ clusters.¹⁰ However, such a feature is not seen in transformed C_{60} samples obtained by other methods.⁴⁻⁶ Though a more detailed study must still be made, it seems that the intensity of this band and the diamond line are interrelated. If this is indeed confirmed, it is tempting to attribute this band to the amorphous phase observed by crystallographic methods in our samples. Recent x-ray measurements taken with synchroton radiation at LURE show that the spectrum for the amorphous material is similar to that of amorphous silicon, in agreement with a major sp^3 configuration.¹¹ It is theoretically expected¹² that 100% tetrahedrically coordinated amorphous carbon would give a broad band at 1200 cm^{-1} . Following the same calculation we would expect slightly more than 50% of sp^3 carbon atoms in our amorphous material. However, the calculation does not take into account the probable existence of dangling bonds. We can therefore speculate that the 1450-cm^{-1} band, because of its FWHM, is the Raman signature of a highly sp^3 amorphous carbon. Quantitative measurements are under way to confirm this.

In conclusion, careful micro-Raman measurements on transparent samples obtained by crushing C_{60} or C_{60} - C_{70}

mixtures show the existence of the diamond 1330-cm⁻¹ characteristic line, in agreement with previous crystallographic measurements. In addition, an unidentified broad band observed at 1450 cm⁻¹ may correspond to a preponderantly tetrahedrically coordinated, carbon amorphous phase.

*On leave from Comision Nacional de Energía Atómica and Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tećnicas, Centro Atómico Bariloche, 8400 Bariloche, Argentina.

- ¹S. J. Duclos, K. Brister, R. C. Haddon, A. R. Kortan, and F. A. Thiel, Nature **351**, 380 (1991).
- ²M. Núñez-Regueiro, P. Monceau, A. Rassat, P. Bernier, and A. Zahab, Nature **354**, 289 (1991).
- ³M. Núñez-Regueiro, P. Monceau, and J-L. Hodeau, Nature **355**, 237 (1992).
- ⁴F. Moshary, N. H. Chen, I. F. Silvera, C. A. Brown, H. C. Dorn, M. S. de Vries, and D. S. Bethune (unpublished).
- ⁵C. S. Yoo and W. J. Nellis (unpublished).
- ⁶D. W. Snoke, Y. S. Raptis, and K. Syassen, Phys. Rev. B 45,

14 419 (1992).

- ⁷G. Martinez (private communication).
- ⁸D. S. Bethune, G. Meijer, W. C. Tang, and H. J. Rosen, Chem. Phys. Lett. **174**, 219 (1991).
- ⁹L. Abello, N. Rosman, F. Genet, and G. Lucazeau, J. Phys. C 7, 497 (1991).
- ¹⁰J. Díaz, J. A. Martín Gago, S. Ferrer, F. Comin, L. Abello, and G. Lucazeau, Diamond Relat. Mater. 1, 824 (1992).
- ¹¹J-L. Hodeau, J. M. Tonnerre, B. Bouchez-Favre, and M. Núñez-Regueiro (unpublished).
- ¹²D. Beeman, J. Silverman, R. Lynds, and M. R. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 870 (1984).