VOLUME 45, NUMBER 1

Structure of Rb:C₆₀ compounds

Peter W. Stephens and Laszlo Mihaly

Department of Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794

John B. Wiley, Shiou-Mei Huang, Richard B. Kaner, François Diederich, Robert L. Whetten, and Karoly Holczer Department of Physics, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, and Solid State Science Center, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1569

(Received 3 September 1991)

We report x-ray-diffraction studies on Rb_xC_{60} samples of nominal composition ranging from x=1.5 to 6. After six weeks of annealing at 200 °C, we observe well-defined stoichiometric compounds Rb_nC_{60} with n=0 (pure C_{60}), 3, 4, and 6, and refine the corresponding face-centered cubic, body-centered tetragonal, and body-centered cubic structures. Evidence is found for a fifth compound as well. The n=3 and 6 structures are analogous to the corresponding K_nC_{60} compounds.

The solid-state reaction resulting in the superconducting alkali-metal- C_{60} compounds¹⁻³ typically leads to inhomogeneous materials with ill-defined stoichiometry. For the potassium compounds the preparation methods were rapidly refined and the composition K_3C_{60} was identified³ shortly after the initial report of its superconductivity.¹ The isolation of a pure superconducting phase is a prerequisite for further characterization of physical properties, such as the London penetration depth and coherence length,⁴ and pressure dependence.⁵ The crystal structure was determined to be fcc (Ref. 6) as in the pure C_{60} material, with cations in octahedral and tetrahedral interstitial sites. In contrast, the kinetics of the Rb reaction is slower and more complex, and the isolation of the superconducting phase, Rb_3C_{60} , was accomplished only very recently by Holczer *et al.*⁷ and Fleming *et al.*⁸

Here we report results of extensive x-ray-diffraction studies on a series of Rb_xC_{60} samples of different composition. The structural parameters are summarized in Table I. At a nominal composition of Rb_6C_{60} , we observe a bcc structure reported earlier for K_6C_{60} and Cs_6C_{60} by Zhou *et al.*⁹ At lower Rb concentration, the majority phase is always the fcc phase isostructural with superconducting K_3C_{60} .⁶ Based on the results of Ref. 7, it is clear that this is the superconducting phase. In some samples of low Rb concentration, the presence of the undoped (pure) C_{60} fcc phase is evident. Besides these three phases that were expected on the basis of previous results, we have detected two other structures. There is a tetragonal phase, with nominal composition Rb_4C_{60} , the structure of which we discuss here. This compound was independently discovered by Fleming *et al.*¹⁰ Finally, there is one other phase, from which we have found so few diffraction lines that we are not able to hypothesize a structure.

The samples, sealed since preparation in glass tubes, were identical with the ones in Ref. 7, where the preparation is described in detail. The x-ray powder-diffraction measurements were made at the State University of New York X3A beam line at the National Synchrotron Light Source. X-rays of wavelength 0.83 Å were monochromated by flat Si(111) crystals, and the diffracted beam was analyzed with a Ge(111) crystal. The system resolution,

Composition	Space group	Cell dimension (Å)	Closest C ₆₀ -C ₆₀ (Å)	Closest A-C ₆₀ (Å)	Volume per C ₆₀ (A ³)	Thermal factor (Å ²)	
						C ₆₀	Α
C ₆₀	Fm3m	14.18	10.03		713		
Rb ₃ C ₆₀	Fm3 m	14.425	10.20	3.33	750	1.0 ± 0.3	2.0±0.5° 15±3°
Rb4C60	I4/mmm	a = 11.96 c = 11.04	10.10	3.13	789	1±1	10 ± 2
Rb ₆ C ₆₀	Im3	11.54	9.99	3.42	768	0.7 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2
K ₃ C ₆₀	Fm3m	14.24	10.06	3.27	722	1.2 ± 0.5	6.5±2ª 16±6 ^b

TABLE I. Unit-cell dimensions, bond distances, and temperature factors in $A_x C_{60}$ phases. The temperature factor is defined as $2B = 12\pi^2 \langle u^2 \rangle$, where u is the thermal motion amplitude.

^aTetrahedral site.

^bOctahedral site, A = Rb or K.

544

Figure 1(a) shows the profile of Rb_6C_{60} . The diffraction peak widths are 0.1°, corresponding to a crystalline coherence length of at least 250 Å. All of the lines can be indexed to a bcc structure, with lattice constant 11.54 \pm 0.01 Å. This is clearly isostructural with K₆C₆₀ and Cs_6C_{60} , described by Zhou et al.⁹ The best fit (R factors $R_1 = 6.1\%$ and $R_{wp} = 8.3\%$) has bond lengths ranging from 1.42 to 1.54 Å, but the quality of fit degrades only marginally if the bond lengths are constrained to the average values 1.37 Å and 1.45 Å, determined in more accurate single-crystal determinations of fullerenes and derivatives.¹¹ Therefore we do not regard the variation from our fits as significant, and believe that the 1.37 Å and 1.45 Å values are probably more correct. In this structure (space group $Im\bar{3}$), all of the fullerenes have the same orientation. The Rb atoms are located at fractional unit-cell po-



FIG. 1. Rietveld fits to powder x-ray-diffraction profiles of (a) Rb_6C_{60} and (b) Rb_3C_{60} phases. In Rb_3C_{60} , regions not shown in difference plot were excluded due to weak contaminant phases.

Except for the x=6 specimen, all of our Rb_xC_{60} samples consist of mixtures of two or more phases, complicating the analysis of the data. Nevertheless, measuring and comparing the spectra of eight samples (of nominal composition from x=1.5 to 3) allowed us to assign sets of diffraction peaks to separate phases. Therefore we were able to perform Rietveld refinement for selected phases by excluding regions of peaks from competing phases. In the spectra of Figs. 1 and 2 these regions are indicated by gaps in the difference panel. We emphasize that any peak so removed was found more prominently in other spectra.

Figure 1(b) shows the spectrum for a sample of nominal composition Rb₃C₆₀. The sample, obtained in a twostage doping process from $Rb_{2.5}C_{60}$, as described in Ref. 7, has a superconducting diamagnetic fraction of 45% at 4.2 K; a relatively high value for a loose powder sample.⁷ Nearly all of the visible peaks are those of an fcc phase, isostructural to the K₃C₆₀ phase described earlier;⁶ impurity phases represent less than 5% of the material. The peak widths are similar to those measured for Rb_6C_{60} . Satisfactory fits are obtained with all C-C bonds equal to 1.43 Å. The quality of the fit improves slightly if the carbon positions are unconstrained, leading to bond lengths from 1.38 to 1.51 Å. Rb ions occupy both octahedral $\langle \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 \rangle$ and tetrahedral $\langle \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \rangle$ interstitials in the fcc lattice. As in K_3C_{60} , the tetrahedrally coordinated Rb atoms nest into the hexagonal faces of the fullerenes, apparently impeding their rotation; the octahedral Rb has a very large Debye-Waller factor. Likewise, similar to K_3C_{60} ,⁶ we find that the fullerenes are randomly distributed between the two orientations consistent with hexagonal faces pointing in the (111) directions, i.e., space group Fm3m is preferred over Fm3.

The highest superconducting fractions were reported⁷ for compounds with nominal compositions slightly off the ideal Rb: C_{60} = 3:1 ratio, and, therefore, it is clearly important to determine if the compound truly has stoichiometric composition. The Rietveld refined site occupancies are $100\% \pm 20\%$. An even stronger argument for a stoichiometric composition for this phase comes from measurements of the lattice constant. We observe that the position of the (311) peak from this phase varies by no more than 0.01° (0.1%) in all of the samples studied for Rb concentrations x = 1.5 - 3.0, despite the widely differing amounts of coexisting impurity phases. In comparison, the lattice expands by 1.7% from C_{60} to Rb_3C_{60} . It is also worth pointing out that if the material had a nonstoichiometric composition, one would expect the superconducting transition temperature T_c to depend on sample preparation history, contrary to observation.⁷ Based on all of these arguments, we conclude that the Rb_3C_{60} phase is stoichiometric.

The remaining Rb_xC_{60} phases are detected only as minority phases coexisting with the fcc Rb_3C_{60} material. Figure 2(b) shows the spectrum of a sample of nominal composition $Rb_{2.8}C_{60}$. The comparison to the spectrum of Rb_3C_{60} [replotted in Fig. 2(a)] reveals relatively strong lines at 7.94°, 10.30°, 11.25°, and 12.70°; the linewidths are 0.12°, slightly wider than Rb_3C_{60} and Rb_6C_{60} . These



FIG. 2. Powder x-ray-diffraction profiles of samples of composition (a) Rb_3C_{60} , (b) $Rb_{2.8}C_{60}$, and (c) $Rb_{2.2}C_{60}$. (b) A Rietveld fit to the tetragonal Rb_4C_{60} phase (fcc Rb_3C_{60} peaks excluded). (c) The four diffraction peaks assigned to the unknown, Rb-deficient phase.

lines are also visible in other samples and their strengths scale together from sample to sample. We are able to index these, and several other weaker peaks, with a bodycentered tetragonal lattice¹⁰ with lattice constants a=b=11.96 Å, c=11.04 Å. The lattice constants suggest a distorted bcc structure. Trying several possible locations for Rb atoms leads to the best agreement with the x-ray-



FIG. 3. Schematic view of three $Rb:C_{60}$ structures. Rb atoms at the octahedral sites of Rb_3C_{60} are indicated by double circles.

diffraction spectrum if they are at $\langle 0.23, 0.5, 0 \rangle$ in the space group I4/mmm, as illustrated in Fig. 3. We find that the Rb occupancy is close to 100%, leading to a nominal composition of Rb₄C₆₀ for this phase. Based on the nominal composition of Rb_{2.8}C₆₀, one expects the presence of some Rb deficient material in this sample, but it is apparently not in the form of pure C₆₀, since its sharp diffraction peaks would show up in the spectra.

In the diffraction spectrum of Rb_4C_{60} there are enough regions overshadowed by the Rb₃C₆₀ phase that we are not able to determine C-C bond lengths. Consequently, for the purpose of the refinement, we have put in the values determined in other phases. It is likewise difficult to come to any specific conclusions about the orientation of the C_{60} molecules from this spectrum. However, we can afford to speculate based on the geometrical environment of the fullerene molecules. Each C_{60} is surrounded by eight Rb in the *a*-*b* plane, at a distance of 6.58 Å from the center, and eight Rb in the a-c and b-c planes, at a distance of 6.39 Å. For freely rotating C₆₀ of radius 3.57 Å, the nearest Rb-C distance would be 2.82 Å, which is impossibly short. On the other hand, even if rigid C_{60} are oriented as they are in the two cubic (bcc and fcc) phases, the Rb-C distances range from a comfortable 3.13 to 3.78 Å. The icosahedral C_{60} do not have the fourfold axes required in space group I4/mmm, and so we infer that they must be orientationally disordered between two states, as we previously showed for $K_3C_{60.6}$ This structure may be derived from the bcc Rb₆C₆₀ structure by removing two of the cations on the vertical faces. This distorts the lattice, and moves the remaining cations relative to the C_{60} .

546

There are several weak peaks in Fig. 2(b) which do not index to either the fcc Rb_3C_{60} or the tetragonal Rb_4C_{60} structures, notably at 9.70° and 11.93°. We believe that they come from yet another phase. For some samples, like the $Rb_{2.2}C_{60}$ shown in Fig. 2(c), these lines are clearly stronger than the Rb_4C_{60} peaks. Aside from the fact that it is Rb deficient, we cannot identify this phase. Note that it also has strong peaks at 11.26° and 15°, which may help explain the discrepancies seen in Fig. 2(b) at these angles, and that the peaks are somewhat broader.

In conclusion, we found at least five phases, four of stoichiometric composition x = 0,3,4,6 in the Rb:C₆₀ system. The samples in this study were made in a slow diffusion process, over a time of about six weeks. As a result of this, the superconducting phase transition is always sharp, at $T_c = 29.6$ K.⁷ The x-ray-diffraction peaks belonging to the superconducting phase Rb₃C₆₀ are relatively narrow, indicating good crystalline coherence. The other, minority phases, are the natural coproducts of the staged diffusion process described in Ref. 7. This is supported by the observation that the corresponding x-ray peaks are broader. In view of the fact that the strongest Rb₄C₆₀ peaks are seen in a sample of composition

- ¹A. F. Hebard *et al.*, Nature (London) **350**, 600 (1991).
- ²M. J. Rosseinsky et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2830 (1991).
- ³K. Holczer, O. Klein, S.-M. Huang, R. B. Kaner, K.-J. Fu, R. L. Whetten, and F. Diederich, Science **252**, 1154 (1991).
- ⁴K. Holczer, O. Klein, G. Gruner, J. D. Thompson, F. Diederich, and R. L. Whetten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 271 (1991).
- ⁵G. Sparn, J. D. Thompson, S.-M. Huang, R. B. Kaner, F. Diederich, R. L. Whetten, G. Gruner, and K. Holczer, Science **252**, 1829 (1991).
- ⁶P. Stephens, L. Mihaly, P. Lee, R. L. Whetten, S.-M. Huang, R. B. Kaner, F. Diederich, and K. Holczer, Nature (London) 351, 632 (1991).

 $Rb_{2.8}C_{60}$, it is evident that some of these samples have not yet reached equilibrium.

The refinement of the Rb_3C_{60} structure shows that the distance between the C_{60} molecules is about 1.3% larger than in K_3C_{60} . A growing body of evidence, including the pressure dependence of the critical temperature,⁵ points to the correlation between the superconducting T_c and the C_{60} - C_{60} distance.

After this work was completed we learned of a study with similar overall results.¹²

This work was supported by NSF Grants No. DMR-8922066 (P.W.S.) and No. DMR-9016456 (L.M.), and by the David and Lucille Packard Foundation (R.L.W. and R.B.K.). The SUNY X3 beam line at NSLS is supported by the DOE under Grant No. DEFG-0286-ER-45231. Part of this research was carried out at the National Synchrotron Light Source, which is supported by the U.S. DOE, Division of Materials Sciences and Division of Chemical Sciences. S. J. Anz and F. Ettl produced and separated the C₆₀ samples used in this research. We thank G. Gruner for sharing the resources of his laboratory.

- ⁷K. Holczer *et al.* (unpublished).
- ⁸R. M. Fleming, A. P. Ramirez, M. J. Rosseinsky, D. W. Murphy, R. C. Haddon, S. M. Zahurak, and A. V. Makhija, Nature (London) **352**, 787 (1991).
- ⁹O. Zhou et al., Nature (London) 351, 462 (1991).
- ¹⁰R. M. Fleming *et al.*, Nature (London) **352**, 701 (1991).
- ¹¹P. J. Fagan, J. C. Calabrese, and B. Malone, Science **252**, 1160 (1991); J. M. Hawkins, A. Meyer, T. A. Lewis, S. Loren, and F. J. Hollander, *ibid.* **252**, 312 (1991); S. Liu, Y. Lu, M. Kappens, and J. A. Ibers, *ibid.* **254**, 408 (1991).
- ¹²Q. Zhu *et al.*, Science **254**, 545 (1991).