ERRATA

Erratum: Transverse staggered moment in the Haldane-gap antiferromagnet $[Ni(C_2H_8N_2)_2NO_2(ClO_4)]$ observed by proton nuclear magnetic resonance [Phys. Rev. B 44, 2838 (1991)]

M. Chiba, Y. Ajiro, H. Kikuchi, T. Kubo, and T. Morimoto

In the calculation of the local field at protons produced by the magnetic dipole at the Ni²⁺ site, we missed the factor of 3 in the dipole-field term of $3(\mu \cdot \mathbf{r})\mathbf{r}/r^5$. Therefore, the local field was underestimated by a factor of 3, and hence the dipole moment of Ni²⁺ was overestimated by a factor of 3. As a result, $1.5\mu_B$, which appeared in the final line of the abstract, in lines 4 and 7 of the left column of page 2840, in line 12 of the right column of page 2841, and in lines 3 and 4 in the caption of Fig. 3, should read $0.5\mu_B$. Also 75%, which appeared in line 7 of the left column of page 2840, and in line 12 of the right column of page 2841, should read 25%.

© 1992 The American Physical Society

Erratum: Low-temperature properties of the metallic phase of the *t-J* model in two dimensions [Phys. Rev. B 44, 9582 (1991)]

J. P. Rodriguez

There is a typographical error in the expression found in the third sentence following Eq. (12), which should read "... of weight $(1-x)/2, \ldots$." Also, add the following expression to the end of the second sentence after Eq. (40): "... when the transport factor of $1-\cos\theta$ is included in Eq. (40)." In addition, there is an error in the expression found in the fourth sentence after Eq. (40), which should read "... of order $\text{Im} \Sigma_e^T \sim N^{-1} (T/J)^{2/3} J$." Accordingly, the phrase found in the second sentence of the next paragraph should read "... and a $T^{2/3}$ dependence, ..." while the expression found in the fourth sentence of the second to last paragraph of Sec. III D should read "... $\Delta T_{ce}/T_{ce}^0 \sim \text{Im} \Sigma_e^T/T_{ce}^0 \sim (T_{ce}^0/J)^{-1/3}$." Lastly, the expression "... given by $\omega_s = \gamma_s H_s \ldots$ " located in the first sentence after Eq. (46b) should be corrected to read, ... given by $\omega_s = e^{-1}\gamma_s H_s \ldots$ "

None of the above corrections change the conclusions reached in the paper.

© 1992 The American Physical Society