PHYSICAL REVIEW B

## **VOLUME 45, NUMBER 6**

## Ion-size effect on $T_c$ in $(R_{1-x} Pr_x) Ba_2 Cu_3 O_{7-y}$ systems (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb)

Yunhui Xu and Weiyan Guan

Institut für Schicht und Ionentechnik der Forschungszentrum Jülich, Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung, Postfach 1913, D-5170 Jülich, Germany

(Received 31 October 1991)

We observed that the superconducting-transition temperature  $(T_c)$  of the oxides  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3$ -

 $O_{7-y}$  (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) decreases monotonically with increasing Pr concentration x. At constant x,  $T_c$  decreases approximately linearly with increasing radius of the R ions. We suggest that in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems the hybridization between 4f states of the Pr ion and the conduction-band states is R-ion-radius dependent.

Although crystallographically identical to all the other rare-earth-based superconductors,  $PrBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  is a semiconductor and not superconducting. The  $(Y_{1-x}-Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  system is particularly interesting since it is isostructural to  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  superconductor, yet the superconductivity is strongly suppressed as a function of Pr concentration.<sup>1,2</sup>

The suppression of  $T_c$  has been attributed to two possible mechanisms. The first mechanism involves the filling of mobile holes in the conducting CuO<sub>2</sub> planes. The substitution of Pr ions with a valence greater than 3+ implies that suppression of superconductivity results from a reduced number of carriers in the CuO<sub>2</sub> sheets.<sup>2</sup> However, this idea was later questioned. X-ray-absorption nearedge structure<sup>3,4</sup> and valence-band resonant photoemission<sup>5</sup> indicated a Pr valence close to 3+. According to electron-energy-loss-spectroscopy measurements<sup>6</sup> of the  $(Y_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  system, the total number of holes on O sites was shown to be independent of x. This suggests that the Pr ions are trivalent and localize, rather than fill, mobile holes in the CuO<sub>2</sub> planes. Recently, Norton et al.<sup>7</sup> discovered superconductivity at 34.9 K in  $Pr_{0.5}Ca_{0.5}Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-v}$  epitaxial thin films and suggested that hole localization and/or filling contributes substantially to the suppression of superconductivity by Pr in  $PrBa_2Cu_3O_7 - r$ .

The second mechanism is pair breaking due to spindependent exchange scattering of mobile holes in the CuO<sub>2</sub> valence band by magnetic Pr ions. The correspondence of the  $T_c$  vs x data to the theoretical predictions based on the theory of Abrikosov and Gor'kov<sup>8</sup> (AG) has been interpreted as evidence for pair breaking.<sup>9,10</sup> Spinpolarized band-structure calculations<sup>11</sup> for RBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-y</sub> (R=Y, Gd, Pr) confirm the magnetic pair-breaking mechanism.

In order to achieve a better understanding of superconductivity suppression by Pr ions it would be useful to study other  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems in order to be sure that we are dealing with universal behavior. Several groups  $^{4,12-15}$  reported experimental results of the  $(Gd_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  and  $(Eu_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ . The results of these investigations indicate that Pr is more effective in suppressing superconductivity in  $(Gd_{1-x}Pr_x)$ - $Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  and  $(Eu_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  than in  $(Y_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ . In this paper, we report the variation of  $T_c$  with x in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ (R=Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) systems. The results show that  $T_c$  monotonically decreases with increasing Pr concentration, x, for all studied systems. However, the  $T_c$  suppression rates,  $dT_c/dx$ , are very different for various systems. We have found an ion-size dependence for  $T_c$ . At a constant concentration of Pr (x > 0.1)  $T_c$  decreases approximately linearly with increasing radius of R ions.

The ceramic  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) systems with x between x = 0 and x = 0.6 were prepared using a conventional solid-state reaction method. Stoichiometric amounts of high-purity CuO, BaCO<sub>3</sub>, Pr<sub>6</sub>O<sub>11</sub>, and  $R_2O_3$  (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) powders were mixed, ground, and fired at 915 °C for about 24 h in air, followed by slow cooling in the furnace. The resultant powder was milled again and pressed into pellets which were then heated at 925-930 °C for 3 days in flowing oxygen, followed by annealing at 680 and 400 °C in flowing oxygen each for 10 h before a slow cool to room temperature.

The x-ray-diffraction patterns show that all samples have the layered orthorhombic perovskitelike structure and contain no extra peaks due to impurity phases within the experimental error. We have not included an analysis of the oxygen stoichiometry. However, it has been reported by several groups that the praseodymium content does not influence oxygen content y essentially  $(y \approx 0)$ .<sup>6</sup>

 $T_c$  was determined from resistivity measurements. The resistivity were measured by standard four-probe technique on rectangular bars sliced from the as-grown sintered pellets to which Al-foil electrical leads had been attached with silver-paste epoxy, using a lock-in amplifier SR 530 operating at a frequency of 130 Hz.

In Figs. 1 and 2 plots of the normalized transition temperature  $T_c/T_c(0)$  as a function of Pr concentration x for  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) are shown.  $T_c$  is defined as the temperature at which the resistivity drops to 50% of the extrapolated normalstate value. The  $T_c(0)$  for the undoped  $RBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  superconductors were 95.0 (R = Nd), 94.8 (R = Eu), 95.7 (R = Gd), 95.2 (R = Dy), 93.5 (R = Y), 93.2 (R = Er), and 91.5 (R = Yb). These  $T_c$  values show that magnetic rare-earth ions do not have a pair-breaking effect on superconductivity.<sup>16</sup>

<u>45</u> 3176



FIG. 1. Normalized superconducting transition temperature  $T_c/T_c(0)$  vs Pr concentration x for  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems.  $\triangle$ , R = Nd;  $\Box$ , R = Eu;  $\diamond$ , R = Gd; and +, R = Dy.

The  $T_c/T_c(0)$  vs x data shown in Figs. 1 and 2 indicate that  $T_c$  decreases monotonically with increasing Pr concentration for all studied  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems. However, the  $T_c$  suppression rates,  $dT_c/dx$ , are different for various  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems. For example, at the same Pr concentration, x = 0.3,  $T_c = 69.5$  K for  $Yb_{0.7}Pr_{0.3}Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  (Fig. 2) but  $T_c = 7.5$  K for Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Pr<sub>0.3</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-y</sub> (Fig. 1). This indicates that Pr is extremely more effective in suppressing superconductivity in  $(Nd_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  than in  $(Yb_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ . The experimental data of  $T_c(x)$  can be divided into two groups and we present them in Figs. 1 and 2 separately. The results for  $(R_{1-x})$ - $Pr_x$ )Ba<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-v</sub> with R = Nd, Eu, Gd, and Dy shown in Fig. 1 demonstrate an approximately linear Pr concentration dependence of  $T_c$ . The results for samples with R = Y, Er, and Yb shown in Fig. 2 demonstrate a more complicated Pr concentration dependence of  $T_c$ , especially in the low-Pr-doping regime (x < 0.1).

We find a systematic ion-radius  $(R_{ion})$  dependence of  $T_c$  for studied  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems. At constant x the  $T_c$  vs  $r_{ion}$  curves are displayed in Fig. 3. Clear-



FIG. 2. Normalized superconducting transition temperature  $T_c/T_c(0)$  vs Pr concentration x for  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems.  $\Box$ , R=Y; ×, R=Er; and  $\triangle$ , R=Yb.



FIG. 3. Superconducting transition temperature  $T_c$  (midpoint) vs ion radius of the R ions,  $r_{ion}$ , in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3-O_{7-y}$  systems (R=Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb).

ly, the Pr ion is more effective in suppressing  $T_c$  in the  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  system where the R ion has a larger ion radius. As the Pr concentration x is kept constant we find that the  $T_c$  of  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  approximately linearly decreases with increasing radius of the R ions in the higher-Pr-doping regime (x > 0.1).

The linearly extrapolated values of critical Pr concentration  $x'_{cr}$  (the x values of the intersections of the extrapolated lines with the x axis in Figs. 1 and 2) are 0.32, 0.45, 0.51, 0.64, 0.67, 0.69, and 0.75, for R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb, respectively. These extrapolated  $x'_{cr}$ are larger than  $x_{cr}$  at which superconductivity disappears (no attempt has been made to determine the exact values of the  $x_{cr}$ ). The magnitude of  $x'_{cr}$  roughly scales with the *R*-ion size,  $r_{ion}$ , for the studied  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ systems. This is illustrated in Fig. 4.

Figures 3 and 4 clearly show that the suppression of superconductivity in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems is related to the Pr concentration and the ionic size of the R ion of the matrix.



FIG. 4. Linear extrapolated critical concentration of Pr ions  $x'_{cr}$  vs ionic radius of the R ions,  $r_{ion}$ , in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb).

The pair-breaking theory of Abrikosov and Gor'kov [8] for low values of paramagnetic impurity concentration x is given by

$$T_c(x) \approx T_c(0) - [(\pi^2/4k_B)N(E_F)\mathcal{J}^2(g-1)^2J(J+1)]x,$$
(1)

where  $N(E_F)$  is the density of states at the Fermi level, g and J, respectively, the Lande g factor and total angular momentum of the Hund's-rules ground state of the magnetic ion, and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the exchange-interaction parameter. AG theory [Eq. (1)] predicts a suppression of  $T_c$  with paramagnetic impurity concentration x that is linear in the low-concentration regime.

The linear suppression of  $T_c$  vs x data for  $(R_{1-x})$ - $Pr_x$ )Ba<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-y</sub> (R=Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy) shown in Fig. 1 corresponds to the theoretical (AG) prediction [Eq. (1)]. These results can be interpreted as evidence for the magnetic pair-breaking effects by Pr doping, but the regime of linear dependence of  $T_c$  vs x is wider than that predicted by AG theory. Figure 2 shows that for  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)$ - $Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-\nu}$  (R = Y, Er, Yb) the linear dependence of  $T_c$ vs x exists only in the higher-Pr-doping regime (x > 0.1). If one extrapolates the linear fit of  $T_c(x)$  to the AG theory from the higher x regime (x > 0.1), in order to determine extrapolated  $T'_{c}(0)$  one discovers that  $T'_{c}(0)$ value would be > 100 K. In the case of R = Nd, Eu, Gd, and Dy (Fig. 1)  $T'_{c}(0)$  linearly extrapolated from the high-x regime was identical with  $T_c(0)$  measured for samples with x = 0.

In Eq. (1) there are two material parameters,  $N(E_F) d^2$ and the de Gennes factor  $(g-1)^2 J(J+1)$ , which determine the  $T_c$  vs x dependence.  $N(E_F) d^2$  characterizes the hybridization between conduction electron states and local impurity states and their spin-dependent exchange interaction. The de Gennes factor is a characteristic of magnetic moment of impurity ion.

Of all the  $R^{3+}$  ions with partially filled 4f electron shells that form the orthorhombic  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\nu}$  structure Pr has the largest ionic radius and therefore the greatest amount of overlap between its 4f wave function and the wave functions of the neighboring oxygen and copper atoms. Thus, hybridization of the 4f states and the valence-band states would be expected to be strongest for  $Pr^{3+}$ . Since electrical conduction in these systems appears to be primarily confined to the CuO<sub>2</sub> planes the hybridization of the Pr 4f states with valence-band states should play a significant role for pair breaking and for determining  $T_c(x)$  in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems. Hybridization could generate an appreciable exchange interaction between the Pr magnetic moments and the spin of the mobile holes in the CuO<sub>2</sub> planes with a large value of  $N(E_F) \mathcal{J}^2$  in Eq. (1), in turn, causing suppression of  $T_c$ in the superconducting hosts.

Most of the *R* ions except Y and Eu in the ground states are magnetic. Our  $T_c$  data show that the dependence of  $T_c$  on x is not determined by whether the *R* ion is magnetic or nonmagnetic. Doping Pr ions in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2$ -Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-y</sub> systems is more effective in suppressing superconductivity for R = Eu with zero moment of the Eu ions than for R = Er, Gd, and Dy with remarkable larger moments of Er, Gd, and Dy ions (Figs. 1 and 2) and is more effective for R = Y with zero moment of the Y ions than for R = Er with strong paramagnetic moment of the Er ions (Fig. 2). Apparently, the smaller spatial extension of 4f wave functions of R ions (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) does not lead to appreciable hybridization in ( $R_{1-x}Pr_x$ )Ba<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-y</sub> systems which is similar to the situation in undoped  $RBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems.

We view the suppression of  $T_c$  in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3$ - $O_{7-v}$  systems (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) as resulting from the pair-breaking effect by Pr doping. The conclusion concerning pair breaking is based on the facts that the  $Pr^{3+}$  ions have a magnetic moment of  $3.58\mu_B$  and that the hybridization between the conduction electrons in the CuO<sub>2</sub> planes and local Pr ion states is strong. The latter feature is the major difference between the roles of the Pr and the R ions (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) on superconductivity suppression. The strong hybridization resulting in a large exchange interaction is a key parameter controlling the superconductivity suppression by pair breaking. We would like to emphasize that although the magnetic moment of the R ions is unfavorable to the superconductivity suppression, their ion sizes have a pronounced effect on  $T_c$  suppression. It is possible that the hybridization between the conduction electron states in CuO<sub>2</sub> planes and the local Pr states in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2$ - $Cu_3O_{7-\nu}$  systems (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) depends on the presence of Pr and R ions in these systems. On the basis of our experimental  $T_c$  data we suggest that the spatial extent of the host R ion influences the hybridization between conduction and Pr 4f electrons. For R ions with a larger ionic radius, we observed a greater suppression of  $T_c$ . In this case, when we use Eq. (1) of AG theory to fit our experimental data of  $T_c$ , the de Gennes factor  $(g-1)^2 J(J+1)$  depends only on the Pr ion. In contrast, the parameters,  $N(E_F)\mathcal{J}^2$ , in Eq. (1) will change with individual R (R = Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) ions, particularly, with their ionic radius.  $N(E_F)\mathcal{J}^2$  is a function of the radius of the R ions.

To conclude, Pr doping is more effective in superconductivity suppression in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  (R=Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Y, Er, and Yb) systems with larger radius R ions. Both  $dT_c/dx$  and  $x'_{cr}$  are systematic, approximately linearly dependent on the radius of the R ions. This peculiar ion-size dependence of  $T_c$  is caused by the presence of both Pr and R ions in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems. It is possible that in  $(R_{1-x}Pr_x)Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  systems the Rions may influence the hybridization between 4f states of Pr and conduction-band states, which influences the suppression of superconductivity. We think that the hybridization and the exchange interaction characterized by  $N(E_F)\partial^2$  in AG theory is dependent on the radius of the R ions.

The authors thank Professor Dr. Ch. Heiden for support and fruitful discussions, Dr. D. Guggi and Dr. M. Mück for experimental help, and Mr. F. Hutson for discussions.

- <sup>1</sup>J. K. Liang et al., Z. Phys. B 69, 137 (1987).
- <sup>2</sup>Y. Dalichaouch et al., Solid State Commun. 65, 1001 (1987).
- <sup>3</sup>Y. Tokura et al., Phys. Rev. B 38, 7156 (1988).
- <sup>4</sup>M. W. Shafer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 2914 (1989); D. D. Sarma *et al.*, Solid State Commun. **77**, 377 (1991).
- <sup>5</sup>J. S. Kang et al., J. Less-Common Met. 148, 121 (1989).
- <sup>6</sup>J. Fink et al., Phys. Rev. B 42, 4823 (1990).
- <sup>7</sup>David P. Norton et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1537 (1991).
- <sup>8</sup>A. A. Abrikosov and L. P. Gor'kov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **39**, 1781 (1960) [Sov. Phys. JETP **12**, 1243 (1961)].
- <sup>9</sup>J. L. Peng et al., Phys. Rev. B 40, 4517 (1989).

- <sup>10</sup>Yunhui Xu and Weiyan Guan, Appl. Phys. Lett. **59**, 2183 (1991).
- <sup>11</sup>G. Y. Guo and W. M. Temmerman, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 6372 (1990).
- <sup>12</sup>H. Iwasaki et al., Physica C 169, 146 (1990).
- <sup>13</sup>K. Latka et al., Physica C 171, 287 (1990).
- <sup>14</sup>I. Das et al., Physica C 173, 331 (1991).
- <sup>15</sup>Z. Tomkowicz et al., Physica C 174, 71 (1991).
- <sup>16</sup>Yunhui Xu and Weiyan Guan, Appl. Phys. Lett. 53, 334 (1988).