Magnetic order and local structural aspects involving Gd in $GdBa_2Cu_4O_8$

H. J. Bornemann and D. E. Morris

Morris Research, Inc., 1918 University Avenue, Berkeley, California 94704

C. Steinleitner and G. Czjzek

Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Institut für Nukleare Festkörperphysik, P. O. Box 3640, W-7500 Karlsruhe, Federal Republic of Germany

(Received 18 July 1991)

Magnetization measurements and Mössbauer spectroscopy have been used to study the magnetic ordering and local structural aspects involving Gd ions in $GdBa_2Cu_4O_8$. The reduced orthorhombicity of the 1:2:4 structure compared to the 1:2:3 is reflected by a significantly reduced asymmetry parameter η . Antiferromagnetic order of Gd moments is found at low temperatures. The magnetic ordering has a pronounced two-dimensional character. The magnetic spin configuration of the Gd moments, tilted by $\sim 17^\circ$ with respect to the crystallographic c axis, shows the in-plane coupling between Gd ions to be due to exchange plus dipolar interactions.

The superconducting phase $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$ (Y-1:2:4) was originally discovered as a lattice defect in the high temperature superconductor YBa₂Cu₃O₇ (Y-1:2:3).¹ Bulk samples of Y-1:2:4 have been synthesized by using a high-oxygen-pressure technique^{2,3} and by reaction with ambient oxygen at lower temperatures in the presence of a catalyst such as alkali-metal carbonates.⁴ The oxygen stoichiometry of 1:2:4 is much more stable than that of 1:2:3, a feature that may be important for practical applications. Compounds $RBa_2Cu_4O_8$ (R = Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, and Tm) share the 1:2:4 structure of $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$.^{3,5,6} This structure is closely related to 1:2:3 but with one additional Cu-O chain layer in the unit cell. Because the positions of Cu in adjacent Cu-O chains differ by b/2 along the b axis,¹ c is doubled to 2×13.6 Å = 27.2 Å. The magnetic as well as the superconducting properties for 1:2:3 and 1:2:4 materials are guite similar. The rare-earth ions in both systems are electronically isolated from each other, and from the superconductivity which is confined to the Cu-O sheets.^{3,7} The nearestneighbor separations for the rare earth along the c axis are ~ 3 times the distance along the *a* and *b* directions. This crystallographic anisotropy will lead inevitably to a considerable anisotropy of the magnetic coupling strengths, with strong interactons within a-b planes and rather weak interplane coupling along the c axis. In the R-1:2:3 compounds magnetic ordering was found to have a pronounced two-dimensional character.7-10

We have previously investigated magnetic properties and the local structural aspects involving Gd ions in $GdBa_2Cu_3O_7$ by magnetization measurements and ¹⁵⁵Gd Mössbauer spectroscopy.^{10,11} We now extend these measurements to the 1:2:4 compound GdBa₂Cu₄O₈. Results will be discussed and compared with the Gd-1:2:3 compound.

The sample was prepared by solid state reaction of a

stoichiometric mixture of Gd_2O_3 , BaO_2 , and CuO in high pressure oxygen, using a commercial high-pressure oxygen furnace.¹² The mixed powder was pressed into pellets, heated at 21 °C/min to 930 °C, sintered at 930 °C for 15 h at $P(O_2) = 200$ bar and cooled to room temperature at 2 °C/min. The reacted mixture was thoroughly reground and fired again twice under the same conditions.

Phase purity was checked by x-ray diffraction using Cu $K\alpha$ radiation (Ni filter). Relative phase fractions were estimated by comparing the intensities of the respective lines in the diffraction pattern. The material was mainly 1:2:4 (>90%) with small amounts of CuO as an impurity phase. Since the intensity of the diagnostic 11.7-Å peak for the Y-bearing 1:2:3 compound is relatively weak (4.2% of the 110 peak), trace amounts of 1:2:3 in Y-124 cannot be ruled out. However, it has been shown³ that the intensity of the 11.7-Å peak increases with increasing atomic number from a relative intensity 4.2% for Y to 33% for Gd. Therefore, even very minor quantities of a 1:2:3 phase would be apparent in powder-diffraction patterns of Gd-1:2:4, but no 1:2:3 peak was seen in our pattern. Lattice parameters were determined from a leastsquares fit to the diffraction pattern (space group Ammm). We find a = 3.863(7) Å, b = 3.881(7), and c = 27.26(1) Å in good agreement with published data.³ The average value of the a and b crystallographic axes (3.872 Å) is close to that of Gd-1:2:3 in both its orthorhombic (3.875 Å and tetragonal (3.885 Å) variants, but the axial ratio b/a = 1.005 and the orthorhombicity 2(b-a)/(a+b)=0.005 correspond to a distortion less than 30% of that in fully oxidized GdBa₂Cu₃O₇ [b/a=1.018, 2(b-a)/(a+b)=0.018].

Meissner diamagnetism was measured in a commercial SQUID magnetometer. As shown in Fig. 1(a) the sample exhibits a sharp transition at $T_c = (73.8 \pm 0.5)$ K. Bulk superconductivity is demonstrated by a substantial

<u>44</u> 12 567

Meissner effect at low temperatures, with $\chi = -22 \times 10^{-4}$ emu/g, corresponding to about 20% volume diamagnetism. For $T > T_c$ the magnetic susceptibility follows a Curie-Weiss law, indicating the presence of localized moments [Fig. 1(b)]. The effective moment $\mu_{\rm eff}$ and Curie-Weiss temperature $T_{\rm CW}$ were derived from a least-squares fit to the experimental data using the Curie-Weiss relation:

$$\chi(T) = \chi_0(T) + N\mu_{\rm eff}^2 / [3k_B(T - T_{\rm CW})], \qquad (1)$$

where $\chi_0(T)$ represents the background susceptibility.

We find $\mu_{\text{eff}} = (7.9 \pm 0.1)\mu_B$ in good agreement with the theoretical value for the free Gd³⁺ ion ($\mu_{\text{eff}} = 7.94\mu_B$), and $T_{\text{CW}} = (-2.9 \pm 0.1)$ K, thus indicating dominant antiferromagnetic interactions between Gd moments. Both the values for μ_{eff} and T_{CW} agree well with those reported for GdBa₂Cu₃O₇ (Ref. 10).

Mössbauer spectra of ¹⁵⁵Gd in the temperature range $1.6 \le T \le 50$ K were taken in a constant acceleration spectrometer in a He cryostat as described in Ref. 11. Examples for Mössbauer spectra at several absorber temperatures are shown in Fig. 2. The spectra show the presence of an electric field gradient (EFG) V_{zz} interacting with the quadrupole moment Q of ¹⁵⁵Gd nuclei. The quadrupole splitting of the ground state is given by $\Delta_Q = (\frac{1}{2})eQ |V_{zz}|(1+\frac{1}{3}\eta^2)^{1/2}$, here $\eta = (V_{xx} - V_{yy})/V_{zz}$, $(0 < \eta < 1)$ is the asymmetry parameter, a measure of de-



viation of the local symmetry in the vicinity of the Mössbauer nuclei from axial symmetry with a more than twofold rotational axis (e.g., tetragonal symmetry) corresponding to $\eta = 0$. The sign of V_{zz} and the value of η can be extracted from spectra obtained in the presence of a magnetic hyperfine field. At T=4.2 K, slow magnetic relaxation causes considerable line broadening. At T=1.6 K, the spectrum is completely magnetically split. This shows the ordering of Gd moments to occur in the temperature range 1.6-4.2 K. Presumably the Néel temperature is not very different from the value $T_N = 2.25$ K for Gd 1:2:3 (Ref. 10). The spectra were analysed by fitting the transmission integral to the experimental data. Nuclear energy levels were calculated by numerical diagonalisation of the hyperfine Hamiltonian. The value of the magnetic hyperfine field is $|B_{\rm hf}| = (29.1 \pm 0.2)$ T, close to the value $|B_{hf}| = (35.6 \pm 0.1)$ T found in Gd-1:2:3 (Ref. 10).

No variation of the quadrupole splitting Δ_0 with temperature was observed in the entire range of our measurements (1.6 K $\leq T \leq$ 50 K). Following the reasoning given in Ref. 11 for GdBa₂Cu₃O₇, we infer that the principal axes (V_{xx}, V_{yy}, V_{zz}) of the EFG tensor at Gd sites in $GdBa_2Cu_4O_8$ coincide with the crystallographic axes (a,b,c) and that the z axis of the EFG points along the crystallographic c axis. About 6.5% of the spectral area are accounted for by a second component with a quadrupole splitting of $\Delta_Q = 1.62$ mm/s at T = 30 K and a magnetic hyperfine field $|B_{\rm hf}| = (31\pm2) T$ at T = 1.6 K. We assign this component to a not identified impurity phase. main component, For the the isomer shift $\delta_{IS} = (0.520 \pm 0.004)$ mm/s is typical for ionic Gd com-



FIG. 1. (a) Meissner curve for $GdBa_2Cu_4O_8$ measured in a field of ~3 Oe. (b) DC susceptibility above T_c measured in a 10-kOe field. The line represents a least-squares fit to the experimental data (see text).

FIG. 2. 155 Gd Mössbauer spectra of GdBa₂Cu₄O₈ at several absorber temperatures.

pounds and, within error limits, is the same as in Gd-(Ref. 11). The electric field gradient 1:2:3 $V_{zz} = (-6.34 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{21} \text{ V/m}^2$ is slightly larger than in $[V_{zz} = (5.99 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{21}$ V/m^2]. Gd-1:2:3 The significantly reduced asymmetry parameter, $\eta = 0.37$ ± 0.07 compared to $\eta = 0.55 \pm 0.01$ for Gd-1:2:3 (Ref. 11) shows the reduced orthorhombicity in 1:2:4 compared to 1:2:3, as expected from the comparison of lattice parameters (see above).

The angles θ (polar) and θ (azimuth) give the direction of the magnetic hyperfine field in the coordinate system determined by the principal axes of the EFG (which coincide with the crystallographic a, b, c axes). We find $\theta = 17^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$. Since both η and θ are small ϕ cannot be determined unequivocally. We get a good fit with ϕ fixed at 90°. An equally good fit is achieved with ϕ fixed at 0°, which yields $\theta = 19^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$ and leaves the hyperfine parameters essentially unchanged except that the asymmetry parameter η comes down to $\eta = 0.08 \pm 0.09$ implying an almost tetragonal local symmetry as expected for $a \approx b$. (For 1:2:3 as well as 1:2:4 the local symmetry of the rare earth site and the symmetry of the lattice are identical.) The result $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ is rather unlikely in view of the difference between the lattice parameters a and b determined by x-ray diffraction. From the broadened linewidth $\Gamma_A = 0.315 \pm 0.005$ mm/s ($\Gamma_{nat} = 0.25$ mm/s, for Gd-1:2:3 $\Gamma_A = 0.261 \pm 0.002 \text{ mm/s}$) we infer a less perfect crystal structure of our sample. We note that since the oxygen in the Cu-O chains is more tightly bound³ than in 1:2:3 this cannot be blamed on oxygen vacancies. Defects due to stacking faults or Gd ions in twin boundaries are more likely causes.

Significant line broadening at T=4.2 K, above the Néel temperature, indicates a dominant two-dimensional behavior of the magnetic interactions in the 1:2:4 compound with weaker interactions between c-axis layers compared to the 1:2:3 compound.¹¹ The magnetic anisotropy arises naturally from the crystal structure, as the caxis spacing of the magnetic ions is much larger than the a and b spacing. Scattering of polarized neutrons has been used to investigate the magnetic ordering of the rare-earth ions in the Er-1:2:4 and Dy-1:2:4 compounds.¹³ In the vicinity of the Néel temperature, scattering characteristic of two-dimensional behavior is observed. For Gd-1:2:3 we had found a checkerboardlike antiferromagnetic arrangement of Gd moments in the a-b planes formed by neighboring Gd ions.¹⁰ The moments are aligned parallel to the crystallographic c axis, corresponding to $\theta = 0^{\circ}$. A dipolar interaction on the other hand, would have resulted in a planar moment arrangement¹⁰ (moments aligned perpendicular to the c axis so

 $\theta = 90^{\circ}$). Thus the magnetic interactions between the Gd magnetic moments are mediated by exchange interactions such as superexchange via covalent bonds between Gd and neighboring oxygen ions or by indirect exchange via conduction electrons (RKKY).^{10,14} For Gd-1:2:4 the situation is essentially the same. Nearest-neighbor spins form a simple antiferromagnetic arrangement within the *a*-*b* plane. Since the spin direction is tilted by $\sim 17^{\circ}$ with respect to the c axis, we conclude that the magnetic interaction consists of exchange plus another, weaker interaction that favors a spin direction parallel to the a-bplane, such as the dipolar-dipolar interaction. In the next layer along the c axis all the Gd ions are displaced by b/2. Therefore, for the strictly antiferromagnetic inplane ordering, all interactions along the c axis sum to zero by symmetry, and a fully frustrated spin system for the interlayer coupling should result as it occurs in Dy-1:2:4 (Ref. 13). However, the components of the canted moments parallel to the a-b plane are expected to be aligned ferromagnetically along chains in b direction if the canting is caused by dipolar interactions. Then, interactions along the c axis are restored, although they are certainly quite weak. Although polarized neutron scattering experiments are the appropriate tool to investigate these possibilities the extremely large neutron absorption cross section of natural Gd is an obstacle to such measurements.

In summary we have investiged GdBa₂Cu₄O₈ by magnetization measurements and Mössbauer spectroscopy with ¹⁵⁵Gd. In comparison with 1:2:3 the isomer shift is the same within error limits. The absolute value of the electric field gradient is somewhat larger. The reduced orthorhombicity of the 1:2:4 structure is reflected by a significantly reduced asymmetry parameter $\eta = 0.37$ compared to $\eta = 0.55$ for Gd-1:2:3. Whereas the Gd-Gd distance in the a and b directions remains unchanged, the introduction of a second Cu-O chain between the BaO lavers in 1:2:4 increases the Gd-Gd distance along the caxis by ca. 15% from 11.7 Å to 13.6 Å. This crystallographic anisotropy causes highly anisotropic magnetic interactions. Antiferromagnetic order of Gd moments is found at low temperatures. Considerable broadening of Mössbauer resonance lines in a large temperature region above the ordering temperature indicates a pronounced two-dimensional character of the magnetic interactions with weaker interactions along the c axis for the 1:2:4 compound compared to 1:2:3. The magnetic spin configuration of the Gd moments indicates that in 1:2:4 the basic magnetic interaction in the plane formed by neighboring Gd ions consists of a combination of exchange and dipolar energies.

- ¹H. W. Zandbergen, R. Gronski, K. Wang, and G. Thomas, Nature (London) **331**, 596 (1988).
- ²J. Karpinski, E. Kaldis, E. Jilek, S. Rusiecki, and B. Bucher, Nature (London) **336**, 660 (1988).
- ³D. E. Morris, J. H. Nickel, J. T. Wei, N. G. Naggi, J. S. Scott, U. M. Scheven, C. T. Hultgren, A. G. Markelz, J. E. Post, P. J. Heaney, D. R. Veblen, and R. M. Hazen, Phys. Rev. B 39,

7347 (1989).

- ⁴R. J. Cava, J. J. Krajewski, W. F. Peck Jr., B. Batlogg, L. W. Rupp, Jr., R. M. Fleming, A. C. W. P. James, and P. Marsh, Nature (London) **338**, 328 (1989).
- ⁵R. M. Hazen, L. W. Finger, and D. E. Morris, Appl. Phys. Lett. 54, 1057 (1989).
- ⁶H. A. Ludwig, W. H. Fietz, M. R. Dietrich, H. Wuehl, J. Kar-

pinski, E. Kaldis, and S. Risiecki, Physica B+C 167C, 335 (1990).

- ⁷P. H. Hor, R. L. Meng, Y. Q. Wang, L. Gao, Z. J. Huang, J. Bechthold, K. Forster, and C. W. Chu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1891 (1987).
- ⁸J. Van den Berg, C. J. van der Beerk, P. H. Kes, J. A. Mydosh, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, and L. J. de Jongh, Solid State Commun. **64**, 699 (1987); M. W. Dirken and L. J. de Jongh, *ibid.* **64**, 1201 (1987).
- ⁹S. Simizu, S. A. Friedberg, E. A. Hayri, and M. Greenbelt, Phys. Rev. B **36**, 7129 (1987).
- ¹⁰C. Meyer, H. J. Bornemann, H. Schmidt, R. Ahrens, D. Ewert, B. Renker, and G. Czjzek, J. Phys. F 17, L345 (1987).
- ¹¹H. J. Bornemann, G. Czjzek, D. Ewert, C. Meyer, and B. Renker, J. Phys. F **17**, L337 (1987).
- ¹²Morris Research, Inc., Model HPS 3210.
- ¹³H. Zhang, J. W. Lynn, W-H. Li, T. W. Clinton, and D. E. Morris, Phys. Rev. B 41, 11 229 (1990).
- ¹⁴B. W. Lee, J. M. Ferreira, Y. Dalochaouch, M. S. Torikachvili, K. N. Yang, and M. B. Maple, Phys. Rev. B 37, 2368 (1988).